

UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO



3 1761 01120263 7

Digitized by the Internet Archive
in 2010 with funding from
University of Toronto



BURCKHARDT
THE CIVILIZATION
OF THE RENAISSANCE
IN ITALY

JACOB BURCKHARDT
THE CIVILIZATION OF
THE RENAISSANCE
IN ITALY

THE PHAIDON PRESS · VIENNA
LONDON: GEORGE ALLEN & UNWIN LTD


PRINTED IN AUSTRIA
PRINTER: R. KIESEL · SALZBURG
ROTOGRAVURE: WAGNER'SCHE UNIVERSITÄTS-
BUCHDRUCKEREI · INNSBRUCK
ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

*

TRANSLATION
BY
S. G. C. MIDDLEMORE

*

L
E
E



COPYRIGHT EDITION

SUMMARY OF CONTENTS

—

FIRST PART

THE STATE AS A WORK OF ART

SECOND PART

THE DEVELOPMENT OF
THE INDIVIDUAL

THIRD PART

THE REVIVAL OF ANTIQUITY

FOURTH PART

THE DISCOVERY OF THE WORLD
AND OF MAN

FIFTH PART

SOCIETY AND FESTIVALS

SIXTH PART

MORALITY AND RELIGION

APPENDIX

THE CIVILIZATION OF THE RENAISSANCE
ILLUSTRATED BY
MONUMENTS OF ART

PART I

THE STATE AS A WORK OF ART

This work bears the title of an essay in the strictest sense of the word. No one is more conscious than the writer with what limited means and strength he has addressed himself to a task so arduous. And even if he could look with greater confidence upon his own researches, he would hardly thereby feel more assured of the approval of competent judges. To each eye, perhaps, the outlines of a given civilization present a different picture; and in treating of a civilization which is the mother of our own, and whose influence is still at work among us, it is unavoidable that individual judgement and feeling should tell every moment both on the writer and on the reader. In the wide ocean upon which we venture, the possible ways and directions are many; and the same studies which have served for this work might easily, in other hands, not only receive a wholly different treatment and application, but lead also to essentially different conclusions. Such indeed is the importance of the subject, that it still calls for fresh investigation, and may be studied with advantage from the most varied points of view. Meanwhile we are content if a patient hearing be granted us, and if this book be taken and judged as a whole. It is the most serious difficulty of the history of civilization that a great intellectual process must be broken up into single, and often into what seem arbitrary categories, in order to be in any way intelligible. It was formerly our intention to fill up the gaps in this book by a special work on the 'Art of the Renaissance'—an intention, however, which we have been able to fulfil¹ only in part.

Introduction

*

The struggle between the Popes and the Hohenstaufen left Italy in a political condition which differed essentially from that of other countries of the West. While in France, Spain and England the feudal system was so organized that, at the close of its existence, it was naturally transformed into a unified monarchy, and while in Germany it helped to maintain, at least outwardly, the unity of the empire, Italy had shaken it off almost entirely. The Emperors of the fourteenth century, even in the most favourable case,

Political
Conditions
in the
13th Century



¹ Burckhardt

The
inevitable
multiplicity

were no longer received and respected as feudal lords, but as possible leaders and supporters of powers already in existence; while the Papacy,² with its creatures and allies, was strong enough to hinder national unity in the future, not strong enough itself to bring about that unity. Between the two lay a multitude of political units—republics and despots—in part of long standing, in part of recent origin, whose existence was founded simply on their power to maintain it.³ In them for the first time we detect the modern political spirit of Europe, surrendered freely to its own instincts, often displaying the worst features of an unbridled egotism, outraging every right, and killing every germ of a healthier culture. But, wherever this vicious tendency is overcome or in any way compensated, a new fact appears in history—the state as the outcome of reflection and calculation, the state as a work of art. This new life displays itself in a hundred forms, both in the republican and in the despotic states, and determines their inward constitution, no less than their foreign policy. We shall limit ourselves to the consideration of the completer and more clearly defined type, which is offered by the despotic states.

The
Empire of
Frederick II

The internal condition of the despotically governed states had a memorable counterpart in the Norman Empire of Lower Italy and Sicily, after its transformation by the Emperor Frederick II.⁴ Bred amid treason and peril in the neighbourhood of the Saracens, Frederick, the first ruler of the modern type who sat upon a throne, had early accustomed himself to a thoroughly objective treatment of affairs. His acquaintance with the internal condition and administration of the Saracenic states was close and intimate; and the mortal struggle in which he was engaged with the Papacy compelled him, no less than his adversaries, to bring into the field all the resources at his command. Frederick's measures (especially after the year 1231) are aimed at the complete destruction of the feudal state, at the transformation of the people into a multitude destitute of will and of the means of resistance, but profitable in the utmost degree to the exchequer. He centralized, in a manner hitherto unknown in the West, the whole judicial and political administration. No office was henceforth to be filled by popular election, under penalty of the devastation of the offending district and of the enslavement of its inhabitants. The taxes, based on a comprehensive assessment, and distributed in accordance with Mohammedan usages, were collected by those cruel and vexatious methods without which, it is true, it is impossible to obtain any money from Orientals. Here, in short, we find, not a people, but simply a disciplined multitude of subjects; who were forbidden, for example, to marry out of the country without special permission, and under no circumstances were allowed to study abroad. The University of Naples was the first we know of to restrict the freedom of study, while the East, in these respects at all

Moham-
medan
Usages

events, left its youth unfettered. It was after the example of Mohammedan rulers that Frederick traded on his own account in all parts of the Mediterranean, reserving to himself the monopoly of many commodities, and restricting in various ways the commerce of his subjects. The Fatimite Caliphs, with all their esoteric unbelief, were, at least in their earlier history, tolerant of the differences in the religious faith of their people; Frederick, on the other hand, crowned his system of government by a religious inquisition, which will seem the more reprehensible when we remember that in the persons of the heretics he was persecuting the representatives of a free municipal life. Lastly, the internal police, and the kernel of the army for foreign service, was composed of Saracens who had been brought over from Sicily to Nocera and Lucera—men who were deaf to the cry of misery and careless of the ban of the Church. At a later period the subjects, by whom the use of weapons had long been forgotten, were passive witnesses of the fall of Manfred and of the seizure of the government by Charles of Anjou; the latter continued to use the system which he found already at work.

At the side of the centralizing Emperor appeared an usurper of the most peculiar kind: his vicar and son-in-law, Ezzelino da Romano. He stands as the representative of no system of government or administration, for all his activity was wasted in struggles for supremacy in the eastern part of Upper Italy; but as a political type he was a figure of no less importance for the future than his imperial protector Frederick. The conquests and usurpations which had hitherto taken place in the Middle Ages rested on real or pretended inheritance and other such claims, or else were effected against unbelievers and excommunicated persons. Here for the first time the attempt was openly made to found a throne by wholesale murder and endless barbarities, by the adoption, in short, of any means with a view to nothing but the end pursued. None of his successors, not even Cæsar Borgia, rivalled the colossal guilt of Ezzelino; but the example once set was not forgotten, and his fall led to no return of justice among the nations, and served as no warning to future transgressors.

It was in vain at such a time that St. Thomas Aquinas, a born subject of Frederick, set up the theory of a constitutional monarchy, in which the prince was to be supported by an upper house named by himself, and a representative body elected by the people. Such theories found no echo outside the lecture-room, and Frederick and Ezzelino were and remain for Italy the great political phenomena of the thirteenth century. Their personality, already half legendary, forms the most important subject of 'The Hundred Old Tales,' whose original composition falls certainly within this century.⁵ In them Ezzelino is spoken of with the awe which all mighty impressions leave behind

The tyranny
of Ezzelino

Influence of
Frederick
and Ezzelino

them. His person became the centre of a whole literature from the chronicle of eye-witnesses to the half-mythical tragedy⁶ of later poets.

*

Despots
of the
14th Century

The tyrannies, great and small, of the fourteenth century afford constant proof that examples such as these were not thrown away. Their misdeeds cried forth loudly and have been circumstantially told by historians. As states depending for existence on themselves alone, and scientifically organized with a view to this object, they present to us a higher interest than that of mere narrative.

Finance

The deliberate adaptation of means to ends, of which no prince out of Italy had at that time a conception, joined to almost absolute power within the limits of the state, produced among the despots both men and modes of life of a peculiar character.⁷ The chief secret of government in the hands of the prudent ruler lay in leaving the incidence of taxation so far as possible where he found it, or as he had first arranged it. The chief sources of income were: a land tax, based on a valuation; definite taxes on articles of consumption and duties on exported and imported goods; together with the private fortune of the ruling house. The only possible increase was derived from the growth of business and of general prosperity. Loans, such as we find in the free cities, were here unknown; a well-planned confiscation was held a preferable means of raising money, provided only that it left public credit unshaken—an end attained, for example, by the truly Oriental practice of deposing and plundering the director of the finances.⁸

The Court

Out of this income the expenses of the little court, of the body-guard, of the mercenary troops, and of the public buildings were met, as well as of the buffoons and men of talent who belonged to the personal attendants of the prince. The illegitimacy of his rule isolated the tyrant and surrounded him with constant danger; the most honourable alliance which he could form was with intellectual merit, without regard to its origin. The liberality of the northern princes of the thirteenth century was confined to the knights, to the nobility which served and sang. It was otherwise with the Italian despot. With his thirst of fame and his passion for monumental works, it was talent, not birth, which he needed. In the company of the poet and the scholar he felt himself in a new position, almost, indeed, in possession of a new legitimacy.

Pl. 6

No prince was more famous in this respect than the ruler of Verona, Can Grande della Scala, who numbered among the illustrious exiles whom he entertained at his court representatives of the whole of Italy. The men of letters were not ungrateful. Petrarch, whose visits at the courts of such men have been so severely censured, sketched an ideal picture of a prince of

the fourteenth century.⁹ He demands great things from his patron, the lord of Padua, but in a manner which shows that he holds him capable of them. 'Thou must not be the master but the father of thy subjects, and must love them as thy children; yea, as members of thy body.'¹⁰ Weapons, guards, and soldiers thou mayest employ against the enemy—with thy subjects goodwill is sufficient. By citizens, of course, I mean those who love the existing order; for those who daily desire change are rebels and traitors, and against such a stern justice may take its course.'

The ideal
Prince of
that period

Here follows, worked out in detail, the purely modern fiction of the omnipotence of the state. The prince is to take everything into his charge, to maintain and restore churches and public buildings, to keep up the municipal police,¹¹ to drain the marshes, to look after the supply of wine and corn; so to distribute the taxes that the people can recognize their necessity; he is to support the sick and the helpless, and to give his protection and society to distinguished scholars, on whom his fame in after ages will depend.

But whatever might be the brighter sides of the system, and the merits of individual rulers, yet the men of the fourteenth century were not without a more or less distinct consciousness of the brief and uncertain tenure of most of these despotisms. Inasmuch as political institutions like these are naturally secure in proportion to the size of the territory in which they exist, the larger principalities were constantly tempted to swallow up the smaller. Whole hecatombs of petty rulers were sacrificed at this time to the Visconti alone. As a result of this outward danger an inward ferment was in ceaseless activity; and the effect of the situation on the character of the ruler was generally of the most sinister kind. Absolute power, with its temptations to luxury and unbridled selfishness, and the perils to which he was exposed from enemies and conspirators, turned him almost inevitably into a tyrant in the worst sense of the word. Well for him if he could trust his nearest relations! But where all was illegitimate, there could be no regular law of inheritance, either with regard to the succession or to the division of the ruler's property; and consequently the heir, if incompetent or a minor, was liable in the interest of the family itself to be supplanted by an uncle or cousin of more resolute character. The acknowledgement or exclusion of the bastards was a fruitful source of contest; and most of these families in consequence were plagued with a crowd of discontented and vindictive kinsmen. This circumstance gave rise to continual outbreaks of treason and to frightful scenes of domestic bloodshed. Sometimes the pretenders lived abroad in exile, and like the Visconti, who practised the fisherman's craft on the Lake of Garda,¹² viewed the situation with patient indifference. When asked by a messenger of his rival when and how he thought of returning to

Dangers of
Despotism

Defective
laws of
inheritance

Milan, he gave the reply, 'By the same means as those by which I was expelled, but not till his crimes have outweighed my own.' Sometimes, too, the despot was sacrificed by his relations, with the view of saving the family, to the public conscience which he had too grossly outraged.¹³ In a few cases the government was in the hands of the whole family, or at least the ruler was bound to take their advice; and here, too, the distribution of property and influence often led to bitter disputes.

Pomp and
display

Disgust
of the
Florentines

The whole of this system excited the deep and persistent hatred of the Florentine writers of that epoch. Even the pomp and display with which the despot was perhaps less anxious to gratify his own vanity than to impress the popular imagination, awakened their keenest sarcasm. Woe to an adventurer if he fell into their hands, like the upstart Doge Agnello of Pisa (1364), who used to ride out with a golden sceptre, and show himself at the window of his house, 'as relics are shown,' reclining on embroidered drapery and cushions, served like a pope or emperor, by kneeling attendants.¹⁴ More often, however, the old Florentines speak on this subject in a tone of lofty seriousness. Dante saw and characterized well the vulgarity and commonplace which mark the ambition of the new princes.¹⁵ 'What mean their trumpets and their bells, their horns and their flutes; but come, hangman—come, vultures?' The castle of the tyrant, as pictured by the popular mind, is a lofty and solitary building, full of dungeons and listening-tubes,¹⁶ the home of cruelty and misery. Misfortune is foretold to all who enter the service of the despot,¹⁷ who even becomes at last himself an object of pity: he must needs be the enemy of all good and honest men; he can trust no one, and can read in the faces of his subjects the expectation of his fall. 'As despotisms rise, grow, and are consolidated, so grows in their midst the hidden element which must produce their dissolution and ruin.'¹⁸ But the deepest ground of dislike has not been stated; Florence was then the scene of the richest development of human individuality, while for the despots no other individuality could be suffered to live and thrive but their own and that of their nearest dependants. The control of the individual was rigorously carried out, even down to the establishment of a system of passports.¹⁹

The astrological superstitions and the religious unbelief of many of the tyrants gave, in the minds of their contemporaries, a peculiar colour to this awful and God-forsaken existence. When the last Carrara could no longer defend the walls and gates of the plague-stricken Padua, hemmed in on all sides by the Venetians (1405), the soldiers of the guard heard him cry to the devil 'to come and kill him.'

The most complete and instructive type of the tyranny of the fourteenth century is to be found unquestionably among the Visconti of Milan, from the death of the Archbishop Giovanni onwards (1354). The family likeness which shows itself between Bernabò and the worst of the Roman Emperors is unmistakable;²⁰ the most important public object was the prince's boar-hunting; whoever interfered with it was put to death with torture; the terrified people were forced to maintain 5,000 boar-hounds, with strict responsibility for their health and safety. The taxes were extorted by every conceivable sort of compulsion; seven daughters of the prince received a dowry of 100,000 gold florins apiece; and an enormous treasure was collected. On the death of his wife (1384) an order was issued 'to the subjects' to share his grief, as once they had shared his joy, and to wear mourning for a year. The *coup de main* (1385) by which his nephew Giangaleazzo got him into his power—one of those brilliant plots which make the heart of even late historians beat more quickly²¹—was strikingly characteristic of the man.

In Giangaleazzo that passion for the colossal which was common to most of the despots shows itself on the largest scale. He undertook, at the cost of 300,000 golden florins, the construction of gigantic dykes, to divert in case of need the Mincio from Mantua and the Brenta from Padua, and thus to render these cities defenceless.²² It is not impossible, indeed, that he thought of draining away the lagoons of Venice. He founded that most wonderful of all convents, the Certosa of Pavia,²³ and the cathedral of Milan, 'which exceeds in size and splendour all the churches of Christendom.' The Palace in Pavia, which his father Galeazzo began and which he himself finished, was probably by far the most magnificent of the princely dwellings of Europe. There he transferred his famous library, and the great collection of relics of the saints, in which he placed a peculiar faith. It would have been strange indeed if a prince of this character had not also cherished the highest ambitions in political matters. King Wenceslaus made him Duke (1395); he was hoping for nothing less than the Kingdom of Italy²⁴ or the Imperial crown, when (1402) he fell ill and died. His whole territories are said to have paid him in a single year, besides the regular contribution of 1,200,000 gold florins, no less than 800,000 more in extraordinary subsidies. After his death the dominions which he had brought together by every sort of violence fell to pieces; and for a time even the original nucleus could with difficulty be maintained by his successors. What might have become of his sons Giovanni Maria (died 1412) and Filippo Maria (died 1417), had they lived in a different country and among other traditions, cannot be said. But, as heirs of their house, they inherited that monstrous capital of cruelty and cowardice which had been accumulated from generation to generation.

Giovanni Maria, too, is famed for his dogs, which were no longer, however,

The Visconti:
Bernabò

Pl. 3

Pl. 3

Giangaleazzo

His last
plans

Giovanni
Maria

used for hunting, but for tearing human bodies. Tradition has preserved their names, like those of the bears of the Emperor Valentinian I.²⁵ In May, 1409, when war was going on, and the starving populace cried to him in the streets, *Pace! Pace!* he let loose his mercenaries upon them, and 200 lives were sacrificed; under penalty of the gallows it was forbidden to utter the words *pace* and *guerra*, and the priests were ordered, instead of *dona nobis pacem*, to say *tranquillitatem!* At last a band of conspirators took advantage of the moment when Facino Cane, the chief Condottiere of the insane ruler, lay ill at Pavia, and cut down Giovan Maria in the church of San Gottardo at Milan; the dying Facino on the same day made his officers swear to stand by the heir Filippo Maria, whom he himself urged his wife²⁶ to take for a second husband. His wife, Beatrice di Tenda, followed his advice. We shall have occasion to speak of Filippo Maria later on.

And in times like these Cola di Rienzi was dreaming of founding on the rickety enthusiasm of the corrupt population of Rome a new state which was to comprise all Italy. By the side of rulers such as those whom we have described, he seems no better than a poor deluded fool.

✽

Despots
of the
15th Century

The despotisms of the fifteenth century show an altered character. Many of the less important tyrants, and some of the greater, like the Scala and the Carrara, had disappeared, while the more powerful ones, aggrandized by conquest, had given to their systems each its characteristic development. Naples for example received a fresh and stronger impulse from the new Aragonese dynasty. A striking feature of this epoch is the attempt of the Condottieri to found independent dynasties of their own. Facts and the actual relations of things, apart from traditional estimates, are alone regarded; talent and audacity win the great prizes. The petty despots, to secure a trustworthy support, begin to enter the service of the larger states, and become themselves Condottieri, receiving in return for their services money and impunity for their misdeeds, if not an increase of territory. All, whether small or great, must exert themselves more, must act with greater caution and calculation, and must learn to refrain from too wholesale barbarities; only so much wrong is permitted by public opinion as is necessary for the end in view, and this the impartial bystander certainly finds no fault with. No trace is here visible of that half-religious loyalty by which the legitimate princes of the West were supported; personal popularity is the nearest approach we can find to it. Talent and calculation are the only means of advancement. A character like that of Charles the Bold, which wore itself out in the passionate pursuit of impracticable ends, was a riddle to the Italians. 'The Swiss were only peasants, and if they were all killed, that would be no

Contrast
with Charles
the Bold

satisfaction for the Burgundian nobles who might fall in the war. If the Duke got possession of all Switzerland without a struggle, his income would not be 5,000 ducats the greater.²⁷ The mediæval features in the character of Charles, his chivalrous aspirations and ideals, had long become unintelligible to the Italians. The diplomatists of the South, when they saw him strike his officers and yet keep them in his service, when he maltreated his troops to punish them for a defeat, and then threw the blame on his counsellors in the presence of the same troops, gave him up for lost.²⁸ Louis XI, on the other hand, whose policy surpasses that of the Italian princes in their own style, and who was an avowed admirer of Francesco Sforza, must be placed in all that regards culture and refinement far below these rulers.

Pls. 28, 41

Good and evil lie strangely mixed together in the Italian States of the fifteenth century. The personality of the ruler is so highly developed, often of such deep significance, and so characteristic of the conditions and needs of the time, that to form an adequate moral judgement on it is no easy task.²⁹

The foundation of the system was and remained illegitimate, and nothing could remove the curse which rested upon it. The imperial approval or investiture made no change in the matter, since the people attached little weight to the fact, that the despot had bought a piece of parchment somewhere in foreign countries, or from some stranger passing through his territory.³⁰ If the Emperor had been good for anything—so ran the logic of uncritical common sense—he would never have let the tyrant rise at all. Since the Roman expedition of Charles IV, the emperors had done nothing more in Italy than sanction a tyranny which had arisen without their help; they could give it no other practical authority than what might flow from an imperial charter. The whole conduct of Charles in Italy was a scandalous political comedy. Matteo Villani³¹ relates how the Visconti escorted him round their territory, and at last out of it; how he went about like a hawker selling his wares (privileges, etc.) for money; what a mean appearance he made in Rome, and how at the end, without even drawing the sword, he returned with replenished coffers across the Alps.³² Sigismund came, on the first occasion at least (1414), with the good intention of persuading John XXIII to take part in his council; it was on that journey, when Pope and Emperor were gazing from the lofty tower of Cremona on the panorama of Lombardy, that their host, the tyrant Gabrino Fondolo, was seized with the desire to throw them both over. On his second visit Sigismund came as a mere adventurer; for more than half a year he remained shut up in Siena, like a debtor in gaol, and only with difficulty, and at a later period, succeeded in being crowned in Rome. And what can be thought of Frederick III? His journeys to Italy have the air of holiday-trips or pleasure-tours made at the expense of those who wanted him to confirm their prerogatives, or whose

Illegitimacy:
Intervention
of the
Emperor

Pl. 77

Pl. 129
Frederick III
in Italy

vanity it flattered to entertain an emperor. The latter was the case with Alfonso of Naples, who paid 150,000 florins for the honour of an imperial visit.³³ At Ferrara,³⁴ on his second return from Rome (1469), Frederick spent a whole day without leaving his chamber, distributing no less than eighty titles; he created knights, counts, doctors, notaries—counts, indeed, of different degrees, as, for instance, counts palatine, counts with the right to create doctors up to the number of five, counts with the right to legitimize bastards, to appoint notaries, and so forth. The Chancellor, however, expected in return for the patents in question a gratuity which was thought excessive at Ferrara.³⁵ The opinion of Borso, himself created Duke of Modena and Reggio in return for an annual payment of 4,000 gold florins, when his imperial patron was distributing titles and diplomas to all the little court, is not mentioned. The humanists, then the chief spokesmen of the age, were divided in opinion according to their personal interests, while the Emperor was greeted by some³⁶ of them with the conventional acclamations of the poets of imperial Rome. Poggio³⁷ confessed that he no longer knew what the coronation meant; in the old times only the victorious Emperor was crowned, and then he was crowned with laurel.

The Empire
and
Intervention

With Maximilian I begins not only the general intervention of foreign nations, but a new imperial policy with regard to Italy. The first step—the investiture of Lodovico il Moro with the duchy of Milan and the exclusion of his unhappy nephew—was not of a kind to bear good fruits. According to the modern theory of intervention, when two parties are tearing a country to pieces, a third may step in and take its share, and on this principle the empire acted. But right and justice were appealed to no longer. When Louis XII was expected in Genoa (1502), and the imperial eagle was removed from the hall of the ducal palace and replaced by painted lilies, the historian Senarega³⁸ asked what, after all, was the meaning of the eagle which so many revolutions had spared, and what claims the empire had upon Genoa. No one knew more about the matter than the old phrase that Genoa was a *camera imperii*. In fact, nobody in Italy could give a clear answer to any such questions. At length, when Charles V held Spain and the empire together, he was able by means of Spanish forces to make good imperial claims; but it is notorious that what he thereby gained turned to the profit, not of the empire, but of the Spanish monarchy.

Illegitimate
Succession

Closely connected with the political illegitimacy of the dynasties of the fifteenth century, was the public indifference to legitimate birth, which to foreigners—for example, to Comines—appeared so remarkable. The two things went naturally together. In northern countries, as in Burgundy, the illegitimate offspring were provided for by a distinct class of appanages, such as bishoprics and the like; in Portugal an illegitimate line maintained itself on

the throne only by constant effort; in Italy, on the contrary, there no longer existed a princely house where, even in the direct line of descent, bastards were not patiently tolerated. The Aragonese monarchs of Naples belonged to the illegitimate line, Aragon itself falling to the lot of the brother of Alfonso I. The great Frederick of Urbino was, perhaps, no Montefeltro at all. When Pius II was on his way to the Congress of Mantua (1459), eight bastards of the house of Este rode to meet him at Ferrara, among them the reigning duke Borso himself and two illegitimate sons of his illegitimate brother and predecessor Lionello.³⁹ The latter had also had a lawful wife, herself an illegitimate daughter of Alfonso I of Naples by an African woman.⁴⁰ The bastards were often admitted to the succession where the lawful children were minors and the dangers of the situation were pressing; and a rule of seniority became recognized, which took no account of pure or impure birth. The fitness of the individual, his worth and his capacity, were of more weight than all the laws and usages which prevailed elsewhere in the West. It was the age, indeed, in which the sons of the Popes were founding dynasties. In the sixteenth century, through the influence of foreign ideas and of the counter-reformation which then began, the whole question was judged more strictly: Varchi discovers that the succession of the legitimate children 'is ordered by reason, and is the will of heaven from eternity.'⁴¹ Cardinal Ippolito de' Medici founded his claim to the lordship of Florence on the fact that he was perhaps the fruit of a lawful marriage, and at all events son of a gentlewoman, and not, like Duke Alessandro, of a servant girl.⁴² At this time began those morganatic marriages of affection which in the fifteenth century, on grounds either of policy or morality, would have had no meaning at all.

But the highest and the most admired form of illegitimacy in the fifteenth century was presented by the Condottiere, who, whatever may have been his origin, raised himself to the position of an independent ruler. At bottom, the occupation of Lower Italy by the Normans in the eleventh century was of this character. Such attempts now began to keep the peninsula in a constant ferment.

It was possible for a Condottiere to obtain the lordship of a district even without usurpation, in the case when his employer, through want of money or troops, provided for him in this way;⁴³ under any circumstances the Condottiere, even when he dismissed for the time the greater part of his forces, needed a safe place where he could establish his winter quarters, and lay up his stores and provisions. The first example of a captain thus portioned is John Hawkwood, who was invested by Gregory XI with the lordship of Bagnacavallo and Cotignola. When with Alberigo da Barbiano Italian armies and leaders appeared upon the scene, the chances of founding a principality, or of increasing one already acquired, became more frequent. The first great

Pls. 42, 56

16th-century
ideas

Pl. 240

Condottieri
as founders
of states

Pls. 69—82

Pl. 66

Relation
between
Condottiere
and
Government

bacchanalian outbreak of military ambition took place in the duchy of Milan after the death of Giangaleazzo (1402). The policy of his two sons was chiefly aimed at the destruction of the new despotisms founded by the Condottieri; and from the greatest of them, Facino Cane, the house of Visconti inherited, together with his widow, a long list of cities, and 400,000 golden florins, not to speak of the soldiers of her first husband whom Beatrice di Tenda brought with her.⁴⁴ From henceforth that thoroughly immoral relation between the governments and their Condottieri, which is characteristic of the fifteenth century, became more and more common. An old story⁴⁵—one of those which are true and not true, everywhere and nowhere—describes it as follows: The citizens of a certain town (Siena seems to be meant) had once an officer in their service who had freed them from foreign aggression; daily they took counsel how to recompense him, and concluded that no reward in their power was great enough, not even if they made him lord of the city. At last one of them rose and said, 'Let us kill him and then worship him as our patron saint.' And so they did, following the example set by the Roman senate with Romulus. In fact, the Condottieri had reason to fear none so much as their employers; if they were successful, they became dangerous, and were put out of the way like Roberto Malatesta just after the victory he had won for Sixtus IV (1482); if they failed, the vengeance of the Venetians on Carmagnola⁴⁶ showed to what risks they were exposed (1432). It is characteristic of the moral aspect of the situation, that the Condottieri had often to give their wives and children as hostages, and notwithstanding this, neither felt nor inspired confidence. They must have been heroes of abnegation, natures like Belisarius himself, not to be cankered by hatred and bitterness; only the most perfect goodness could save them from the most monstrous iniquity. No wonder then if we find them full of contempt for all sacred things, cruel and treacherous to their fellows—men who cared nothing whether or no they died under the ban of the Church. At the same time, and through the force of the same conditions, the genius and capacity of many among them attained the highest conceivable development, and won for them the admiring devotion of their followers; their armies are the first in modern history in which the personal credit of the leader is the one moving power. A brilliant example is shown in the life of Francesco Sforza;⁴⁷ no prejudice of birth could prevent him from winning and turning to account when he needed it a boundless devotion from each individual with whom he had to deal; it happened more than once that his enemies laid down their arms at the sight of him, greeting him reverently with uncovered heads, each honouring in him 'the common father of the men-at-arms.' The race of the Sforza has this special interest, that from the very beginning of its history we seem able to trace its endeavours after the crown.⁴⁸ The foun-

Pls. 74, 75

The Sforza
Family
Pls. 28—35

dation of its fortune lay in the remarkable fruitfulness of the family; Francesco's father, Jacopo, himself a celebrated man, had twenty brothers and sisters, all brought up roughly at Cotignola, near Faenza, amid the perils of one of the endless Romagnole 'vendette' between their own house and that of the Pasolini. The family dwelling was a mere arsenal and fortress; the mother and daughters were as warlike as their kinsmen. In his thirteenth year Jacopo ran away and fled to Panicale to the Papal Condottiere Boldrino—the man who even in death continued to lead his troops, the word of order being given from the bannered tent in which the embalmed body lay, till at last a fit leader was found to succeed him. Jacopo, when he had at length made himself a name in the service of different Condottieri, sent for his relations, and obtained through them the same advantages that a prince derives from a numerous dynasty. It was these relations who kept the army together when he lay a captive in the Castel dell'Uovo at Naples; his sister took the royal envoys prisoners with her own hands, and saved him by this reprisal from death. It was an indication of the breadth and the range of his plans that in monetary affairs Jacopo was thoroughly trustworthy; even in his defeats he consequently found credit with the bankers. He habitually protected the peasants against the licence of his troops, and reluctantly destroyed or injured a conquered city. He gave his well-known mistress, Lucia, the mother of Francesco, in marriage to another, in order to be free for a princely alliance. Even the marriages of his relations were arranged on a definite plan. He kept clear of the impious and profligate life of his contemporaries, and brought up his son Francesco to the three rules: 'Let other men's wives alone; strike none of your followers, or, if you do, send the injured man far away; don't ride a hard-mouthed horse, or one that drops his shoe.' But his chief source of influence lay in the qualities, if not of a great general, at least of a great soldier. His frame was powerful, and developed by every kind of exercise; his peasant's face and frank manners won general popularity; his memory was marvellous, and after the lapse of years could recall the names of his followers, the number of their horses, and the amount of their pay. His education was purely Italian: he devoted his leisure to the study of history, and had Greek and Latin authors translated for his use. Francesco, his still more famous son, set his mind from the first on founding a powerful state, and through brilliant generalship and a faithlessness which hesitated at nothing, got possession of the great city of Milan (1447—1450).

His example was contagious. Æneas Sylvius wrote about this time:⁴⁹ 'In our change-loving Italy, where nothing stands firm, and where no ancient dynasty exists, a servant can easily become a king.' One man in particular, who styled himself 'the man of fortune,' filled the imagination of the whole country: Giacomo Piccinino, the son of Niccolò. It was a

Jacopo
Sforza

His
intentions

Francesco
Sforza and
Giacomo
Piccinino

Defeat of
Piccinino

burning question of the day if he, too, would succeed in founding a princely house. The greater states had an obvious interest in hindering it, and even Francesco Sforza thought it would be all the better if the list of self-made sovereigns were not enlarged. But the troops and captains sent against him, at the time, for instance, when he was aiming at the lordship of Siena, recognized their interest in supporting him:⁵⁰ 'If it were all over with him, we should have to go back and plough our fields.' Even while besieging him at Orbetello, they supplied him with provisions; and he got out of his straits with honour. But at last fate overtook him. All Italy was betting on the result, when (1465), after a visit to Sforza at Milan, he went to King Ferrante at Naples. In spite of the pledges given, and of his high connections, he was murdered in the Castel Nuovo.⁵¹ Even the Condottieri, who had obtained their dominions by inheritance, never felt themselves safe. When Roberto Malatesta and Frederick of Urbino died on the same day (1482), the one at Rome, the other at Bologna, it was found⁵² that each had recommended his state to the care of the other. Against a class of men who themselves stuck at nothing, everything was held to be permissible. Francesco Sforza, when quite young, had married a rich Calabrian heiress, Polissena Russa, Countess of Montalto, who bore him a daughter; an aunt poisoned both mother and child, and seized the inheritance.⁵³

Pl. 3

Later enter-
prises of
Condottieri

From the death of Piccinino onwards, the foundations of new States by the Condottieri became a scandal not to be tolerated. The four great Powers, Naples, Milan, the Papacy, and Venice, formed among themselves a political equilibrium which refused to allow of any disturbance. In the States of the Church, which swarmed with petty tyrants, who in part were, or had been, Condottieri, the nephews of the Popes, since the time of Sixtus IV, monopolized the right to all such undertakings. But at the first sign of a political crisis, the soldiers of fortune appeared again upon the scene. Under the wretched administration of Innocent VIII it was near happening that a certain Bocalino, who had formerly served in the Burgundian army, gave himself and the town of Osimo, of which he was master, up to the Turkish forces;⁵⁴ fortunately, through the intervention of Lorenzo the Magnificent, he proved willing to be paid off, and took himself away. In the year 1495, when the wars of Charles VIII had turned Italy upside down, the Condottiere Vidovero, of Brescia, made trial of his strength:⁵⁵ he had already seized the town of Cesena and murdered many of the nobles and the burghers; but the citadel held out, and he was forced to withdraw. He then, at the head of a band lent him by another scoundrel, Pandolfo Malatesta of Rimini, son of the Roberto already spoken of, and Venetian Condottiere, wrested the town of Castelnuovo from the Archbishop of Ravenna. The Venetians, fearing that worse would follow, and urged also by the Pope, ordered Pan-

dolfo, 'with the kindest intentions,' to take an opportunity of arresting his good friend: the arrest was made, though 'with great regret,' whereupon the order came to bring the prisoner to the gallows. Pandolfo was considerate enough to strangle him in prison, and then show his corpse to the people. The last notable example of such usurpers is the famous Castellan of Musso, who during the confusion in the Milanese territory which followed the battle of Pavia (1525), improvised a sovereignty on the Lake of Como.

It may be said in general of the despotisms of the fifteenth century that the greatest crimes are most frequent in the smallest states. In these, where the family was numerous and all the members wished to live in a manner befitting their rank, disputes respecting the inheritance were unavoidable. Bernardo Varano of Camerino put (1434) two of his brothers to death,⁵⁶ wishing to divide their property among his sons. Where the ruler of a single town was distinguished by a wise, moderate, and humane government, and by zeal for intellectual culture, he was generally a member of some great family, or politically dependent on it. This was the case, for example, with Alessandro Sforza,⁵⁷ Prince of Pesaro, brother of the great Francesco, and stepfather of Frederick of Urbino (d. 1473). Prudent in administration, just and affable in his rule, he enjoyed, after years of warfare, a tranquil reign, collected a noble library, and passed his leisure in learned or religious conversation. A man of the same class was Giovanni II Bentivoglio of Bologna (1462—1506), whose policy was determined by that of the Este and the Sforza. What ferocity and bloodthirstiness is found, on the other hand, among the Varani of Camerino, the Malatesta of Rimini, the Manfredi of Faenza, and above all among the Baglioni of Perugia. We find a striking picture of the events in the last-named family towards the close of the fifteenth century, in the admirable historical narratives of Graziani and Matarazzo.⁵⁸

The Baglioni were one of those families whose rule never took the shape of an avowed despotism. It was rather a leadership exercised by means of their vast wealth and of their practical influence in the choice of public officers. Within the family one man was recognized as head; but deep and secret jealousy prevailed among the members of the different branches. Opposed to the Baglioni stood another aristocratic party, led by the family of the Oddi. In 1487 the city was turned into a camp, and the houses of the leading citizens swarmed with bravos; scenes of violence were of daily occurrence. At the burial of a German student, who had been assassinated, two colleges took arms against one another; sometimes the bravos of the different houses even joined battle in the public square. The complaints of the merchants and artisans were vain; the Papal Governors and *Nipoti* held their tongues, or took themselves off on the first opportunity. At last the

The smaller
Despotisms

Pls. 9—12

The Baglioni
of Perugia

Expulsion of
the Oddi

Oddi were forced to abandon Perugia, and the city became a beleaguered fortress under the absolute despotism of the Baglioni, who used even the cathedral as barracks. Plots and surprises were met with cruel vengeance; in the year 1491, after 130 conspirators, who had forced their way into the city, were killed and hung up at the Palazzo Comunale, thirty-five altars were erected in the square, and for three days mass was performed and processions held, to take away the curse which rested on the spot. A nephew of Innocent VIII was in open day run through in the street. A nephew of Alexander VI, who was sent to smooth matters over, was dismissed with public contempt. All the while the two leaders of the ruling house, Guido and Ridolfo, were holding frequent interviews with Suor Colomba of Rieti, a Dominican nun of saintly reputation and miraculous powers, who under penalty of some great disaster ordered them to make peace—naturally in vain. Nevertheless the chronicle takes the opportunity to point out the devotion and piety of the better men in Perugia during this reign of terror.

Pls. 132, 137

When in 1494 Charles VIII approached, the Baglioni from Perugia and the exiles encamped in and near Assisi conducted the war with such ferocity, that every house in the valley was levelled to the ground. The fields lay untilled, the peasants were turned into plundering and murdering savages, the fresh-grown bushes were filled with stags and wolves, and the beasts grew fat on the bodies of the slain, on so-called 'Christian flesh.' When Alexander VI withdrew (1495) into Umbria before Charles VIII, then returning from Naples, it occurred to him, when at Perugia, that he might now rid himself of the Baglioni once for all; he proposed to Guido a festival or tournament, or something else of the same kind, which would bring the whole family together. Guido, however, was of opinion, 'that the most impressive spectacle of all would be to see the whole military force of Perugia collected in a body,' whereupon the Pope abandoned his project. Soon after, the exiles made another attack, in which nothing but the personal heroism of the Baglioni won them the victory. It was then that Simonetto Baglione, a lad of scarcely eighteen, fought in the square with a handful of followers against hundreds of the enemy: he fell at last with more than twenty wounds, but recovered himself when Astorre Baglione came to his help, and mounting on horseback in gilded armour with a falcon on his helmet, 'like Mars in bearing and in deeds, plunged into the struggle.'

Pl. 150

Intentions
of the Pope

At that time Raphael, a boy of twelve years of age, was at school under Pietro Perugino. The impressions of these days are perhaps immortalized in the small, early pictures of St. Michael and St. George: something of them, it may be, lives eternally in the great painting of St. Michael: and if Astorre Baglione has anywhere found his apotheosis, it is in the figure of the heavenly horseman in the Heliodorus.

Pl. 61

The opponents of the Baglioni were partly destroyed, partly scattered in terror, and were henceforth incapable of another enterprise of the kind. After a time a partial reconciliation took place, and some of the exiles were allowed to return. But Perugia became none the safer or more tranquil: the inward discord of the ruling family broke out in frightful excesses. An opposition was formed against Guido and Ridolfo and their sons Gianpaolo, Simonetto, Astorre, Gismondo, Gentile, Marcantonio and others, by two great-nephews, Grifone and Carlo Barciglia; the latter of the two was also nephew of Varano, Prince of Camerino, and brother-in-law of one of the former exiles, Ieronimo della Penna. In vain did Simonetto, warned by sinister presentiment, entreat his uncle on his knees to allow him to put Penna to death: Guido refused. The plot ripened suddenly on the occasion of the marriage of Astorre with Lavinia Colonna, at Midsummer 1500. The festival began and lasted several days amid gloomy forebodings, whose deepening effect is admirably described by Matarazzo. Varano fed and encouraged them with devilish ingenuity: he worked upon Grifone by the prospect of undivided authority, and by stories of an imaginary intrigue of his wife Zenobia with Gianpaolo. Finally each conspirator was provided with a victim. (The Baglioni lived all of them in separate houses, mostly on the site of the present castle.) Each received fifteen of the bravos at hand; the remainder were set on the watch. In the night of July 15 the doors were forced, and Guido, Astorre, Simonetto, and Gismondo were murdered; the others succeeded in escaping.

As the corpse of Astorre lay by that of Simonetto in the street, the spectators, 'and especially the foreign students,' compared him to an ancient Roman, so great and imposing did he seem. In the features of Simonetto could still be traced the audacity and defiance which death itself had not tamed. The victors went round among the friends of the family, and did their best to recommend themselves; they found all in tears and preparing to leave for the country. Meantime the escaped Baglioni collected forces without the city, and on the following day forced their way in, Gianpaolo at their head, and speedily found adherents among others whom Barciglia had been threatening with death. When Grifone fell into their hands near Sant' Ercolano, Gianpaolo handed him over for execution to his followers. Barciglia and Penna fled to Varano, the chief author of the tragedy, at Camerino; and in a moment, almost without loss, Gianpaolo became master of the city.

Atalanta, the still young and beautiful mother of Grifone, who the day before had withdrawn to a country house with the latter's wife Zenobia and two children of Gianpaolo, and more than once had repulsed her son with a mother's curse, now returned with her daughter-in-law in search of the

Dissension
among the
Baglioni

The
bloodstained
wedding
in Perugia

Atalanta
Baglione

dying man. All stood aside as the two women approached, each man shrinking from being recognized as the slayer of Grifone, and dreading the malediction of the mother. But they were deceived: she herself besought her son to pardon him who had dealt the fatal blow, and he died with her blessing. The eyes of the crowd followed the two women reverently as they crossed the square with blood-stained garments. It was Atalanta for whom Raphael afterwards painted the world-famed 'Deposition,' with which she laid her own maternal sorrows at the feet of a yet higher and holier suffering.

The cathedral, in the immediate neighbourhood of which the greater part of this tragedy had been enacted, was washed with wine and consecrated afresh. The triumphal arch, erected for the wedding, still remained standing, painted with the deeds of Astorre and with the laudatory verses of the narrator of these events, the worthy Matarazzo.

A legendary history, which is simply the reflection of these atrocities, arose out of the early days of the Baglioni. All the members of this family from the beginning were reported to have died an evil death—twenty-seven on one occasion together; their houses were said to have been once before levelled to the ground, and the streets of Perugia paved with the bricks—and more of the same kind. Under Paul III the destruction of their palaces really took place.

Recurrence
of the Curse

For a time they seem to have formed good resolutions, to have brought their own party into order, and to have protected the public officials against the arbitrary acts of the nobility. But the old curse broke out again like a smouldering fire. In 1520 Gianpaolo was enticed to Rome under Leo X, and there beheaded; one of his sons, Orazio, who ruled in Perugia for a short time only, and by the most violent means, as the partisan of the Duke of Urbino (himself threatened by the Pope), once more repeated in his own family the horrors of the past. His uncle and three cousins were murdered, whereupon the Duke sent him word that enough had been done.⁵⁹ His brother, Malatesta Baglione, the Florentine general, has made himself immortal by the treason of 1530; and Malatesta's son Ridolfo, the last of the house, attained, by the murder of the legate and the public officers in the year 1534, a brief but sanguinary authority.

The
Malatesta
of Rimini
Pls. 7. 8

Here and there we meet with the names of the rulers of Rimini. Unscrupulousness, impiety, military skill, and high culture, have been seldom so combined in one individual as in Sigismondo Malatesta (d. 1467). But the accumulated crimes of such a family must at last outweigh all talent, however great, and drag the tyrant into the abyss. Pandolfo, Sigismondo's nephew, who has been mentioned already, succeeded in holding his ground, for the sole reason that the Venetians refused to abandon their Condottiere, whatever guilt he might be chargeable with; when his subjects (1497), after

ample provocation,⁶⁰ bombarded him in his castle at Rimini, and afterwards allowed him to escape, a Venetian commissioner brought him back, stained as he was with fratricide and every other abomination. Thirty years later the Malatesta were penniless exiles. In the year 1527, as in the time of Cæsar Borgia, a sort of epidemic fell on the petty tyrants: few of them outlived this date, and none to their own good. At Mirandola, which was governed by insignificant princes of the house of Pico, lived in the year 1533 a poor scholar, Lilio Gregorio Giraldi, who had fled from the sack of Rome to the hospitable hearth of the aged Giovanni Francesco Pico, nephew of the famous Giovanni; the discussions as to the sepulchral monument which the prince was constructing for himself gave rise to a treatise, the dedication of which bears the date of April in this year. The postscript is a sad one.⁶¹—‘In October of the same year the unhappy prince was attacked in the night and robbed of life and throne by his brother’s son; and I myself escaped narrowly, and am now in the deepest misery.’

Decline of
small despots

A pseudo-despotism without characteristic features, such as Pandolfo Petrucci exercised from the year 1490 in Siena, then torn by faction, is hardly worth a closer consideration. Insignificant and malicious, he governed with the help of a professor of jurisprudence and of an astrologer, and frightened his people by an occasional murder. His pastime in the summer months was to roll blocks of stone from the top of Monte Amiata, without caring what or whom they hit. After succeeding, where the most prudent failed, in escaping from the devices of Cæsar Borgia, he died at last forsaken undespised. His sons maintained a qualified supremacy for many years afterwards.

Pandolfo
Petrucci
of Siena

In treating of the chief dynasties of Italy, it is convenient to discuss the Aragonese, on account of its special character, apart from the rest. The feudal system, which from the days of the Normans had survived in the form of a territorial supremacy of the Barons, gave a distinctive colour to the political constitution of Naples; while elsewhere in Italy, excepting only in the southern part of the ecclesiastical dominion, and in a few other districts, a direct tenure of land prevailed, and no hereditary powers were permitted by the law. The great Alfonso, who reigned in Naples from 1435 onwards (d. 1458), was a man of another kind than his real or alleged descendants. Brilliant in his whole existence, fearless in mixing with his people, dignified and affable in intercourse, admired rather than blamed even for his old man’s passion for Lucrezia d’Alagna, he had the one bad quality of extravagance,⁶² from which, however, the natural consequence followed. Unscrupulous financiers were long omnipotent at Court, till the bankrupt king robbed them of their spoils; a crusade was preached, as a pretext for taxing the clergy; when a great earthquake happened in the Abruzzi, the survivors

The Arago-
nese dynasty
in Naples
Alfonso the
Great

were compelled to make good the contributions of the dead. By such means Alfonso was able to entertain distinguished guests with unrivalled splendour; he found pleasure in ceaseless expense, even for the benefit of his enemies, and in rewarding literary work knew absolutely no measure. Poggio received 500 pieces of gold for translating Xenophon's 'Cyropædeia.'

Ferrante

Ferrante,⁶³ who succeeded him, passed as his illegitimate son by a Spanish lady, but was not improbably the son of a half-caste Moor of Valencia. Whether it was his blood or the plots formed against his life by the barons which embittered and darkened his nature, it is certain that he was equalled in ferocity by none among the princes of his time. Restlessly active, recognized as one of the most powerful political minds of the day, and free from the vices of the profligate, he concentrated all his powers, among which must be reckoned profound dissimulation and an irreconcilable spirit of vengeance, on the destruction of his opponents. He had been wounded in every point in which a ruler is open to offence; for the leaders of the barons, though related to him by marriage, were yet the allies of his foreign enemies. Extreme measures became part of his daily policy. The means for this struggle with his barons, and for his external wars, were exacted in the same Mohammedan fashion which Frederick II had introduced: the Government alone dealt in oil and corn; the whole commerce of the country was put by Ferrante into the hands of a wealthy merchant, Francesco Coppola, who had entire control of the anchorage on the coast, and shared the profits with the King. Deficits were made up by forced loans, by executions and confiscations, by open simony, and by contributions levied on the ecclesiastical corporations. Besides hunting, which he practised regardless of all rights of property, his pleasures were of two kinds: he liked to have his opponents near him, either alive in well-guarded prisons, or dead and embalmed, dressed in the costume which they wore in their lifetime.⁶⁴ He would chuckle in talking of the captives with his friends, and made no secret whatever of the museum of mummies. His victims were mostly men whom he had got into his power by treachery; some were even seized while guests at the royal table. His conduct to his first minister, Antonello Petrucci, who had grown sick and grey in his service, and from whose increasing fear of death he extorted present after present, was literally devilish. At length the suspicion of complicity with the last conspiracy of the barons gave the pretext for his arrest and execution. With him died Coppola. The way in which all this is narrated in Caracciolo and Porzio makes one's hair stand on end. The elder of the King's sons, Alfonso, Duke of Calabria, enjoyed in later years a kind of co-regency with his father. He was a savage, brutal profligate, who in point of frankness alone had the advantage of Ferrante, and who openly avowed his contempt for religion and its usages. The better and nobler features of the Italian despotisms

His extreme
measures

Alfonso of
Calabria

are not to be found among the princes of this line; all that they possessed of the art and culture of their time served the purposes of luxury or display. Even the genuine Spaniards seem to have almost always degenerated in Italy; but the end of this cross-bred house (1494 and 1503) gives clear proof of a want of blood. Ferrante died of mental care and trouble; Alfonso accused his brother Federigo, the only honest member of the family, of treason, and insulted him in the vilest manner. At length, though he had hitherto passed for one of the ablest generals in Italy, he lost his head and fled to Sicily, leaving his son, the younger Ferrante, a prey to the French and to domestic treason. A dynasty which had ruled as this had done must at least have sold its life dear, if its children were ever to hope for a restoration. But, as Comines one-sidedly, and yet on the whole rightly observes on this occasion, '*Jamais homme cruel ne fut hardi.*'

*

The despotism of the Dukes of Milan, whose government from the time of Giangaleazzo onwards was an absolute monarchy of the most thorough-going sort, shows the genuine Italian character of the fifteenth century. The last of the Visconti, Filippo Maria (1412—1447), is a character of peculiar interest, and of which fortunately an admirable description⁶⁵ has been left us. What a man of uncommon gifts and high position can be made by the passion of fear, is here shown with what may be called a mathematical completeness. All the resources of the State were devoted to the one end of securing his personal safety, though happily his cruel egotism did not degenerate into a purposeless thirst for blood. He lived in the Citadel of Milan, surrounded by magnificent gardens, arbours, and lawns. For years he never set foot in the city, making his excursions only in the country, where lay several of his splendid castles; the flotilla which, drawn by the swiftest horses, conducted him to them along canals constructed for the purpose, was so arranged as to allow of the application of the most rigorous etiquette. Whoever entered the citadel was watched by a hundred eyes; it was forbidden even to stand at the window, lest signs should be given to those without. All who were admitted among the personal followers of the Prince were subjected to a series of the strictest examinations; then, once accepted, were charged with the highest diplomatic commissions, as well as with the humblest personal services—both in this Court being alike honourable. And this was the man who conducted long and difficult wars, who dealt habitually with political affairs of the first importance, and every day sent his plenipotentiaries to all parts of Italy. His safety lay in the fact that none of his servants trusted the others, that his Condottieri were watched and misled by spies, and that the ambassadors and higher officials were baffled and kept apart by

The last
Visconti

artificially nourished jealousies, and in particular by the device of coupling an honest man with a knave. His inward faith, too, rested upon opposed and contradictory systems; he believed in blind necessity, and in the influence of the stars, and offering prayers at one and the same time to helpers of every sort; he was a student of the ancient authors, as well as of French tales of chivalry. And yet the same man, who would never suffer death to be mentioned in his presence,⁶⁶ and caused his dying favourites to be removed from the castle, that no shadow might fall on the abode of happiness, deliberately hastened his own death by closing up a wound, and, refusing to be bled, died at last with dignity and grace.

Francesco
Sforza
Pl. 41

His son-in-law and successor, the fortunate Condottiere Francesco Sforza (1450—1466, see p. 12), was perhaps of all the Italians of the fifteenth century the man most after the heart of his age. Never was the triumph of genius and individual power more brilliantly displayed than in him; and those who would not recognize his merit were at least forced to wonder at him as the spoilt child of fortune. The Milanese claimed it openly as an honour to be governed by so distinguished a master; when he entered the city the thronging populace bore him on horseback into the cathedral, without giving him the chance to dismount.⁶⁷ Let us listen to the balance-sheet of his life, in the estimate of Pope Pius II, a judge in such matters:⁶⁸ 'In the year 1459, when the Duke came to the congress at Mantua, he was 60 (really 58) years old; on horseback he looked like a young man; of a lofty and imposing figure, with serious features, calm and affable in conversation, princely in his whole bearing, with a combination of bodily and intellectual gifts unrivalled in our time, unconquered on the field of battle—such was the man who raised himself from a humble position to the control of an empire. His wife was beautiful and virtuous, his children were like the angels of heaven; he was seldom ill, and all his chief wishes were fulfilled. And yet he was not without misfortune. His wife, out of jealousy, killed his mistress; his old comrades and friends, Troilo and Brunoro, abandoned him and went over to King Alfonso; another, Ciarpollone, he was forced to hang for treason; he had to suffer it that his brother Alessandro set the French upon him; one of his sons formed intrigues against him, and was imprisoned; the March of Ancona, which he had won in war, he lost again in the same way. No man enjoys so unclouded a fortune, that he has not somewhere to struggle with adversity. He is happy who has but few troubles.' With this negative definition of happiness the learned Pope dismisses the reader. Had he been able to see into the future, or been willing to stop and discuss the consequences of an uncontrolled despotism, one pervading fact would not have escaped his notice—the absence of all guarantee for the future. Those children, beautiful as angels, carefully and thoroughly educated as they were, fell victims, when

His good
fortune

they grew up, to the corruption of a measureless egotism. Galeazzo Maria (1466—1476), solicitous only of outward effect, took pride in the beauty of his hands, in the high salaries he paid, in the financial credit he enjoyed, in his treasure of two million pieces of gold, in the distinguished people who surrounded him, and in the army and birds of chase which he maintained. He was fond of the sound of his own voice, and spoke well, most fluently, perhaps, when he had the chance of insulting a Venetian ambassador.⁶⁹ He was subject to caprices, such as having a room painted with figures in a single night; and, what was worse, to fits of senseless debauchery and of revolting cruelty to his nearest friends. To a handful of enthusiasts, he seemed a tyrant too bad to live; they murdered him, and thereby delivered the State into the power of his brothers, one of whom, Lodovico il Moro, threw his nephew into prison, and took the government into his own hands. From this usurpation followed the French intervention, and the disasters which befell the whole of Italy.

Galeazzo
Maria
Pl. 23

The Moor is the most perfect type of the despot of that age, and, as a kind of natural product, almost disarms our moral judgement. Notwithstanding the profound immorality of the means he employed, he used them with perfect ingenuousness; no one would probably have been more astonished than himself to learn that for the choice of means as well as of ends a human being is morally responsible; he would rather have reckoned it as a singular virtue that, so far as possible, he had abstained from too free a use of the punishment of death. He accepted as no more than his due the almost fabulous respect of the Italians for his political genius.⁷⁰ In 1496 he boasted that the Pope Alexander was his chaplain, the Emperor Maximilian his Condottiere, Venice his chamberlain, and the King of France his courier, who must come and go at his bidding.⁷¹ With marvellous presence of mind he weighed, even in his last extremity (1499), all possible means of escape, and at length decided, to his honour, to trust to the goodness of human nature; he rejected the proposal of his brother, the Cardinal Ascanio, who wished to remain in the Citadel of Milan, on the ground of a former quarrel: 'Monsignore, take it not ill, but I trust you not, brother though you be'; and appointed to the command of the castle, 'that pledge of his return,' a man to whom he had always done good, but who nevertheless betrayed him.⁷² At home the Moor was a good and useful ruler, and to the last he reckoned on his popularity both in Milan and in Como. In later years (after 1496) he had overstrained the resources of his State, and at Cremona had ordered, out of pure expediency, a respectable citizen, who had spoken against the new taxes, to be quietly strangled. Since that time, in holding audiences, he kept his visitors away from his person by means of a bar, so that in conversing with him they were compelled to speak at the top of their voices.⁷³ At his court, the

Lodovico
il Moro
Pls. 24—27

Internal
Government

most brilliant in Europe, since that of Burgundy had ceased to exist, immorality of the worst kind was prevalent: the daughter was sold by the father, the wife by the husband, the sister by the brother.⁷⁴ The Prince himself was incessantly active, and, as son of his own deeds, claimed relationship with all who, like himself, stood on their personal merits—with scholars, poets, artists, and musicians. The academy which he founded⁷⁵ served rather for his own purposes than for the instruction of scholars; nor was it the fame of the distinguished men who surrounded him which he heeded, so much as their society and their services. It is certain that Bramante was scantily paid at first;⁷⁶ Leonardo, on the other hand, was up to 1496 suitably remunerated—and besides, what kept him at the court, if not his own free will? The world lay open to him, as perhaps to no other mortal man of that day; and if proof were wanting of the loftier element in the nature of Lodovico il Moro, it is found in the long stay of the enigmatic master at his court. That afterwards Leonardo entered the service of Cæsar Borgia and Francis I was probably due to the interest he felt in the unusual and striking character of the two men.

Pl. 408

The last
Sforza
Pl. 32

After the fall of the Moor, his sons were badly brought up among strangers. The elder, Massimiliano, had no resemblance to him; the younger, Francesco, was at all events not without spirit. Milan, which in those years changed its rulers so often, and suffered so unspeakably in the change, endeavoured to secure itself against a reaction. In the year 1512 the French, retreating before the arms of Maximilian and the Spaniards, were induced to make a declaration that the Milanese had taken no part in their expulsion, and, without being guilty of rebellion, might yield themselves to a new conqueror.⁷⁷ It is a fact of some political importance that in such moments of transition the unhappy city, like Naples at the flight of the Aragonese, was apt to fall a prey to gangs of (often highly aristocratic) scoundrels.

The Gonzaga
of Mantua
Pl. 35

The house of Gonzaga at Mantua and that of Montefeltro of Urbino were among the best ordered and richest in men of ability during the second half of the fifteenth century. The Gonzaga were a tolerably harmonious family; for a long period no murder had been known among them, and their dead could be shown to the world without fear. The Marquis Francesco Gonzaga⁷⁸ and his wife, Isabella of Este, in spite of some few irregularities, were a united and respectable couple, and brought up their sons to be successful and remarkable men at a time when their small but most important State was exposed to incessant danger. That Francesco, either as statesman or as soldier, should adopt a policy of exceptional honesty, was what neither the Emperor, nor Venice, nor the King of France could have expected or desired; but certainly since the battle of the Taro (1495), so far as military honour was concerned, he felt and acted as an Italian patriot, and imparted the same

Pls. 39, 18, 20

spirit to his wife. Every deed of loyalty and heroism, such as the defence of Faenza against Cæsar Borgia, she felt as a vindication of the honour of Italy. Our judgement of her does not need to rest on the praises of the artists and writers who made the fair princess a rich return for her patronage; her own letters show her to us as a woman of unshaken firmness, full of kindness and humorous observation. Bembo, Bandello, Ariosto, and Bernardo Tasso sent their works to this court, small and powerless as it was, and empty as they found its treasury. A more polished and charming circle was not to be seen in Italy, since the dissolution (1508) of the old Court of Urbino; and in one respect, in freedom of movement, the society of Ferrara was inferior to that of Mantua. In artistic matters Isabella had an accurate knowledge, and the catalogue of her small but choice collection can be read by no lover of art without emotion.

Pls. 246, 252

In the great Federigo (1444—1482), whether he were a genuine Montefeltro or not, Urbino possessed a brilliant representative of the princely order. As a Condottiere he shared the political morality of soldiers of fortune, a morality of which the fault does not rest with them alone; as ruler of his little territory he adopted the plan of spending at home the money he had earned abroad, and taxing his people as lightly as possible. Of him and his two successors, Guidobaldo and Francesco Maria, we read: 'They erected buildings, furthered the cultivation of the land, lived at home, and gave employment to a large number of people: their subjects loved them.'⁷⁹ But not only the state, but the court too, was a work of art and organization, and this in every sense of the word. Federigo had 500 persons in his service; the arrangements of the court were as complete as in the capitals of the greatest monarchs, but nothing was wasted; all had its object, and all was carefully watched and controlled. The court was no scene of vice and dissipation: it served as a school of military education for the sons of other great houses, the thoroughness of whose culture and instruction was made a point of honour by the Duke. The palace which he built, if not one of the most splendid, was classical in the perfection of its plan; there was placed the greatest of his treasures, the celebrated library. Feeling secure in a land where all gained profit or employment from his rule, and where none were beggars, he habitually went unarmed and almost unaccompanied; alone among the princes of his time he ventured to walk in an open park, and to take his frugal meals in an open chamber, while Livy, or in time of fasting some devotional work, was read to him. In the course of the same afternoon he would listen to a lecture on some classical subject, and thence would go to the monastery of the Clarisses and talk of sacred things through the grating with the abbess. In the evening he would overlook the martial exercises of the young people of his court on the meadow of San Francesco, known for

Federigo
of Urbino
Pl. 56

Pl. 59

The model
Court

its magnificent view, and saw to it well that all the feats were done in the most perfect manner. He strove always to be affable and accessible to the utmost degree, visiting the artisans who worked for him in their shops, holding frequent audiences, and, if possible, attending to the requests of each individual on the same day that they were presented. No wonder that the people, as he walked along the street, knelt down and cried: 'Dio ti mantenga, signore!' He was called by thinking people 'the light of Italy.'⁸⁰ His gifted son Guidobaldo, visited by sickness and misfortune of every kind, was able at the last (1508) to give his state into the safe hands of his nephew Francesco Maria (nephew also of Pope Julius II), who, at least, succeeded in preserving the territory from any permanent foreign occupation. It is remarkable with what confidence Guidobaldo yielded and fled before Cæsar Borgia and Francesco before the troops of Leo X; each knew that his restoration would be all the easier and the more popular the less the country suffered through a fruitless defence. When Lodovico made the same calculation at Milan, he forgot the many grounds of hatred which existed against him. The court of Guidobaldo has been made immortal as the high school of polished manners by Baldassare Castiglione, who represented his eclogue *Thyrsis* before, and in honour of that society (1506), and who afterwards (1518) laid the scene of the dialogue of his 'Cortigiano' in the circle of the accomplished Duchess Elisabetta Gonzaga.

Guidobaldo

Pl. 83

Pl. 248

Pl. 60

The Este in
Ferrara
Family
tragedies
Pl. 2

The government of the family of Este at Ferrara, Modena, and Reggio displays curious contrasts of violence and popularity.⁸¹ Within the palace frightful deeds were perpetrated; a princess was beheaded (1425) for alleged adultery with a step-son; legitimate and illegitimate children fled from the court, and even abroad their lives were threatened by assassins sent in pursuit of them (1471). Plots from without were incessant; the bastard of a bastard tried to wrest the crown from the lawful heir, Hercules I: this latter is said afterwards (1493) to have poisoned his wife on discovering that she, at the instigation of her brother Ferrante of Naples, was going to poison him. This list of tragedies is closed by the plot of two bastards against their brothers, the ruling Duke Alfonso I and the Cardinal Ippolito (1506), which was discovered in time, and punished with imprisonment for life. The financial system in this State was of the most perfect kind, and necessarily so, since none of the large or second-rate powers of Italy were exposed to such danger and stood in such constant need of armaments and fortifications. It was the hope of the rulers that the increasing prosperity of the people would keep pace with the increasing weight of taxation, and the Marquis Niccolò (d. 1441) used to express the wish that his subjects might be richer than the people of other countries. If the rapid increase of the population be a measure of the prosperity actually attained, it is certainly a fact of importance that in

Finance

the year 1497, notwithstanding the wonderful extension of the capital, no houses were to be let.⁸² Ferrara is the first really modern city in Europe; large and well-built quarters sprang up at the bidding of the ruler: here, by the concentration of the official classes and the active promotion of trade, was formed for the first time a true capital; wealthy fugitives from all parts of Italy, Florentines especially, settled and built their palaces at Ferrara. But the indirect taxation, at all events, must have reached a point at which it could only just be borne. The Government, it is true, took measures of alleviation which were also adopted by other Italian despots, such as Galeazzo Maria Sforza: in time of famine corn was brought from a distance and seems to have been distributed gratuitously;⁸³ but in ordinary times it compensated itself by the monopoly, if not of corn, of many other of the necessaries of life—fish, salt, meat, fruit, and vegetables, which last were carefully planted on and near the walls of the city. The most considerable source of income, however, was the annual sale of public offices, a usage which was common throughout Italy, and about the working of which at Ferrara we have more precise information. We read, for example, that at the new year 1502 the majority of the officials bought their places at ‘prezzi salati’; public servants of the most various kinds, custom-house officers, bailiffs (massari), notaries, ‘podestà,’ judges, and even captains, *i.e.* lieutenant-governors of provincial towns, are quoted by name. As one of the ‘devourers of the people’ who paid dearly for their places, and who were ‘hated worse than the devil,’ Tito Strozza—let us hope not the famous Latin poet—is mentioned. About the same time every year the dukes were accustomed to make a round of visits in Ferrara, the so called ‘andar per ventura,’ in which they took presents from, at any rate, the more wealthy citizens. The gifts, however, did not consist of money, but of natural products.

Sale of
offices

It was the pride of the duke⁸⁴ for all Italy to know that at Ferrara the soldiers received their pay and the professors of the University their salary not a day later than it was due; that the soldiers never dared lay arbitrary hands on citizen or peasant; that the town was impregnable to assault; and that vast sums of coined money were stored up in the citadel. To keep two sets of accounts seemed unnecessary; the Minister of Finance was at the same time manager of the ducal household. The buildings erected by Borso (1430—1471), by Hercules I (till 1505), and by Alfonso I (till 1534), were very numerous, but of small size: they are characteristic of a princely house which, with all its love of splendour—Borso never appeared but in embroidery and jewels—indulged in no ill-considered expense. Alfonso may perhaps have foreseen the fate which was in store for his charming little villas, the Belvedere with its shady gardens, and Montana with its fountains and beautiful frescoes.

Order and
Punctuality

Pls. 13—15,
356

Development
of
Personality

It is undeniable that the dangers to which these princes were constantly

exposed developed in them capacities of a remarkable kind. In so artificial a world only a man of consummate address could hope to succeed; each candidate for distinction was forced to make good his claims by personal merit and show himself worthy of the crown he sought. Their characters are not without dark sides; but in all of them lives something of those qualities which Italy then pursued as its ideal. What European monarch of the time so laboured for his own culture as, for instance, Alfonso I? His travels in France, England, and the Netherlands were undertaken for the purpose of study: by means of them he gained an accurate knowledge of the industry and commerce of these countries.⁸⁵ It is ridiculous to reproach him with the turner's work which he practised in his leisure hours, connected as it was with his skill in the casting of cannon, and with the unprejudiced freedom with which he surrounded himself by masters of every art. The Italian princes were not, like their contemporaries in the North, dependent on the society of an aristocracy which held itself to be the only class worth consideration, and which infected the monarch with the same conceit. In Italy the prince was permitted and compelled to know and to use men of every grade in society; and the nobility, though by birth a caste, were forced in social intercourse to stand upon their personal qualifications alone. But this is a point which we shall discuss more fully in the sequel.

Pl. 15

Pls. 92, 404

Loyalty

The feeling of the Ferrarese towards the ruling house was a strange compound of silent dread, of the truly Italian sense of well-calculated interest, and of the loyalty of the modern subject: personal admiration was transformed into a new sentiment of duty. The city of Ferrara raised in 1451 a bronze equestrian statue to their Prince Niccolò, who had died ten years earlier; Borso (1454) did not scruple to place his own statue, also of bronze, but in a sitting posture, hard by in the market; in addition to which the city, at the beginning of his reign, decreed to him a 'marble triumphal pillar.' A citizen, who, when abroad in Venice, had spoken ill of Borso in public, was informed against on his return home, and condemned to banishment and the confiscation of his goods; a loyal subject was with difficulty restrained from cutting him down before the tribunal itself, and with a rope round his neck the offender went to the duke and begged for a full pardon. The government was well provided with spies, and the duke inspected personally the daily list of travellers which the innkeepers were strictly ordered to present. Under Borso,⁸⁶ who was anxious to leave no distinguished stranger unhonoured, this regulation served a hospitable purpose; Hercules I⁸⁷ used it simply as a measure of precaution. In Bologna, too, it was then the rule, under Giovanni II Bentivoglio, that every passing traveller who entered at one gate must obtain a ticket in order to go out at another.⁸⁸ An unfailling means of popularity was the sudden dismissal of oppressive officials. When

Police and
Control of
officials

Borso arrested in person his chief and confidential counsellors, when Hercules I removed and disgraced a tax-gatherer, who for years had been sucking the blood of the people, bonfires were lighted and the bells were pealed in their honour. With one of his servants, however, Hercules let things go too far. The director of the police, or by whatever name we should choose to call him (*Capitano di Giustizia*), was Gregorio Zampante of Lucca—a native being unsuited for an office of this kind. Even the sons and brothers of the duke trembled before this man; the fines he inflicted amounted to hundreds and thousands of ducats, and torture was applied even before the hearing of a case: bribes were accepted from wealthy criminals, and their pardon obtained from the duke by false representations. Gladly would the people have paid any sum to this ruler for sending away the ‘enemy of God and man.’ But Hercules had knighted him and made him godfather to his children; and year by year Zampante laid by 2,000 ducats. He dared only eat pigeons bred in his own house, and could not cross the street without a band of archers and bravos. It was time to get rid of him; in 1496 two students and a converted Jew whom he had mortally offended, killed him in his house while taking his siesta, and then rode through the town on horses held in waiting, raising the cry, ‘Come out! come out! we have slain Zampante!’ The pursuers came too late, and found them already safe across the frontier. Of course it now rained satires—some of them in the form of sonnets, others of odes.

It was wholly in the spirit of this system that the sovereign imposed his own respect for useful servants on the court and on the people. When in 1469 Borso’s privy councillor Lodovico Casella died, no court of law or place of business in the city, and no lecture-room at the University, was allowed to be open: all had to follow the body to San Domenico, since the duke intended to be present. And, in fact, ‘the first of the house of Este who attended the corpse of a subject’ walked, clad in black, after the coffin, weeping, while behind him came the relatives of Casella, each conducted by one of the gentlemen of the Court: the body of the plain citizen was carried by nobles from the church into the cloister, where it was buried. Indeed this official sympathy with princely emotion first came up in the Italian States.⁸⁹ At the root of the practice may be a beautiful, humane sentiment; the utterance of it, especially in the poets, is, as a rule, of equivocal sincerity. One of the youthful poems of Ariosto,⁹⁰ on the Death of Leonora of Aragon, wife of Hercules I, contains besides the inevitable graveyard flowers, which are scattered in the elegies of all ages, some thoroughly modern features: ‘This death had given Ferrara a blow which it would not get over for years: its benefactress was now its advocate in heaven, since earth was not worthy of her; truly, the angel of Death did not come to her, as to us

The populace sympathize with the Prince in his grief

Celebration
of princely
love-affairs

common mortals, with blood-stained scythe, but fair to behold (*onesta*), and with so kind a face that every fear was allayed.' But we meet, also, with a sympathy of a different kind. Novelists, depending wholly on the favour of their patrons, tell us the love-stories of the prince, even before his death,⁹¹ in a way which, to later times, would seem the height of indiscretion, but which then passed simply as an innocent compliment. Lyrical poets even went so far as to sing the illicit flames of their lawfully married lords, e.g. Angelo Poliziano, those of Lorenzo the Magnificent, and Gioviano Pontano, with a singular gusto, those of Alfonso of Calabria. The poem in question⁹² betrays unconsciously the odious disposition of the Aragonese ruler; in these things too, he must needs be the most fortunate, else woe be to those who are more successful! That the greatest artists, for example Leonardo, should paint the mistresses of their patrons was no more than a matter of course.

Pl. 227
Pl. 230

Pomp of
the Este

But the house of Este was not satisfied with the praises of others; it undertook to celebrate them itself. In the Palazzo Schifanoia Borso caused himself to be painted in a series of historical representations, and Hercules (from 1472 on) kept the anniversary of his accession to the throne by a procession which was compared to the feast of Corpus Christi; shops were closed as on Sunday; in the centre of the line walked all the members of the princely house (bastards included) clad in embroidered robes. That the crown was the fountain of honour and authority, that all personal distinction flowed from it alone, had been long⁹³ expressed at this court by the Order of the Golden Spur—an order which had nothing in common with mediæval chivalry. Hercules I added to the spur a sword, a gold-laced mantle, and a grant of money, in return for which there is no doubt that regular service was required.

Patronage

The patronage of art and letters for which this court has obtained a world-wide reputation, was exercised through the University, which was one of the most perfect in Italy, and by the gift of places in the personal or official service of the prince; it involved consequently no additional expense. Boiardo, as a wealthy country gentleman and high official, belonged to this class. At the time when Ariosto began to distinguish himself, there existed no court, in the true sense of the word, either at Milan or Florence, and soon there was none either at Urbino or at Naples. He had to content himself with a place among the musicians and jugglers of Cardinal Ippolito till Alfonso took him into his service. It was otherwise at a later time with Torquato Tasso, whose presence at court was jealously sought after.

Pl. 252

Pls. 357, 358

∗

Remains of
the old
parties

In face of this centralized authority, all legal opposition within the borders of the state was futile. The elements needed for the restoration of a republic

had been for ever destroyed, and the field prepared for violence and despotism. The nobles, destitute of political rights, even where they held feudal possessions, might call themselves Guelphs or Ghibellines at will, might dress up their bravos in padded hose and feathered caps⁹⁴ or how else they pleased; thoughtful men like Machiavelli⁹⁵ knew well enough that Milan and Naples were too 'corrupt' for a republic. Strange judgements fall on these two so-called parties, which now served only to give an official sanction to personal and family disputes. An Italian prince, whom Agrippa of Nettesheim⁹⁶ advised to put them down, replied that their quarrels brought him in more than 12,000 ducats a year in fines. And when in the year 1500, during the brief return of Lodovico il Moro to his States, the Guelphs of Tortona summoned a part of the neighbouring French army into the city, in order to make an end once for all of their opponents, the French certainly began by plundering and ruining the Ghibellines, but finished by doing the same to the Guelphs, till Tortona was utterly laid waste.⁹⁷ In Romagna, the hotbed of every ferocious passion, these two names had long lost all political meaning. It was a sign of the political delusion of the people that they not seldom believed the Guelphs to be the natural allies of the French and the Ghibellines of the Spaniards. It is hard to see that those who tried to profit by this error got much by doing so. France, after all her interventions, had to abandon the peninsula at last, and what became of Spain, after she had destroyed Italy, is known to every reader.

Pl. 234

But to return to the despots of the Renaissance. A pure and simple mind, we might think, would perhaps have argued that, since all power is derived from God, these princes, if they were loyally and honestly supported by all their subjects, must in time themselves improve and lose all traces of their violent origin. But from characters and imaginations inflamed by passion and ambition, reasoning of this kind could not be expected. Like bad physicians, they thought to cure the disease by removing the symptoms, and fancied that if the tyrant were put to death, freedom would follow of itself. Or else, without reflecting even to this extent, they sought only to give a vent to the universal hatred, or to take vengeance for some family misfortune or personal affront. Since the governments were absolute, and free from all legal restraints, the opposition chose its weapons with equal freedom. Boccaccio declares openly:⁹⁸ 'Shall I call the tyrant king or prince, and obey him loyally as my lord? No, for he is the enemy of the commonwealth. Against him I may use arms, conspiracies, spies, ambushes and fraud; to do so is a sacred and necessary work. There is no more acceptable sacrifice than the blood of a tyrant.' We need not occupy ourselves with individual cases; Machiavelli,⁹⁹ in a famous chapter of his 'Discorsi,' treats of the conspiracies of ancient and modern times from the days of the Greek tyrants downwards,

Conspiracies

Murders in
Churches

and classifies them with cold-blooded indifference according to their various plans and results. We need make but two observations, first on the murders committed in church, and next on the influence of classical antiquity. So well was the tyrant guarded that it was almost impossible to lay hands upon him elsewhere than at solemn religious services; and on no other occasion was the whole family to be found assembled together. It was thus that the Fabrianese¹⁰⁰ murdered (1435) the members of their ruling house, the Chia-velli, during high mass, the signal being given by the words of the Creed, 'Et incarnatus est.' At Milan the Duke Giovan Maria Visconti (1412) was assassinated at the entrance of the church of San Gottardo, Galeazzo Maria Sforza (1476) in the church of Santo Stefano, and Lodovico il Moro only escaped (1484) the daggers of the adherents of the widowed Duchess Bona, through entering the church of Sant' Ambrogio by another door than that by which he was expected. There was no intentional impiety in the act; the assassins of Galeazzo did not fail to pray before the murder to the patron saint of the church, and to listen devoutly to the first mass. It was, however, one cause of the partial failure of the conspiracy of the Pazzi against Lorenzo and Giuliano Medici (1478), that the brigand Montesecco, who had bargained to commit the murder at a banquet, declined to undertake it in the Cathedral of Florence. Certain of the clergy 'who were familiar with the sacred place, and consequently had no fear' were induced to act in his stead.¹⁰¹

Influence of
Antiquity

As to the imitation of antiquity, the influence of which on moral, and more especially on political, questions we shall often refer to, the example was set by the rulers themselves, who, both in their conception of the state and in their personal conduct, took the old Roman empire avowedly as their model. In like manner their opponents, when they set to work with a deliberate theory, took pattern by the ancient tyrannicides. It may be hard to prove that in the main point—in forming the resolve itself—they consciously followed a classical example; but the appeal to antiquity was no mere phrase. The most striking disclosures have been left us with respect to the murderers of Galeazzo Sforza—Lampugnani, Olgiati, and Visconti.¹⁰² Though all three had personal ends to serve, yet their enterprise may be partly ascribed to a more general reason. About this time Cola de' Montani, a humanist and professor of eloquence, had awakened among many of the young Milanese nobility a vague passion for glory and patriotic achievements, and had mentioned to Lampugnani and Olgiati his hope of delivering Milan. Suspicion was soon aroused against him: he was banished from the city, and his pupils were abandoned to the fanaticism he had excited. Some ten days before the deed they met together and took a solemn oath in the monastery of Sant' Ambrogio. 'Then,' says Olgiati, 'in a remote corner I raised my eyes before the picture of the patron saint, and implored his help for ourselves

The Patron
Saint

and for all *his* people.' The heavenly protector of the city was called on to bless the undertaking, as was afterwards St. Stephen, in whose church it was fulfilled. Many of their comrades were now informed of the plot, nightly meetings were held in the house of Lampugnani, and the conspirators practised for the murder with the sheaths of their daggers. The attempt was successful, but Lampugnani was killed on the spot by the attendants of the duke; the others were captured: Visconti was penitent, but Olgiati through all his tortures maintained that the deed was an acceptable offering to God, and exclaimed while the executioner was breaking his ribs, 'Courage, Girolamo! thou wilt long be remembered; death is bitter, but glory is eternal.'

But however idealistic the object and purpose of such conspiracies may appear, the manner in which they were conducted betrays the influence of that worst of all conspirators, Catiline—a man in whose thoughts freedom had no place whatever. The annals of Siena tells us expressly that the conspirators were students of Sallust, and the fact is indirectly confirmed by the confession of Olgiati.¹⁰³ Elsewhere, too, we meet with the name of Catiline, and a more attractive pattern of the conspirator, apart from the end he followed, could hardly be discovered.

Among the Florentines, whenever they got rid of, or tried to get rid of, the Medici, tyrannicide was a practice universally accepted and approved. After the flight of the Medici in 1494, the bronze group of Donatello¹⁰⁴—Judith with the dead Holofernes—was taken from their collection and placed before the Palazzo della Signoria, on the spot where the 'David' of Michelangelo now stands, with the inscription, 'Exemplum salutis publicæ cives posuere 1495.' No example was more popular than that of the younger Brutus, who, in Dante,¹⁰⁵ lies with Cassius and Judas Iscariot in the lowest pit of hell, because of his treason to the empire. Pietro Paolo Boscoli, whose plot against Giuliano, Giovanni, and Giulio Medici failed (1513), was an enthusiastic admirer of Brutus, and in order to follow his steps, only waited to find a Cassius. Such a partner he met with in Agostino Capponi. His last utterances in prison¹⁰⁶—a striking evidence of the religious feeling of the time—show with what an effort he rid his mind of these classical imaginations, in order to die like a Christian. A friend and the confessor both had to assure him that St. Thomas Aquinas condemned conspirators absolutely; but the confessor afterwards admitted to the same friend that St. Thomas drew a distinction and permitted conspiracies against a tyrant who had forced himself on a people against their will. After Lorenzino Medici had murdered the Duke Alessandro (1537), and then escaped, an apology for the deed appeared,¹⁰⁷ which is probably his own work, and certainly composed in his interest, and in which he praises tyrannicide as an act of the highest merit; on the supposition that Alessandro was a legitimate Medici, and, therefore,

Imitators of
Catiline

Florence and
the Tyrants

Pl. 62

related to him, if only distantly, he boldly compares himself with Timoleon, who slew his brother for his country's sake. Others, on the same occasion, made use of the comparison with Brutus, and that Michelangelo himself, even late in life, was not unfriendly to ideas of this kind, may be inferred from his bust of Brutus in the Bargello. He left it unfinished, like nearly all his works, but certainly not because the murder of Cæsar was repugnant to his feeling, as the couplet beneath declares.

Pl. 263

The populace
and the con-
spirators

A popular radicalism in the form in which it is opposed to the monarchies of later times, is not to be found in the despotic states of the Renaissance. Each individual protested inwardly against despotism, but was rather disposed to make tolerable or profitable terms with it, than to combine with others for its destruction. Things must have been as bad as at Camerino, Fabriano, or Rimini (p. 15), before the citizens united to destroy or expel the ruling house. They knew in most cases only too well that this would but mean a change of masters. The star of the Republics was certainly on the decline.

*

Decline of the
free Cities

The Italian municipalities had, in earlier days, given signal proof of that force which transforms the city into the state. It remained only that these cities should combine in a great confederation; and this idea was constantly recurring to Italian statesmen, whatever differences of form it might from time to time display. In fact, during the struggles of the twelfth and thirteenth centuries, great and formidable leagues actually were formed by the cities; and Sismondi (ii. 174) is of opinion that the time of the final armaments of the Lombard confederation against Barbarossa (from 1168 on) was the moment when a universal Italian league was possible. But the more powerful states had already developed characteristic features which made any such scheme impracticable. In their commercial dealings they shrank from no measures, however extreme, which might damage their competitors; they held their weaker neighbours in a condition of helpless dependence—in short, they each fancied they could get on by themselves without the assistance of the rest, and thus paved the way for future usurpation. The usurper was forthcoming when long conflicts between the nobility and the people, and between the different factions of the nobility, had awakened the desire for a strong government, and when bands of mercenaries ready and willing to sell their aid to the highest bidder had superseded the general levy of the citizens which party leaders now found unsuited to their purposes.¹⁰⁸ The tyrants destroyed the freedom of most of the cities; here and there they were expelled, but not thoroughly, or only for a short time; and they were always restored, since the inward conditions were favourable to them, and the opposing forces were exhausted.

Among the cities which maintained their independence are two of deep significance for the history of the human race: Florence, the city of incessant movement, which has left us a record of the thoughts and aspirations of each and all who, for three centuries, took part in this movement, and Venice, the city of apparent stagnation and of political secrecy. No contrast can be imagined stronger than that which is offered us by these two, and neither can be compared to anything else which the world has hitherto produced.

Venice recognized itself from the first as a strange and mysterious creation—the fruits of a higher power than human ingenuity. The solemn foundation of the city was the subject of a legend. On March 25, 413, at midday the emigrants from Padua laid the first stone at the Rialto, that they might have a sacred, inviolable asylum amid the devastations of the barbarians. Later writers attributed to the founders the presentiment of the future greatness of the city; M. Antonio Sabellico, who has celebrated the event in the dignified flow of his hexameters, makes the priest, who completes the act of consecration, cry to heaven, ‘When we hereafter attempt great things, grant us prosperity! Now we kneel before a poor altar; but if our vows are not made in vain, a hundred temples, O God, of gold and marble shall arise to Thee.’¹⁰⁹ The island city at the end of the fifteenth century was the jewel-casket of the world. It is so described by the same Sabellico,¹¹⁰ with its ancient cupolas, its leaning towers, its inlaid marble façades, its compressed splendour, where the richest decoration did not hinder the practical employment of every corner of space. He takes us to the crowded Piazza before San Giacomo at the Rialto, where the business of the world is transacted, not amid shouting and confusion, but with the subdued hum of many voices; where in the porticoes round the square¹¹¹ and in those of the adjoining streets sit hundreds of moneychangers and goldsmiths, with endless rows of shops and warehouses above their heads. He describes the great Fondaco of the Germans beyond the bridge, where their goods and their dwellings lay, and before which their ships are drawn up side by side in the canal; higher up is a whole fleet laden with wine and oil, and parallel with it, on the shore swarming with porters, are the vaults of the merchants; then from the Rialto to the square of St. Mark come the inns and the perfumers’ cabinets. So he conducts the reader from one quarter of the city to another till he comes at last to the two hospitals which were among those institutions of public utility nowhere so numerous as at Venice. Care for the people, in peace as well as in war, was characteristic of this government, and its attention to the wounded, even to those of the enemy, excited the admiration of other states.¹¹² Public institutions of every kind found in Venice their pattern; the pensioning of retired servants was carried out systematically, and included a provision for widows and orphans. Wealth, political security, and

Venice
Pls. 107—122

The City

The
Inhabitants

acquaintance with other countries, had matured the understanding of such questions. These slender fair-haired men, with quiet cautious steps, and deliberate speech, differed but slightly in costume and bearing from one another; ornaments, especially pearls, were reserved for the women and girls. At that time the general prosperity, notwithstanding the losses sustained from the Turks, was still dazzling; the stores of energy which the city possessed and the prejudice in its favour diffused throughout Europe, enabled it at a much later time to survive the heavy blows which were inflicted by the discovery of the sea route to the Indies, by the fall of the Mamelukes in Egypt, and by the war of the League of Cambrai.

The State

Sabellico, born in the neighbourhood of Tivoli, and accustomed to the frank loquacity of the scholars of his day, remarks elsewhere¹¹³ with some astonishment, that the young nobles who came of a morning to hear his lectures could not be prevailed on to enter into political discussions: 'When I ask them what people think, say, and expect about this or that movement in Italy, they all answer with one voice that they know nothing about the matter.' Still, in spite of the strict inquisition of the state, much was to be learned from the more corrupt members of the aristocracy by those who were willing to pay enough for it. In the last quarter of the fifteenth century there were traitors among the highest officials;¹¹⁴ the popes, the Italian princes, and even second-rate Condottieri in the service of the government had informers in their pay, sometimes with regular salaries; things went so far that the Council of Ten found it prudent to conceal important political news from the Council of the Pregadi, and it was even supposed that Lodovico il Moro had control of a definite number of votes among the latter. Whether the hanging of single offenders and the high rewards—such as a life-pension of sixty ducats paid to those who informed against them—were of much avail, it is hard to decide; one of the chief causes of this evil, the poverty of many of the nobility, could not be removed in a day. In the year 1492 a proposal was urged by two of that order, that the state should spend 70,000 ducats for the relief of those poorer nobles who held no public office; the matter was near coming before the Great Council, in which it might have had a majority, when the Council of Ten interfered in time and banished the two proposers for life to Nicosia in Cyprus.¹¹⁵ About this time a Soranzo was hanged, though not at Venice itself, for sacrilege, and a Contarini put in chains for burglary; another of the same family came in 1499 before the Signory, and complained that for many years he had been without an office, that he had only sixteen ducats a year and nine children, that his debts amounted to sixty ducats, that he knew no trade and had lately been turned on to the streets. We can understand why some of the wealthier nobles built houses, sometimes whole rows of them, to provide free lodging

Traitors

for their needy comrades. Such works figure in wills among deeds of charity.¹¹⁶

But if the enemies of Venice ever founded serious hopes upon abuses of this kind, they were greatly in error. It might be thought that the commercial activity of the city, which put within reach of the humblest a rich reward for their labour, and the colonies on the Eastern shores of the Mediterranean, would have diverted from political affairs the dangerous elements of society. But had not the political history of Genoa, notwithstanding similar advantages, been of the stormiest? The cause of the stability of Venice lies rather in a combination of circumstances which were found in union nowhere else. Unassailable from its position, it had been able from the beginning to treat of foreign affairs with the fullest and calmest reflection, and ignore nearly altogether the parties which divided the rest of Italy, to escape the entanglement of permanent alliances, and to set the highest price on those which it thought fit to make. The keynote of the Venetian character was, consequently, a spirit of proud and contemptuous isolation, which, joined to the hatred felt for the city by the other states of Italy, gave rise to a strong sense of solidarity within. The inhabitants meanwhile were united by the most powerful ties of interest in dealing both with the colonies and with the possessions on the mainland, forcing the population of the latter, that is, of all the towns up to Bergamo, to buy and sell in Venice alone. A power which rested on means so artificial could only be maintained by internal harmony and unity; and this conviction was so widely diffused among the citizens that the conspirator found few elements to work upon. And the discontented, if there were such, were held so far apart by the division between the noble and the burgher, that a mutual understanding was not easy. On the other hand, within the ranks of the nobility itself, travel, commercial enterprise, and the incessant wars with the Turks saved the wealthy and dangerous from that fruitful source of conspiracies—idleness. In these wars they were spared, often to a criminal extent, by the general in command, and the fall of the city was predicted by a Venetian Cato, if this fear of the nobles ‘to give one another pain’ should continue at the expense of justice.¹¹⁷ Nevertheless this free movement in the open air gave the Venetian aristocracy, as a whole, a healthy bias.

And when envy and ambition called for satisfaction an official victim was forthcoming, and legal means and authorities were ready. The moral torture, which for years the Doge Francesco Foscari (d. 1457) suffered before the eyes of all Venice, is a frightful example of a vengeance possible only in an aristocracy. The Council of Ten, which had a hand in everything, which disposed without appeal of life and death, of financial affairs and military appointments, which included the Inquisitors among its number, and which over-

The healthy
elements

The Council
of Ten

Pl. 117

threw Foscari, as it had overthrown so many powerful men before—this Council was yearly chosen afresh from the whole governing body, the Gran Consiglio, and was consequently the most direct expression of its will. It is not probable that serious intrigues occurred at these elections, as the short duration of the office and the accountability which followed rendered it an object of no great desire. But violent and mysterious as the proceedings of this and other authorities might be, the genuine Venetian courted rather than fled their sentence, not only because the Republic had long arms, and if it could not catch him might punish his family, but because in most cases it acted from rational motives and not from a thirst for blood.¹¹⁸ No state, indeed, has ever exercised a greater moral influence over its subjects, whether abroad or at home. If traitors were to be found among the Pregadi, there was ample compensation for this in the fact that every Venetian away from home was a born spy for his government. It was a matter of course that the Venetian cardinals at Rome sent home news of the transactions of the secret papal consistories. The Cardinal Domenico Grimani had the dispatches intercepted in the neighbourhood of Rome (1500) which Ascanio Sforza was sending to his brother Lodovico il Moro, and forwarded them to Venice; his father, then exposed to a serious accusation, claimed public credit for this service of his son before the Gran Consiglio; in other words, before all the world.¹¹⁹

Relations
with the
Condottieri
Pl. 70

The conduct of the Venetian government to the Condottieri in its pay has been spoken of already. The only further guarantee of their fidelity which could be obtained lay in their great number, by which treachery was made as difficult as its discovery was easy. In looking at the Venetian army list, one is only surprised that among forces of such miscellaneous composition any common action was possible. In the catalogue for the campaign of 1495 we find 15,526 horsemen, broken up into a number of small divisions.¹²⁰ Gonzaga of Mantua alone had as many as 1,200, and Gioffredo Borgia 740; then follow six officers with a contingent of 600 to 700, ten with 400, twelve with 400 to 200, fourteen or thereabouts with 200 to 100, nine with 80, six with 50 to 60, and so forth. These forces were partly composed of old Venetian troops, partly of veterans led by Venetian city or country nobles; the majority of the leaders were, however, princes and rulers of cities or their relatives. To these forces must be added 24,000 infantry—we are not told how they were raised or commanded—with 3,300 additional troops, who probably belonged to the special services. In time of peace the cities of the mainland were wholly unprotected or occupied by insignificant garrisons. Venice relied, if not exactly on the loyalty, at least on the good sense of its subjects; in the war of the League of Cambrai (1509) it absolved them, as is well known, from their oath of allegiance, and let them compare the ameni-

Foreign
Policy

ties of a foreign occupation with the mild government to which they had been accustomed. As there had been no treason in their desertion of St. Mark, and consequently no punishment was to be feared, they returned to their old masters with the utmost eagerness. This war, we may remark parenthetically, was the result of a century's outcry against the Venetian desire for aggrandizement. The Venetians, in fact, were not free from the mistake of those over-clever people who will credit their opponents with no irrational and inconsiderate conduct.¹²¹ Misled by this optimism, which is, perhaps, a peculiar weakness of aristocracies, they had utterly ignored not only the preparations of Mohammed II for the capture of Constantinople, but even the armaments of Charles VIII, till the unexpected blow fell at last.¹²² The League of Cambrai was an event of the same character, in so far as it was clearly opposed to the interest of the two chief members, Louis XII and Julius II. The hatred of all Italy against the victorious city seemed to be concentrated in the mind of the Pope, and to have blinded him to the evils of foreign intervention; and as to the policy of Cardinal d'Amboise and his king, Venice ought long before to have recognized it as a piece of malicious imbecility, and to have been thoroughly on its guard. The other members of the League took part in it from that envy which may be a salutary corrective to great wealth and power, but which in itself is a beggarly sentiment. Venice came out of the conflict with honour, but not without lasting damage.

A power, whose foundations were so complicated, whose activity and interests filled so wide a stage, cannot be imagined without a systematic oversight of the whole, without a regular estimate of means and burdens, of profits and losses. Venice can fairly make good its claim to be the birthplace of statistical science, together, perhaps, with Florence, and followed by the more enlightened despotisms. The feudal state of the Middle Ages knew of nothing more than catalogues of signorial rights and possessions (*Urbaria*); it looked on production as a fixed quantity, which it approximately is, so long as we have to do with landed property only. The towns, on the other hand, throughout the West must from very early times have treated production, which with them depended on industry and commerce, as exceedingly variable; but, even in the most flourishing times of the Hanseatic League, they never got beyond a simple commercial balance-sheet. Fleets, armies, political power and influence fall under the debit and credit of a trader's ledger. In the Italian States a clear political consciousness, the pattern of Mohammedan administration, and the long and active exercise of trade and commerce, combined to produce for the first time a true science of statistics.¹²³ The absolute monarchy of Frederick II in Lower Italy was organized with the sole object of securing a concentrated power for the death-struggle in which he was engaged. In Venice, on the contrary, the supreme

*Pl. 126**Pl. 132**Pl. 133**Pl. 154*

The birth-
place of
statistics

objects were the enjoyment of life and power, the increase of inherited advantages, the creation of the most lucrative forms of industry, and the opening of new channels for commerce.

Statistics of
population

The writers of the time speak of these things with the greatest freedom.¹²⁴ We learn that the population of the city amounted in the year 1422 to 190,000 souls; the Italians were, perhaps, the first to reckon, not according to hearths, or men able to bear arms, or people able to walk, and so forth, but according to 'anima,' and thus to get the most neutral basis for further calculation. About this time, when the Florentines wished to form an alliance with Venice against Filippo Maria Visconti, they were for the moment refused, in the belief, resting on accurate commercial returns, that a war between Venice and Milan, that is, between seller and buyer, was foolish. Even if the duke simply increased his army, the Milanese, through the heavier taxation they must pay, would become worse customers. 'Better let the Florentines be defeated, and then, used as they are to the life of a free city, they will settle with us and bring their silk and woollen industry with them, as the Lucchese did in their distress.' The speech of the dying Doge Mocenigo (1423) to a few of the senators whom he had sent for to his bedside¹²⁵ is still more remarkable. It contains the chief elements of a statistical account of the whole resources of Venice. I cannot say whether or where a thorough elucidation of this perplexing document exists; by way of illustration, the following facts may be quoted. After repaying a war-loan of four million ducats, the public debt ('il monte') still amounted to six million ducats; the current trade reached (so it seems) ten millions, which yielded, the text informs us, a profit of four millions. The 3,000 'navigli,' the 300 'navi,' and the 45 galleys were manned respectively by 17,000, 8,000, and 11,000 seamen (more than 200 for each galley). To these must be added 16,000 shipwrights. The houses in Venice were valued at seven millions, and brought in a rent of half a million.¹²⁶ There were 1,000 nobles whose income ranged from 70 to 4,000 ducats. In another passage the ordinary income of the state in that same year is put at 1,100,000 ducats; through the disturbance of trade caused by the wars it sank about the middle of the century to 800,000 ducats.¹²⁷

Pl. 118

The Budget

Tardy
influence
of the
Renaissance

If Venice, by this spirit of calculation, and by the practical turn which she gave it, was the first fully to represent one important side of modern political life, in that culture, on the other hand, which Italy then prized most highly she did not stand in the front rank. The literary impulse, in general, was here wanting, and especially that enthusiasm for classical antiquity which prevailed elsewhere.¹²⁸ The aptitude of the Venetians, says Sabellico, for philosophy and eloquence was in itself not less remarkable than for commerce and politics. George of Trebizond, who, in 1459, laid the Latin translation of

Plato's Laws at the feet of the Doge, was appointed professor of philology with a yearly salary of 150 ducats, and finally dedicated his 'Rhetoric' to the Signoria.¹²⁹ If, however, we look through the history of Venetian literature which Francesco Sansovino has appended to his well-known book,¹³⁰ we shall find in the fourteenth century almost nothing but history, and special works on theology, jurisprudence, and medicine; and in the fifteenth century, till we come to Ermolao Barbaro and Aldo Manucci, humanistic culture is, for a city of such importance, most scantily represented. The library which Cardinal Bessarion bequeathed to the state (1468) narrowly escaped dispersion and destruction. Learning was certainly cultivated at the University of Padua, where, however, the physicians and the jurists—the latter as the authors of legal opinions—received by far the highest pay. The share of Venice in the poetical creations of the country was long insignificant, till, at the beginning of the sixteenth century, her deficiencies were made good. Even the art of the Renaissance was imported into the city from without, and it was not before the end of the fifteenth century that she learned to move in this field with independent freedom and strength. But we find more striking instances still of intellectual backwardness. This Government, which had the clergy so thoroughly in its control, which reserved to itself the appointment to all important ecclesiastical offices, and which, one time after another, dared to defy the court of Rome, displayed an official piety of a most singular kind.¹³¹ The bodies of saints and other relics imported from Greece after the Turkish conquest were bought at the greatest sacrifices and received by the Doge in solemn procession.¹³² For the coat without a seam it was decided (1455) to offer 10,000 ducats, but it was not to be had. These measures were not the fruit of any popular excitement, but of the tranquil resolutions of the heads of the Government, and might have been omitted without attracting any comment, and at Florence, under similar circumstances, would certainly have been omitted. We shall say nothing of the piety of the masses, and of their firm belief in the indulgences of an Alexander VI. But the state itself, after absorbing the Church to a degree unknown elsewhere, had in truth a certain ecclesiastical element in its composition, and the Doge, the symbol of the state, appeared in twelve great processions ('andate')¹³³ in a half-clerical character. They were almost all festivals in memory of political events, and competed in splendour with the great feasts of the Church; the most brilliant of all, the famous marriage with the sea, fell on Ascension Day.

The most elevated political thought and the most varied forms of human development are found united in the history of Florence, which in this sense deserves the name of the first modern state in the world. Here the whole people are busied with what in the despotic cities is the affair of a single family.

Official Piety

*Pls. 112, 166,
167*

Pl. 110

Florence
*Pls. 98,
101—104, 169*

That wondrous Florentine spirit, at once keenly critical and artistically creative, was incessantly transforming the social and political condition of the state, and as incessantly describing and judging the change. Florence thus became the home of political doctrines and theories, of experiments and sudden changes, but also, like Venice, the home of statistical science, and alone and above all other states in the world, the home of historical representation in the modern sense of the phrase. The spectacle of ancient Rome and a familiarity with its leading writers were not without influence; Giovanni Villani¹³⁴ confesses that he received the first impulse to his great work at the jubilee of the year 1300, and began it immediately on his return home. Yet how many among the 200,000 pilgrims of that year may have been like him in gifts and tendencies and still did not write the history of their native cities! For not all of them could encourage themselves with the thought: 'Rome is sinking; my native city is rising, and ready to achieve great things, and therefore I wish to relate its past history, and hope to continue the story to the present time, and as long as my life shall last.' And besides the witness to its past, Florence obtained through its historians something further—a greater fame than fell to the lot of any other city of Italy.¹³⁵

Independent
political
feeling

Our present task is not to write the history of this remarkable state, but merely to give a few indications of the intellectual freedom and independence for which the Florentines were indebted to this history.

In no other city of Italy were the struggles of political parties so bitter, of such early origin, and so permanent. The descriptions of them, which belong, it is true, to a somewhat later period, give clear evidence of the superiority of Florentine criticism.

Pl. 213

and universal
interests

And what a politician is the great victim of these crises, Dante Alighieri, matured alike by home and by exile! He uttered his scorn of the incessant changes and experiments in the constitution of his native city in verses of adamant, which will remain proverbial so long as political events of the same kind recur;¹³⁶ he addressed his home in words of defiance and yearning which must have stirred the hearts of his countrymen. But his thoughts ranged over Italy and the whole world; and if his passion for the Empire, as he conceived it, was no more than an illusion, it must yet be admitted that the youthful dreams of a new-born political speculation are in his case not without a poetical grandeur. He is proud to be the first who trod this path,¹³⁷ certainly in the footsteps of Aristotle, but in his own way independently. His ideal emperor is a just and humane judge, dependent on God only, the heir of the universal sway of Rome to which belonged the sanction of nature, of right and of the will of God. The conquest of the world was, according to this view, rightful, resting on a divine judgement between Rome and the other nations of the earth, and God gave his approval to this empire, since

under it he became Man, submitting at his birth to the census of the Emperor Augustus, and at his death to the judgement of Pontius Pilate. We may find it hard to appreciate these and other arguments of the same kind, but Dante's passion never fails to carry us with him. In his letters he appears as one of the earliest publicists,¹³⁸ and is perhaps the first layman to publish political tracts in this form. He began early. Soon after the death of Beatrice he addressed a pamphlet on the state of Florence 'to the Great ones of the Earth,' and the public utterances of his later years, dating from the time of his banishment, are all directed to emperors, princes, and cardinals. In these letters and in his book 'De Vulgari Eloquio' the feeling, bought with such bitter pains, is constantly recurring that the exile may find elsewhere than in his native place an intellectual home in language and culture, which cannot be taken from him. On this point we shall have more to say in the sequel.

To the two Villani, Giovanni as well as Matteo, we owe not so much deep political reflexion as fresh and practical observations, together with the elements of Florentine statistics and important notices of other states. Here too trade and commerce had given the impulse to economical as well as political science. Nowhere else in the world was such accurate information to be had on financial affairs. The wealth of the Papal court at Avignon, which at the death of John XXII amounted to twenty-five millions of gold florins, would be incredible on any less trustworthy authority.¹³⁹ Here only, at Florence, do we meet with colossal loans like that which the King of England contracted from the Florentine houses of Bardi and Peruzzi, who lost to his Majesty the sum of 1,365,000 gold florins (1338)—their own money and that of their partners—and nevertheless recovered from the shock.¹⁴⁰ Most important facts are here recorded as to the condition of Florence at this time:¹⁴¹ the public income (over 300,000 gold florins) and expenditure; the population of the city, here only roughly estimated, according to the consumption of bread, in 'bocche,' *i.e.* mouths, put at 90,000, and the population of the whole territory; the excess of 300 to 500 male children among the 5,800 to 6,000 annually baptized;¹⁴² the school-children, of whom 8,000 to 10,000 learned reading, 1,000 to 1,200 in six schools arithmetic; and besides these, 600 scholars who were taught Latin grammar and logic in four schools. Then follow the statistics of the churches and monasteries; of the hospitals, which held more than a thousand beds; of the wool-trade, with its most valuable details; of the mint, the provisioning of the city, the public officials, and so on.¹⁴³ Incidentally we learn many curious facts; how, for instance, when the public funds ('monte') were first established, in the year 1353, the Franciscans spoke from the pulpit in favour of the measure, the Dominicans and Augustinians against it.¹⁴⁴ The economical results of the black death were and could be observed and described nowhere else in all

Florentine
statistics

Pl. 199

Pls. 374, 375,
403

The Black
Death

Europe as in this city.¹⁴⁵ Only a Florentine could have left it on record how it was expected that the scanty population would have made everything cheap, and how instead of that labour and commodities doubled in price; how the common people at first would do no work at all, but simply give themselves up to enjoyment; how in the city itself servants and maids were not to be had except at extravagant wages; how the peasants would only till the best lands, and left the rest uncultivated; and how the enormous legacies bequeathed to the poor at the time of the plague seemed afterwards useless, since the poor had either died or had ceased to be poor. Lastly, on the occasion of a great bequest, by which a childless philanthropist left six 'danari' to every beggar in the city, the attempt is made to give a comprehensive statistical account of Florentine mendicancy.¹⁴⁶

Pl. 370

Connection
between
Statistics
and Culture

This statistical view of things was at a later time still more highly cultivated at Florence. The noteworthy point about it is that, as a rule, we can perceive its connection with the higher aspects of history, with art, and with culture in general. An inventory of the year 1422¹⁴⁷ mentions, within the compass of the same document, the seventy-two exchange offices which surrounded the 'Mercato Nuovo'; the amount of coined money in circulation (two million golden florins); the then new industry of gold spinning; the silk wares, Filippo Brunellesco, then busy in digging classical architecture from its grave; and Leonardo Aretino, secretary of the republic, at work at the revival of ancient literature and eloquence; lastly, it speaks of the general prosperity of the city, then free from political conflicts, and of the good fortune of Italy, which had rid itself of foreign mercenaries. The Venetian statistics quoted above (p. 40), which date from about the same year, certainly give evidence of larger property and profits and of a more extensive scene of action; Venice had long been mistress of the seas before Florence sent out its first galleys (1422) to Alexandria. But no reader can fail to recognize the higher spirit of the Florentine documents. These and similar lists recur at intervals of ten years, systematically arranged and tabulated, while elsewhere we find at best occasional notices. We can form an approximate estimate of the property and the business of the first Medici; they paid for charities, public buildings, and taxes from 1434 to 1471 no less than 663,755 gold florins, of which more than 400,000 fell on Cosimo alone, and Lorenzo Magnifico was delighted that the money had been so well spent.¹⁴⁸ In 1478 we have again a most important and in its way complete view of the commerce and trades of this city,¹⁴⁹ some of which may be wholly or partly reckoned among the fine arts—such as those which had to do with damasks and gold or silver embroidery, with woodcarving and 'intarsia,' with the sculpture of arabesques in marble and sandstone, with portraits in wax, and with jewellery and work in gold. The inborn talent of the Florentines for

Pl. 413

The wealth
of the Medici
Pls. 99, 100

the systematization of outward life is shown by their books on agriculture, business, and domestic economy, which are markedly superior to those of other European people in the fifteenth century. It has been rightly decided to publish selections of these works,¹⁵⁰ although no little study will be needed to extract clear and definite results from them. At all events, we have no difficulty in recognizing the city, where dying parents begged the government in their wills to fine their sons 1,000 florins if they declined to practise a regular profession.¹⁵¹

For the first half of the sixteenth century probably no state in the world possesses a document like the magnificent description of Florence by Varchi.¹⁵² In descriptive statistics, as in so many things besides, yet another model is left to us, before the freedom and greatness of the city sank into the grave.¹⁵³

This statistical estimate of outward life is, however, uniformly accompanied by the narrative of political events to which we have already referred.

Florence not only existed under political forms more varied than those of the free states of Italy and of Europe generally, but it reflected upon them far more deeply. It is a faithful mirror of the relations of individuals and classes to a variable whole. The pictures of the great civic democracies in France and in Flanders, as they are delineated in Froissart, and the narratives of the German chroniclers of the fourteenth century, are in truth of high importance; but in comprehensiveness of thought and in the rational development of the story, none will bear comparison with the Florentines. The rule of the nobility, the tyrannies, the struggles of the middle class with the proletariat, limited and unlimited democracy, pseudo-democracy, the primacy of a single house, the theocracy of Savonarola, and the mixed forms of government which prepared the way for the Medicean despotism—all are so described that the inmost motives of the actors are laid bare to the light.¹⁵⁴ At length Machiavelli in his Florentine history (down to 1492) represents his native city as a living organism and its development as a natural and individual process; he is the first of the moderns who has risen to such a conception. It lies without our province to determine whether and in what points Machiavelli may have done violence to history, as is notoriously the case in his life of Castruccio Castracani—a fancy picture of the typical despot. We might find something to say against every line of the ‘Storie Fiorentine,’ and yet the great and unique value of the whole would remain unaffected. And his contemporaries and successors, Jacopo Pitti, Guicciardini, Segni, Varchi, Vettori, what a circle of illustrious names! And what a story it is which these masters tell us! The great and memorable drama of the last decades of the Florentine republic is here unfolded. The voluminous record of the collapse of the highest and most original life which the world could then show may appear to one but as a collection of curiosities, may awaken

Pl. 240

Political forms

Historians

The funda-
mental evil

in another a devilish delight at the shipwreck of so much nobility and grandeur, to a third may seem like a great historical assize; for all it will be an object of thought and study to the end of time. The evil, which was for ever troubling the peace of the city, was its rule over once powerful and now conquered rivals like Pisa—a rule of which the necessary consequence was a chronic state of violence. The only remedy, certainly an extreme one and which none but Savonarola could have persuaded Florence to accept, and that only with the help of favourable chances, would have been the well-timed resolution of Tuscany into a federal union of free cities. At a later period this scheme, then no more than the dream of a past age, brought (1548) a patriotic citizen of Lucca to the scaffold.¹⁵⁵ From this evil and from the ill-starred Guelph sympathies of Florence for a foreign prince, which familiarized it with foreign intervention, came all the disasters which followed. But who does not admire the people, which was wrought up by its venerated preacher to a mood of such sustained loftiness, that for the first time in Italy it set the example of sparing a conquered foe, while the whole history of its past taught nothing but vengeance and extermination? The glow which melted patriotism into one with moral regeneration may seem, when looked at from a distance, to have soon passed away; but its best results shine forth again in the memorable siege of 1529—30. They were 'fools,' as Guicciardini then wrote, who drew down this storm upon Florence, but he confesses himself that they achieved things which seemed incredible; and when he declares that sensible people would have got out of the way of the danger, he means no more than that Florence ought to have yielded itself silently and ingloriously into the hands of its enemies. It would no doubt have preserved its splendid suburbs and gardens, and the lives and prosperity of countless citizens; but it would have been the poorer by one of its greatest and most ennobling memories.

Pl. 235

Changes in
political
organization

In many of their chief merits the Florentines are the pattern and the earliest type of Italians and modern Europeans generally; they are so also in many of their defects. When Dante compares the city which was always mending its constitution with the sick man who is continually changing his posture to escape from pain, he touches with the comparison a permanent feature of the political life of Florence. The great modern fallacy that a constitution can be made, can be manufactured by a combination of existing forces and tendencies,¹⁵⁶ was constantly cropping up in stormy times; even Machiavelli is not wholly free from it. Constitutional artists were never wanting who by an ingenious distribution and division of political power, by indirect elections of the most complicated kind, by the establishment of nominal offices, sought to found a lasting order of things, and to satisfy or to deceive the rich and the poor alike. They naïvely fetch their examples from classical

antiquity, and borrow the party names 'ottimati,' 'aristocrazia,'¹⁵⁷ as a matter of course. The world since then has become used to these expressions and given them a conventional European sense, whereas all former party names were purely national, and either characterized the cause at issue or sprang from the caprice of accident. But how a name colours or discolours a political cause!

But of all who thought it possible to construct a state, the greatest beyond all comparison was Machiavelli.¹⁵⁸ He treats existing forces as living and active, takes a large and an accurate view of alternative possibilities, and seeks to mislead neither himself nor others. No man could be freer from vanity or ostentation; indeed, he does not write for the public, but either for princes and administrators or for personal friends. The danger for him does not lie in an affectation of genius or in a false order of ideas, but rather in a powerful imagination which he evidently controls with difficulty. The objectivity of his political judgement is sometimes appalling in its sincerity; but it is the sign of a time of no ordinary need and peril, when it was a hard matter to believe in right, or to credit others with just dealing. Virtuous indignation at his expense is thrown away upon us who have seen in what sense political morality is understood by the statesmen of our own century. Machiavelli was at all events able to forget himself in his cause. In truth, although his writings, with the exception of very few words, are altogether destitute of enthusiasm, and although the Florentines themselves treated him at last as a criminal,¹⁵⁹ he was a patriot in the fullest meaning of the word. But free as he was, like most of his contemporaries, in speech and morals, the welfare of the state was yet his first and last thought.

His most complete programme for the construction of a new political system at Florence is set forth in the memorial to Leo X,¹⁶⁰ composed after the death of the younger Lorenzo Medici, Duke of Urbino (d. 1519), to whom he had dedicated his 'Prince.' The state was by that time in extremities and utterly corrupt, and the remedies proposed are not always morally justifiable; but it is most interesting to see how he hopes to set up the republic in the form of a moderate democracy, as heiress to the Medici. A more ingenious scheme of concessions to the Pope, to the Pope's various adherents, and to the different Florentine interests, cannot be imagined; we might fancy ourselves looking into the words of a clock. Principles, observations, comparisons, political forecasts, and the like are to be found in numbers in the 'Discorsi,' among them flashes of wonderful insight. He recognizes, for example, the law of a continuous though not uniform development in republican institutions, and requires the constitution to be flexible and capable of change, as the only means of dispensing with bloodshed and banishments. For a like reason, in order to guard against private violence and foreign

Machiavelli

Pl. 234

His political
system

His 'Discorsi'

interference—‘the death of all freedom’—he wishes to see introduced a judicial procedure (‘accusa’) against hated citizens, in place of which Florence had hitherto had nothing but the court of scandal. With a masterly hand the tardy and involuntary decisions are characterized, which at critical moments play so important a part in republican states. Once, it is true, he is misled by his imagination and the pressure of events into unqualified praise of the people, which chooses its officers, he says, better than any prince, and which can be cured of its errors by ‘good advice.’¹⁶¹ With regard to the government of Tuscany, he has no doubt that it belongs to his native city, and maintains, in a special ‘Discorso’ that the reconquest of Pisa is a question of life or death; he deplores that Arezzo, after the rebellion of 1502, was not razed to the ground; he admits in general that Italian republics must be allowed to expand freely and add to their territory in order to enjoy peace at home, and not to be themselves attacked by others, but declares that Florence had always begun at the wrong end, and from the first made deadly enemies of Pisa, Lucca, and Siena, while Pistoia, ‘treated like a brother,’ had voluntarily submitted to her.

Siena

Pis. 105, 106
172, 173

It would be unreasonable to draw a parallel between the few other republics which still existed in the fifteenth century and this unique city—the most important workshop of the Italian, and indeed of the modern European spirit. Siena suffered from the gravest organic maladies, and its relative prosperity in art and industry must not mislead us on this point. Æneas Sylvius¹⁶² looks with longing from his native town over to the ‘merry’ German imperial cities, where life is embittered by no confiscations of land and goods, by no arbitrary officials, and by no political factions.¹⁶³ Genoa scarcely comes within range of our task, as before the time of Andrea Doria it took almost no part in the Renaissance. Indeed, the inhabitant of the Riviera was proverbial among Italians for his contempt of all higher culture.¹⁶⁴ Party conflicts here assumed so fierce a character, and disturbed so violently the whole course of life, that we can hardly understand how, after so many revolutions and invasions, the Genoese ever contrived to return to an endurable condition. Perhaps it was owing to the fact that nearly all who took part in public affairs were at the same time almost without exception active men of business.¹⁶⁵ The example of Genoa shows in a striking manner with what insecurity wealth and vast commerce, and with what internal disorder the possession of distant colonies, are compatible.

Genoa

Lucca is of small significance in the fifteenth century.

∴

Foreign
Policy

As the majority of the Italian states were in their internal constitution works of art, that is, the fruit of reflection and careful adaptation, so was

their relation to one another and to foreign countries also a work of art. That nearly all of them were the result of recent usurpations, was a fact which exercised as fatal an influence in their foreign as in their internal policy. Not one of them recognized another without reserve; the same play of chance which had helped to found and consolidate one dynasty might upset another. Nor was it always a matter of choice with the despot whether to keep quiet or not. The necessity of movement and aggrandizement is common to all illegitimate powers. Thus Italy became the scene of a 'foreign policy' which gradually, as in other countries also, acquired the position of a recognized system of public law. The purely objective treatment of international affairs, as free from prejudice as from moral scruples, attained a perfection which sometimes is not without a certain beauty and grandeur of its own. But as a whole it gives us the impression of a bottomless abyss.

Intrigues, armaments, leagues, corruption and treason make up the outward history of Italy at this period. Venice in particular was long accused on all hands of seeking to conquer the whole peninsula, or gradually so to reduce its strength that one state after another must fall into her hands.¹⁶⁶ But on a closer view it is evident that this complaint did not come from the people, but rather from the courts and official classes, which were commonly abhorred by their subjects, while the mild government of Venice had secured for it general confidence.¹⁶⁷ Even Florence, with its restive subject cities, found itself in a false position with regard to Venice, apart from all commercial jealousy and from the progress of Venice in Romagna. At last the League of Cambrai actually did strike a serious blow at the state (p. 39), which all Italy ought to have supported with united strength.

The other states, also, were animated by feelings no less unfriendly, and were at all times ready to use against one another any weapon which their evil conscience might suggest. Lodovico il Moro, the Aragonese kings of Naples, and Sixtus IV—to say nothing of the smaller powers—kept Italy in a state of constant and perilous agitation. It would have been well if the atrocious game had been confined to Italy; but it lay in the nature of the case that intervention and help should at last be sought from abroad—in particular from the French and the Turks.

The sympathies of the people at large were throughout on the side of France. Florence had never ceased to confess with shocking *naïveté* its old Guelph preference for the French.¹⁶⁸ And when Charles VIII actually appeared on the south of the Alps, all Italy accepted him with an enthusiasm which to himself and his followers seemed unaccountable.¹⁶⁹ In the imagination of the Italians, to take Savonarola for an example, the ideal picture of a wise, just, and powerful saviour and ruler was still living, with the difference that he was no longer the emperor invoked by Dante, but the Capetian king

Hostility to
Venice

The
foreigners

Sympathy
with France

Pl. 132

of France. With his departure the illusion was broken; but it was long before all understood how completely Charles VIII, Louis XII, and Francis I had mistaken their true relation to Italy, and by what inferior motives they were led. The princes, for their part, tried to make use of France in a wholly different way. When the Franco-English wars came to an end, when Louis XI began to cast about his diplomatic nets on all sides, and Charles of Burgundy to embark on his foolish adventures, the Italian Cabinets came to meet them at every point. It became clear that the intervention of France was only a question of time, even though the claims on Naples and Milan had never existed, and that the old interference with Genoa and Piedmont was only a type of what was to follow. The Venetians, in fact, expected it as early as 1642.¹⁷⁰ The mortal terror of the Duke Galeazzo Maria of Milan during the Burgundian war, in which he was apparently the ally of Charles as well as of Louis, and consequently had reason to dread an attack from both, is strikingly shown in his correspondence.¹⁷¹ The plan of an equilibrium of the four chief Italian powers, as understood by Lorenzo the Magnificent, was but the assumption of a cheerful optimistic spirit, which had outgrown both the recklessness of an experimental policy and the superstitions of Florentine Guelphism, and persisted in hoping the best. When Louis XI offered him aid in the war against Ferrante of Naples and Sixtus IV, he replied, 'I cannot set my own advantage above the safety of all Italy; would to God it never came into the mind of the French kings to try their strength in this country! Should they ever do so, Italy is lost.'¹⁷² For the other princes, the King of France was alternately a bugbear to themselves and their enemies, and they threatened to call him in whenever they saw no more convenient way out of their difficulties. The Popes, in their turn, fancied that they could make use of France without any danger to themselves, and even Innocent VIII imagined that he could withdraw to sulk in the North, and return as a conqueror to Italy at the head of a French army.¹⁷³

Plan to secure equilibrium

The era of foreign intervention

Thoughtful men, indeed, foresaw the foreign conquest long before the expedition of Charles VIII.¹⁷⁴ And when Charles was back again on the other side of the Alps, it was plain to every eye that an era of intervention had begun. Misfortune now followed on misfortune; it was understood too late that France and Spain, the two chief invaders, had become great European powers, that they would be no longer satisfied with verbal homage, but would fight to the death for influence and territory in Italy. They had begun to resemble the centralized Italian states, and indeed to copy them, only on a gigantic scale. Schemes of annexation or exchange of territory were for a time indefinitely multiplied. The end, as is well known, was the complete victory of Spain, which, as sword and shield of the counter-reformation, long held the Papacy among its other subjects. The melancholy reflections

of the philosophers could only show them how those who had called in the barbarians all came to a bad end.

Alliances were at the same time formed with the Turks too, with as little scruple or disguise; they were reckoned no worse than any other political expedients. The belief in the unity of Western Christendom had at various times in the course of the Crusades been seriously shaken, and Frederick II had probably outgrown it. But the fresh advance of the Oriental nations, the need and the ruin of the Greek Empire, had revived the old feeling, though not in its former strength, throughout Western Europe. Italy, however, was a striking exception to this rule. Great as was the terror felt for the Turks, and the actual danger from them, there was yet scarcely a government of any consequence which did not conspire against other Italian states with Mohammed II and his successors. And when they did not do so, they still had the credit of it; nor was it worse than the sending of emissaries to poison the cisterns of Venice, which was the charge brought against the heirs of Alfonso King of Naples.¹⁷⁵ From a scoundrel like Sigismondo Malatesta nothing better could be expected than that he should call the Turks into Italy.¹⁷⁶ But the Aragonese monarchs of Naples, from whom Mohammed—at the instigation, we read, of other Italian governments, especially of Venice¹⁷⁷—had once wrested Otranto (1480), afterwards hounded on the Sultan Bajazet II against the Venetians.¹⁷⁸ The same charge was brought against Lodovico il Moro. ‘The blood of the slain, and the misery of the prisoners in the hands of the Turks, cry to God for vengeance against him,’ says the state historian. In Venice, where the government was informed of everything, it was known that Giovanni Sforza, ruler of Pesaro, the cousin of the Moor, had entertained the Turkish ambassadors on their way to Milan.¹⁷⁹ The two most respectable among the Popes of the fifteenth century, Nicholas V and Pius II, died in the deepest grief at the progress of the Turks, the latter indeed amid the preparations for a crusade which he was hoping to lead in person; their successors embezzled the contributions sent for this purpose from all parts of Christendom, and degraded the indulgences granted in return for them into a private commercial speculation.¹⁸⁰ Innocent VIII consented to be gaoler to the fugitive Prince Djem, for a salary paid by the prisoner’s brother Bajazet II, and Alexander VI supported the steps taken by Lodovico il Moro in Constantinople to further a Turkish assault upon Venice (1498), whereupon the latter threatened him with a Council.¹⁸¹ It is clear the notorious alliance between Francis I and Soliman II was nothing new or unheard of.

Indeed, we find instances of whole populations to whom it seemed no particular crime to go over bodily to the Turks. Even if it were only held out as a threat to oppressive governments, this is at least a proof that the

Relations
with the
Turks

The
Governments
Pls. 126, 127

The Popes

Pl. 128

The
Populations

idea had become familiar. As early as 1480 Battista Mantovano gives us clearly to understand that most of the inhabitants of the Adriatic coast foresaw something of this kind, and that Ancona in particular desired it.¹⁸² When Romagna was suffering from the oppressive government of Leo X, a deputy from Ravenna said openly to the Legate, Cardinal Giulio Medici: 'Monsignore, the honourable Republic of Venice will not have us, for fear of a dispute with the Holy See; but if the Turk comes to Ragusa we will put ourselves into his hands.'¹⁸³

The task
of Spain

It was a poor but not wholly groundless consolation for the enslavement of Italy then begun by the Spaniards, that the country was at least secured from the relapse into barbarism which would have awaited it under the Turkish rule.¹⁸⁴ By itself, divided as it was, it could hardly have escaped this fate.

Practical
policy

If, with all these drawbacks, the Italian statesmanship of this period deserves our praise, it is only on the ground of its practical and unprejudiced treatment of those questions which were not affected by fear, passion, or malice. Here was no feudal system after the northern fashion, with its artificial scheme of rights; but the power which each possessed he held in practice as in theory. Here was no attendant nobility to foster in the mind of the prince the mediæval sense of honour, with all its strange consequences; but princes and counsellors were agreed in acting according to the exigencies of the particular case and to the end they had in view. Towards the men whose services were used and towards allies, come from what quarter they might, no pride of caste was felt which could possibly estrange a supporter; and the class of the Condottieri, in which birth was a matter of indifference, shows clearly enough in what sort of hands the real power lay; and lastly, the government, in the hands of an enlightened despot, had an incomparably more accurate acquaintance with its own country and that of its neighbours, than was possessed by northern contemporaries, and estimated the economical and moral capacities of friend and foe down to the smallest particular. The rulers were, notwithstanding grave errors, born masters of statistical science.

Negotiation

With such men negotiation was possible; it might be presumed that they would be convinced and their opinion modified when practical reasons were laid before them. When the great Alfonso of Naples was (1434) a prisoner of Filippo Maria Visconti, he was able to satisfy his gaoler that the rule of the House of Anjou instead of his own at Naples would make the French masters of Italy; Filippo Maria set him free without ransom and made an alliance with him.¹⁸⁵ A northern prince would scarcely have acted in the same way, certainly not one whose morality in other respects was like that of Visconti. What confidence was felt in the power of self-interest is shown by the celebrated visit which Lorenzo the Magnificent, to the universal astonishment

Pl. 274

Pl. 97

of the Florentines, paid the faithless Ferrante at Naples—a man who would be certainly tempted to keep him a prisoner, and was by no means too scrupulous to do so.¹⁸⁶ For to arrest a powerful monarch, and then to let him go alive, after extorting his signature and otherwise insulting him, as Charles the Bold did to Louis XI at Péronne (1468), seemed madness to the Italians;¹⁸⁷ so that Lorenzo was expected to come back covered with glory, or else not to come back at all. The art of political persuasion was at this time raised to a point—especially by the Venetian ambassadors—of which northern nations first obtained a conception from the Italians, and of which the official addresses give a most imperfect idea. These are mere pieces of humanistic rhetoric. Nor, in spite of an otherwise ceremonious etiquette, was there in case of need any lack of rough and frank speaking in diplomatic intercourse.¹⁸⁸ A man like Machiavelli appears in his 'Legazioni' in an almost pathetic light. Furnished with scanty instructions, shabbily equipped, and treated as an agent of inferior rank, he never loses his gift of free and wide observation or his pleasure in picturesque description.

A special division of this work will treat of the study of man individually and nationally, which among the Italians went hand in hand with the study of the outward conditions of human life.

It must here be briefly indicated by what steps the art of war assumed the character of a product of reflection. Throughout the countries of the West the education of the individual soldier in the middle ages was perfect within the limits of the then prevalent system of defence and attack: nor was there any want of ingenious inventors in the arts of besieging and of fortification. But the development both of strategy and of tactics was hindered by the character and duration of military service, and by the ambition of the nobles, who disputed questions of precedence in the face of the enemy, and through simple want of discipline caused the loss of great battles like Crécy and Maupertuis. Italy, on the contrary, was the first country to adopt the system of mercenary troops, which demanded a wholly different organization; and the early introduction of fire-arms did its part in making war a democratic pursuit, not only because the strongest castles were unable to withstand a bombardment, but because the skill of the engineer, of the gun-founder, and of the artillerist—men belonging to another class than the nobility—was now of the first importance in a campaign. It was felt, with regret, that the value of the individual, which had been the soul of the small and admirably-organized bands of mercenaries, would suffer from these novel means of destruction, which did their work at a distance; and there were Condottieri who opposed to the utmost the introduction at least of the musket, which had been lately invented in Germany.¹⁸⁹ We read that Paolo Vitelli, while recognizing and himself adopting the cannon, put out the eyes and cut off

War as a
Work of Art
Pls. 85—96

Fire-arms

Pl. 76

the hands of the captured 'schioppetieri,' of the enemy, because he held it unworthy that a gallant, and it might be noble, knight should be wounded and laid low by a common, despised footsoldier. On the whole, however, the new discoveries were accepted and turned to useful account, till the Italians became the teachers of all Europe, both in the building of fortifications and in the means of attacking them.¹⁹⁰ Princes like Federigo of Urbino and Alfonso of Ferrara acquired a mastery of the subject compared to which the knowledge even of Maximilian I appears superficial. In Italy, earlier than elsewhere, there existed a comprehensive science and art of military affairs; here, for the first time, that impartial delight is taken in able generalship for its own sake, which might, indeed, be expected from the frequent change of party and from the wholly unsentimental mode of action of the Condottieri. During the Milano-Venetian war of 1451 and 1452, between Francesco Sforza and Jacopo Piccinino, the headquarters of the latter were attended by the scholar Gian Antonio Porcello dei Pandoni, commissioned by Alfonso of Naples to write a report of the campaign.¹⁹¹ It is written, not in the purest, but in a fluent Latin, a little too much in the style of the humanistic bombast of the day, is modelled on Cæsar's Commentaries, and interspersed with speeches, prodigies, and the like. Since for the past hundred years it had been seriously disputed whether Scipio Africanus or Hannibal was the greater,¹⁹² Piccinino through the whole book must needs be called Scipio and Sforza Hannibal. But something positive had to be reported too respecting the Milanese army; the sophist presented himself to Sforza, was led along the ranks, praised highly all that he saw, and promised to hand it down to posterity.¹⁹³ Apart from him the Italian literature of the day is rich in descriptions of wars and strategic devices, written for the use of educated men in general as well as of specialists, while the contemporary narratives of northerners, such as the 'Burgundian War' by Diebold Schilling, still retain the shapelessness and matter-of-fact dryness of a mere chronicle. The greatest *dilettante* who has ever treated in that character¹⁹⁴ of military affairs, Machiavelli, was then busy writing his 'Arte della Guerra.' But the development of the individual soldier found its most complete expression in those public and solemn conflicts between one or more pairs of combatants which were practised long before the famous 'Challenge of Barletta'¹⁹⁵ (1503). The victor was assured of the praises of poets and scholars, which were denied to the Northern warrior. The result of these combats was no longer regarded as a Divine judgement, but as a triumph of personal merit, and to the minds of the spectators seemed to be both the decision of an exciting competition and a satisfaction for the honour of the army or the nation.

Connoisseurs
and
Dilettanti

Duels

Atrocities

It is obvious that this purely rational treatment of warlike affairs allowed, under certain circumstances, of the worst atrocities, even in the absence of

a strong political hatred, as, for instance, when the plunder of a city had been promised to the troops. After the forty days' devastation of Piacenza, which Sforza was compelled to permit to his soldiers (1447), the town long stood empty, and at last had to be peopled by force.¹⁹⁶ Yet outrages like these were nothing compared with the misery which was afterwards brought upon Italy by foreign troops, and most of all by the Spaniards, in whom perhaps a touch of Oriental blood, perhaps familiarity with the spectacles of the Inquisition, had unloosed the devilish element of human nature. After seeing them at work at Prato, Rome, and elsewhere, it is not easy to take any interest of the higher sort in Ferdinand the Catholic and Charles V, who knew what these hordes were, and yet unchained them. The mass of documents which are gradually brought to light from the cabinets of these rulers will always remain an important source of historical information; but from such men no fruitful political conception can be looked for.

*

The Papacy and the dominions of the Church¹⁹⁷ are creations of so peculiar a kind, that we have hitherto, in determining the general characteristics of Italian states, referred to them only occasionally. The deliberate choice and adaptation of political expedients, which gives so great an interest to the other states, is what we find least of all at Rome, since here the spiritual power could constantly conceal or supply the defects of the temporal. And what fiery trials did this state undergo in the fourteenth and the beginning of the fifteenth century, when the Papacy was led captive to Avignon! All, at first, was thrown into confusion; but the Pope had money, troops, and a great statesman and general, the Spaniard Albornoz, who again brought the ecclesiastical state into complete subjection. The danger of a final dissolution was still greater at the time of the schism, when neither the Roman nor the French Pope was rich enough to reconquer the newly-lost state; but this was done under Martin V, after the unity of the Church was restored, and done again under Eugenius IV, when the same danger was renewed. But the ecclesiastical state was and remained a thorough anomaly among the powers of Italy; in and near Rome itself, the Papacy was defied by the great families of the Colonna, Orsini, Savelli, and Anguillara; in Umbria, in the Marches, and in Romagna, those civic republics had almost ceased to exist, for whose devotion the Papacy had showed so little gratitude; their place had been taken by a crowd of princely dynasties, great or small, whose loyalty and obedience signified little. As self-dependent powers, standing on their own merits, they have an interest of their own; and from this point of view the most important of them have been already discussed (pp. 15 sqq., 25 sqq.).

The Papacy
Pls. 141—164

Its peculiar
dangers

Nevertheless, a few general remarks on the Papacy can hardly be dispensed

with. New and strange perils and trials came upon it in the course of the fifteenth century, as the political spirit of the nation began to lay hold upon it on various sides, and to draw it within the sphere of its action. The least of these dangers came from the populace or from abroad; the most serious had their ground in the characters of the Popes themselves.

Its
supporters

Let us, for this moment, leave out of consideration the countries beyond the Alps. At the time when the Papacy was exposed to mortal danger in Italy, it neither received nor could receive the slightest assistance either from France, then under Louis XI, or from England, distracted by the wars of the Roses, or from the then disorganized Spanish monarchy, or from Germany, but lately betrayed at the Council of Basle. In Italy itself there were a certain number of instructed and even uninstructed people, whose national vanity was flattered by the Italian character of the Papacy; the personal interests of very many depended on its having and retaining this character; and vast masses of the people still believed in the virtue of the Papal blessing and consecration;¹⁹⁸ among them notorious transgressors like that Vitellozzo Vitelli, who still prayed to be absolved by Alexander VI, when the Pope's son had him strangled.¹⁹⁹ But all these grounds of sympathy put together would not have sufficed to save the Papacy from its enemies, had the latter been really in earnest, and had they known how to take advantage of the envy and hatred with which the institution was regarded.

And at the very time when the prospect of help from without was so small, the most dangerous symptoms appeared within the Papacy itself. Living, as it now did, and acting in the spirit of the secular Italian principalities, it was compelled to go through the same dark experiences as they; but its own exceptional nature gave a peculiar colour to the shadows.

Rome under
Nicholas V

As far as the city of Rome itself is concerned, small account was taken of its internal agitations, so many were the Popes who had returned after being expelled by popular tumult, and so greatly did the presence of the Curia minister to the interests of the Roman people. But Rome not only displayed at times a specific anti-papal radicalism,²⁰⁰ but in the most serious plots which were then contrived, gave proof of the working of unseen hands from without. It was so in the case of the conspiracy of Stefano Porcari against Nicholas V (1453), the very Pope who had done most for the prosperity of the city. Porcari aimed at the complete overthrow of the papal authority, and had distinguished accomplices, who, though their names are not handed down to us,²⁰¹ are certainly to be looked for among the Italian governments of the time. Under the pontificate of the same man, Lorenzo Valla concluded his famous declamation against the gift of Constantine, with the wish for the speedy secularization of the States of the Church.²⁰²

Under Pius II
Pl. 223

The Catilinarian gang, with which Pius II had to contend²⁰³ (1460),

avowed with equal frankness their resolution to overthrow the government of the priests, and its leader, Tiburzio, threw the blame on the soothsayers, who had fixed the accomplishment of his wishes for this very year. Several of the chief men of Rome, the Prince of Taranto, and the Condottiere Jacopo Piccinino, were accomplices and supporters of Tiburzio. Indeed, when we think of the booty which was accumulated in the palaces of wealthy prelates—the conspirators had the Cardinal of Aquileia especially in view—we are surprised that, in an almost unguarded city, such attempts were not more frequent and more successful. It was not without reason that Pius II preferred to reside anywhere rather than in Rome, and even Paul II²⁰⁴ was exposed to no small anxiety through a plot formed by some discharged abbreviators, who, under the command of Platina, besieged the Vatican for twenty days. The Papacy must sooner or later have fallen a victim to such enterprises, if it had not stamped out the aristocratic factions under whose protection these bands of robbers grew to a head.

This task was undertaken by the terrible Sixtus IV. He was the first Pope who had Rome and the neighbourhood thoroughly under his control, especially after his successful attack on the House of Colonna, and consequently, both in his Italian policy and in the internal affairs of the Church, he could venture to act with a defiant audacity, and to set at nought the complaints and threats to summon a council which arose from all parts of Europe. He supplied himself with the necessary funds by simony, which suddenly grew to unheard-of proportions, and which extended from the appointment of cardinals down to the granting of the smallest favours.²⁰⁵ Sixtus himself had not obtained the papal dignity without recourse to the same means.

A corruption so universal might sooner or later bring disastrous consequences on the Holy See, but they lay in the uncertain future. It was otherwise with nepotism, which threatened at one time to destroy the Papacy altogether. Of all the 'nipoti,' Cardinal Pietro Riario enjoyed at first the chief and almost exclusive favour of Sixtus. He soon drew upon him the eyes of all Italy,²⁰⁶ partly by the fabulous luxury of his life, partly through the reports which were current of his irreligion and his political plans. He bargained with Duke Galeazzo Maria of Milan (1473), that the latter should become King of Lombardy, and then aid him with money and troops to return to Rome and ascend the papal throne; Sixtus, it appears, would have voluntarily yielded it to him.²⁰⁷ This plan, which, by making the Papacy hereditary, would have ended in the secularization of the papal state, failed through the sudden death of Pietro. The second 'nipote,' Girolamo Riario, remained a layman, and did not seek the Pontificate. From this time the 'nipoti,' by their endeavours to found principalities for themselves, became

Sixtus IV

Nepotism
*Pls. 151, 158*The 'nipote'
as prince

a new source of confusion to Italy. It had already happened that the Popes tried to make good their feudal claims on Naples in favour of their relatives!²⁰⁸ but since the failure of Calixtus III, such a scheme was no longer practicable, and Girolamo Riario, after the attempt to conquer Florence (and who knows how many other places) had failed, was forced to content himself with founding a state within the limits of the papal dominions themselves. This was in so far justifiable, as Romagna, with its princes and civic despots, threatened to shake off the papal supremacy altogether, and ran the risk of shortly falling a prey to Sforza or the Venetians, when Rome interfered to prevent it. But who, at times and in circumstances like these, could guarantee the continued obedience of 'nipoti' and their descendants, now turned into sovereign rulers, to Popes with whom they had no further concern? Even in his lifetime the Pope was not always sure of his own son or nephew, and the temptation was strong to expel the 'nipote' of a predecessor and replace him by one of his own. The reaction of the whole system on the Papacy itself was of the most serious character; all means of compulsion, whether temporal or spiritual, were used without scruple for the most questionable ends, and to these all the other objects of the Apostolic See were made subordinate. And when they were attained, at whatever cost of revolutions and proscriptions, a dynasty was founded which had no stronger interest than the destruction of the Papacy.

At the death of Sixtus, Girolamo was only able to maintain himself in his usurped principality of Forlì and Imola by the utmost exertions of his own, and by the aid of the House of Sforza, to which his wife belonged. In the conclave (1484) which followed the death of Sixtus—that in which Innocent VIII was elected—an incident occurred which seemed to furnish the Papacy with a new external guarantee. Two cardinals, who, at the same time, were princes of ruling houses, Giovanni d'Aragona, son of King Ferrante, and Ascanio Sforza, brother of the Moor, sold their votes with the most shameless effrontery;²⁰⁹ so that, at any rate, the ruling houses of Naples and Milan became interested, by their participation in the booty, in the continuance of the papal system. Once again, in the following Conclave, when all the cardinals but five sold themselves, Ascanio received enormous sums in bribes, not without cherishing the hope that at the next election he would himself be the favoured candidate.²¹⁰

Lorenzo the Magnificent, on his part, was anxious that the House of Medici should not be sent away with empty hands. He married his daughter Maddalena to the son of the new Pope—the first who publicly acknowledged his children—Franceschetto Cybò, and expected not only favours of all kinds for his own son, Cardinal Giovanni, afterwards Leo X, but also the rapid promotion of his son-in-law.²¹¹ But with respect to the latter, he demanded

Pls. 34, 32

Innocent VIII
and Simony

Pl. 100

impossibilities. Under Innocent VIII there was no opportunity for the audacious nepotism by which states had been founded, since Franceschetto himself was a poor creature who, like his father the Pope, sought power only for the lowest purpose of all—the acquisition and accumulation of money.²¹² The manner, however, in which father and son practised this occupation must have led sooner or later to a final catastrophe—the dissolution of the state. If Sixtus had filled his treasury by the sale of spiritual dignities and favours, Innocent and his son, for their part, established an office for the sale of secular favours, in which pardons for murder and manslaughter were sold for large sums of money. Out of every fine 150 ducats were paid into the papal exchequer, and what was over to Franceschetto. Rome, during the latter part of this pontificate, swarmed with licensed and unlicensed assassins; the factions, which Sixtus had begun to put down, were again as active as ever; the Pope, well guarded in the Vatican, was satisfied with now and then laying a trap, in which a wealthy misdoer was occasionally caught. For Franceschetto the chief point was to know by what means, when the Pope died, he could escape with well-filled coffers. He betrayed himself at last, on the occasion of a false report (1490) of his father's death; he endeavoured to carry off all the money in the papal treasury, and when this proved impossible, insisted that, at all events, the Turkish prince, Djem, should go with him, and serve as a living capital, to be advantageously disposed of, perhaps to Ferrante of Naples.²¹³ It is hard to estimate the political possibilities of remote periods, but we cannot help asking ourselves the question, if Rome could have survived two or three pontificates of this kind. Even with reference to the believing countries of Europe, it was imprudent to let matters go so far that not only travellers and pilgrims, but a whole embassy of Maximilian, King of the Romans, were stripped to their shirts in the neighbourhood of Rome, and that envoys had constantly to turn back without setting foot within the city.

Sale of
Pardons

Such a condition of things was incompatible with the conception of power and its pleasures which inspired the gifted Alexander VI (1492—1503), and the first event that happened was the restoration, at least provisionally, of public order, and the punctual payment of every salary.

Alexander VI
Pl. 150

Strictly speaking, as we are now discussing phases of Italian civilization, this pontificate might be passed over, since the Borgias are no more Italian than the House of Naples. Alexander spoke Spanish in public with Cæsar; Lucrezia, at her entrance to Ferrara, where she wore a Spanish costume, was sung to by Spanish buffoons; their confidential servants consisted of Spaniards, as did also the most ill-famed company of the troops of Cæsar in the war of 1500; and even his hangman, Don Micheletto, and his poisoner, Sebastian Pinzon Cremonese, seem to have been of the same nation. Among

Pl. 17

Pls. 83, 84

his other achievements, Cæsar, in true Spanish fashion, killed, according to the rules of the craft, six wild bulls in an enclosed court. But the Roman corruption, which seemed to culminate in this family, was already far advanced when they came to the city.

What they were and what they did has been often and fully described. Their immediate purpose, which, in fact, they attained, was the complete subjugation of the pontifical state. All the petty despots,²¹⁴ who were mostly more or less refractory vassals of the Church, were expelled or destroyed; and in Rome itself the two great factions were annihilated, the so-called Guelph Orsini as well as the so-called Ghibelline Colonna. But the means employed were of so frightful a character, that they must certainly have ended in the ruin of the Papacy, had not the contemporaneous death of both father and son by poison suddenly intervened to alter the whole aspect of the situation. The moral indignation of Christendom was certainly no great source of danger to Alexander; at home he was strong enough to extort terror and obedience; foreign rulers were won over to his side, and Louis XII even aided him to the utmost of his power. The mass of the people throughout Europe had hardly a conception of what was passing in Central Italy. The only moment which was really fraught with danger—when Charles VIII was in Italy—went by with unexpected fortune, and even then it was not the Papacy as such that was in peril, but Alexander, who risked being supplanted by a more respectable Pope.²¹⁵ The great, permanent, and increasing danger for the Papacy lay in Alexander himself, and, above all, in his son Cæsar Borgia.

External
dangers

Simony

In the nature of the father, ambition, avarice, and sensuality were combined with strong and brilliant qualities. All the pleasures of power and luxury he granted himself from the first day of his pontificate in the fullest measure. In the choice of means to this end he was wholly without scruple; it was known at once that he would more than compensate himself for the sacrifices which his election had involved,²¹⁶ and that the simony of the seller would far exceed the simony of the buyer. It must be remembered that the vice-chancellorship and other offices which Alexander had formerly held had taught him to know better and turn to more practical account the various sources of revenue than any other member of the Curia. As early as 1494, a Carmelite, Adam of Genoa, who had preached at Rome against simony, was found murdered in his bed with twenty wounds. Hardly a single cardinal was appointed without the payment of enormous sums of money.

Caesar Borgia
Pls. 83, 84

But when the Pope in course of time fell under the influence of his son Cæsar Borgia, his violent measures assumed that character of devilish wickedness which necessarily reacts upon the ends pursued. What was done in the

struggle with the Roman nobles and with the tyrants of Romagna exceeded in faithlessness and barbarity even that measure to which the Aragonese rulers of Naples had already accustomed the world; and the genius for deception was also greater. The manner in which Cæsar isolated his father, murdering brother, brother-in-law, and other relations or courtiers, whenever their favour with the Pope or their position in any other respect became inconvenient to him, is literally appalling. Alexander was forced to acquiesce in the murder of his best-loved son, the Duke of Gandia, since he himself lived in hourly dread of Cæsar.²¹⁷

What were the final aims of the latter? Even in the last months of his tyranny, when he had murdered the Condottieri at Sinigaglia, and was to all intents and purposes master of the ecclesiastical state (1503), those who stood near him gave the modest reply that the Duke merely wished to put down 'the factions and the despots, and all for the good of the Church only; that for himself he desired nothing more than the lordship of the Romagna, and that he had earned the gratitude of all the following Popes by ridding them of the Orsini and Colonna.'²¹⁸ But no one will accept this as his ultimate design. The Pope Alexander himself, in his discussions with the Venetian ambassador, went farther than this, when committing his son to the protection of Venice: 'I will see to it,' he said, 'that one day the Papacy shall belong either to him or to you.'²¹⁹ Cæsar certainly added that no one could become Pope without the consent of Venice, and for this end the Venetian cardinals had only to keep well together. Whether he referred to himself or not we are unable to say; at all events, the declaration of his father is sufficient to prove his designs on the pontifical throne. We further obtain from Lucrezia Borgia a certain amount of indirect evidence, in so far as certain passages in the poems of Ercole Strozza may be the echo of expressions which she as Duchess of Ferrara may easily have permitted herself to use. Here too Cæsar's hopes of the Papacy are chiefly spoken of;²²⁰ but now and then a supremacy over all Italy is hinted at,²²¹ and finally we are given to understand that as temporal ruler Cæsar's projects were of the greatest, and that for their sake he had formerly surrendered his cardinalate.²²² In fact, there can be no doubt whatever that Cæsar, whether chosen Pope or not after the death of Alexander, meant to keep possession of the pontifical state at any cost, and that this, after all the enormities he had committed, he could not as Pope have succeeded in doing permanently. He, if anybody, could have secularized the States of the Church, and he would have been forced to do so in order to keep them.²²³ Unless we are much deceived, this is the real reason of the secret sympathy with which Machiavelli treats the great criminal; from Cæsar, or from nobody, could it be hoped that he 'would draw the steel from the wound,' in other words, annihilate the Papacy—the

His designs

on the Papal
Throneand its
secularization

source of all foreign intervention and of all the divisions of Italy. The intriguers who thought to divine Cæsar's aims, when holding out to him hopes of the kingdom of Tuscany, seem to have been dismissed with contempt.²²⁴

But all logical conclusions from his premises are idle, not because of the unaccountable genius which in fact characterized him as little as it did the Duke of Friedland, but because the means which he employed were not compatible with any large and consistent course of action. Perhaps, indeed, in the very excess of his wickedness some prospect of salvation for the Papacy may have existed even without the accident which put an end to his rule.

Irrational
means

Even if we assume that the destruction of the petty despots in the pontifical state had gained for him nothing but sympathy, even if we take as proof of his great projects the army, composed of the best soldiers and officers in Italy, with Leonardo da Vinci as chief engineer, which followed his fortunes in 1503, other facts nevertheless wear such a character of unreason that our judgement, like that of contemporary observers, is wholly at a loss to explain them. One fact of this kind is the devastation and maltreatment of the newly won state, which Cæsar still intended to keep and to rule over.²²⁵ Another is the condition of Rome and of the Curia in the last decades of the pontificate. Whether it were that father and son had drawn up a formal list of proscribed persons,²²⁶ or that the murders were resolved upon one by one, in either case the Borgias were bent on the secret destruction of all who stood in their way or whose inheritance they coveted. Of this money and movable goods formed the smallest part; it was a much greater source of profit for the Pope that the incomes of the clerical dignitaries in question were suspended by their death, and that he received the revenues of their offices while vacant, and the price of these offices when they were filled by the successors of the murdered men. The Venetian ambassador, Paolo Capello²²⁷ announces in the year 1500: 'Every night four or five murdered men are discovered—bishops, prelates and others—so that all Rome is trembling for fear of being destroyed by the Duke (Cæsar).' He himself used to wander about Rome in the night time with his guards,²²⁸ and there is every reason to believe that he did so not only because, like Tiberius, he shrank from showing his now repulsive features by daylight, but also to gratify his insane thirst for blood, perhaps even on the persons of those unknown to him.

Assassinations

Poisonings

As early as the year 1499 the despair was so great and so general that many of the Papal guards were waylaid and put to death.²²⁹ But those whom the Borgias could not assail with open violence, fell victims to their poison. For the cases in which a certain amount of discretion seemed requisite, a white powder²³⁰ of an agreeable taste was made use of, which did not work

on the spot, but slowly and gradually, and which could be mixed without notice in any dish or goblet. Prince Djem had taken some of it in a sweet draught, before Alexander surrendered him to Charles VIII (1495), and at the end of their career father and son poisoned themselves with the same powder by accidentally tasting a sweetmeat intended for a wealthy cardinal. The official epitomizer of the history of the Popes, Onofrio Panvinio,²³¹ mentions three cardinals, Orsini, Ferrerio, and Michiel, whom Alexander caused to be poisoned, and hints at a fourth, Giovanni Borgia, whom Cæsar took into his own charge—though probably wealthy prelates seldom died in Rome at that time without giving rise to suspicions of this sort. Even tranquil scholars who had withdrawn to some provincial town were not out of reach of the merciless poison. A secret horror seemed to hang about the Pope; storms and thunderbolts, crushing in walls and chambers, had in earlier times often visited and alarmed him; in the year 1500,²³² when these phenomena were repeated, they were held to be ‘cosa diabolica.’ The report of these events seems at last, through the well-attended jubilee²³³ of 1500, to have been carried far and wide throughout the countries of Europe, and the infamous traffic in indulgences did what else was needed to draw all eyes upon Rome.²³⁴ Besides the returning pilgrims, strange white-robed penitents came from Italy to the North, among them disguised fugitives from the Papal State, who are not likely to have been silent. Yet none can calculate how far the scandal and indignation of Christendom might have gone, before they became a source of pressing danger to Alexander. ‘He would,’ says Panvinio elsewhere,²³⁵ ‘have put all the other rich cardinals and prelates out of the way, to get their property, had he not, in the midst of his great plans for his son, been struck down by death.’ And what might not Cæsar have achieved if, at the moment when his father died, he had not himself been laid upon a sickbed! What a conclave would that have been, in which, armed with all his weapons, he had extorted his election from a college whose numbers he had judiciously reduced by poison—and this at a time when there was no French army at hand! In pursuing such a hypothesis the imagination loses itself in an abyss.

Pl. 236

The last years

Instead of this followed the conclave in which Pius III was elected, and, after his speedy death, that which chose Julius II—both elections the fruits of a general reaction.

Julius II
Pls. 153, 154

Whatever may have been the private morals of Julius II, in all essential respects he was the saviour of the Papacy. His familiarity with the course of events since the pontificate of his uncle Sixtus had given him a profound insight into the grounds and conditions of the Papal authority. On these he founded his own policy, and devoted to it the whole force and passion of his unshaken soul. He ascended the steps of St. Peter’s chair without simony

His
reactionary
policy
Pl. 151
Pl. 59

and amid general applause, and with him ceased, at all events, the undisguised traffic in the highest offices of the Church. Julius had favourites, and among them were some the reverse of worthy, but a special fortune put him above the temptation to nepotism. His brother, Giovanni della Rovere, was the husband of the heiress of Urbino, sister of the last Montefeltro Guidobaldo, and from this marriage was born, in 1491, a son, Francesco Maria della Rovere, who was at the same time Papal 'nipote' and lawful heir to the duchy of Urbino. What Julius elsewhere acquired, either on the field of battle or by diplomatic means, he proudly bestowed on the Church, not on his family; the ecclesiastical territory, which he found in a state of dissolution, he bequeathed to his successor completely subdued, and increased by Parma and Piacenza. It was not his fault that Ferrara too was not added to the dominions of the Church. The 700,000 ducats, which were stored up in the Castel Sant' Angelo, were to be delivered by the governor to none but the future Pope. He made himself heir of the cardinals, and, indeed, of all the clergy who died in Rome, and this by the most despotic means; but he murdered or poisoned none of them.²³⁶ That he should himself lead his forces to battle was for him an unavoidable necessity, and certainly did him nothing but good at a time when a man in Italy was forced to be either hammer or anvil, and when personality was a greater power than the most indisputable right. If, despite all his high-sounding 'Away with the barbarians!' he nevertheless contributed more than any man to the firm settlement of the Spaniards in Italy, he may have thought it a matter of indifference to the Papacy, or even, as things stood, a relative advantage. And to whom, sooner than to Spain, could the Church look for a sincere and lasting respect,²³⁷ in an age when the princes of Italy cherished none but sacrilegious projects against her? Be this as it may, the powerful, original nature, which could swallow no anger and conceal no genuine good-will, made on the whole the impression most desirable in his situation—that of the 'Pontefice terribile.' He could even, with a comparatively clear conscience, venture to summon a council to Rome, and so bid defiance to that outcry for a council which was raised by the opposition all over Europe. A ruler of this stamp needed some great outward symbol of his conceptions; Julius found it in the reconstruction of St. Peter's. The plan of it, as Bramante wished to have it, is perhaps the grandest expression of power in unity which can be imagined. In other arts besides architecture the face and the memory of the Pope live on in their most ideal form, and it is not without significance that even the Latin poetry of those days gives proof of a wholly different enthusiasm for Julius than that shown for his predecessors. The entry into Bologna, at the end of the 'Iter Julii Secundi,' by the Cardinal Adriano da Corneto, has a splendour of its own, and Giovan

Pl. 141

Personality

Pls. 143—147

Antonio Flaminio,²³⁸ in one of the finest elegies, appealed to the patriot in the Pope to grant his protection to Italy.

In a constitution of his Lateran Council, Julius had solemnly denounced the simony of the Papal elections.²³⁹ After his death in 1513, the money-loving cardinals tried to evade the prohibition by proposing that the endowments and offices hitherto held by the chosen candidate should be equally divided among themselves, in which case they would have elected the best-endowed cardinal, the incompetent Raphael Riario.²⁴⁰ But a reaction, chiefly arising from the younger members of the Sacred College, who, above all things, desired a liberal Pope, rendered the miserable combination futile; Giovanni Medici was elected—the famous Leo X.

Leo X
Pls. 155, 156

We shall often meet with him in treating of the noonday of the Renaissance; here we wish only to point out that under him the Papacy was again exposed to great inward and outward dangers. Among these we do not reckon the conspiracy of the Cardinals Petrucci, De Saulis, Riario, and Corneto (1517) which at most could have occasioned a change of persons, and to which Leo found the true antidote in the unheard-of creation of thirty-one new cardinals, a measure which had the additional advantage of rewarding, in some cases at least, real merit.

But some of the paths which Leo allowed himself to tread during the first two years of his office were perilous to the last degree. He seriously endeavoured to secure, by negotiation, the kingdom of Naples for his brother Giuliano, and for his nephew Lorenzo a powerful North Italian state, to comprise Milan, Tuscany, Urbino, and Ferrara.²⁴¹ It is clear that the Pontifical State, thus hemmed in on all sides, would have become a mere Medicean appanage, and that, in fact, there would have been no further need to secularize it.

Designs on
all Italy

The plan found an insuperable obstacle in the political conditions of the time. Giuliano died early. To provide for Lorenzo, Leo undertook to expel the Duke Francesco Maria della Rovere from Urbino, but reaped from the war nothing but hatred and poverty, and was forced, when in 1519 Lorenzo followed his uncle to the grave, to hand over the hardly-won conquests to the Church.²⁴² He did on compulsion and without credit what, if it had been done voluntarily, would have been to his lasting honour. What he attempted against Alfonso of Ferrara, and actually achieved against a few petty despots and Condottieri, was assuredly not of a kind to raise his reputation. And this was at a time when the monarchs of the West were yearly growing more and more accustomed to political gambling on a colossal scale, of which the stakes were this or that province of Italy.²⁴³ Who could guarantee that, since the last decades had seen so great an increase of their power at home, their ambition could stop short of the States of the Church? Leo himself

The Great
Powers

witnessed the prelude of what was fulfilled in the year 1527; a few bands of Spanish infantry appeared—of their own accord, it seems—at the end of 1520, on the borders of the Pontifical territory, with a view of laying the Pope under contribution,²⁴⁴ but were driven back by the Papal forces. The public feeling, too, against the corruptions of the hierarchy had of late years been drawing rapidly to a head, and men with an eye for the future, like the younger Pico della Mirandola, called urgently for reform.²⁴⁵ Meantime Luther had already appeared upon the scene.

Adrian VI

Under Adrian VI (1522—1523), the few and timid improvements, carried out in the face of the great German Reformation, came too late. He could do little more than proclaim his horror of the course which things had taken hitherto, of simony, nepotism, prodigality, brigandage, and profligacy. The danger from the side of the Lutherans was by no means the greatest; an acute observer from Venice, Girolamo Negro, uttered his fears that a speedy and terrible disaster would befall the city of Rome itself.²⁴⁶

Clement VII

Pl. 157

Under Clement VII the whole horizon of Rome was filled with vapours, like that leaden veil which the scirocco drew over the Campagna, and which made the last months of summer so deadly. The Pope was no less detested at home than abroad. Thoughtful people were filled with anxiety,²⁴⁷ hermits appeared upon the streets and squares of Rome, foretelling the fate of Italy and of the world, and calling the Pope by the name of Antichrist;²⁴⁸ the faction of the Colonna raised its head defiantly; the indomitable Cardinal Pompeo Colonna, whose mere existence²⁴⁹ was a permanent menace to the Papacy, ventured to surprise the city in 1526, hoping, with the help of Charles V, to become Pope then and there, as soon as Clement was killed or captured. It was no piece of good fortune for Rome that the latter was able to escape to the Castel Sant' Angelo, and the fate for which himself was reserved may well be called worse than death.

Pl. 139

The Sack of
Rome

By a series of those falsehoods, which only the powerful can venture on, but which bring ruin upon the weak, Clement brought about the advance of the Germano-Spanish army under Bourbon and Frundsberg (1527). It is certain²⁵⁰ that the Cabinet of Charles V intended to inflict on him a severe castigation, and that it could not calculate beforehand how far the zeal of its unpaid hordes would carry them. It would have been vain to attempt to enlist men in Germany without paying any bounty, if it had not been well known that Rome was the object of the expedition. It may be that the written orders to Bourbon will be found some day or other, and it is not improbable that they will prove to be worded mildly. But historical criticism will not allow itself to be led astray. The Catholic King and Emperor owed it to his luck and nothing else, that Pope and cardinals were not murdered by his troops. Had this happened, no sophistry in the world could clear him

of his share in the guilt. The massacre of countless people of less consequence, the plunder of the rest, and all the horrors of torture and traffic in human life, show clearly enough what was possible in the 'Sacco di Roma.'

Charles seems to have wished to bring the Pope, who had fled a second time to the Castel Sant'Angelo, to Naples, after extorting from him vast sums of money, and Clement's flight to Orvieto must have happened without any connivance on the part of Spain.²⁵¹ Whether the Emperor ever thought seriously of the secularization of the States of the Church,²⁵² for which everybody was quite prepared, and whether he was really dissuaded from it by the representations of Henry VIII of England, will probably never be made clear.

Consequences
and reactions

Pl. 156

But if such projects really existed, they cannot have lasted long: from the devastated city arose a new spirit of reform both in Church and State. It made itself felt in a moment. Cardinal Sadoletto, one witness of many, thus writes: 'If through our suffering a satisfaction is made to the wrath and justice of God, if these fearful punishments again open the way to better laws and morals, then is our misfortune perhaps not of the greatest. . . . What belongs to God He will take care of; before us lies a life of reformation, which no violence can take from us. Let us so rule our deeds and thoughts as to seek in God only the true glory of the priesthood and our own true greatness and power.'²⁵³

In point of fact, this critical year, 1527, so far bore fruit, that the voices of serious men could again make themselves heard. Rome had suffered too much to return, even under a Paul III, to the gay corruption of Leo X.

Pl. 158

The Papacy, too, when its sufferings became so great, began to excite a sympathy half religious and half political. The kings could not tolerate that one of their number should arrogate to himself the right of Papal gaoler, and concluded (August 18, 1527) the Treaty of Amiens, one of the objects of which was the deliverance of Clement. They thus, at all events, turned to their own account the unpopularity which the deeds of the Imperial troops had excited. At the same time the Emperor became seriously embarrassed, even in Spain, where the prelates and grandees never saw him without making the most urgent remonstrances. When a general deputation of the clergy and laity, all clothed in mourning, was projected, Charles, fearing that troubles might arise out of it, like those of the insurrection quelled a few years before, forbade the scheme.²⁵⁴ Not only did he not dare to prolong the maltreatment of the Pope, but he was absolutely compelled, even apart from all considerations of foreign politics, to be reconciled with the Papacy which he had so grievously wounded. For the temper of the German people, which certainly pointed to a different course, seemed to him, like German affairs generally, to afford no foundation for a policy. It is possible, too, as a Venetian maintains,²⁵⁵ that the memory of the sack of Rome lay heavy

Relations
with
Charles V

The
expiation

on his conscience, and tended to hasten that expiation which was sealed by the permanent subjection of the Florentines to the Medicean family of which the Pope was a member. The 'nipote' and new Duke, Alessandro Medici, was married to the natural daughter of the Emperor.

The Papacy
and the
Counter-
Reformation

In the following years the plan of a Council enabled Charles to keep the Papacy in all essential points under his control, and at one and the same time to protect and to oppress it. The greatest danger of all—secularization—the danger which came from within, from the Popes themselves and their 'nipoti,' was adjourned for centuries by the German Reformation. Just as this alone had made the expedition against Rome (1527) possible and successful, so did it compel the Papacy to become once more the expression of a world-wide spiritual power, to raise itself from the soulless debasement in which it lay, and to place itself at the head of all the enemies of this reformation. The institution thus developed during the latter years of Clement VII, and under Paul III, Paul IV, and their successors, in the face of the defection of half Europe, was a new, regenerated hierarchy, which avoided all the great and dangerous scandals of former times, particularly nepotism, with its attempts at territorial aggrandizement,²⁵⁶ and which, in alliance with the Catholic princes, and impelled by a new-born spiritual force, found its chief work in the recovery of what had been lost. It only existed and is only intelligible in opposition to the seceders. In this sense it can be said with perfect truth that the moral salvation of the Papacy is due to its mortal enemies. And now its political position, too, though certainly under the permanent tutelage of Spain, became impregnable; almost without effort it inherited, on the extinction of its vassals, the legitimate line of Este and the house of Della Rovere, the duchies of Ferrara and Urbino. But without the Reformation—if, indeed, it is possible to think it away—the whole ecclesiastical State would long ago have passed into secular hands.

*

In conclusion, let us briefly consider the effect of these political circumstances on the spirit of the nation at large.

Patriotism

It is evident that the general political uncertainty in Italy, during the fourteenth and fifteenth centuries, was of a kind to excite in the better spirits of the time a patriotic disgust and opposition. Dante and Petrarch,²⁵⁷ in their day, proclaimed loudly a common Italy, the object of the highest efforts of all her children. It may be objected that this was only the enthusiasm of a few highly-instructed men, in which the mass of the people had no share; but it can hardly have been otherwise even in Germany, although in name at least that country was united, and recognized in the Emperor one supreme head. The first patriotic utterances of German litera-

ture, if we except some verses of the 'Minnesänger,' belong to the humanists of the time of Maximilian I²⁵⁸ and after, and read like an echo of Italian declamations. And yet, as a matter of fact, Germany had been long a nation in a truer sense than Italy ever was since the Roman days. France owes the consciousness of its national unity mainly to its conflicts with the English, and Spain has never permanently succeeded in absorbing Portugal, closely related as the two countries are. For Italy, the existence of the ecclesiastical State, and the conditions under which alone it could continue, were a permanent obstacle to national unity, an obstacle whose removal seemed hopeless. When, therefore, in the political intercourse of the fifteenth century, the common fatherland is sometimes emphatically named, it is done in most cases to annoy some other Italian State.²⁵⁹ But those deeply serious and sorrowful appeals to national sentiment were not heard again till later, when the time for unity had gone by, when the country was inundated with Frenchmen and Spaniards. The sense of local patriotism may be said in some measure to have taken the place of this feeling, though it was but a poor equivalent for it.

Obstacles
to unity

PART II

THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE INDIVIDUAL

In the character of these states, whether republics or despotisms, lies, not the only, but the chief reason for the early development of the Italian. To this it is due that he was the first-born among the sons of modern Europe.

Contrast
with the
Middle Ages

✓ In the Middle Ages both sides of human consciousness—that which was turned within as that which was turned without—lay dreaming or half awake beneath a common veil. The veil was woven of faith, illusion, and childish prepossession, through which the world and history were seen clad in strange hues. Man was conscious of himself only as member of a race, people, party, family, or corporation—only through some general category. In Italy this veil first melted into air; an *objective* treatment and consideration of the state and of all the things of this world became possible. The *subjective* side at the same time asserted itself with corresponding emphasis; man became a spiritual *individual*,²⁰⁰ and recognized himself as such. In the same way the Greek had once distinguished himself from the barbarian, and the Arab had felt himself an individual at a time when other Asiatics knew themselves only as members of a race. It will not be difficult to show that this result was owing above all to the political circumstances of Italy.

Development
of
Personality

In far earlier times we can here and there detect a development of free personality which in Northern Europe either did not occur at all, or could not display itself in the same manner. The band of audacious wrongdoers in the sixteenth century described to us by Liudprand, some of the contemporaries of Gregory VII (for example, Benzo of Alba), and a few of the opponents of the first Hohenstaufen, show us characters of this kind. But at the close of the thirteenth century Italy began to swarm with individuality; the ban laid upon human personality was dissolved; and a thousand figures meet us each in its own special shape and dress. Dante's great poem would have been impossible in any other country of Europe, if only for the reason that they all still lay under the spell of race. For Italy the august poet, through the wealth of individuality which he set forth, was the most national herald of his time. But this unfolding of the treasures of human nature in literature

and art—this many-sided representation and criticism—will be discussed in separate chapters; here we have to deal only with the psychological fact itself. This fact appears in the most decisive and unmistakable form. The Italians of the fourteenth century knew little of false modesty or of hypocrisy in any shape; not one of them was afraid of singularity, of being and seeming²⁶¹ unlike his neighbours.

Despotism, as we have already seen, fostered in the highest degree the individuality not only of the tyrant or Condottiere himself,²⁶² but also of the men whom he protected or used as his tools—the secretary, minister, poet, and companion. These people were forced to know all the inward resources of their own nature, passing or permanent; and their enjoyment of life was enhanced and concentrated by the desire to obtain the greatest satisfaction from a possibly very brief period of power and influence.

But even the subjects whom they ruled over were not free from the same impulse. Leaving out of account those who wasted their lives in secret opposition and conspiracies, we speak of the majority who were content with a strictly private station, like most of the urban population of the Byzantine empire and the Mohammedan states. No doubt it was often hard for the subjects of a Visconti to maintain the dignity of their persons and families, and multitudes must have lost in moral character through the servitude they lived under. But this was not the case with regard to individuality; for political impotence does not hinder the different tendencies and manifestations of private life from thriving in the fullest vigour and variety. Wealth and culture, so far as display and rivalry were not forbidden to them, a municipal freedom which did not cease to be considerable, and a Church which, unlike that of the Byzantine or of the Mohammedan world, was not identical with the State—all these conditions undoubtedly favoured the growth of individual thought, for which the necessary leisure was furnished by the cessation of party conflicts. The private man, indifferent to politics, and busied partly with serious pursuits, partly with the interests of a *dilettante*, seems to have been first fully formed in these despotisms of the fourteenth century. Documentary evidence cannot, of course, be required on such a point. The novelists, from whom we might expect information, describe to us oddities in plenty, but only from one point of view and in so far as the needs of the story demand. Their scene, too, lies chiefly in the republican cities.

In the latter, circumstances were also, but in another way, favourable to the growth of individual character. The more frequently the governing party was changed, the more the individual was led to make the utmost of the exercise and enjoyment of power. The statesmen and popular leaders, especially in Florentine history,²⁶³ acquired so marked a personal character,

The Despots

Their
Subjects

Private Life

The
Republics

that we can scarcely find, even exceptionally, a parallel to them in contemporary history, hardly even in Jacob von Artevelde.

The members of the defeated parties, on the other hand, often came into a position like that of the subjects of the despotic States, with the difference that the freedom or power already enjoyed, and in some cases the hope of recovering them, gave a higher energy to their individuality. Among these men of involuntary leisure we find, for instance, an Agnolo Pandolfini (d. 1446), whose work on domestic economy²⁶⁴ is the first complete programme of a developed private life. His estimate of the duties of the individual as against the dangers and thanklessness of public life²⁶⁵ is in its way a true monument of the age.

The Exiles

Pl. 230

Banishment, too, has this effect above all, that it either wears the exile out or develops whatever is greatest in him. 'In all our more populous cities,' says Gioviano Pontano,²⁶⁶ 'we see a crowd of people who have left their homes of their own free-will; but a man takes his virtues with him wherever he goes.' And, in fact, they were by no means only men who had been actually exiled, but thousands left their native place voluntarily, because they found its political or economical condition intolerable. The Florentine emigrants at Ferrara and the Lucchese in Venice formed whole colonies by themselves.

Cosmo-
politanism
Pls. 213-218

The cosmopolitanism which grew up in the most gifted circles is in itself a high stage of individualism. Dante, as we have already said, finds a new home in the language and culture of Italy, but goes beyond even this in the words, 'My country is the whole world.'²⁶⁷ And when his recall to Florence was offered him on unworthy conditions, he wrote back: 'Can I not everywhere behold the light of the sun and the stars; everywhere meditate on the noblest truths, without appearing ingloriously and shamefully before the city and the people. Even my bread will not fail me.'²⁶⁸ The artists exult no less defiantly in their freedom from the constraints of fixed residence. 'Only he who has learned everything,' says Ghiberti,²⁶⁹ 'is nowhere a stranger; robbed of his fortune and without friends, he is yet the citizen of every country, and can fearlessly despise the changes of fortune.' In the same strain an exiled humanist writes: 'Wherever a learned man fixes his seat, there is home.'²⁷⁰

Complete
development
of
Personality

An acute and practised eye might be able to trace, step by step, the increase in the number of complete men during the fifteenth century. Whether they had before them as a conscious object the harmonious development of their spiritual and material existence, is hard to say; but several of them attained it, so far as is consistent with the imperfection of all that is earthly. It may be better to renounce the attempt at an estimate of the share which fortune, character, and talent had in the life of Lorenzo il

Magnifico. But look at a personality like that of Ariosto, especially as shown in his satires. In what harmony are there expressed the pride of the man and the poet, the irony with which he treats his own enjoyments, the most delicate satire, and the deepest goodwill!

When this impulse to the highest individual development was combined with a powerful and varied nature, which had mastered all the elements of the culture of the age, then arose the 'all-sided man'—'l'uomo universale'—who belonged to Italy alone. Men there were of encyclopædic knowledge in many countries during the Middle Ages, for this knowledge was confined within narrow limits; and even in the twelfth century there were universal artists, but the problems of architecture were comparatively simple and uniform, and in sculpture and painting the matter was of more importance than the form. But in Italy at the time of the Renaissance, we find artists who in every branch created new and perfect works, and who also made the greatest impression as men. Others, outside the arts they practised, were masters of a vast circle of spiritual interests.

Dante, who, even in his lifetime, was called by some a poet, by others a philosopher, by others a theologian,²⁷¹ pours forth in all his writings a stream of personal force by which the reader, apart from the interest of the subject, feels himself carried away. What power of will must the steady, unbroken elaboration of the 'Divine Comedy' have required! And if we look at the matter of the poem, we find that in the whole spiritual or physical world there is hardly an important subject which the poet has not fathomed, and on which his utterances—often only a few words—are not the most weighty of his time. For the plastic arts he is of the first importance, and this for better reasons than the few references to contemporary artists—he soon became himself the source of inspiration.²⁷²

The fifteenth century is, above all, that of the many-sided men. There is no biography which does not, besides the chief work of its hero, speak of other pursuits all passing beyond the limits of dilettantism. The Florentine merchant and statesman was often learned in both the classical languages; the most famous humanists read the ethics and politics of Aristotle to him and his sons;²⁷³ even the daughters of the house were highly educated. It is in these circles that private education was first treated seriously. The humanist, on his side, was compelled to the most varied attainments, since his philological learning was not limited, as it now is, to the theoretical knowledge of classical antiquity, but had to serve the practical needs of daily life. While studying Pliny,²⁷⁴ he made collections of natural history; the geography of the ancients was his guide in treating of modern geography, their history was his pattern in writing contemporary chronicles, even when composed in Italian; he not only translated the comedies of Plautus, but acted

The 'all-sided man'

Character of the 15th Century

Pls. 193—197

as manager when they were put on the stage; every effective form of ancient literature down to the dialogues of Lucian he did his best to imitate; and besides all this, he acted as magistrate, secretary, and diplomatist—not always to his own advantage.

All-sided
men;
L. B. Alberti

Pl. 409

But among these many-sided men, some who may truly be called all-sided, tower above the rest. Before analysing the general phases of life and culture of this period, we may here, on the threshold of the fifteenth century, consider for a moment the figure of one of these giants—Leon Battista Alberti (b. 1404? d. 1472). His biography,²⁷⁵ which is only a fragment, speaks of him but little as an artist, and makes no mention at all of his great significance in the history of architecture. We shall now see what he was, apart from these special claims to distinction.

In all by which praise is won, Leon Battista was from his childhood the first. Of his various gymnastic feats and exercises we read with astonishment how, with his feet together, he could spring over a man's head; how, in the cathedral, he threw a coin in the air till it was heard to ring against the distant roof; how the wildest horses trembled under him. In three things he desired to appear faultless to others, in walking, in riding, and in speaking. He learned music without a master, and yet his compositions were admired by professional judges. Under the pressure of poverty, he studied both civil and canonical law for many years, till exhaustion brought on a severe illness. In his twenty-fourth year, finding his memory for words weakened, but his sense of facts unimpaired, he set to work at physics and mathematics. And all the while he acquired every sort of accomplishment and dexterity, cross-examining artists, scholars, and artisans of all descriptions, down to the cobblers, about the secrets and peculiarities of their craft. Painting and modelling he practised by the way, and especially excelled in admirable likenesses from memory. Great admiration was excited by his mysterious 'camera obscura,'²⁷⁶ in which he showed at one time the stars and the moon rising over rocky hills, at another wide landscapes with mountains and gulfs receding into dim perspective, and with fleets advancing on the waters in shade or sunshine. And that which others created he welcomed joyfully, and held every human achievement which followed the laws of beauty for something almost divine.²⁷⁷ To all this must be added his literary works, first of all those on art, which are landmarks and authorities of the first order for the Renaissance of Form, especially in architecture; then his Latin prose writings—novels and other works—of which some have been taken for productions of antiquity; his elegies, eclogues, and humorous dinner-speeches. He also wrote an Italian treatise on domestic life²⁷⁸ in four books; and even a funeral oration on his dog. His serious and witty sayings were thought worth collecting, and specimens of them, many columns long, are quoted in his biography.

And all that he had and knew he imparted, as rich natures always do, without the least reserve, giving away his chief discoveries for nothing. But the deepest spring of his nature has yet to be spoken of—the sympathetic intensity with which he entered into the whole life around him. At the sight of noble trees and waving corn-fields he shed tears; handsome and dignified old men he honoured as ‘a delight of nature,’ and could never look at them enough. Perfectly-formed animals won his goodwill as being specially favoured by nature; and more than once, when he was ill, the sight of a beautiful landscape cured him.²⁷⁹ No wonder that those who saw him in this close and mysterious communion with the world ascribed to him the gift of prophecy. He was said to have foretold a bloody catastrophe in the family of Este, the fate of Florence, and the death of the Popes years before they happened, and to be able to read into the countenances and the hearts of men. It need not be added that an iron will pervaded and sustained his whole personality; like all the great men of the Renaissance, he said, ‘Men can do all things if they will.’

L. B. Alberti

And Leonardo da Vinci was to Alberti as the finisher to the beginner, as the master to the *dilettante*. Would only that Vasari’s work were here supplemented by a description like that of Alberti! The colossal outlines of Leonardo’s nature can never be more than dimly and distantly conceived. ✓

Pl. 408

*

To this inward development of the individual corresponds a new sort of outward distinction—the modern form of glory.²⁸⁰

Glory

In the other countries of Europe the different classes of society lived apart, each with its own mediæval caste sense of honour. The poetical fame of the Troubadours and Minnesänger was peculiar to the knightly order. But in Italy social equality had appeared before the time of the tyrannies or the democracies. We there find early traces of a general society, having, as will be shown more fully later on, a common ground in Latin and Italian literature; and such a ground was needed for this new element in life to grow in. To this must be added that the Roman authors, who were now zealously studied, are filled and saturated with the conception of fame, and that their subject itself—the universal empire of Rome—stood as a permanent ideal before the minds of Italians. From henceforth all the aspirations and achievements of the people were governed by a moral postulate, which was still unknown elsewhere in Europe.

Here, again, as in all essential points, the first witness to be called is Dante. He strove for the poet’s garland²⁸¹ with all the power of his soul. As publicist and man of letters, he laid stress on the fact that what he did was new, and that he wished not only to be, but to be esteemed the first in his own walks.²⁸²

Dante
Pl. 213

But even in his prose writings he touches on the inconveniences of fame; he knows how often personal acquaintance with famous men is disappointing, and explains how this is due partly to the childish fancy of men, partly to envy, and partly to the imperfections of the hero himself.²⁸³ And in his great poem he firmly maintains the emptiness of fame, although in a manner which betrays that his heart was not set free from the longing for it. In Paradise the sphere of Mercury is the seat of such blessed ones²⁸⁴ as on earth strove after glory and thereby dimmed 'the beams of true love.' It is characteristic that the lost souls in hell beg of Dante to keep alive for them their memory and fame on earth,²⁸⁵ while those in Purgatory only entreat his prayers and those of others for their deliverance.²⁸⁶ And in a famous passage,²⁸⁷ the passion for fame—'lo gran disio dell' eccellenza'—is reproved for the reason that intellectual glory is not absolute, but relative to the times, and may be surpassed and eclipsed by greater successors.

Pl 215

The Fame
of the
Humanists

The new race of poet-scholars which arose soon after Dante quickly made themselves masters of this fresh tendency. They did so in a double sense, being themselves the most acknowledged celebrities of Italy, and at the same time, as poets and historians, consciously disposing of the reputation of others. An outward symbol of this sort of fame was the coronation of the poets, of which we shall speak later on.

A contemporary of Dante, Albertinus Musattus or Mussatus, crowned poet at Padua by the bishop and rector, enjoyed a fame which fell little short of deification. Every Christmas Day the doctors and students of both colleges at the University came in solemn procession before his house with trumpets and, as it seems, with burning tapers, to salute him²⁸⁸ and bring him presents. His reputation lasted till, in 1318, he fell into disgrace with the ruling tyrant of the House of Carrara.

Petrarch
Pls. 221, 222

This new incense, which once was offered only to saints and heroes, was given in clouds to Petrarch, who persuaded himself in his later years that it was but a foolish and troublesome thing. His letter 'To Posterity'²⁸⁹ is the confession of an old and famous man, who is forced to gratify the public curiosity. He admits that he wishes for fame in the times to come, but would rather be without it in his own day.²⁹⁰ In his dialogue on fortune and misfortune,²⁹¹ the interlocutor, who maintains the futility of glory, has the best of the contest. But, at the same time, Petrarch is pleased that the autocrat of Byzantium²⁹² knows him as well by his writings as Charles IV knows him. And in fact, even in his lifetime, his fame extended far beyond Italy. And the emotion which he felt was natural when his friends, on the occasion of a visit to his native Arezzo (1350), took him to the house where he was born, and told him how the city had provided that no change should be made in it.²⁹³ In former times the dwellings of certain great saints were

The Cultus of
Birthplaces

preserved and revered in this way, like the cell of St. Thomas Aquinas in the Dominican convent at Naples, and the Portiuncula of St. Francis near Assisi; and one or two great jurists also enjoyed the half-mythical reputation which led to this honour. Towards the close of the fourteenth century the people at Bagnolo, near Florence, called an old building the 'Studio' of Accursius (b. about 1150), but, nevertheless, suffered it to be destroyed.²⁹⁴ It is probable that the great incomes and the political influence which some jurists obtained as consulting lawyers made a lasting impression on the popular imagination.

To the cultus of the birthplaces of famous men must be added that of their graves,²⁹⁵ and, in the case of Petrarch, of the spot where he died. In memory of him Arquà became a favourite resort of the Paduans, and was dotted with graceful little villas.²⁹⁶ At this time there were no 'classic spots' in Northern Europe, and pilgrimages were only made to pictures and relics. It was a point of honour for the different cities to possess the bones of their own and foreign celebrities; and it is most remarkable how seriously the Florentines, even in the fourteenth century—long before the building of Santa Croce—laboured to make their cathedral a Pantheon. Accorso, Dante, Petrarch, Boccaccio, and the jurist Zanobi della Strada were to have had magnificent tombs there erected to them.²⁹⁷ Late in the fifteenth century, Lorenzo il Magnifico applied in person to the Spoletans, asking them to give up the corpse of the painter Fra Filippo Lippi for the cathedral, and received the answer that they had none too many ornaments to the city, especially in the shape of distinguished people, for which reason they begged him to spare them; and, in fact, he had to be contented with erecting a cenotaph. And even Dante, in spite of all the applications to which Boccaccio urged the Florentines with bitter emphasis,²⁹⁸ remained sleeping tranquilly by the side of San Francesco at Ravenna, 'among ancient tombs of emperors and vaults of saints, in more honourable company than thou, O Home, couldst offer him.' It even happened that a man once took away unpunished the lights from the altar on which the crucifix stood, and set them by the grave, with the words, 'Take them; thou art more worthy of them than He, the Crucified One!'²⁹⁹

And now the Italian cities began again to remember their ancient citizens and inhabitants. Naples, perhaps, had never forgotten its tomb of Virgil, since a kind of mythical halo had become attached to the name.

The Paduans, even in the sixteenth century, firmly believed that they possessed not only the genuine bones of their founder Antenor, but also those of the historian Livy.³⁰⁰ 'Sulmona,' says Boccaccio,³⁰¹ 'bemoans that Ovid lies buried far away in exile; and Parma rejoices that Cassius sleeps within its walls.' The Mantuans coined a medal in 1257 with the bust of

The Cultus of
Tombs

Pl. 1

Pls. 220, 229

Famous Men
of Antiquity

Virgil, and raised a statue to represent him. In a fit of aristocratic insolence,³⁰² the guardian of the young Gonzaga, Carlo Malatesta, caused it to be pulled down in 1392, and was afterwards forced, when he found the fame of the old poet too strong for him, to set it up again. Even then, perhaps, the grotto, a couple of miles from the town, where Virgil was said to have meditated,³⁰³ was shown to strangers, like the 'Scuola di Virgilio' at Naples. Como claimed both the Plinys³⁰⁴ for its own, and at the end of the fifteenth century erected statues in their honour, sitting under graceful baldachins on the façade of the cathedral.

Topography
and Fame

History and the new topography were now careful to leave no local celebrity unnoticed. At the same period the northern chronicles only here and there, among the list of popes, emperors, earthquakes, and comets, put in the remark, that at such a time this or that famous man 'flourished.' We shall elsewhere have to show how, mainly under the influence of this idea of fame, an admirable biographical literature was developed. We must here limit ourselves to the local patriotism of the topographers who recorded the claims of their native cities to distinction.

Padua and
M. Savonarola

In the Middle Ages, the cities were proud of their saints and of the bones and relics in their churches.³⁰⁵ With these the panegyrist of Padua in 1450, Michele Savonarola,³⁰⁶ begins his list; from them he passes to 'the famous men who were no saints, but who, by their great intellect and force (*virtus*) deserve to be added (*adnecti*) to the saints'—just as in classical antiquity the distinguished man came close upon the hero.³⁰⁷ The further enumeration is most characteristic of the time. First comes Antenor, the brother of Priam, who founded Padua with a band of Trojan fugitives; King Dardanus, who defeated Attila in the Euganean hills, followed him in pursuit, and struck him dead at Rimini with a chess-board; the Emperor Henry IV, who built the cathedral; a King Marcus, whose head was preserved in Monselice; then a couple of cardinals and prelates as founders of colleges, churches, and so forth; the famous Augustinian theologian, Fra Alberto; a string of philosophers beginning with Paolo Veneto and the celebrated Pietro of Abano; the jurist Paolo Padovano; then Livy and the poets Petrarck, Mussato, Lovato. If there is any want of military celebrities in the list, the poet consoles himself for it by the abundance of learned men whom he has to show, and by the more durable character of intellectual glory; while the fame of the soldier is buried with his body, or, if it lasts, owes its permanence only to the scholar. It is nevertheless honourable to the city that foreign warriors lie buried here by their own wish, like Pietro de' Rossi of Parma, Filippo Arcelli of Piacenza, and especially Gattamelata of Narni (d. 1443), whose brazen equestrian statue, 'like a Cæsar in triumph,' already stood by the church of the Santo. The author then names a crowd of jurists and physicians,

Legend and
History

Pls. 69, 72

nobles 'who had not only, like so many others, received, but deserved, the honour of knighthood.' Then follows a list of famous mechanics, painters, and musicians, which is closed by the name of a fencing-master Michele Rosso, who, as the most distinguished man in his profession, was to be seen painted in many places.

By the side of these local temples of fame, which myth, legend, popular admiration, and literary tradition combined to create, the poet-scholars built up a great Pantheon of world-wide celebrity. They made collections of famous men and famous women, often in direct imitation of Cornelius Nepos, the pseudo-Suetonius, Valerius Maximus, Plutarch (*Mulierum virtutes*), Hieronymus (*De Viris Illustribus*), and others: or they wrote of imaginary triumphal processions and Olympian assemblies, as was done by Petrarch in his 'Trionfo della Fama,' and Boccaccio in the 'Amorosa Visione,' with hundreds of names, of which three-fourths at least belong to antiquity and the rest to the Middle Ages.³⁰⁸ By-and-by this new and comparatively modern element was treated with greater emphasis; the historians began to insert descriptions of character, and collections arose of the biographies of distinguished contemporaries, like those of Filippo Villani, Vespasiano Fiorentino, Bartolommeo Facio,³⁰⁹ and lastly of Paolo Giovio.

The North of Europe, until Italian influence began to tell upon its writers—for instance, on Trithemius, the first German who wrote the lives of famous men—possessed only either legends of the saints, or descriptions of princes and churchmen partaking largely of the character of legends and showing no traces of the idea of fame, that is, of distinction won by a man's personal efforts. Poetical glory was still confined to certain classes of society, and the names of northern artists are only known to us at this period in so far as they were members of certain guilds or corporations.

The poet-scholar in Italy had, as we have already said, the fullest consciousness that he was the giver of fame and immortality, or, if he chose, of oblivion.³¹⁰ Boccaccio complains of a fair one to whom he had done homage, and who remained hard-hearted in order that he might go on praising her and making her famous, and he gives her a hint that he will try the effect of a little blame.³¹¹ Sannazaro, in two magnificent sonnets, threatens Alfonso of Naples with eternal obscurity on account of his cowardly flight before Charles VIII.³¹² Angelo Poliziano seriously exhorts (1491) King John of Portugal³¹³ to think betimes of his immortality in reference to the new discoveries in Africa, and to send him materials to Florence, there to be put into shape (*operosius excolenda*), otherwise it would befall him as it had befallen all the others whose deeds, unsupported by the help of the learned, 'lie hidden in the vast heap of human frailty.' The king, or his humanistic chancellor, agreed to this, and promised that at least the Portuguese chronicles

The
Universal
Pantheon

Pls. 241, 244

Fame in the
North

Literature
as the giver
of Fame

Pl. 242

Pl. 227

of African affairs should be translated into Italian, and sent to Florence to be done into Latin. Whether the promise was kept is not known. These pretensions are by no means so groundless as they may appear at first sight; for the form in which events, even the greatest, are told to the living and to posterity is anything but a matter of indifference. The Italian humanists, with their mode of exposition and their Latin style, had long the complete control of the reading world of Europe, and till last century the Italian poets were more widely known and studied than those of any other nation. The baptismal name of the Florentine Amerigo Vespucci was given, on account of his book of travels, to a new quarter of the globe, and if Paolo Giovio, with all his superficiality and graceful caprice, promised himself immortality,³¹⁴ his expectation has not altogether been disappointed.

Pl. 185

Boundless
ambition
for Fame

Pl. 234

Amid all these preparations outwardly to win and secure fame, the curtain is now and then drawn aside, and we see with frightful evidence a boundless ambition and thirst after greatness, independent of all means and consequences. Thus, in the preface to Machiavelli's Florentine history, in which he blames his predecessors Leonardo, Aretino and Poggio for their too considerate reticence with regard to the political parties in the city: 'They erred greatly and showed that they understood little the ambition of men and the desire to perpetuate a name. How many who could distinguish themselves by nothing praiseworthy, strove to do so by infamous deeds! Those writers did not consider that actions which are great in themselves, as is the case with the actions of rulers and of states, always seem to bring more glory than blame, of whatever kind they are and whatever the result of them may be.'³¹⁵ In more than one remarkable and dreadful undertaking the motive assigned by serious writers is the burning desire to achieve something great and memorable. This motive is not a mere extreme case of ordinary vanity, but something dæmonic, involving a surrender of the will, the use of any means, however atrocious, and even an indifference to success itself. In this sense, for example, Machiavelli conceives the character of Stefano Porcari (p. 56);³¹⁶ of the murderers of Galeazzo Maria Sforza (p. 32), the documents tell us about the same; and the assassination of Duke Alessandro of Florence (1537) is ascribed by Varchi himself to the thirst for fame which tormented the murderer Lorenzino Medici (p. 33). Still more stress is laid on this motive by Paolo Giovio.³¹⁷ Lorenzino, according to him, pilloried by a pamphlet of Molza, broods over a deed whose novelty shall make his disgrace forgotten, and ends by murdering his kinsman and prince. These are characteristic features of this age of overstrained and despairing passions and forces, and remind us of the burning of the temple of Diana at Ephesus in the time of Philip of Macedon.

Herostratism

The corrective, not only of this modern desire for fame, but of all highly developed individuality, is found in ridicule, especially when expressed in the victorious form of wit. We read in the Middle Ages how hostile armies, princes, and nobles, provoked one another with symbolical insult, and how the defeated party was loaded with symbolical outrage. Here and there, too, under the influence of classical literature, wit began to be used as a weapon in theological disputes, and the poetry of Provence produced a whole class of satirical compositions. Even the Minnesänger, as their political poems show, could adopt this tone when necessary.³¹⁸ But wit could not be an independent element in life till its appropriate victim, the developed individual with personal pretensions, had appeared. Its weapons were then by no means limited to the tongue and the pen, but included tricks and practical jokes—the so-called ‘burle’ and ‘beffe’—which form a chief subject of many collections of novels.

Ridicule
and Wit
Pls. 352—369

Ridicule
and the
Individual

The ‘Hundred Old Novels,’ which must have been composed about the end of the thirteenth century, have as yet neither wit, the fruit of contrast, nor the ‘burla,’ for their subject;³¹⁹ their aim is merely to give simple and elegant expression to wise sayings and pretty stories or fables. But if anything proves the great antiquity of the collection, it is precisely this absence of satire. For with the fourteenth century comes Dante, who, in the utterance of scorn, leaves all other poets in the world far behind, and who, if only on account of his great picture of the deceivers,³²⁰ must be called the chief master of colossal comedy. With Petrarch³²¹ begin the collections of witty sayings after the pattern of Plutarch (Apophthegmata, etc.).

What stores of wit were concentrated in Florence during this century, is most characteristically shown in the novels of Franco Sacchetti. These are, for the most part, not stories but answers, given under certain circumstances—shocking pieces of *naïveté*, with which silly folks, court-jesters, rogues, and profligate women make their retort. The comedy of the tale lies in the startling contrast of this real or assumed *naïveté* with conventional morality and the ordinary relations of the world—things are made to stand on their heads. All means of picturesque representation are made use of, including the introduction of certain North Italian dialects. Often the place of wit is taken by mere insolence, clumsy trickery, blasphemy, and obscenity; one or two jokes told of Condottieri³²² are among the most brutal and malicious which are recorded. Many of the ‘burle’ are thoroughly comic, but many are only real or supposed evidence of personal superiority, of triumph over another. How much people were willing to put up with, how often the victim was satisfied with getting the laugh on his side by a retaliatory trick, cannot be said; there was much heartless and pointless malice mixed up with it all, and life in Florence was no doubt often made unpleasant enough from this

Florentine
Wit

The Wits

cause.³²³ The inventors and retailers of jokes soon became inevitable figures, and among them there must have been some who were classical—far superior to all the mere court-jesters, to whom competition, a changing public, and the quick apprehension of the audience, all advantages of life in Florence, were wanting. Some Florentine wits went starring among the despotic courts of Lombardy and Romagna,³²⁴ and found themselves much better rewarded than at home, where their talent was cheap and plentiful. The better type of these people is the amusing man (*l'uomo piacevole*), the worse is the buffoon and the vulgar parasite who presents himself at weddings and banquets with the argument, 'If I am not invited, the fault is not mine.' Now and then the latter combine to pluck a young spendthrift,³²⁵ but in general they are treated and despised as parasites, while wits of higher position bear themselves like princes, and consider their talent as something sovereign. Dolcibene, whom Charles IV had pronounced to be the 'king of Italian jesters,' said to him at Ferrara: 'You will conquer the world, since you are my friend and the Pope's; you fight with the sword, the Pope with his bulls, and I with my tongue.'³²⁶ This is no mere jest, but a foreshadowing of Pietro Aretino.

Pl. 247

Arlotto and
Gonnella

The two most famous jesters about the middle of the fifteenth century were a priest near Florence, Arlotto (1483), for more refined wit ('*facezie*'), and the court-fool of Ferrara, Gonnella, for buffoonery. We can hardly compare their stories with those of the Parson of Kalenberg and Till Eulenspiegel, since the latter arose in a different and half-mythical manner, as fruits of the imagination of a whole people, and touch rather on what is general and intelligible to all, while Arlotto and Gonnella were historical beings, coloured and shaped by local influences. But if the comparison be allowed, and extended to the jests of the non-Italian nations, we shall find in general that the joke in the French *fabliaux*,³²⁷ as among the Germans, is chiefly directed to the attainment of some advantage or enjoyment; while the wit of Arlotto and the practical jokes of Gonnella are an end in themselves, and exist simply for the sake of the triumph of production. (Till Eulenspiegel again forms a class by himself, as the personified quiz, mostly pointless enough, of particular classes and professions.) The court-fool of the Este saved himself more than once by his keen satire and refined modes of vengeance.³²⁸

Leo X and
his Jesters

Pl. 370

The type of the '*uomo piacevole*' and the '*buffone*' long survived the freedom of Florence. Under Duke Cosimo flourished Barlacchia, and at the beginning of the seventeenth century Francesco Ruspoli and Curzio Marignolli. In Pope Leo X, the genuine Florentine love of jesters showed itself strikingly. This prince, whose taste for the most refined intellectual pleasures was insatiable, endured and desired at his table a number of witty buffoons and jack-puddings, among them two monks and a cripple;³²⁹ at public feasts

he treated them with deliberate scorn as parasites, setting before them monkeys and crows in the place of savoury meats. Leo, indeed, showed a peculiar fondness for the 'burla'; it belonged to his nature sometimes to treat his own favourite pursuits—music and poetry—ironically, parodying them with his *factotum*, Cardinal Bibbiena.³³⁰ Neither of them found it beneath him to fool an honest old secretary till he thought himself a master of the art of music. The Improvisatore, Baraballo of Gaeta, was brought so far by Leo's flattery, that he applied in all seriousness for the poet's coronation on the Capitol. On the anniversary of St. Cosmas and St. Damian, the patrons of the House of Medici, he was first compelled, adorned with laurel and purple, to amuse the papal guests with his recitations, and at last, when all were ready to split with laughter, to mount a gold-harnessed elephant in the court of the Vatican, sent as a present to Rome by Emanuel the Great of Portugal, while the Pope looked down from above through his eye-glass.³³¹ The brute, however, was so terrified by the noise of the trumpets and kettle-drums, and the cheers of the crowd, that there was no getting him over the bridge of Sant' Angelo.

Pl. 255

Baraballo

The parody of what is solemn or sublime, which here meets us in the case of a procession, had already taken an important place in poetry.³³² It was naturally compelled to choose victims of another kind than those of Aristophanes, who introduced the great tragedians into his plays. But the same maturity of culture which at a certain period produced parody among the Greeks, did the same in Italy. By the close of the fourteenth century, the love-lorn wailings of Petrarch's sonnets and others of the same kind were taken off by caricaturists; and the solemn air of this form of verse was parodied in lines of mystic twaddle. A constant invitation to parody was offered by the 'Divine Comedy,' and Lorenzo il Magnifico wrote the most admirable travesty in the style of the 'Inferno' ('Simposio' or 'I Beoni'). Luigi Pulci obviously imitates the Improvisatori in his 'Morgante,' and both his poetry and Boiardo's are in part, at least, a half-conscious parody of the chivalrous poetry of the Middle Ages. Such a caricature was deliberately undertaken by the great parodist Teofilo Folengo (about 1520). Under the name of Limerno Pitocco, he composed the 'Orlandino,' in which chivalry appears only as a ludicrous setting for a crowd of modern figures and ideas. Under the name of Merlinus Coccaius he described the journeys and exploits of his phantastic vagabonds (also in the same spirit of parody) in half-Latin hexameters, with all the affected pomp of the learned Epos of the day ('Opus Macaronicorum'). Since then caricature has been constantly, and often brilliantly, represented on the Italian Parnassus.

Parody

Pl. 239

About the middle period of the Renaissance a theoretical analysis of wit was undertaken, and its practical application in good society was regulated

Theory of
Wit

Pl. 230 more precisely. The theorist was Gioviano Pontano.³³³ In his work on speaking, especially in the third and fourth books, he tries by means of the comparison of numerous jokes or 'facetia' to arrive at a general principle. How wit should be used among people of position is taught by Baldassare Castiglione in his 'Cortigiano.'³³⁴ Its chief function is naturally to enliven those present by the repetition of comic or graceful stories and sayings; personal jokes, on the contrary, are discouraged on the ground that they wound unhappy people, show too much honour to wrong-doers, and make enemies of the powerful and the spoiled children of fortune; and even in repetition, a wide reserve in the use of dramatic gestures is recommended to the gentleman. Then follows, not only for purposes of quotation, but as patterns for future jesters, a large collection of puns and witty sayings, methodically arranged according to their species, among them some that are admirable. The doctrine of Giovanni della Casa, some twenty years later, in his guide to good manners, is much stricter and more cautious;³³⁵ with a view to the consequences, he wishes to see the desire of triumph banished altogether from jokes and 'burle.' He is the herald of a reaction, which was certain sooner or later to appear.

Pl. 231
Scandal Italy had, in fact, become a school for scandal, the like of which the world cannot show, not even in France at the time of Voltaire. In him and his comrades there was assuredly no lack of the spirit of negation; but where, in the eighteenth century, was to be found the crowd of suitable victims, that countless assembly of highly and characteristically-developed human beings, celebrities of every kind, statesmen, churchmen, inventors, and discoverers, men of letters, poets and artists, all of whom then gave the fullest and freest play to their individuality? This host existed in the fifteenth and sixteenth centuries, and by its side the general culture of the time had educated a poisonous brood of impotent wits, of born critics and railers, whose envy called for hecatombs of victims; and to all this was added the envy of the famous men among themselves. In this the philologists notoriously led the way—Filelfo, Poggio, Lorenzo Valla, and others—while the artists of the fifteenth century lived in peaceful and friendly competition with one another. The history of art may take note of the fact.

Pl. 196
In Florence Florence, the great market of fame, was in this point, as we have said, in advance of other cities. 'Sharp eyes and bad tongues' is the description given of the inhabitants.³³⁶ An easy-going contempt of everything and everybody was probably the prevailing tone of society. Machiavelli, in the remarkable prologue to his 'Mandragola,' refers rightly or wrongly the visible decline of moral force to the general habit of evil-speaking, and threatens his detractors with the news that he can say sharp things as well as they. Next
Pl. 234
In Rome to Florence comes the Papal court, which had long been a rendezvous of the

bitterest and wittiest tongues. Poggio's 'Facetiæ' are dated from the Chamber of Lies (*bugiale*) of the apostolic notaries; and when we remember the number of disappointed place-hunters, of hopeless competitors and enemies of the favourites, of idle, profligate prelates there assembled, it is intelligible how Rome became the home of the savage pasquinade as well as of more philosophical satire. If we add to this the wide-spread hatred borne to the priests, and the well-known instinct of the mob to lay any horror to the charge of the great, there results an untold mass of infamy.³³⁷ Those who were able protected themselves best by contempt both of the false and true accusations, and by brilliant and joyous display.³³⁸ More sensitive natures sank into utter despair when they found themselves deeply involved in guilt, and still more deeply in slander.³³⁹ In course of time calumny became universal, and the strictest virtue was most certain of all to challenge the attacks of malice. Of the great pulpit orator, Fra Egidio of Viterbo, whom Leo made a cardinal on account of his merits, and who showed himself a man of the people and a brave monk in the calamity of 1527,³⁴⁰ Giovio gives us to understand that he preserved his ascetic pallor by the smoke of wet straw and other means of the same kind. Giovio is a genuine Curial in these matters.³⁴¹ He generally begins by telling his story, then adds that he does not believe it, and then hints at the end that perhaps after all there may be something in it. But the true scapegoat of Roman scorn was the pious and moral Adrian VI. A general agreement seemed to be made to take him only on the comic side. He fell out from the first with the formidable Francesco Berni, threatening to have thrown into the Tiber not, as people said, the statue of Pasquino, but the writers of the satires themselves. The vengeance for this was the famous 'Capitolo' against Pope Adriano, inspired not exactly by hatred, but by contempt for the comical Dutch barbarian; the more savage menaces were reserved for the cardinals who had elected him. The plague, which then was prevalent in Rome, was ascribed to him; Berni and others³⁴² sketch the environment of the Pope with the same sparkling untruthfulness with which the modern *feuilletoniste* turns black into white, and everything into anything. The biography which Paolo Giovio was commissioned to write by the Cardinal of Tortosa, and which was to have been a eulogy, is for anyone who can read between the lines an unexampled piece of satire. It sounds ridiculous—at least for the Italians of that time—to hear how Adrian applied to the Chapter of Saragossa for the jaw-bone of St. Lambert; how the devout Spaniards decked him out till he looked 'like a right well-dressed Pope'; how he came in a confused and tasteless procession from Ostia to Rome, took counsel about burning or drowning Pasquino, would suddenly break off the most important business when dinner was announced; and lastly, at the end of an unhappy reign, how he died of drinking too much beer—whereupon

Giovio
Pls. 241, 242

Scorn for
Adrian VI

the house of his physician was hung with garlands by midnight revellers, and adorned with the inscription, 'Liberatori Patriæ S.P.Q.R.' It is true that Giovio had lost his money in the general confiscation of public funds, and had only received a benefice by way of compensation because he was 'no poet,' that is to say, no pagan. But it was decreed that Adrian should be the last great victim. After the disaster which befell Rome in 1527, slander visibly declined along with the unrestrained wickedness of private life.

Pietro
Aretino
Pt. 247

But while it was still flourishing was developed, chiefly in Rome, the greatest railer of modern times, Pietro Aretino. A glance at his life and character will save us the trouble of noticing many less distinguished members of his class.

We know him chiefly in the last thirty years of his life (1527—1557), which he passed in Venice, the only asylum possible for him. From hence he kept all that was famous in Italy in a kind of state of siege, and here were delivered the presents of the foreign princes who needed or dreaded his pen. Charles V and Francis I both pensioned him at the same time, each hoping that Aretino would do some mischief to the other. Aretino flattered both, but naturally attached himself more closely to Charles, because he remained master in Italy. After the Emperor's victory at Tunis in 1535, this tone of adulation passed into the most ludicrous worship, in observing which it must not be forgotten that Aretino constantly cherished the hope that Charles would help him to a cardinal's hat. It is probable that he enjoyed special protection as Spanish agent, as his speech or silence could have no small effect on the smaller Italian courts and on public opinion in Italy. He affected utterly to despise the Papal court because he knew it so well; the true reason was that Rome neither could nor would pay him any longer.³⁴⁴ Venice, which sheltered him, he was wise enough to leave unassailed. The rest of his relations with the great is mere beggary and vulgar extortion.

Publicity
and his
importance

Aretino affords the first great instance of the abuse of publicity to such ends. The polemical writings which a hundred years earlier Poggio and his opponents interchanged, are just as infamous in their tone and purpose, but they were not composed for the press, but for a sort of private circulation. Aretino made all his profit out of a complete publicity, and in a certain sense may be considered the father of modern journalism. His letters and miscellaneous articles were printed periodically, after they had already been circulated among a tolerably extensive public.³⁴⁵

Compared with the sharp pens of the eighteenth century, Aretino had the advantage that he was not burdened with principles, neither with liberalism nor philanthropy nor any other virtue, nor even with science; his whole baggage consisted of the well-known motto, 'Veritas odium parit.' He never, consequently, found himself in the false position of Voltaire, who

was forced to disown his 'Pucelle' and conceal all his life the authorship of other works. Aretino put his name to all he wrote, and openly gloried in his notorious 'Ragionamenti.' His literary talent, his clear and sparkling style, his varied observation of men and things, would have made him a considerable writer under any circumstances, destitute as he was of the power of conceiving a genuine work of art, such as a true dramatic comedy; and to the coarsest as well as the most refined malice he added a grotesque wit so brilliant that in some cases it does not fall short of that of Rabelais.³⁴⁶

In such circumstances, and with such objects and means, he set to work to attack or circumvent his prey. The tone in which he appealed to Clement VII not to complain or to think of vengeance,³⁴⁷ but to forgive, at the moment when the wailings of the devastated city were ascending to the Castel Sant'Angelo, where the Pope himself was a prisoner, is the mockery of a devil or a monkey. Sometimes, when he is forced to give up all hope of presents, his fury breaks out into a savage howl, as in the 'Capitolo' to the Prince of Salerno, who after paying him for some time refused to do so any longer. On the other hand, it seems that the terrible Pierluigi Farnese, Duke of Parma, never took any notice of him at all. As this gentleman had probably renounced altogether the pleasures of a good reputation, it was not easy to cause him any annoyance; Aretino tried to do so by comparing his personal appearance to that of a constable, a miller, and a baker.³⁴⁸ Aretino is most comical of all in the expression of whining mendicancy, as in the 'Capitolo' to Francis I; but the letters and poems made up of menaces and flattery cannot, notwithstanding all that is ludicrous in them, be read without the deepest disgust. A letter like that one of his written to Michelangelo in November 1545³⁴⁹ is alone of its kind; along with all the admiration he expresses for the 'Last Judgement' he charges him with irreligion, indecency, and theft from the heirs of Julius II, and adds in a conciliating postscript, 'I only want to show you that if you are "divino," I am not "d'acqua."' Aretino laid great stress upon it—whether from the insanity of conceit or by way of caricaturing famous men—that he himself should be called divine, as one of his flatterers had already begun to do; and he certainly attained so much personal celebrity that his house at Arezzo passed for one of the sights of the place.³⁵⁰ There were indeed whole months during which he never ventured to cross his threshold at Venice, lest he should fall in with some incensed Florentine like the younger Strozzi. Nor did he escape the cudgels and the daggers of his enemies,³⁵¹ although they failed to have the effect which Berni prophesied him in a famous sonnet. Aretino died in his house, of apoplexy.

The differences he made in his modes of flattery are remarkable: in dealing with non-Italians he was grossly fulsome,³⁵² people like Duke Cosimo of

His relations
with Italian
princes

and
celebrities

His relations
with Duke
Cosimo

Florence he treated very differently. He praised the beauty of the then youthful prince, who in fact did share this quality with Augustus in no ordinary degree; he praised his moral conduct, with an oblique reference to the financial pursuits of Cosimo's mother Maria Salviati, and concluded with a mendicant whine about the bad times and so forth. When Cosimo pensioned him,³⁵³ which he did liberally, considering his habitual parsimony—to the extent, at last, of 160 ducats a year—he had doubtless an eye to Aretino's dangerous character as Spanish agent. Aretino could ridicule and revile Cosimo, and in the same breath threaten the Florentine agent that he would obtain from the Duke his immediate recall; and if the Medicean prince felt himself at last to be seen through by Charles V he would naturally not be anxious that Aretino's jokes and rhymes against him should circulate at the Imperial court. A curiously qualified piece of flattery was that addressed to the notorious Marquis of Marignano, who as Castellan of Musso (p. 15) had attempted to found an independent state. Thanking him for the gift of a hundred crowns, Aretino writes: 'All the qualities which a prince should have are present in you, and all men would think so, were it not that the acts of violence inevitable at the beginning of all undertakings cause you to appear a trifle rough (*aspro*).'³⁵⁴

His religion

It has often been noticed as something singular that Aretino only reviled the world, and not God also. The religious belief of a man who lived as he did is a matter of perfect indifference, as are also the edifying writings which he composed for reasons of his own.³⁵⁵ It is in fact hard to say why he should have been a blasphemer. He was no professor, or theoretical thinker or writer; and he could extort no money from God by threats or flattery, and was consequently never goaded into blasphemy by a refusal. A man like him does not take trouble for nothing.

It is a good sign of the present spirit of Italy that such a character and such a career have become a thousand times impossible. But historical criticism will always find in Aretino an important study.

PART III

THE REVIVAL OF ANTIQUITY

Now that this point in our historical view of Italian civilization has been reached, it is time to speak of the influence of antiquity, the 'new birth' of which has been one-sidedly chosen as the name to sum up the whole period. The conditions which have been hitherto described would have sufficed, apart from antiquity, to upturn and to mature the national mind; and most of the intellectual tendencies which yet remain to be noticed would be conceivable without it. But both what has gone before and what we have still to discuss are coloured in a thousand ways by the influence of the ancient world; and though the essence of the phenomena might still have been the same without the classical revival, it is only with and through this revival that they are actually manifested to us. The Renaissance would not have been the process of world-wide significance which it is, if its elements could be so easily separated from one another. We must insist upon it, as one of the chief propositions of this book, that it was not the revival of antiquity alone, but its union with the genius of the Italian people, which achieved the conquest of the western world. The amount of independence which the national spirit maintained in this union varied according to circumstances. In the modern Latin literature of the period, it is very small, while in plastic art, as well as in other spheres, it is remarkably great; and hence the alliance between two distant epochs in the civilization of the same people, because concluded on equal terms, proved justifiable and fruitful. The rest of Europe was free either to repel or else partly or wholly to accept the mighty impulse which came forth from Italy. Where the latter was the case we may as well be spared the complaints over the early decay of mediæval faith and civilization. Had these been strong enough to hold their ground, they would be alive to this day. If those elegiac natures which long to see them return could pass but one hour in the midst of them, they would gasp to be back in modern air. That in a great historical process of this kind flowers of exquisite beauty may perish, without being made immortal in poetry or

Conflict with
other
tendencies

Varying
degrees
of influence

tradition, is undoubtedly true; nevertheless, we cannot wish the process undone. The general result of it consists in this—that by the side of the Church which had hitherto held the countries of the West together (though it was unable to do so much longer) there arose a new spiritual influence which, spreading itself abroad from Italy, became the breath of life for all the more instructed minds in Europe. The worst that can be said of the movement is, that it was anti-popular, that through it Europe became for the first time sharply divided into the cultivated and uncultivated classes. The reproach will appear groundless when we reflect that even now the fact, though clearly recognized, cannot be altered. The separation, too, is by no means so cruel and absolute in Italy as elsewhere. The most artistic of her poets, Tasso, is in the hands of even the poorest.

Antiquity
during the
Middle Ages

The civilization of Greece and Rome, which, ever since the fourteenth century, obtained so powerful a hold on Italian life, as the source and basis of culture, as the object and ideal of existence, partly also as an avowed reaction against preceding tendencies—this civilization had long been exerting a partial influence on mediæval Europe, even beyond the boundaries of Italy. The culture of which Charlemagne was a representative was, in face of the barbarism of the seventh and eighth centuries, essentially a Renaissance, and could appear under no other form. Just as in the Romanesque architecture of the North, beside the general outlines inherited from antiquity, remarkable direct imitations of the antique also occur, so too monastic scholarship had not only gradually absorbed an immense mass of materials from Roman writers, but the style of it, from the days of Einhard onwards shows traces of conscious imitations.

In Italy

But the resuscitation of antiquity took a different form in Italy from that which it assumed in the North. The wave of barbarism had scarcely gone by before the people, in whom the former life was but half effaced, showed a consciousness of its past and a wish to reproduce it. Elsewhere in Europe men deliberately and with reflection borrowed this or the other element of classical civilization; in Italy the sympathies both of the learned and of the people were naturally engaged on the side of antiquity as a whole, which stood to them as a symbol of past greatness. The Latin language, too, was easy to an Italian, and the numerous monuments and documents in which the country abounded facilitated a return to the past. With this tendency other elements—the popular character which time had now greatly modified, the political institutions imported by the Lombards from Germany, chivalry and other northern forms of civilization, and the influence of religion and the Church—combined to produce the modern Italian spirit, which was destined to serve as the model and ideal for the whole western world.

How antiquity began to work in plastic art, as soon as the flood of

barbarism had subsided, is clearly shown in the Tuscan buildings of the twelfth and in the sculptures of the thirteenth centuries. In poetry, too, there will appear no want of similar analogies to those who hold that the greatest Latin poet of the twelfth century, the writer who struck the keynote of a whole class of Latin poems, was an Italian. We mean the author of the best pieces in the so-called 'Carmina Burana.' A frank enjoyment of life and its pleasures, as whose patrons the gods of heathendom are invoked, while Catos and Scipios hold the place of the saints and heroes of Christianity, flows in full current through the rhymed verses. Reading them through at a stretch, we can scarcely help coming to the conclusion that an Italian, probably a Lombard, is speaking; in fact, there are positive grounds for thinking so.³⁵⁶ To a certain degree these Latin poems of the 'Clerici vagantes' of the twelfth century, with all their remarkable frivolity, are, doubtless, a product in which the whole of Europe had a share; but the writer of the song 'De Phyllide et Flora' and the 'Æstuans Interius' can have been a northerner as little as the polished Epicurean observer to whom we owe 'Dum Dianæ vitrea sero lampas oritur.' Here, in truth, is a reproduction of the whole ancient view of life, which is all the more striking from the mediæval form of the verse in which it is set forth. There are many works of this and the following centuries, in which a careful imitation of the antique appears both in the hexameter and pentameter of the metre and in the classical, often mythological, character of the subject, and which yet have not anything like the same spirit of antiquity about them. In the hexameter chronicles and other works of Guglielmus Appulus and his successors (from about 1100), we find frequent traces of a diligent study of Virgil, Ovid, Lucan, Statius, and Claudian; but this classical form is after all here a mere matter of archæology, as is the classical subject in collectors like Vincent of Beauvais, or in the mythological and allegorical writer, Alanus ab Insulis. The Renaissance is not a mere fragmentary imitation or compilation, but a new birth; and the signs of this are visible in the poems of the unknown 'Clericus' of the twelfth century.

But the great and general enthusiasm of the Italians for classical antiquity did not display itself before the fourteenth century. For this a development of civic life was required, which took place only in Italy, and there not till then. It was needful that noble and burgher should first learn to dwell together on equal terms, and that a social world should arise (see p. 75) which felt the want of culture, and had the leisure and the means to obtain it. But culture, as soon as it freed itself from the fantastic bonds of the Middle Ages, could not at once and without help find its way to the understanding of the physical and intellectual world. It needed a guide, and found one in the ancient civilization, with its wealth of truth and knowledge in

Latin Poems
of the 'Clerici
Vagantes'

The
Renaissance
in this poetry

Antiquity
in the
14th Century

every spiritual interest. Both the form and the substance of this civilization were adopted with admiring gratitude; it became the chief part of the culture of the age.³⁵⁷ The general condition of the country was favourable to this transformation. The mediæval empire, since the fall of the Hohenstaufen, had either renounced, or was unable to make good, its claims on Italy. The Popes had migrated to Avignon. Most of the political powers actually in existence owed their origin to violent and illegitimate means. The spirit of the people, now awakened to self-consciousness, sought for some new and stable ideal on which to rest. And thus the vision of the world-wide empire of Italy and Rome so possessed the popular mind, that Cola di Rienzi could actually attempt to put it in practice. The conception he formed of his task, particularly when tribune for the first time, could only end in some extravagant comedy; nevertheless, the memory of ancient Rome was no slight support to the national sentiment. Armed afresh with its culture, the Italian soon felt himself in truth citizen of the most advanced nation in the world.

The Roman
Empire

It is now our task to sketch this spiritual movement, not indeed in all its fullness, but in its most salient features, and especially in its first beginnings.³⁵⁸

Rome itself, the city of ruins, now became the object of a wholly different sort of piety from that of the time when the 'Mirabilia Romæ' and the collection of William of Malmesbury were composed. The imaginations of the devout pilgrim, or of the seeker after marvels and treasures, are supplanted in contemporary records by the interests of the patriot and the historian. In this sense we must understand Dante's words,³⁵⁹ that the stones of the walls of Rome deserve reverence, and that the ground on which the city is built is more worthy than men say. The jubilees, incessant as they were, have scarcely left a single devout record in literature properly so called. The best thing that Giovanni Villani (p. 42) brought back from the jubilee of the year 1300 was the resolution to write his history which had been awakened in him by the sight of the ruins of Rome. Petrarch gives evidence of a taste divided between classical and Christian antiquity. He tells us how often with Giovanni Colonna he ascended the mighty vaults of the Baths of Diocletian,³⁶⁰ and there in the transparent air, amid the wide silence, with the broad panorama stretching far around them, they spoke, not of business, or political affairs, but of the history which the ruins beneath their feet suggested, Petrarch appearing in their dialogues as the partisan of classical, Giovanni of Christian antiquity; then they would discourse of philosophy and of the inventors of the arts. How often since that time, down to the days of Gibbon and Niebuhr, have the same ruins stirred men's minds to the same reflections!

The Ruins
of Rome
Pls. 268—271

Uberti

This double current of feeling is also recognizable in the 'Dittamondo' of Fazio degli Uberti, composed about the year 1360—a description of

visionary travels, in which the author is accompanied by the old geographer Solinus, as Dante was by Virgil. They visit Bari in memory of St. Nicholas, and Monte Gargano of the archangel Michael, and in Rome the legends of Araceli and of Santa Maria in Trastevere are mentioned. Still, the pagan splendour of ancient Rome unmistakably exercises a greater charm upon them. A venerable matron in torn garments—Rome herself is meant—tells them of the glorious past, and gives them a minute description of the old triumphs;³⁶¹ she then leads the strangers through the city, and points out to them the seven hills and many of the chief ruins—‘che comprender potrai, quanto fui bella.’

Unfortunately this Rome of the schismatic and Avignonese popes was no longer, in respect of classical remains, what it had been some generations earlier. The destruction of 140 fortified houses of the Roman nobles by the senator Brancaleone in 1257 must have wholly altered the character of the most important buildings then standing; for the nobles had no doubt ensconced themselves in the loftiest and best-preserved of the ruins.³⁶² Nevertheless, far more was left than we now find, and probably many of the remains had still their marble incrustation, their pillared entrances, and their other ornaments, where we now see nothing but the skeleton of brickwork. In this state of things, the first beginnings of a topographical study of the old city were made.

In Poggio's walks through Rome³⁶³ the study of the remains themselves is for the first time more intimately combined with that of the ancient authors and inscriptions—the latter he sought out from among all the vegetation in which they were imbedded³⁶⁴—the writer's imagination is severely restrained, and the memories of Christian Rome carefully excluded. The only pity is that Poggio's work was not fuller and was not illustrated with sketches. Far more was left in his time than was found by Raphael eighty years later. He saw the tomb of Cæcilia Metella and the columns in front of one of the temples on the slope of the Capitol, first in full preservation, and then afterwards half destroyed, owing to that unfortunate quality which marble possesses of being easily burnt into lime. A vast colonnade near the Minerva fell piecemeal a victim to the same fate. A witness in the year 1443 tells us that this manufacture of lime still went on; ‘which is a shame, for the new buildings are pitiful, and the beauty of Rome is in its ruins.’³⁶⁵ The inhabitants of that day, in their peasants' cloaks and boots, looked to foreigners like cowerds; and in fact the cattle were pastured in the city up to the Banchi. The only opportunities for social gatherings were the services at church, on which occasion it was possible to get a sight of the beautiful women.

In the last years of Eugenius IV (d. 1447) Blondus of Forlì wrote his

The last
extensive
destruction

Poggio's
Rome

Pl. 140

'Roma Instaurata,' making use of Frontinus and of the old 'Libri Regionali,' as well as, it seems, of Anastasius. His object is not only the description of what existed, but still more the recovery of what was lost. In accordance with the dedication to the Pope, he consoles himself for the general ruin by the thought of the precious relics of the saints in which Rome was so rich.

The Popes

With Nicholas V (1447—1455) that new monumental spirit which was distinctive of the age of the Renaissance appeared on the papal throne. The new passion for embellishing the city brought with it on the one hand a fresh danger for the ruins, on the other a respect for them, as forming one of Rome's claims to distinction. Pius II was wholly possessed by antiquarian enthusiasm, and if he speaks little of the antiquities of Rome, he closely studied those of all other parts of Italy, and was the first to know and describe accurately the remains which abounded in the districts for miles around the capital.³⁶⁶ It is true that, both as priest and cosmographer, he is interested alike in classical and Christian monuments and in the marvels of nature. Or was he doing violence to himself when he wrote that Nola was more highly honoured by the memory of St. Paulinus than by all its classical reminiscences and by the heroic struggle of Marcellus? Not, indeed, that his faith in relics was assumed; but his mind was evidently rather disposed to an inquiring interest in nature and antiquity, to a zeal for monumental works, to a keen and delicate observation of human life. In the last years of his Papacy, afflicted with the gout and yet in the most cheerful mood, he was borne in his litter over hill and dale to Tusculum, Alba, Tibur, Ostia, Falerii, and Otriculum, and whatever he saw he noted down. He followed the line of the Roman roads and aqueducts, and tried to fix the boundaries of the old tribes who dwelt round the city. On an excursion to Tivoli with the great Federigo of Urbino the time was happily spent in talk on the military system of the ancients, and particularly on the Trojan war. Even on his journey to the Congress of Mantua (1459) he searched, though unsuccessfully, for the labyrinth of Clusium mentioned by Pliny, and visited the so-called villa of Virgil on the Mincio. That such a Pope should demand a classical Latin style from his abbreviators, is no more than might be expected. It was he who, in the war with Naples, granted an amnesty to the men of Arpinum, as countrymen of Cicero and Marius, after whom many of them were named. It was to him alone, as both judge and patron, that Blondus could dedicate his 'Roma Triumphans,' the first great attempt at a complete exposition of Roman antiquity.

Antiquity
outside Rome

Nor was the enthusiasm for the classical past of Italy confined at this period to the capital. Boccaccio³⁶⁷ had already called the vast ruins of Baia 'old walls, yet new for modern spirits'; and since this time they were held to be the most interesting sight near Naples. Collections of antiquities of all

sorts now became common. Ciriaco of Ancona (d. 1457) travelled, not only through Italy, but through other countries of the old world, and brought back with him countless inscriptions and sketches. When asked why he took all this trouble, he replied, 'To wake the dead.'³⁶⁸ The histories of the various cities of Italy had from the earliest times laid claim to some true or imagined connection with Rome, had alleged some settlement or colonization which started from the capital;³⁶⁹ and the obliging manufacturers of pedigrees seem constantly to have derived various families from the oldest and most famous blood of Rome. So highly was the distinction valued, that men clung to it even in the light of the dawning criticism of the fifteenth century. When Pius II was at Viterbo³⁷⁰ he said frankly to the Roman deputies who begged him to return, 'Rome is as much my home as Siena, for my House, the Piccolomini, came in early times from the capital to Siena, as is proved by the constant use of the names *Æneas* and *Sylvius* in my family.' He would probably have had no objection to be held a descendant of the *Julii*. Paul II, a Barbo of Venice, found his vanity flattered by deducing his House, notwithstanding an adverse pedigree, according to which it came from Germany, from the Roman *Ahenobarbus*, who led a colony to Parma, and whose successors were driven by party conflicts to migrate to Venice.³⁷¹ That the *Massimi* claimed descent from *Q. Fabius Maximus*, and the *Cornaro* from the *Cornelii*, cannot surprise us. On the other hand, it is a strikingly exceptional fact for the sixteenth century that the novelist *Bandello* tried to connect his blood with a noble family of *Ostrogoths* (i. nov. 23).

Descent from
old Roman
families

To return to Rome. The inhabitants, 'who then called themselves Romans,' accepted greedily the homage which was offered them by the rest of Italy. Under Paul II, Sixtus IV, and Alexander VI magnificent processions formed part of the Carnival, representing the scene most attractive to the imagination of the time—the triumph of the Roman Emperor. The sentiment of the people expressed itself naturally in this shape and others like it. In this mood of public feeling, a report arose, that on April 18, 1485, the corpse of a young Roman lady of the classical period—wonderfully beautiful and in perfect preservation—had been discovered.³⁷² Some Lombard masons digging out an ancient tomb on an estate of the convent of *Santa Maria Nuova*, on the *Appian Way* beyond the tomb of *Cæcilia Metella*, were said to have found a marble sarcophagus with the inscription, '*Julia*, daughter of *Claudius*.' On this basis the following story was built. The Lombards disappeared with the jewels and treasure which were found with the corpse in the sarcophagus. The body had been coated with an antiseptic essence, and was as fresh and flexible as that of a girl of fifteen the hour after death. It was said that she still kept the colours of life, with eyes and mouth half open. She was taken to the palace of the '*Conservatori*' on the *Capitol*;

The Roman
corpse

and then a pilgrimage to see her began. Among the crowd were many who came to paint her; 'for she was more beautiful than can be said or written, and, were it said or written, it would not be believed by those who had not seen her.' By the order of Innocent VIII she was secretly buried one night outside the Pincian Gate; the empty sarcophagus remained in the court of the 'Conservatori.' Probably a coloured mask of wax or some other material was modelled in the classical style on the face of the corpse, with which the gilded hair of which we read would harmonize admirably. The touching point in the story is not the fact itself, but the firm belief that an ancient body, which was now thought to be at last really before men's eyes, must of necessity be far more beautiful than anything of modern date.

The new
excavations

Meanwhile the material knowledge of old Rome was increased by excavations. Under Alexander VI the so-called 'Grotesques,' that is, the mural decorations of the ancients, were discovered, and the Apollo of the Belvedere was found at Porto d'Anzio. Under Julius II followed the memorable discoveries of the Laocoön, of the Venus of the Vatican, of the Torso of the Cleopatra.³⁷³ The palaces of the nobles and the cardinals began to be filled with ancient statues and fragments. Raphael undertook for Leo X that ideal restoration of the whole ancient city which his (or Castiglione's) celebrated letter (1518 or 1519) speaks of.³⁷⁴ After a bitter complaint over the devastations which had not even then ceased, and which had been particularly frequent under Julius II, he beseeches the Pope to protect the few relics which were left, to testify to the power and greatness of that divine soul of antiquity whose memory was inspiration to all who were capable of higher things. He then goes on with penetrating judgement to lay the foundations of a comparative history of art, and concludes by giving the definition of an architectural survey which has been accepted since his time; he requires the ground plan, section, and elevation separately of every building that remained. How archæology devoted itself after his day to the study of the venerated city and grew into a special science, and how the Vitruvian Academy at all events proposed to itself great aims,³⁷⁵ cannot here

and surveys

The reign of
Leo X

be related. Let us rather pause at the days of Leo X, under whom the enjoyment of antiquity combined with all other pleasures to give to Roman life a unique stamp and consecration. The Vatican resounded with song and music, and their echoes were heard through the city as a call to joy and gladness, though Leo did not succeed thereby in banishing care and pain from his own life, and his deliberate calculation to prolong his days by cheerfulness was frustrated by an early death.³⁷⁶ The Rome of Leo, as described by Paolo Giovio, forms a picture too splendid to turn away from, unmistakable as are also its darker aspects—the slavery of those who were struggling to rise; the secret misery of the prelates, who, notwithstanding

heavy debts, were forced to live in a style befitting their rank;³⁷⁷ the system of literary patronage, which drove men to be parasites or adventurers; and, lastly, the scandalous maladministration of the finances of the state.³⁷⁸ Yet the same Ariosto who knew and ridiculed all this so well, gives in the sixth satire a longing picture of his expected intercourse with the accomplished poets who would conduct him through the city of ruins, of the learned counsel which he would there find for his own literary efforts, and of the treasures of the Vatican library. These, he says, and not the long-abandoned hope of Medicean protection, were the real baits which attracted him, when he was asked to go as Ferrarese ambassador to Rome.

Pl. 252

But the ruins within and outside Rome awakened not only archæological zeal and patriotic enthusiasm, but an elegiac or sentimental melancholy. In Petrarch and Boccaccio we find touches of this feeling (pp. 92, 94). Poggio (p. 93) often visited the temple of Venus at Rome, in the belief that it was that of Castor and Pollux, where the senate used so often to meet, and would lose himself in memories of the great orators Crassus, Hortensius, Cicero. The language of Pius II, especially in describing Tivoli, has a thoroughly sentimental ring,³⁷⁹ and soon afterwards (1467) appeared the first pictures of ruins, with a commentary by Polifilo.³⁸⁰ Ruins of mighty arches and colonnades, half hid in plane-trees, laurels, cypresses, and brushwood, figure in his pages. In the sacred legends it became the custom, we can hardly say how, to lay the scene of the birth of Christ in the ruins of a magnificent palace.³⁸¹ That artificial ruins became afterwards a necessity of landscape gardening, is only a practical consequence of this feeling.

Sentiment
concerning
ruins

But the literary bequests of antiquity, Greek as well as Latin, were of far more importance than the architectural, and indeed than all the artistic remains which it had left. They were held in the most absolute sense to be the springs of all knowledge. The literary conditions of that age of great discoveries have been often set forth; no more can be here attempted than to point out a few less-known features of the picture.³⁸²

Ancient
literature
in the
14th Century

Great as was the influence of the old writers on the Italian mind in the fourteenth century and before, yet that influence was due rather to the wide diffusion of what had long been known, than to the discovery of much that was new. The most popular Latin poets, historians, orators, and letter-writers, together with a number of Latin translations of single works of Aristotle, Plutarch, and a few other Greek authors, constituted the treasure from which a few favoured individuals in the time of Petrarch and Boccaccio drew their inspiration. The former, as is well known, owned and kept with religious care a Greek Homer, which he was unable to read. A complete Latin translation of the 'Iliad' and 'Odyssey,' though a very bad one, was made at Petrarch's suggestion and with Boccaccio's help by a Calabrian

Greek, Leonzio Pilato. But with the fifteenth century began the long list of new discoveries, the systematic creation of libraries by means of copies, and the rapid multiplication of translations from the Greek.³⁸³

and in the
15th Century

Had it not been for the enthusiasm of a few collectors of that age, who shrank from no effort or privation in their researches, we should certainly possess only a small part of the literature, especially that of the Greeks, which is now in our hands. Pope Nicholas V, when only a simple monk, ran deeply into debt through buying manuscripts or having them copied. Even then he made no secret of his passion for the two great interests of the Renaissance, books and buildings.³⁸⁴ As Pope he kept his word. Copyists wrote and spies searched for him through half the world. Perotto received 500 ducats for the Latin translation of Polybius; Guarino, 1,000 gold florins for that of Strabo, and he would have been paid 500 more but for the death of the Pope. Filelfo was to have received 10,000 gold florins for a metrical translation of Homer, and was only prevented by the Pope's death from coming from Milan to Rome. Nicholas left a collection of 5,000, or, according to another way of calculating, of 9,000 volumes,³⁸⁵ for the use of the members of the Curia, which became the foundation of the library of the Vatican. It was to be preserved in the palace itself, as its noblest ornament, like the library of Ptolemy Philadelphus at Alexandria. When the plague (1450) drove him and his court to Fabriano, whence then, as now, the best paper was procured, he took his translators and compilers with him, that he might run no risk of losing them.

The Libraries
Pls. 201—206

The Florentine Niccolò Niccoli,³⁸⁶ a member of that accomplished circle of friends which surrounded the elder Cosimo de' Medici, spent his whole fortune in buying books. At last, when his money was all gone, the Medici put their purse at his disposal for any sum which his purpose might require. We owe to him the completion of Ammianus Marcellinus, of the 'De Oratore' of Cicero, and other works; he persuaded Cosimo to buy the best manuscript of Pliny from a monastery at Lübeck. With noble confidence he lent his books to those who asked for them, allowed all comers to study them in his own house, and was ready to converse with the students on what they had read. His collection of 800 volumes, valued at 6,000 gold florins, passed after his death, through Cosimo's intervention, to the monastery of San Marco, on the condition that it should be accessible to the public.

Poggio

Of the two great book-finders, Guarino and Poggio, the latter,³⁸⁷ on the occasion of the Council of Constance and acting partly as the agent of Niccoli, searched industriously among the abbeys of South Germany. He there discovered six orations of Cicero, and the first complete Quintilian, that of St. Gall, now at Zürich; in thirty-two days he is said to have copied the whole of it in a beautiful handwriting. He was able to make important

additions to Silius Italicus, Manilius, Lucretius, Valerius, Flaccus, Asconius Pedianus, Columella, Celsus, Aulus Gellius, Statius, and others; and with the help of Leonardo Aretino he unearthed the last twelve comedies of Plautus, as well as the Verrine orations.

The famous Greek, Cardinal Bessarion,³⁸⁸ in whom patriotism was mingled with a zeal for letters, collected, at a great sacrifice, 600 manuscripts of pagan and Christian authors. He then looked round for some receptacle where they could safely lie until his unhappy country, if she ever regained her freedom, could reclaim her lost literature. The Venetian government declared itself ready to erect a suitable building, and to this day the Biblioteca Marciana retains a part of these treasures.³⁸⁹

The formation of the celebrated Medicean library has a history of its own, into which we cannot here enter. The chief collector for Lorenzo il Magnifico was Johannes Lascaris. It is well known that the collection, after the plundering in the year 1494, had to be recovered piecemeal by the Cardinal Giovanni Medici, afterwards Leo X.

The library of Urbino,³⁹⁰ now in the Vatican, was wholly the work of the great Frederick of Montefeltro (p. 25 sqq.). As a boy he had begun to collect; in after years he kept thirty or forty 'scrittori' employed in various places, and spent in the course of time no less than 30,000 ducats on the collection. It was systematically extended and completed, chiefly by the help of Vespasiano, and his account of it forms an ideal picture of a library of the Renaissance. At Urbino there were catalogues of the libraries of the Vatican, of St. Mark at Florence, of the Visconti at Pavia, and even of the library at Oxford. It was noted with pride that in richness and completeness none could rival Urbino. Theology and the Middle Ages were perhaps most fully represented. There was a complete Thomas Aquinas, a complete Albertus Magnus, a complete Bonaventura. The collection, however, was a many-sided one, and included every work on medicine which was then to be had. Among the 'moderns' the great writers of the fourteenth century—Dante and Boccaccio, with their complete works—occupied the first place. Then followed twenty-five select humanists, invariably with both their Latin and Italian writings and with all their translations. Among the Greek manuscripts the Fathers of the Church far outnumbered the rest; yet in the list of the classics we find all the works of Sophocles, all of Pindar, and all of Menander. The last must have quickly disappeared from Urbino,³⁹¹ else the philologists would have soon edited it.

We have, further, a good deal of information as to the way in which manuscripts and libraries were multiplied. The purchase of an ancient manuscript, which contained a rare, or the only complete, or the only existing text of an old writer, was naturally a lucky accident of which we need take

The Library
of Urbino

Copyists and
Scrittori
Pls. 207—212

no further account. Among the professional copyists those who understood Greek took the highest place, and it was they especially who bore the honourable name of 'scrittori.' Their number was always limited, and the pay they received very large.³⁹² The rest, simply called 'copisti,' were partly mere clerks who made their living by such work, partly schoolmasters and needy men of learning, who desired an addition to their income. The copyists at Rome in the time of Nicholas V were mostly Germans or Frenchmen³⁹³—'barbarians' as the Italian humanists called them, probably men who were in search of favours at the papal court, and who kept themselves alive meanwhile by this means. When Cosimo de' Medici was in a hurry to form a library for his favourite foundation, the Badia below Fiesole, he sent for Vespasiano, and received from him the advice to give up all thoughts of purchasing books, since those which were worth getting could not be had easily, but rather to make use of the copyists; whereupon Cosimo bargained to pay him so much a day, and Vespasiano, with forty-five writers under him, delivered 200 volumes in twenty-two months.³⁹⁴ The catalogue of the works to be copied was sent to Cosimo by Nicholas V,³⁹⁵ who wrote it with his own hand. Ecclesiastical literature and the books needed for the choral services naturally held the chief place in the list.

The handwriting was that beautiful modern Italian which was already in use in the preceding century, and which makes the sight of one of the books of that time a pleasure. Pope Nicholas V, Poggio, Giannozzo Mannetti, Niccolò Niccoli, and other distinguished scholars, themselves wrote a beautiful hand, and desired and tolerated none other. The decorative adjuncts, even when miniatures formed no part of them, were full of taste, as may be seen especially in the Laurentian manuscripts, with the light and graceful scrolls which begin and end the lines. The material used to write on, when the work was ordered by great or wealthy people, was always parchment; the binding, both in the Vatican and at Urbino, was a uniform crimson velvet with silver clasps. Where there was so much care to show honour to the contents of a book by the beauty of its outward form, it is intelligible that the sudden appearance of printed books was greeted at first with anything but favour. Frederick of Urbino 'would have been ashamed to own a printed book.'³⁹⁶

Printing

But the weary copyists—not those who lived by the trade, but the many who were forced to copy a book in order to have it—rejoiced at the German invention.³⁹⁷ It was soon applied in Italy to the multiplication first of the Latin and then of the Greek authors, and for a long period nowhere but in Italy, yet it spread with by no means the rapidity which might have been expected from the general enthusiasm for these works. After a while the modern relation between author and publisher began to develop itself,³⁹⁸

and under Alexander VI, when it was no longer easy to destroy a book, as Cosimo could make Filelfo promise to do,³⁹⁹ the prohibitive censorship made its appearance.

Pl. 196

The growth of textual criticism which accompanied the advancing study of languages and antiquity, belongs as little to the subject of this book as the history of scholarship in general. We are here occupied, not with the learning of the Italians in itself, but with the reproduction of antiquity in literature and life. One word more on the studies themselves may still be permissible.

Greek scholarship was chiefly confined to Florence and to the fifteenth and the beginning of the sixteenth centuries.

Review of
Greek
Scholarship

The impulse which proceeded from Petrarch and Boccaccio, superficial as was their own acquaintance with Greek, was powerful, but did not tell immediately on their contemporaries;⁴⁰⁰ on the other hand, the study of Greek literature died out about the year 1520⁴⁰¹ with the last of the colony of learned Greek exiles, and it was a singular piece of fortune that northerners like Erasmus, the Stephani, and Budæus had meanwhile made themselves masters of the language. That colony had begun with Manuel Chrysoloras and his relation John, and with George of Trebizond. Then followed, about and after the time of the conquest of Constantinople, John Argyropoulos, Theodore Gaza, Demetrios Chalcondylas, who brought up his sons Theophilos and Basilios to be excellent Hellenists, Andronikos Kallistos, Marcos Musuros and the family of the Lascaris, not to mention others. But after the subjection of Greece by the Turks was completed, the succession of scholars was maintained only by the sons of the fugitives and perhaps here and there by some Candian or Cyprian refugee. That the decay of Hellenistic studies began about the time of the death of Leo X was owing partly to a general change of intellectual attitude,⁴⁰² and to a certain satiety of classical influences which now made itself felt; but its coincidence with the death of the Greek fugitives was not wholly a matter of accident. The study of Greek among the Italians appears, if we take the year 1500 as our standard, to have been pursued with extraordinary zeal. The youths of that day learned to speak the language, and half a century later, like the Popes Paul III and Paul IV, they could still do so in their old age.⁴⁰³ But this sort of mastery of the study presupposes intercourse with native Greeks.

Pl. 199

Its early
decline

Besides Florence, Rome and Padua nearly always maintained paid teachers of Greek, and Verona, Ferrara, Venice, Perugia, Pavia and other cities occasional teachers.⁴⁰⁴ Hellenistic studies owed a priceless debt to the press of Aldo Manucci at Venice, where the most important and voluminous writers were for the first time printed in the original. Aldo ventured his all

in the enterprise; he was an editor and publisher whose like the world has rarely seen.

Oriental
studies

Along with this classical revival, Oriental studies now assumed considerable proportions. The controversial writings of the great Florentine statesman and scholar, Giannozzo Manetti⁴⁰⁵ (d. 1459) against the Jews afford an early instance of a complete mastery of their language and science. His son Agnolo was from his childhood instructed in Latin, Greek, and Hebrew. The father, at the bidding of Nicholas V, translated the whole Bible, as learned opinion of the time insisted on giving up the 'Vulgata.'⁴⁰⁶

Many other humanists devoted themselves, before Reuchlin to the study of Hebrew, among them Pico della Mirandola, who was not satisfied with a knowledge of the Hebrew grammar and Scriptures, but penetrated into the Jewish Cabbalah and even made himself as familiar with the literature of the Talmud as any Rabbi.

Among the Oriental languages, Arabic was studied as well as Hebrew. The science of medicine, no longer satisfied with the older Latin translations of the great Arab physicians, had constant recourse to the originals, to which an easy access was offered by the Venetian consulates in the East, where Italian doctors were regularly kept. Hieronimo Ramusio, a Venetian physician, translated a great part of Avicenna from the Arabic and died at Damascus in 1486. Andrea Mongaio of Belluno,⁴⁰⁷ a disciple of the same Avicenna, lived long at Damascus, learnt Arabic, and improved on his master. The Venetian government afterwards appointed him as professor of this subject at Padua.

Pico della
Mirandola
Pl. 232

We must here linger for a moment over Pico della Mirandola, before passing on to the general effects of humanism. He was the only man who loudly and vigorously defended the truth and science of all ages against the one-sided worship of classical antiquity.⁴⁰⁸ He knew how to value not only Averroës and the Jewish investigators, but also the scholastic writers of the Middle Ages, according to the matter of their writings. He seems to hear them say, 'We shall live for ever, not in the schools of word-catchers, but in the circle of the wise, where they talk not of the mother of Andromache or of the sons of Niobe, but of the deeper causes of things human and divine; he who looks closely will see that even the barbarians had intelligence (*mercurium*), not on the tongue but in the breast.' Himself writing a vigorous and not inelegant Latin, and a master of clear exposition, he despised the purism of pedants and the current over-estimate of borrowed forms, especially when joined, as they often are, with one-sidedness, and involving indifference to the wider truth of the things themselves. Looking at Pico, we can guess at the lofty flight which Italian philosophy would have taken had not the counter-reformation annihilated the higher spiritual life of the people.

Who now were those who acted as mediators between their own age and a venerated antiquity, and made the latter a chief element in the culture of the former?

Influence of
Antiquity on
Culture

They were a crowd of the most miscellaneous sort, wearing one face to-day and another to-morrow; but they clearly felt themselves, and it was fully recognized by their time, that they formed a wholly new element in society. The 'clerici vagantes' of the twelfth century, whose poetry we have already referred to (p. 91), may perhaps be taken as their forerunners—the same unstable existence, the same free and more than free views of life, and the germs at all events of the same pagan tendencies in their poetry. (But now, as competitor with the whole culture of the Middle Ages, which was essentially clerical and was fostered by the Church, there appeared a new civilization, founding itself on that which lay on the other side of the Middle Ages. Its active representatives became influential⁴⁰⁹ because they knew what the ancients knew, because they tried to write as the ancients wrote, because they began to think, and soon to feel, as the ancients thought and felt. The tradition to which they devoted themselves passed at a thousand points into genuine reproduction.

Some modern writers deplore the fact that the germs of a far more independent and essentially national culture, such as appeared in Florence about the year 1300, were afterwards so completely swamped by the humanists.⁴¹⁰ There was then, we are told, nobody in Florence who could not read; even the donkey-men sang the verses of Dante; the best Italian manuscripts which we possess belonged originally to Florentine artisans; the publication of a popular encyclopædia, like the 'Tesoro' of Brunetto Latini, was then possible; and all this was founded on a strength and soundness of character due to the universal participation in public affairs, to commerce and travel, and to the systematic reprobation of idleness. The Florentines, it is urged, were at that time respected and influential throughout the whole world, and were called in that year, not without reason, by Pope Boniface VIII, 'the fifth element.' The rapid progress of humanism after the year 1400 paralysed native impulses. Henceforth men looked to antiquity only for the solution of every problem, and consequently allowed literature to sink into mere quotation. Nay, the very fall of civil freedom is partly to be ascribed to all this, since the new learning rested on obedience to authority, sacrificed municipal rights to Roman law, and thereby both sought and found the favour of the despots.

Its
disadvantages

These charges will occupy us now and then at a later stage of our inquiry, when we shall attempt to reduce them to their true value, and to weigh the losses against the gains of this movement. For the present we must confine ourselves to showing how the civilization even of the vigorous fourteenth

Its
inevitability

century necessarily prepared the way for the complete victory of humanism, and how precisely the greatest representatives of the national Italian spirit were themselves the men who opened wide the gate for the measureless devotion to antiquity in the fifteenth century.

Dante
Pls. 213—218

To begin with Dante. If a succession of men of equal genius had presided over Italian culture, whatever elements their natures might have absorbed from the antique, they still could not fail to retain a characteristic and strongly-marked national stamp. But neither Italy nor Western Europe produced another Dante, and he was and remained the man who first thrust antiquity into the foreground of national culture. In the 'Divine Comedy' he treats the ancient and the Christian worlds, not indeed as of equal authority, but as parallel to one another. Just as, at an earlier period of the Middle Ages types and antitypes were sought in the history of the Old and New Testaments, so does Dante constantly bring together a Christian and a pagan illustration of the same fact.⁴¹¹ It must be remembered that the Christian cycle of history and legend was familiar, while the ancient was relatively unknown, was full of promise and of interest, and must necessarily have gained the upper hand in the competition for public sympathy when there was no longer a Dante to hold the balance between the two.

Petrarch
Pls. 221, 222

Petrarch, who lives in the memory of most people nowadays chiefly as a great Italian poet, owed his fame among his contemporaries far rather to the fact that he was a kind of living representative of antiquity, that he imitated all styles of Latin poetry, endeavoured by his voluminous historical and philosophical writings not to supplant but to make known the works of the ancients, and wrote letters that, as treatises on matters of antiquarian interest, obtained a reputation which to us is unintelligible, but which was natural enough in an age without handbooks.

Boccaccio
Pls. 219, 220

It was the same with Boccaccio. For two centuries, when but little was known of the 'Decameron' north of the Alps, he was famous all over Europe simply on account of his Latin compilations on mythology, geography, and biography. One of these, 'De Genealogia Deorum,' contains in the fourteenth and fifteenth books a remarkable appendix, in which he discusses the position of the then youthful humanism with regard to the age. We must not be misled by his exclusive references to 'poesia,' as closer observation shows that he means thereby the whole mental activity of the poet-scholars.⁴¹² This it is whose enemies he so vigorously combats—the frivolous ignoramuses who have no soul for anything but debauchery; the sophistical theologian, to whom Helicon, the Castalian fountain, and the grove of Apollo were foolishness; the greedy lawyers, to whom poetry was a superfluity, since no money was to be made by it; finally the mendicant friars, described periphrastically, but clearly enough, who made free with their charges of paganism

and immorality.⁴¹³ Then follows the defence of poetry, the praise of it, and especially of the deeper and allegorical meanings which we must always attribute to it, and of that calculated obscurity which is intended to repel the dull minds of the ignorant.

And finally, with a clear reference to his own scholarly work,⁴¹⁴ the writer justifies the new relation in which his age stood to paganism. The case was wholly different, he pleads, when the Early Church had to fight its way among the heathen. Now—praised be Jesus Christ!—true religion was strengthened, paganism destroyed, and the victorious Church in possession of the hostile camp. It was now possible to touch and study paganism almost (*ferè*) without danger. This is the argument invariably used in later times to defend the Renaissance.

There was thus a new cause in the world and a new class of men to maintain it. It is idle to ask if this cause ought not to have stopped short in its career of victory, to have restrained itself deliberately, and conceded the first place to purely national elements of culture. No conviction was more firmly rooted in the popular mind, than that antiquity was the highest title to glory which Italy possessed.

There was a symbolical ceremony familiar to this generation of poet-scholars which lasted on into the fifteenth and sixteenth centuries, though losing the higher sentiment which inspired it—the coronation of the poets with the laurel wreath. The origin of this system in the Middle Ages is obscure, and the ritual of the ceremony never became fixed. It was a public demonstration, an outward and visible expression of literary enthusiasm,⁴¹⁵ and naturally its form was variable. Dante, for instance, seems to have understood it in the sense of a half-religious consecration; he desired to assume the wreath in the baptistry of San Giovanni, where, like thousands of other Florentine children, he had received baptism.⁴¹⁶ He could, says his biographer, have anywhere received the crown in virtue of his fame, but desired it nowhere but in his native city, and therefore died uncrowned. From the same source we learn that the usage was till then uncommon, and was held to be inherited by the ancient Romans from the Greeks. The most recent source to which the practices could be referred is to be found in the Capitoline contests of musicians, poets, and other artists, founded by Domitian in imitation of the Greeks and celebrated every five years, which may possibly have survived for a time the fall of the Roman Empire; but as few other men would venture to crown themselves, as Dante desired to do, the question arises, to whom did this office belong? Albertino Mussato (p. 76) was crowned at Padua in 1310 by the bishop and the rector of the University. The University of Paris, the rector of which was then a Florentine (1341), and the municipal authorities of Rome, competed for the honour of

Humanism
and Religion

The
Coronation
of Poets
Pl. 223

Prerogatives
of the
Emperors

Pl. 229

Pl. 237

crowning Petrarch. His self-elected examiner, King Robert of Anjou, would gladly have performed the ceremony at Naples, but Petrarch preferred to be crowned on the Capitol by the senator of Rome. This honour was long the highest object of ambition, and so it seemed to Jacobus Pizinga, an illustrious Sicilian magistrate.⁴¹⁷ Then came the Italian journey of Charles IV, whom it amused to flatter the vanity of ambitious men, and impress the ignorant multitude by means of gorgeous ceremonies. Starting from the fiction that the coronation of poets was a prerogative of the old Roman emperors, and consequently was no less his own, he crowned (May 15, 1355) the Florentine scholar, Zanobi della Strada, at Pisa, to the great disgust of Boccaccio, who declined to recognize this 'laurea Pisana' as legitimate.⁴¹⁸ Indeed it might be fairly asked with what right this stranger, half Slavonic by birth, came to sit in judgement on the merits of Italian poets. But from henceforth the emperors crowned poets wherever they went on their travels; and in the fifteenth century the popes and other princes assumed the same right, till at last no regard whatever was paid to place or circumstances. In Rome, under Sixtus IV, the academy⁴¹⁹ of Pomponius Lætus gave the wreath on its own authority. The Florentines had the good taste not to crown their famous humanists till after death. Carlo Aretino and Leonardo Aretino were thus crowned; the eulogy of the first was pronounced by Matteo Palmieri, of the latter by Giannozzo Mannetti, before the members of the council and the whole people, the orator standing at the head of the bier, on which the corpse lay clad in a silken robe.⁴²⁰ Carlo Aretino was further honoured by a tomb in Santa Croce, which is among the most beautiful in the whole course of the Renaissance.

The
Universities
Pls. 198—201

The influence of antiquity on culture, of which we have now to speak, presupposes that the new learning had gained possession of the universities. This was so, but by no means to the extent and with the results which might have been expected.

Few of the Italian universities⁴²¹ show themselves in their full vigour till the thirteenth and fourteenth centuries, when the increase of wealth rendered a more systematic care for education possible. At first there were generally three sorts of professorships—one for civil law, another for canonical law, the third for medicine; in course of time professorships of rhetoric, of philosophy, and of astronomy were added, the last commonly, though not always, identical with astrology. The salaries varied greatly in different cases. Sometimes a capital sum was paid down. With the spread of culture competition became so active that the different universities tried to entice away distinguished teachers from one another, under which circumstances Bologna is said to have sometimes devoted the half of its public income (20,000 ducats) to the university. The appointments were as a rule made only for a certain

time,⁴²² sometimes for only half a year, so that the teachers were forced to lead a wandering life, like actors. Appointments for life were, however, not unknown. Sometimes the promise was exacted not to teach elsewhere what had already been taught at one place. There were also voluntary, unpaid professors.

Of the chairs which have been mentioned, that of rhetoric was especially sought by the humanist; yet it depended only on his familiarity with the matter of ancient learning whether or no he could aspire to those of law, medicine, philosophy, or astronomy. The inward conditions of the science of the day were as variable as the outward conditions of the teacher. Certain jurists and physicians received by far the largest salaries of all, the former chiefly as consulting lawyers for the suits and claims of the state which employed them. In Padua a lawyer of the fifteenth century received a salary of 1,000 ducats,⁴²³ and it was proposed to appoint a celebrated physician with a yearly payment of 2,000 ducats, and the right of private practice,⁴²⁴ the same man having previously received 700 gold florins at Pisa. When the jurist Bartolommeo Socini, professor at Pisa, accepted a Venetian appointment at Padua, and was on the point of starting on his journey, he was arrested by the Florentine government and only released on payment of bail to the amount of 18,000 gold florins.⁴²⁵ The high estimation in which these branches of science were held makes it intelligible why distinguished philologists turned their attention to law and medicine, while on the other hand specialists were more and more compelled to acquire something of a wide literary culture. We shall presently have occasion to speak of the work of the humanists in other departments of practical life.

Nevertheless, the position of the philologists, as such, even where the salary was large,⁴²⁶ and did not exclude other sources of income, was on the whole uncertain and temporary, so that one and the same teacher could be connected with a great variety of institutions. It is evident that change was desired for its own sake, and something fresh expected from each newcomer, as was natural at a time when science was in the making, and consequently depended to no small degree on the personal influence of the teacher. Nor was it always the case that a lecturer on classical authors really belonged to the university of the town where he taught. Communication was so easy, and the supply of suitable accommodation, in monasteries and elsewhere, was so abundant, that a private undertaking was often practicable. In the first decades of the fifteenth century,⁴²⁷ when the University of Florence was at its greatest brilliance, when the courtiers of Eugenius IV, and perhaps even of Martin V thronged to the lecture-rooms, when Carlo Aretino and Filelfo were competing for the largest audience, there existed, not only an almost complete university among the Augustinians of Santo Spirito, not only an

Position
of the
Humanists
therein

Subsidiary
Institutions

association of scholars among the Camaldolesi of the Angeli, but individuals of mark, either singly or in common, arranged to provide philosophical and philological teaching for themselves and others. Linguistic and antiquarian studies in Rome had next to no connection with the university (Sapienza), and depended almost exclusively either on the favour of individual popes and prelates, or on the appointments made in the Papal chancery. It was not till Leo X (1513) that the great reorganization of the Sapienza took place, with its eighty-eight lecturers, among whom there were able men, though none of the first rank, at the head of the archæological department. But this new brilliancy was of short duration. We have already spoken briefly of the Greek professorships in Italy (pp. 101 sqq.).

To form an accurate picture of the method of scientific instruction then pursued, we must turn away our eyes as far as possible from our present academic system. Personal intercourse between the teachers and the taught, public disputations, the constant use of Latin and often of Greek, the frequent changes of lecturers and the scarcity of books, gave the studies of that time a colour which we cannot represent to ourselves without effort.

Latin Schools

There were Latin schools in every town of the least importance, not by any means merely as preparatory to higher education, but because, next to reading, writing, and arithmetic, the knowledge of Latin was a necessity; and after Latin came logic. It is to be noted particularly that these schools did not depend on the Church, but on the municipality; some of them, too, were merely private enterprises.

This school system, directed by a few distinguished humanists, not only attained a remarkable perfection of organization, but became an instrument of higher education in the modern sense of the phrase. With the education of the children of two princely houses in North Italy institutions were connected which may be called unique of their kind.

Free
Education:
Vittorino

At the court of Giovan Francesco Gonzaga at Mantua (reg. 1407 to 1444) appeared the illustrious Vittorino da Feltre,⁴²⁸ one of those men who devote their whole life to an object for which their natural gifts constitute a special vocation.

He directed the education of the sons and daughters of the princely house, and one of the latter became under his care a woman of learning. When his reputation extended far and wide over Italy, and members of great and wealthy families came from long distances, even from Germany, in search of his instructions, Gonzaga was not only willing that they should be received, but seems to have held it an honour for Mantua to be the chosen school of the aristocratic world. Here for the first time gymnastics and all noble bodily exercises were treated along with scientific instruction as indispensable to a liberal education. Besides these pupils came others, whose

instruction Vittorino probably held to be his highest earthly aim, the gifted poor, whom he supported in his house and educated, 'per l'amore di Dio,' along with the high-born youths who here learned to live under the same roof with untitled genius. Gonzaga paid him a yearly salary of 300 gold florins, and contributed to the expenses caused by the poorer pupils. He knew that Vittorino never saved a penny for himself, and doubtless realized that the education of the poor was the unexpressed condition of his presence. The establishment was conducted on strictly religious lines, stricter indeed than many monasteries.

More stress was laid on pure scholarship by Guarino of Verona⁴²⁰ (1370—1460), who in the year 1429 was called to Ferrara by Niccolò d'Este to educate his son Lionello, and who, when his pupil was nearly grown up in 1436, began to teach at the university as professor of eloquence and of the ancient languages. While still acting as tutor to Lionello, he had many other pupils from various parts of the country, and in his own house a select class of poor scholars, whom he partly or wholly supported. His evening hours till far into the night were devoted to hearing lessons or to instructive conversation. His house, too, was the home of a strict religion and morality. It signified little to him or to Vittorino that most of the humanists of their day deserved small praise in the matter of morals or religion. It is inconceivable how Guarino, with all the daily work which fell upon him, still found time to write translations from the Greek and voluminous original works.

Guarino

Pl. 21

Not only in these two courts, but generally throughout Italy, the education of the princely families was in part and for certain years in the hands of the humanists, who thereby mounted a step higher in the aristocratic world. The writing of treatises on the education of princes, formerly the business of theologians, fell now within their province.

Education
of Princes

From the time of Pier Paolo Vergerio the Italian princes were well taken care of in this respect, and the custom was transplanted into Germany by Æneas Sylvius, who addressed detailed exhortations to two young German princes of the House of Habsburg⁴³⁰ on the subject of their further education, in which they are both urged, as might be expected, to cultivate and nurture humanism. Perhaps Æneas was aware that in addressing these youths he was talking in the air, and therefore took measures to put his treatise into public circulation. But the relations of the humanists to the rulers will be discussed separately.

We have here first to speak of those citizens, mostly Florentines, who made antiquarian interests one of the chief objects of their lives, and who were themselves either distinguished scholars, or else distinguished *dilettanti* who maintained the scholars. (Comp. pp. 98 sqq.) They were of peculiar

Florentine
propagators
of Antiquity

significance during the period of transition at the beginning of the fifteenth century, since it was in them that humanism first showed itself practically as an indispensable element in daily life. It was not till after this time that the popes and princes began seriously to occupy themselves with it.

Niccolò Niccoli and Giannozzo Mannetti have been already spoken of more than once. Niccoli is described to us by Vespasiano as a man who would tolerate nothing around him out of harmony with his own classical spirit. His handsome long-robed figure, his kindly speech, his house adorned with the noblest remains of antiquity, made a singular impression. He was scrupulously cleanly in everything, most of all at table, where ancient vases and crystal goblets stood before him on the whitest linen.⁴³¹ The way in which he won over a pleasure-loving young Florentine to intellectual interests is too charming not to be here described.⁴³² Piero de' Pazzi, son of a distinguished merchant, and himself destined to the same calling, fair to behold, and much given to the pleasures of the world, thought about anything rather than literature. One day, as he was passing the Palazzo del Podestà,⁴³³ Niccolò called the young man to him, and although they had never before exchanged a word, the youth obeyed the call of one so respected. Niccolò asked him who his father was. He answered, 'Messer Andrea de' Pazzi.' When he was further asked what his pursuit was, Piero replied, as young people are wont to do, 'I enjoy myself' ('attendo a darmi buon tempo'). Niccolò said to him, 'As son of such a father, and so fair to look upon, it is a shame that thou knowest nothing of the Latin language, which would be so great an ornament to thee. If thou learnest it not, thou wilt be good for nothing, and as soon as the flower of youth is over, wilt be a man of no consequence' (*virtù*). When Piero heard this, he straightway perceived that it was true, and said that he would gladly take pains to learn, if only he had a teacher. Whereupon Niccolò answered that he would see to that. And he found him a learned man for Latin and Greek, named Pontano, whom Piero treated as one of his own house, and to whom he paid 100 gold florins a year. Quitting all the pleasures in which he had hitherto lived, he studied day and night, and became a friend of all learned men and a noble-minded statesman. He learned by heart the whole 'Æneid' and many speeches of Livy, chiefly on the way between Florence and his country house at Trebbio. Antiquity was represented in another and higher sense by Giannozzo Mannetti (1393—1459).⁴³⁴ Precocious from his first years, he was hardly more than a child when he had finished his apprenticeship in commerce, and became book-keeper in a bank. But soon the life he led seemed to him empty and perishable, and he began to yearn after science, through which alone man can secure immortality. He then busied himself with books as few laymen had done before him, and became, as has been said (p. 100), one of the most profound scholars of his time.

N Niccoli

G Mannetti

When appointed by the government as its representative magistrate and tax-collector at Pescia and Pistoia, he fulfilled his duties in accordance with the lofty ideal with which his religious feeling and humanistic studies combined to inspire him. He succeeded in collecting the most unpopular taxes which the Florentine state imposed, and declined payment for his services. As provincial governor he refused all presents, abhorred all bribes, checked gambling, kept the country well supplied with corn, was indefatigable in settling law-suits amicably, and did wonders in calming inflamed passions by his goodness. The Pistoiese were never able to discover to which of the two political parties he leaned. As if to symbolize the common rights and interests of all, he spent his leisure hours in writing the history of the city, which was preserved, bound in a purple cover, as a sacred relic in the town-hall. When he took his leave the city presented him with a banner bearing the municipal arms and a splendid silver helmet.

For further information as to the learned citizens of Florence at this period the reader must all the more be referred to Vespasiano, who knew them all personally, because the tone and atmosphere in which he writes, and the terms and conditions on which he mixed in their society, are of even more importance than the facts which he records. Even in a translation, and still more in the brief indications to which we are here compelled to limit ourselves, this chief merit of his book is lost. Without being a great writer, he was thoroughly familiar with the subject he wrote on, and had a deep sense of its intellectual significance.

If we seek to analyse the charm which the Medici of the fifteenth century, especially Cosimo the Elder (d. 1464) and Lorenzo the Magnificent (d. 1492) exercised over Florence and over all their contemporaries, we shall find that it lay less in their political capacity than in their leadership in the culture of the age. A man in Cosimo's position—a great merchant and party leader, who also had on his side all the thinkers, writers and investigators, a man who was the first of the Florentines by birth and the first of the Italians by culture—such a man was to all intents and purposes already a prince. To Cosimo belongs the special glory of recognizing in the Platonic philosophy the fairest flower of the ancient world of thought,⁴³⁵ of inspiring his friends with the same belief, and thus of fostering within humanistic circles themselves another and a higher resuscitation of antiquity. The story is known to us minutely.⁴³⁶ It all hangs on the calling of the learned Johannes Argyropulos, and on the personal enthusiasm of Cosimo himself in his last years, which was such, that the great Marsilio Ficino could style himself, as far as Platonism was concerned, the spiritual son of Cosimo. Under Pietro Medici, Ficino was already at the head of a school; to him Pietro's son and Cosimo's grandson, the illustrious Lorenzo, came over from the Peripatetics. Among

Vespasiano
of Florence

The Medici
Pl. 99

Pl. 225

Lorenzo il
Magnifico

*Pl. 100**Pl. 238*

his most distinguished fellow-scholars were Bartolommeo Valori, Donato Acciaiuoli, and Pierfilippo Pandolfini. The enthusiastic teacher declares in several passages of his writings that Lorenzo had sounded all the depths of the Platonic philosophy, and had uttered his conviction that without Plato it would be hard to be a good Christian or a good citizen. The famous band of scholars which surrounded Lorenzo was united together, and distinguished from all other circles of the kind, by this passion for a higher and idealistic philosophy. Only in such a world could a man like Pico della Mirandola feel happy. But perhaps the best thing of all that can be said about it is, that, with all this worship of antiquity, Italian poetry found here a sacred refuge, and that of all the rays of light which streamed from the circle of which Lorenzo was the centre, none was more powerful than this. As a statesman, let each man judge him as he pleases; a foreigner will hesitate to pronounce what was due to human guilt and what to circumstances in the fate of Florence, but no more unjust charge was ever made than that in the field of culture Lorenzo was the protector of mediocrity, that through his fault Leonardo da Vinci and the mathematician Fra Luca Pacciolo lived abroad, and that Toscanella, Vespucci, and others at least remained unsupported. He was not, indeed, a man of universal mind; but of all the great men who have striven to favour and promote spiritual interests, few certainly have been so many-sided, and in none probably was the inward need to do so equally deep.

*Pl. 408**Pl. 187*

Antiquity as
the chief
interest
of life

The age in which we live is loud enough in proclaiming the worth of culture, and especially of the culture of antiquity. But the enthusiastic devotion to it, the recognition that the need of it is the first and greatest of all needs, is nowhere to be found in such a degree as among the Florentines of the fifteenth and the early part of the sixteenth centuries. On this point we have indirect proof which precludes all doubt. It would not have been so common to give the daughters of the house a share in the same studies, had they not been held to be the noblest of earthly pursuits; exile would not have been turned into a happy retreat, as was done by Palla Strozzi; nor would men who indulged in every conceivable excess have retained the strength and the spirit to write critical treatises on the 'Natural History' of Pliny like Filippo Strozzi.⁴³⁷ Our business here is not to deal out either praise or blame, but to understand the spirit of the age in all its vigorous individuality.

Besides Florence, there were many cities of Italy where individuals and social circles devoted all their energies to the support of humanism and the protection of the scholars who lived among them. The correspondence of that period is full of references to personal relations of this kind.⁴³⁸ The feeling of the instructed classes set strongly and almost exclusively in this direction.

At the
princely courts

But it is now time to speak of humanism at the Italian courts. The natural

alliance between the despot and the scholar, each relying solely on his personal talent, has already been touched upon (p. 4); that the latter should avowedly prefer the princely courts to the free cities, was only to be expected from the higher pay which they there received. At a time when the great Alfonso of Aragon seemed likely to become master of all Italy, Æneas Sylvius wrote to another citizen of Siena:⁴³⁹ 'I had rather that Italy attained peace under his rule than under that of the free cities, for kingly generosity rewards excellence of every kind.'⁴⁴⁰ Too much stress has latterly been laid on the unworthy side of this relation, and the mercenary flattery to which it gave rise, just as formerly the eulogies of the humanists led to a too favourable judgement on their patrons. Taking all things together, it is greatly to the honour of the latter that they felt bound to place themselves at the head of the culture of their age and country, one-sided though this culture was. In some of the popes,⁴⁴¹ the fearlessness of the consequences to which the new learning might lead strikes us as something truly, but unconsciously, imposing. Nicholas V was confident of the future of the Church, since thousands of learned men supported her. Pius II was far from making such splendid sacrifices for humanism as were made by Nicholas, and the poets who frequented his court were few in number; but he himself was much more the personal head of the republic of letters than his predecessor, and enjoyed his position without the least misgiving. Paul II was the first to dread and mistrust the culture of his secretaries, and his three successors, Sixtus, Innocent, and Alexander, accepted dedications and allowed themselves to be sung to the hearts' content of the poets—there even existed a 'Borgiad,' probably in hexameters⁴⁴²—but were too busy elsewhere, and too occupied in seeking other foundations for their power, to trouble themselves much about the poet-scholars. Julius II found poets to eulogize him, because he himself was no mean subject for poetry (p. 63), but he does not seem to have troubled himself much about them. He was followed by Leo X, 'as Romulus by Numa'—in other words after the warlike turmoil of the first pontificate, a new one was hoped for wholly given to the muses. The enjoyment of elegant Latin prose and melodious verse was part of the programme of Leo's life, and his patronage certainly had the result that his Latin poets have left us a living picture of that joyous and brilliant spirit of the Leonine days, with which the biography of Jovius is filled, in countless epigrams, elegies, odes, and orations.⁴⁴³ Probably in all European history there is no prince who, in proportion to the few striking events of his life, has received such manifold homage. The poets had access to him chiefly about noon, when the musicians had ceased playing;⁴⁴⁴ but one of the best among them⁴⁴⁵ tells us how they also pursued him when he walked in his garden or withdrew to the privacy of his chamber, and if they failed to catch him there, would

At the
Vatican

Leo X
Pl. 156

Leo's real
significance

try to win him with a mendicant ode or elegy, filled, as usual, with the whole population of Olympus.⁴⁴⁶ For Leo, prodigal of his money, and disliking to be surrounded by any but cheerful faces, displayed a generosity in his gifts which was fabulously exaggerated in the hard times that followed.⁴⁴⁷ His reorganization of the Sapienza (p. 108) has been already spoken of. In order not to underrate Leo's influence on humanism we must guard against being misled by the toy-work that was mixed up with it, and must not allow ourselves to be deceived by the apparent irony with which he himself sometimes treated these matters (p. 83). Our judgement must rather dwell on the countless spiritual possibilities which are included in the word 'stimulus,' and which, though they cannot be measured as a whole, can still, on closer study, be actually followed out in particular cases. Whatever influence in Europe the Italian humanists have had since 1520 depends in some way or other on the impulse which was given by Leo. He was the Pope who in granting permission to print the newly found Tacitus,⁴⁴⁸ could say that the great writers were a rule of life and a consolation in misfortune; that helping learned men and obtaining excellent books had ever been one of his highest aims; and that he now thanked heaven that he could benefit the human race by furthering the publication of this book.

The sack of Rome in the year 1527 scattered the scholars no less than the artists in every direction, and spread the fame of the great departed Mæcenas to the furthest boundaries of Italy.

Alfonso of
Aragon and
Antiquity

Among the secular princes of the fifteenth century, none displayed such enthusiasm for antiquity as Alfonso the Great of Aragon, King of Naples (see p. 20). It appears that his zeal was thoroughly unaffected, and that the monuments and writings of the ancient world made upon him, from the time of his arrival in Italy, an impression deep and powerful enough to reshape his life. With strange readiness he surrendered the stubborn Aragon to his brother, and devoted himself wholly to his new possessions. He had in his service,⁴⁴⁹ either successively or together, George of Trebizond, the younger Chrysoloras, Lorenzo Valla, Bartolommeo Facio and Antonio Panormita, of whom the two latter were his historians; Panormita daily instructed the King and his court in Livy, even during military expeditions. These men cost him yearly 20,000 gold florins. He gave Panormita 1,000 for his work: Facio received for the 'Historia Alfonsi,' besides a yearly income of 500 ducats, a present of 1,500 more when it was finished, with the words, 'It is not given to pay you, for your work would not be paid for if I gave you the fairest of my cities; but in time I hope to satisfy you.' When he took Giannozzo Mannetti as his secretary on the most brilliant conditions, he said to him, 'My last crust I will share with you.' When Giannozzo first came to bring the congratulations of the Florentine govern-

ment on the marriage of Prince Ferrante, the impression he made was so great, that the King sat motionless on the throne, 'like a brazen statue, and did not even brush away a fly, which had settled on his nose at the beginning of the oration.' His favourite haunt seems to have been the library of the castle at Naples, where he would sit at a window overlooking the bay, and listen to learned debates on the Trinity. For he was profoundly religious, and had the Bible, as well as Livy and Seneca, read to him, till after fourteen perusals he knew it almost by heart. Who can fully understand the feeling with which he regarded the supposititious remains (p. 77) of Livy at Padua? When, by dint of great entreaties, he obtained an arm-bone of the skeleton from the Venetians, and received it with solemn pomp at Naples, how strangely Christian and pagan sentiment must have been blended in his heart! During a campaign in the Abruzzi, when the distant Sulmona, the birthplace of Ovid, was pointed out to him, he saluted the spot and returned thanks to its tutelary genius. It gladdened him to make good the prophecy of the great poet as to his future fame.⁴⁵⁰ Once indeed, at his famous entry into the conquered city of Naples (1443), he himself chose to appear before the world in ancient style. Not far from the market a breach forty ells wide was made in the wall, and through this he drove in a gilded chariot like a Roman Triumphator.⁴⁵¹ The memory of the scene is preserved by a noble triumphal arch of marble in the Castello Nuovo. His Neapolitan successors (p. 20) inherited as little of this passion for antiquity as of his other good qualities.

Pls. 202, 203

His passion
for relics

Pl. 274

Alfonso was far surpassed in learning by Frederick of Urbino,⁴⁵² who had but few courtiers around him, squandered nothing, and in his appropriation of antiquity, as in all other things, went to work considerably. It was for him and for Nicholas V that most of the translations from the Greek, and a number of the best commentaries and other such works, were written. He spent much on the scholars whose services he used, but spent it to good purpose. There were no traces of the official poet at Urbino, where the Duke himself was the most learned in the whole court. Classical antiquity, indeed, only formed a part of his culture. An accomplished ruler, captain, and gentleman, he had mastered the greater part of the science of the day, and this with a view to its practical application. As a theologian, he was able to compare Scotus with Aquinas, and was familiar with the writings of the old fathers of the Eastern and Western Churches, the former in Latin translations. In philosophy, he seems to have left Plato altogether to his contemporary Cosimo, but he knew thoroughly not only the 'Ethics' and 'Politics' of Aristotle but the 'Physics' and some other works. The rest of his reading lay chiefly among the ancient historians, all of whom he possessed; these, and not the poets, 'he was always reading and having read to him.'

Frederick
of Urbino
Pl. 36

The Sforza The Sforza,⁴⁵³ too, were all of them men of more or less learning and patrons of literature; they have been already referred to in passing (pp. 21 sqq.). Duke Francesco probably looked on humanistic culture as a matter of course in the education of his children, if only for political reasons. It was felt universally to be an advantage if the Prince could mix with the most instructed men of his time on an equal footing. Lodovico il Moro, himself an excellent Latin scholar, showed an interest in intellectual matters which extended far beyond classical antiquity (p. 23 sqq.).

Pl. 27

The Este Even the petty despots strove after similar distinctions, and we do them injustice by thinking that they only supported the scholars at their courts as a means of diffusing their own fame. A ruler like Borso of Ferrara (p. 28), with all his vanity, seems by no means to have looked for immortality from the poets, eager as they were to propitiate him with a 'Borseid' and the like. He had far too proud a sense of his own position as a ruler for that. But intercourse with learned men, interest in antiquarian matters, and the passion for elegant Latin correspondence were a necessity for the princes of that age. What bitter complaints are those of Duke Alfonso, competent as he was in practical matters, that his weakness in youth had forced him to seek recreation in manual pursuits only!⁴⁵⁴ or was this merely an excuse to keep the humanists at a distance? A nature like his was not intelligible even to contemporaries.

Pl. 356

Pl. 15

Even the most insignificant despots of Romagna found it hard to do without one or two men of letters about them. The tutor and secretary were often one and the same person, who sometimes, indeed, acted as a kind of court factotum.⁴⁵⁵ We are apt to treat the small scale of these courts as a reason for dismissing them with a too ready contempt, forgetting that the highest spiritual things are not precisely matters of measurement.

Sigismondo
Malatesta
Pl. 7

Life and manners at the court of Rimini must have been a singular spectacle under the bold pagan Condottiere Sigismondo Malatesta. He had a number of scholars around him, some of whom he provided for liberally, even giving them landed estates, while others earned at least a livelihood as officers in his army.⁴⁵⁶ In his citadel—'arx Sismundea'—they used to hold discussions, often of a very venomous kind, in the presence of the 'rex,' as they termed him. In their Latin poems they sing his praises and celebrate his amour with the fair Isotta, in whose honour and as whose monument the famous rebuilding of San Francesco at Rimini took place—'Divæ Isottæ Sacrum.' When the humanists themselves came to die, they were laid in or under the sarcophagi with which the niches of the outside walls of the church were adorned, with an inscription testifying that they were laid here at the time when Sigismundus, the son of Pandulfus, ruled.⁴⁵⁷ It is hard for us nowadays to believe that a monster like this prince felt learning and the friendship of

cultivated people to be a necessity of life; and yet the man who excommunicated him, made war upon him, and burnt him in effigy, Pope Pius II, says: 'Sigismund knew history and had a great store of philosophy; he seemed born to all that he undertook.'⁴⁵⁸

*

There were two purposes, however, for which the humanist was as indispensable to the republics as to princes or popes, namely, the official correspondence of the state, and the making of speeches on public and solemn occasions.

Reproduction
of Antiquity

Not only was the secretary required to be a competent Latinist, but conversely, only a humanist was credited with the knowledge and ability necessary for the post of secretary. And thus the greatest men in the sphere of science during the fifteenth century mostly devoted a considerable part of their lives to serve the state in this capacity. No importance was attached to a man's home or origin. Of the four great Florentine secretaries who filled the office between 1427 and 1465,⁴⁵⁹ three belonged to the subject city of Arezzo, namely, Leonardo (Bruni), Carlo (Marzuppinì), and Benedetto Accolti; Poggio was from Terra Nuova, also in Florentine territory. For a long period, indeed, many of the highest officers of state were on principle given to foreigners. Leonardo, Poggio, and Giannozzo Mannetti were at one time or another private secretaries to the popes, and Carlo Aretino was to have been so. Blondus of Forlì, and, in spite of everything, at last even Lorenzo Valla, filled the same office. From the time of Nicholas V and Pius II onwards,⁴⁶⁰ the Papal chancery continued more and more to attract the ablest men, and this was still the case even under the last popes of the fifteenth century, little as they cared for letters. In Platina's 'History of the Popes,' the life of Paul II is a charming piece of vengeance taken by a humanist on the one Pope who did not know how to behave to his chancery—to that circle 'of poets and orators who bestowed on the Papal court as much glory as they received from it.' It is delightful to see the indignation of these haughty gentlemen, when some squabble about precedence happened, when, for instance, the 'Advocati consistoriales' claimed equal or superior rank to theirs.⁴⁶¹ The Apostle John, to whom the 'Secreta cœlestia' were revealed; the secretary of Porsenna, whom Mucius Scævola mistook for the king; Mæcenas, who was private secretary to Augustus; the archbishops, who in Germany were called chancellors, are all appealed to in turn.⁴⁶² 'The apostolic secretaries have the most weighty business of the world in their hands. For who but they decide on matters of the Catholic faith, who else combat heresy, re-establish peace, and mediate between great monarchs? who but they write the statistical accounts of Christendom? It

Epistolo-
graphy

Pl. 233

Haughtiness
of the Papal
Chancery

is they who astonish kings, princes, and nations by what comes forth from the Pope. They write commands and instructions for the legates, and receive their orders only from the Pope, on whom they wait day and night.' But the highest summit of glory was only attained by the two famous secretaries and stylists of Leo X: Pietro Bembo and Jacopo Sadoleto.

Pls. 243, 246

Quality of
epistolary
style

Pl. 195

All the chanceries did not turn out equally elegant documents. A leathern official style, in the impurest of Latin, was very common. In the Milanese documents preserved by Corio there is a remarkable contrast between this sort of composition and the few letters written by members of the princely house, which must have been written, too, in moments of critical importance.⁴⁶³ They are models of pure Latinity. To maintain a faultless style under all circumstances was a rule of good breeding, and a result of habit.

The letters of Cicero, Pliny, and others, were at this time diligently studied as models. As early as the fifteenth century a mass of forms and instructions for Latin correspondence had appeared, as accessory to the great grammatical and lexicographic works, the mass of which is astounding to us even now when we look at them in the libraries. But just as the existence of these helps tempted many to undertake a task to which they had no vocation, so were the really capable men stimulated to a more faultless excellence, till at length the letters of Politian, and at the beginning of the sixteenth century those of Pietro Bembo, appeared, and took their place as unrivalled masterpieces, not only of Latin style in general, but also of the more special art of letter-writing.

Pl. 227

Together with these there appeared in the sixteenth century the classical style of Italian correspondence, at the head of which stands Bembo again. Its form is wholly modern, and deliberately kept free from Latin influence, and yet its spirit is thoroughly penetrated and possessed by the ideas of antiquity.

The Orators

But, at a time and among a people where 'listening' was among the chief pleasures of life, and where every imagination was filled with the memory of the Roman senate and its great speakers, the orator occupied a far more brilliant place than the letter-writer.⁴⁶⁴ Eloquence had shaken off the influence of the Church, in which it had found a refuge during the Middle Ages, and now became an indispensable element and ornament of all elevated lives. Many of the social hours which are now filled with music were then given to Latin or Italian oratory, with results which every reader can imagine.

The social position of the speaker was a matter of perfect indifference; what was desired was simply the most cultivated humanistic talent. At the court of Borso of Ferrara, the Duke's physician, Jeronimo da Castello, was chosen to deliver the congratulatory address on the visits of Frederick III

and of Pius II.⁴⁶⁶ Married laymen ascended the pulpits of the churches at any scene of festivity or mourning, and even on the feast-days of the saints. It struck the non-Italian members of the Council of Basle as something strange, that the Archbishop of Milan should summon Æneas Sylvius, who was then unordained, to deliver a public discourse at the feast of Saint Ambrogius; but they suffered it in spite of the murmurs of the theologians, and listened to the speaker with the greatest curiosity.⁴⁶⁶

Let us glance for a moment at the most frequent and important occasions of public speaking.

It was not for nothing, in the first place, that the ambassadors from one state to another received the title of orators. Whatever else might be done in the way of secret negotiation, the envoy never failed to make a public appearance and deliver a public speech, under circumstances of the greatest possible pomp and ceremony.⁴⁶⁷ As a rule, however numerous the embassy might be, one individual spoke for all; but it happened to Pius II, a critic before whom all were glad to be heard, to be forced to sit and listen to a whole deputation, one after another.⁴⁶⁸ Learned princes who had the gift of speech were themselves fond of discoursing in Latin or Italian. The children of the House of Sforza were trained to this exercise. The boy Galeazzo Maria delivered in 1455 a fluent speech before the Great Council at Venice,⁴⁶⁹ and his sister Ippolita saluted Pope Pius II with a graceful address at the Congress of Mantua (1459).⁴⁷⁰ Pius himself through all his life did much by his oratory to prepare the way for his final elevation to the Papal chair. Great as he was both as scholar and diplomatist, he would probably never have become Pope without the fame and the charm of his eloquence. 'For nothing was more lofty than the dignity of his oratory.'⁴⁷¹ Without doubt this was a reason why multitudes held him to be the fittest man for the office, even before his election.

Princes were also commonly received on public occasions with speeches, which sometimes lasted for hours. This happened of course only when the prince was known as a lover of eloquence,⁴⁷² or wished to pass for such, and when a competent speaker was present, whether university professor, official, ecclesiastic, physician, or court-scholar.

Every other political opportunity was seized with the same eagerness, and according to the reputation of the speaker, the concourse of the lovers of culture was great or small. At the yearly change of public officers, and even at the consecration of new bishops, a humanist was sure to come forward, and sometimes addressed his audience in hexameters or Sapphic verses.⁴⁷³ Often a newly appointed official was himself forced to deliver a speech more or less relevant to his department, as, for instance, on justice; and lucky for him if he were well up in his part! At Florence even the

Solemn
official
speeches
Pls. 121, 122

Speeches at
public
receptions etc.

Condottieri, whatever their origin or education might be, were compelled to accommodate themselves to the popular sentiment, and on receiving the insignia of their office, were harangued before the assembled people by the most learned secretary of state.⁴⁷⁴ It seems that beneath or close to the Loggia dei Lanzi—the porch where the government was wont to appear solemnly before the people—a tribune or platform (*rostra, ringhiera*) was erected for such purposes.

Pl. 104

Funeral orations etc.

Anniversaries, especially those of the death of princes, were commonly celebrated by memorial speeches. Even the funeral oration strictly so-called was generally entrusted to a humanist, who delivered it in church, clothed in a secular dress; nor was it only princes, but officials, or persons otherwise distinguished, to whom this honour was paid.⁴⁷⁵ This was also the case with the speeches delivered at weddings or betrothals, with the difference that they seem to have been made in the palace, instead of in church, like that of Filelfo at the betrothal of Anna Sforza with Alfonso of Este in the castle of Milan. It is still possible that the ceremony may have taken place in the chapel of the castle. Private families of distinction no doubt also employed such wedding orators as one of the luxuries of high life. At Ferrara, Guarino was requested on these occasions to send some one or other of his pupils.⁴⁷⁶ The church simply took charge of the religious ceremonies at weddings and funerals.

Pl. 106

The academical speeches, both those made at the installation of a new teacher and at the opening of a new course of lectures,⁴⁷⁷ were delivered by the professor himself, and treated as occasions of great rhetorical display.

Pls. 198-201

The ordinary university lectures also usually had an oratorical character.⁴⁷⁸

With regard to forensic eloquence, the quality of the audience determined the form of speech. In case of need it was enriched with all sorts of philosophical and antiquarian learning.

Military speeches

As a special class of speeches we may mention the addresses made in Italian on the battle-field, either before or after the combat. Frederick of Urbino⁴⁷⁹ was esteemed a classic in this style; he used to pass round among his squadrons as they stood drawn up in order of battle, inspiring them in turn with pride and enthusiasm. Many of the speeches in the military historians of the fifteenth century, as for instance in Porcellius (p. 54), may be, in fact at least, imaginary, but may be also in part faithful representations of words actually spoken. The addresses again which were delivered to the Florentine Militia,⁴⁸⁰ organized in 1506 chiefly through the influence of Machiavelli, and which were spoken first at reviews, and afterwards at special annual festivals, were of another kind. They were simply general appeals to the patriotism of the hearers, and were addressed to the assembled troops in the church of each quarter of the city by a citizen in armour, sword in hand.

Finally, the oratory of the pulpit began in the fifteenth century to lose its distinctive peculiarities. Many of the clergy had entered into the circle of classical culture, and were ambitious of success in it. The street-preacher Bernardino da Siena, who even in his lifetime passed for a saint and who was worshipped by the populace, was not above taking lessons in rhetoric from the famous Guarino, although he had only to preach in Italian. Never indeed was more expected from preachers than at that time—especially from the Lenten preachers; and there were not a few audiences which could not only tolerate, but which demanded a strong dose of philosophy from the pulpit.⁴⁸¹ But we have here especially to speak of the distinguished occasional preachers in Latin. Many of their opportunities had been taken away from them, as has been observed, by learned laymen. Speeches on particular saints' days, at weddings and funerals, or at the installation of a bishop, and even the introductory speech at the first mass of a clerical friend, or the address at the festival of some religious order, were all left to laymen.⁴⁸² But at all events at the Papal court in the fifteenth century, whatever the occasion might be, the preachers were generally monks. Under Sixtus IV, Giacomo da Volterra regularly enumerates these preachers, and criticizes them according to the rules of the art.⁴⁸³ Fedra Inghirami, famous as an orator under Julius II, had at least received holy orders and was canon at St. John Lateran; and besides him, elegant Latinists were now common enough among the prelates. In this matter, as in others, the exaggerated privileges of the profane humanists appear lessened in the sixteenth century—on which point we shall presently speak more fully.

What now was the subject and general character of these speeches? The national gift of eloquence was not wanting to the Italians of the Middle Ages, and a so-called 'rhetoric' belonged from the first to the seven liberal arts; but so far as the revival of the ancient methods is concerned, this merit must be ascribed, according to Filippo Villani,⁴⁸⁴ to the Florentine Bruno Casini, who died of the plague in 1348. With the practical purpose of fitting his countrymen to speak with ease and effect in public, he treated, after the pattern of the ancients, invention, declamation, bearing, and gesticulation, each in its proper connection. Elsewhere too we read of an oratorical training directed solely to practical application. No accomplishment was more highly esteemed than the power of elegant improvisation in Latin. The growing study of Cicero's speeches and theoretical writings, of Quintilian and of the imperial panegyrists, the appearance of new and original treatises,⁴⁸⁵ the general progress of antiquarian learning, and the stores of ancient matter and thought which now could and must be drawn from—all combined to shape the character of the new eloquence.

This character nevertheless differed widely according to the individual.

Latin
sermons

Pls. 106, 173

Revival
of Rhetoric

Form and
subject-
matter

Passion for
quotations

Many speeches breathe a spirit of true eloquence, especially those which keep to the matter treated of; of this kind is the mass of what is left to us of Pius II. The miraculous effects produced by Giannozzo Mannetti⁴⁸⁶ point to an orator the like of whom has not been often seen. His great audiences as envoy before Nicholas V and before the Doge and Council of Venice were events not to be soon forgotten. Many orators, on the contrary, would seize the opportunity, not only to flatter the vanity of distinguished hearers, but to load their speeches with an enormous mass of antiquarian rubbish. How it was possible to endure this infliction for two and even three hours, can only be understood when we take into account the intense interest then felt in everything connected with antiquity, and the rarity and defectiveness of treatises on the subject at a time when printing was but little diffused. Such orations had at least the value which we have claimed (p. 104) for many of Petrarch's letters. But some speakers went too far. Most of Filelfo's speeches are an atrocious patchwork of classical and biblical quotations, tacked on to a string of commonplaces, among which the great people he wishes to flatter are arranged under the head of the cardinal virtues, or some such category, and it is only with the greatest trouble, in his case and in that of many others, that we can extricate the few historical notices of value which they really contain. The speech, for instance, of a scholar and professor of Piacenza at the reception of the Duke Galeazzo Maria, in 1467, begins with Julius Cæsar, then proceeds to mix up a mass of classical quotations with a number from an allegorical work by the speaker himself, and concludes with some exceedingly indiscreet advice to the ruler.⁴⁸⁷ Fortunately it was late at night, and the orator had to be satisfied with handing his written panegyric to the prince. Filelfo begins a speech at a betrothal with the words: 'Aristotle, the peripatetic.' Others start with P. Cornelius Scipio, and the like, as though neither they nor their hearers could wait a moment for a quotation. At the end of the fifteenth century public taste suddenly improved, chiefly through Florentine influence, and the practice of quotation was restricted within due limits. Many works of reference were now in existence, in which the first comer could find as much as he wanted of what had hitherto been the admiration of princes and people.

Imaginary
speeches

As most of the speeches were written out beforehand in the study, the manuscripts served as a means of further publicity afterwards. The great extemporaneous speakers, on the other hand, were attended by shorthand writers.⁴⁸⁸ We must further remember, that all the orations which have come down to us were not intended to be actually delivered. The panegyric, for example, of the elder Beroaldus on Lodovico il Moro was presented to him in manuscript.⁴⁸⁹ In fact, just as letters were written addressed to all conceivable persons and parts of the world as exercises, as formularies, or even

to serve a controversial end, so there were speeches for imaginary occasions⁴⁹⁰ to be used as models for the reception of princes, bishops, and other dignitaries.

For oratory, as for the other arts, the death of Leo X (1521) and the sack of Rome (1527) mark the epoch of decadence. Giovio,⁴⁹¹ but just escaped from the desolation of the eternal city, describes, not exhaustively, but on the whole truly, the causes of this decline.

‘The plays of Plautus and Terence, once a school of Latin style for the educated Romans, are banished to make room for Italian comedies. Graceful speakers no longer find the recognition and reward which they once did. The Consistorial advocates no longer prepare anything but the introductions to their speeches, and deliver the rest—a confused muddle—on the inspiration of the moment. Sermons and occasional speeches have sunk to the same level. If a funeral oration is wanted for a cardinal or other great personage, the executors do not apply to the best orators in the city, to whom they would have to pay a hundred pieces of gold, but they hire for a trifle the first impudent pedant whom they come across, and who only wants to be talked of whether for good or ill. The dead, they say, is none the wiser if an ape stands in a black dress in the pulpit, and beginning with a hoarse, whimpering mumble, passes little by little into a loud howling. Even the sermons preached at great papal ceremonies are no longer profitable, as they used to be. Monks of all orders have again got them into their hands, and preach as if they were speaking to the mob. Only a few years ago a sermon at mass before the Pope might easily lead the way to a bishopric.’

From the oratory and the epistolary writings of the humanists, we shall here pass on to their other creations, which were all, to a greater or less extent, reproductions of antiquity.

Among these must be placed the treatise, which often took the shape of a dialogue.⁴⁹² In this case it was borrowed directly from Cicero. In order to do anything like justice to this class of literature—in order not to throw it aside at first sight as a bore—two things must be taken into consideration. The century which escaped from the influence of the Middle Ages felt the need of something to mediate between itself and antiquity in many questions of morals and philosophy; and this need was met by the writer of treatises and dialogues. Much which appears to us as mere commonplace in their writings, was for them and their contemporaries a new and hardly-won view of things upon which mankind had been silent since the days of antiquity. The language too, in this form of writing, whether Italian or Latin, moved more freely and flexibly than in historical narrative, in letters, or in oratory, and thus became in itself the source of a special pleasure. Several Italian compositions of this kind still hold their place as patterns of style.

*Decline of
Eloquence
Pl. 241*

The Treatise

Many of these works have been, or will be mentioned on account of their contents; we here refer to them as a class. From the time of Petrarch's letters and treatises down to near the end of the fifteenth century, the heaping up of learned quotations, as in the case of the orators, is the main business of most of these writers. The whole style, especially in Italian, was then suddenly clarified, till, in the 'Asolani,' of Bembo, and the 'Vita Sobria,' of Luigi Cornaro, a classical perfection was reached. Here too the decisive fact was, that antiquarian matter of every kind had meantime begun to be deposited in encyclopædic works (now printed), and no longer stood in the way of the essayist.

Pls. 246, 249

History in
Latin

It was inevitable too that the humanistic spirit should control the writing of history. A superficial comparison of the histories of this period with the earlier chronicles, especially with works so full of life, colour, and brilliancy as those of the Villani, will lead us loudly to deplore the change. How insipid and conventional appear by their side the best of the humanists, and particularly their immediate and most famous successors among the historians of Florence, Leonardo Aretino and Poggio! The enjoyment of the reader is incessantly marred by the sense that, in the classical phrases of Facius, Sabellicus, Folieta, Senarega, Platina in the chronicles of Mantua, Bembo in the annals of Venice, and even of Giovio in his histories, the best local and individual colouring and the full sincerity of interest in the truth of events have been lost. Our mistrust is increased when we hear that Livy, the pattern of this school of writers, was copied just where he is least worthy of imitation—on the ground, namely,⁴⁹³ 'that he turned a dry and naked tradition into grace and richness.' In the same place we meet with the suspicious declaration, that it is the function of the historian—just as if he were one with the poet—to excite, charm, or overwhelm the reader. We ask ourselves finally, whether the contempt for modern things, which these same humanists sometimes avowed openly⁴⁹⁴ must not necessarily have had an unfortunate influence on their treatment of them. Unconsciously the reader finds himself looking with more interest and confidence on the unpretending Latin and Italian annalists, like those of Bologna and Ferrara, who remained true to the old style, and still more grateful does he feel to the best of the genuine chroniclers who wrote in Italian—to Marin Sanudo, Corio, and Infessura—who were followed at the beginning of the sixteenth century by that new and illustrious band of great national historians who wrote in their mother tongue.

Pl. 208

Pl. 195

Actual value
of Latin

Contemporary history, no doubt, was written far better in the language of the day than when forced into Latin. Whether Italian was also more suitable for the narrative of events long past, or for historical research, is a question which admits, for that period, of more answers than one. Latin was, at that time, the 'Lingua franca' of instructed people, not only in an

international sense, as a means of intercourse between Englishmen, Frenchmen, and Italians, but also in an interprovincial sense. The Lombard, the Venetian, and the Neapolitan modes of writing, though long modelled on the Tuscan, and bearing but slight traces of the dialect, were still not recognized by the Florentines. This was of less consequence in local contemporary histories, which were sure of readers at the place where they were written, than in the narratives of the past, for which a larger public was desired. In these the local interests of the people had to be sacrificed to the general interests of the learned. How far would the influence of a man like Blondus of Forlì have reached if he had written his great monuments of learning in the dialect of the Romagna? They would have assuredly sunk into neglect, if only through the contempt of the Florentines, while written in Latin they exercised the profoundest influence on the whole European world of learning. And even the Florentines in the fifteenth century wrote Latin, not only because their minds were imbued with humanism, but in order to be more widely read.

Finally, there exist certain Latin essays in contemporary history, which stand on a level with the best Italian works of the kind. When the continuous narrative after the manner of Livy—that Procrustean bed of so many writers—is abandoned, the change is marvellous. The same Platina and Giovio, whose great histories we only read because and so far as we must, suddenly come forward as masters in the biographical style. We have already spoken of Tristan Caracciolo, of the biographical works of Facius and of the Venetian topography of Sabellico, and others will be mentioned in the sequel.

The Latin treatises on past history were naturally concerned, for the most part, with classical antiquity. What we are more surprised to find among these humanists are some considerable works on the history of the Middle Ages. The first of this kind was the chronicle of Matteo Palmieri (449—1449), beginning where Prosper Aquitanus ceases. On opening the ‘Decades’ of Blondus of Forlì, we are surprised to find a universal history, ‘ab inclinatione Romanorum imperii,’ as in Gibbon, full of original studies on the authors of each century, and occupied, through the first 300 folio pages, with early mediæval history down to the death of Frederick II. And this when in Northern countries nothing more was wanted than chronicles of the popes and emperors, and the ‘Fasciculus temporum.’ We cannot here stay to show what writings Blondus made use of, and where he found his materials, though this justice will some day be done to him by the historians of literature. This book alone would entitle us to say that it was the study of antiquity which made the study of the Middle Ages possible, by first training the mind to habits of impartial historical criticism. To this must be added, that the Middle Ages were now over for Italy, and that the Italian

Monographs
and
Biographies

Pls. 233, 244

Works on the
Middle Ages
Pl. 237

Beginnings
of Criticism

mind could the better appreciate them, because it stood outside them. It cannot, nevertheless, be said that it at once judged them fairly, and still less that it judged them with piety. In art a fixed prejudice showed itself against all that those centuries had created, and the humanists date the new era from the time of their own appearance. 'I begin,' says Boccaccio,⁴⁹⁵ 'to hope and believe that God has had mercy on the Italian name, since I see that His infinite goodness puts souls into the breasts of the Italians like those of the ancients—souls which seek fame by other means than robbery and violence, but rather, on the path of poetry, which makes men immortal.' But this narrow and unjust temper did not preclude investigation in the minds of the more gifted men, at a time, too, when elsewhere in Europe any such investigation would have been out of the question. A historical criticism of the Middle Ages was practicable, just because the rational treatment of all subjects by the humanists had trained the historical spirit. In the fifteenth century this spirit had so far penetrated the history even of the individual cities of Italy, that the stupid fairy tales about the origin of Florence, Venice, and Milan vanished, while at the same time, and long after, the chronicles of the North were stuffed with this fantastic rubbish, destitute for the most part of all poetical value, and invented as late as the fourteenth century.

The close connection between local history and the sentiment of glory has already been touched on in reference to Florence (Part I pp. 41 sqq.) Venice would not be behindhand. Just as a great rhetorical triumph of the Florentines⁴⁹⁶ would cause a Venetian embassy to write home post-haste for an orator to be sent after them, so too the Venetians felt the need of a history which would bear comparison with those of Leonardo Aretino and Poggio. And it was to satisfy this feeling that, in the fifteenth century, the 'Decades' of Sabellico appeared, and in the sixteenth the 'Historia rerum Venetarum' of Pietro Bembo, both written at the express charge of the republic, the latter a continuation of the former.

History in
Italian

The great Florentine historians at the beginning of the sixteenth century (pp. 45 sqq.) were men of a wholly different kind from the Latinists Bembo and Giovio. They wrote Italian, not only because they could not vie with the Ciceronian elegance of the philologists, but because, like Machiavelli, they could only record in a living tongue the living results of their own immediate observations—and we may add in the case of Machiavelli, of his observation of the past—and because, as in the case of Guicciardini, Varchi, and many others, what they most desired was, that their view of the course of events should have as wide and deep a practical effect as possible. Even when they only write for a few friends, like Francesco Vettori, they feel an inward need to utter their testimony on men and events, and to explain and justify their share in the latter.

Pls. 235, 240

And yet, with all that is characteristic in their language and style, they were powerfully affected by antiquity, and, without its influence, would be inconceivable. They were not humanists, but they had passed through the school of humanism, and they have in them more of the spirit of the ancient historians than most of the imitators of Livy. Like the ancients, they were citizens who wrote for citizens.

We cannot attempt to trace the influence of humanism in the special sciences. Each has its own history, in which the Italian investigators of this period, chiefly through their rediscovery of the results attained by antiquity,⁴⁹⁷ mark a new epoch, with which the modern period of the science in question begins with more or less distinctness. With regard to philosophy, too, we must refer the reader to the special historical works on the subject. The influence of the old philosophers on Italian culture will appear at times immense, at times inconsiderable; the former, when we consider how the doctrines of Aristotle, chiefly drawn from the *Ethics*⁴⁹⁸ and *Politics*—both widely diffused at an early period—became the common property of educated Italians, and how the whole method of abstract thought was governed by him;⁴⁹⁹ the latter, when we remember how slight was the dogmatic influence of the old philosophies, and even of the enthusiastic Florentine Platonists, on the spirit of the people at large. What looks like such an influence is generally no more than a consequence of the new culture in general, and of the special growth and development of the Italian mind. When we come to speak of religion, we shall have more to say on this head. But in by far the greater number of cases, we have to do, not with the general culture of the people, but with the utterances of individuals or of learned circles; and here, too, a distinction must be drawn between the true assimilation of ancient doctrines and fashionable make-believe. For with many antiquity was only a fashion, even among very learned people.

Nevertheless, all that looks like affectation to our age, need not then have been actually so. The giving of Greek and Latin names to children, for example, is better and more respectable than the present practice of taking them, especially the female names, from novels. When the enthusiasm for the ancient world was greater than for the saints, it was simple and natural enough that noble families called their sons Agamemnon, Tydeus, and Achilles,⁵⁰⁰ and that a painter named his son Apelles and his daughter Minerva.⁵⁰¹ Nor will it appear unreasonable that, instead of a family name, which people were often glad to get rid of, a well-sounding ancient name was chosen. A local name, shared by all residents in the place, and not yet transformed into a family name, was willingly given up, especially when its religious associations made it inconvenient; Filippo da San Gimignano called himself Callimachus. The man, misunderstood and insulted by his family,

Antiquity as
the common
source

Greek and
Latin names

who made his fortune as a scholar in foreign cities, could afford, even if he were a Sanseverino, to change his name to Julius Pomponius Laetus. Even the simple translation of a name into Latin or Greek, as was almost uniformly the custom in Germany, may be excused to a generation which spoke and wrote Latin, and which needed names that could be not only declined, but used with facility in verse and prose. What was blameworthy and ridiculous was the change of half a name, baptismal or family, to give it a classical sound and a new sense. Thus Giovanni was turned into Jovianus or Janus, Pietro to Petreius or Pierius, Antonio to Aonius, Sannazaro to Syncerus, Luca Grasso to Lucius Crassus. Ariosto, who speaks with such derision of all this,⁵⁰² lived to see children called after his own heroes and heroines.⁵⁰³

Latinization
of many
things

Nor must we judge too severely the Latinization of many usages of social life, such as the titles of officials, of ceremonies, and the like, in the writers of the period. As long as people were satisfied with a simple, fluent Latin style, as was the case with most writers from Petrarch to Æneas Sylvius, this practice was not so frequent and striking; it became inevitable when a faultless, Ciceronian Latin was demanded. Modern names and things no longer harmonized with the style, unless they were first artificially changed. Pedants found a pleasure in addressing municipal counsellors as 'Patres Conscripti,' nuns as 'Virgines Vestales,' and entitling every saint 'Divus' or 'Deus'; but men of better taste, such as Paolo Giovio, only did so when and because they could not help it. But as Giovio does it naturally, and lays no special stress upon it, we are not offended if, in his melodious language, the cardinals appear as 'Senatores,' their dean as 'Princeps Senatus,' excommunication as 'Dirae,'⁵⁰⁴ and the carnival as 'Lupercalia.' This example of this author alone is enough to warn us against drawing a hasty inference from these peculiarities of style as to the writer's whole mode of thinking.

Predominance
of Latin

Pl. 224

The history of Latin composition cannot here be traced in detail. For fully two centuries the humanists acted as if Latin were, and must remain, the only language worthy to be written. Poggio⁵⁰⁵ deploras that Dante wrote his great poem in Italian; and Dante, as is well known, actually made the attempt in Latin, and wrote the beginning of the 'Inferno' first in hexameters. The whole future of Italian poetry hung on his not continuing in the same style,⁵⁰⁶ but even Petrarch relied more on his Latin poetry than on the Sonnets and 'Canzoni,' and Ariosto himself was desired by some to write his poem in Latin. A stronger coercion never existed in literature;⁵⁰⁷ but poetry shook it off for the most part, and it may be said, without the risk of too great optimism, that it was well for Italian poetry to have had both means of expressing itself. In both something great and characteristic was achieved, and in each we can see the reason why Latin or Italian was chosen. Perhaps the same may be said of prose. The position and influence

of Italian culture throughout the world depended on the fact that certain subjects were treated in Latin⁵⁰⁸—‘urbi et orbi’—while Italian prose was written best of all by those to whom it cost an inward struggle not to write in Latin.

From the fourteenth century Cicero was recognized universally as the purest model of prose. This was by no means due solely to a dispassionate opinion in favour of his choice of language, of the structure of his sentences, and of his style of composition, but rather to the fact that the Italian spirit responded fully and instinctively to the amiability of the letter-writer, to the brilliancy of the orator, and to the lucid exposition of the philosophical thinker. Even Petrarch recognized clearly the weakness of Cicero as a man and a statesman,⁵⁰⁹ though he respected him too much to rejoice over them. After Petrarch's time, the epistolary style was formed entirely on the pattern of Cicero; and the rest, with the exception of the narrative style, followed the same influence. Yet the true Ciceronianism, which rejected every phrase which could not be justified out of the great authority, did not appear till the end of the fifteenth century, when the grammatical writings of Lorenzo Valla had begun to tell on all Italy, and when the opinions of the Roman historians of literature had been sifted and compared.⁵¹⁰ Then every shade of difference in the style of the ancients was studied with closer and closer attention, till the consoling conclusion was at last reached, that in Cicero alone was the perfect model to be found, or, if all forms of literature were to be embraced, in ‘that immortal and almost heavenly age of Cicero.’⁵¹¹ Men like Pietro Bembo and Pierio Valeriano now turned all their energies to this one object. Even those who had long resisted the tendency, and had formed for themselves an archaic style from the earlier authors,⁵¹² yielded at last, and joined in the worship of Cicero. Longolius, at Bembo's advice, determined to read nothing but Cicero for five years long, and finally took an oath to use no word which did not occur in this author. It was this temper which broke out at last in the great war among the scholars, in which Erasmus and the elder Scaliger led the battle.

For all the admirers of Cicero were by no means so one-sided as to consider him the only source of language. In the fifteenth century, Politian and Ermolao Barbaro made a conscious and deliberate effort to form a style of their own,⁵¹³ naturally on the basis of their ‘overflowing’ learning, and our informant of this fact, Paolo Giovio, pursued the same end. He first attempted, not always successfully, but often with remarkable power and elegance, and at no small cost of effort, to reproduce in Latin a number of modern, particularly of æsthetic, ideas. His Latin characteristics of the great painters and sculptors of his time contain a mixture of the most intelligent and of the most blundering interpretation.⁵¹⁴ Even Leo X, who placed his

Sources of style; Cicero

Pl. 245

Qualified and unqualified admiration of Cicero

Latin
Conversation

glory in the fact, 'ut lingua latina nostra pontificatu dicatur factu auctor,'⁵¹⁶ was inclined to a liberal and not too exclusive Latinity, which, indeed, was in harmony with his pleasure-loving nature. He was satisfied when the Latin which he had to read and hear was lively, elegant, and idiomatic. Then, too, Cicero offered no model for Latin conversation, so that here other gods had to be worshipped beside him. The want was supplied by representations of the comedies of Plautus and Terence, frequent both in and out of Rome, which for the actors were an incomparable exercise in Latin as the language of daily life. A few years later, in the pontificate of Paul II, the learned Cardinal of Teano⁵¹⁶ (probably Niccolò Forteguerria of Pistoia) became famous for his critical labours in this branch of scholarship. He set to work upon the most defective plays of Plautus, which were destitute even of the list of the characters, and went carefully through the whole remains of this author, chiefly with an eye to the language. Possibly it was he who gave the first impulse for the public representations of these plays. Afterwards Pomponius Laetus took up the same subject, and acted as manager when Plautus was put on the stage in the houses of great churchmen.⁵¹⁷ That these representations became less common after 1520, is mentioned by Giovio, as we have seen (p. 123), among the causes of the decline of eloquence.

We may mention, in conclusion, the analogy between Ciceronianism in literature and the revival of Vitruvius by the architects in the sphere of art. And here, too, the law holds good which prevails elsewhere in the history of the Renaissance, that each artistic movement is preceded by a corresponding movement in the general culture of the age. In this case, the interval is not more than about twenty years, if we reckon from Cardinal Adrian of Corneto (1505?) to the first avowed Vitruvians.

Neo-Latin
Poetry

The chief pride of the humanists is, however, their modern Latin poetry. It lies within the limits of our task to treat of it, at least in so far as it serves to characterize the humanistic movement.

How favourable public opinion was to that form of poetry, and how nearly it supplanted all others, has been already shown (p. 128). We may be very sure that the most gifted and highly developed nation then existing in the world did not renounce the use of a language such as the Italian out of mere folly and without knowing what they were doing. It must have been a weighty reason which led them to do so.

This cause was the devotion to antiquity. Like all ardent and genuine devotion it necessarily prompted men to imitation. At other times and among other nations we find many isolated attempts of the same kind. But only in Italy were the two chief conditions present which were needful for the continuance and development of neo-Latin poetry: a general interest in the subject among the instructed classes, and a partial reawakening of the

old Italian genius among the poets themselves—the wondrous echo of a far-off strain. The best of what is produced under these conditions is not imitation, but free production. If we decline to tolerate any borrowed forms in art, if we either set no value on antiquity at all, or attribute to it some magical and unapproachable virtue, or if we will pardon no slips in poets who were forced, for instance, to guess or to discover a multitude of syllabic quantities, then we had better let this class of literature alone. Its best works were not created in order to defy criticism, but to give pleasure to the poet and to thousands of his contemporaries.⁵¹⁸

Its value

The least success of all was attained by the epic narratives drawn from the history or legends of antiquity. The essential conditions of a living epic poetry were denied, not only to the Romans who now served as models, but even to the Greeks after Homer. They could not be looked for among the Latins of the Renaissance. And yet the 'Africa' of Petrarch probably found as many and as enthusiastic readers and hearers as any epos of modern times. The purpose and origin of the poem are not without interest. The fourteenth century recognized with sound historical tact the time of the second Punic war as the noon-day of Roman greatness; and Petrarch could not resist writing of this time. Had Silius Italicus been then discovered, Petrarch would probably have chosen another subject; but, as it was, the glorification of Scipio Africanus the Elder was so much in accordance with the spirit of the fourteenth century, that another poet, Zanobi di Strada, also proposed to himself the same task, and only from respect for Petrarch withdrew the poem with which he had already made great progress.⁵¹⁹ If any justification were needed for the 'Africa,' it lies in the fact that in Petrarch's time and afterwards Scipio was as much an object of public interest as if he were then alive, and that he was held by many to be a greater man than Alexander, Pompey, and Cæsar.⁵²⁰ How many modern epics treat of a subject at once so popular, so historical in its basis, and so striking to the imagination? For us, it is true, the poem is unreadable. For other themes of the same kind the reader may be referred to the histories of literature.

The historical
epic
Pl. 258

Pl. 229

A richer and more fruitful vein was discovered in expanding and completing the Greco-Roman mythology. In this too Italian poetry began early to take a part, beginning with the 'Teseide' of Boccaccio, which passes for his best poetical work. Under Martin V, Maffeo Vegio wrote in Latin a thirteenth book to the Æneid; besides which we meet with many less considerable attempts, especially in the style of Claudian—a 'Meleagris,' a 'Hesperis,' and so forth. Still more curious were the newly-invented myths, which peopled the fairest regions of Italy with a primæval race of gods, nymphs, genii, and even shepherds, the epic and bucolic styles here passing into one another. In the narrative or conversational eclogue after the time of Petrarch,

Mythological
and Bucolic
Poetry
Pls. 259—261,
325, 333, 336,
338

pastoral life was treated in a purely conventional manner,⁵²¹ as a vehicle of all possible feelings and fancies; and this point will be touched on again in the sequel. For the moment, we have only to do with the new myths. In them, more clearly than anywhere else, we see the double significance of the old gods to the men of the Renaissance. On the one hand, they replace abstract terms in poetry, and render allegorical figures superfluous; and, on the other, they serve as free and independent elements in art, as forms of beauty which can be turned to some account in any and every poem. The example was boldly set by Boccaccio, with his fanciful world of gods and shepherds who people the country round Florence in his 'Ninfale d'Ameto' and 'Ninfale Fiesolano.' Both these poems were written in Italian. But the masterpiece in this style was the 'Sarca' of Pietro Bembo,⁵²² which tells how the river-god of that name wooed the nymph Garda; of the brilliant marriage feast in a cave of Monte Baldo; of the prophecies of Manto, daughter of Tiresias; of the birth of the child Mincius; of the founding of Mantua, and of the future glory of Virgil, son of Mincius and of Maia, nymph of Andes. This humanistic rococo is set forth by Bembo in verses of great beauty, concluding with an address to Virgil, which any poet might envy him. Such works are often slighted as mere declamation. This is a matter of taste on which we are all free to form our own opinion.

The religious
epic

Further, we find long epic poems in hexameters on biblical or ecclesiastical subjects. The authors were by no means always in search of preferment or of papal favour. With the best of them, and even with less gifted writers, like Battista Mantovano, the author of the 'Parthenice,' there was probably an honest desire to serve religion by their Latin verses—a desire with which their half-pagan conception of Catholicism harmonized well enough. Gyraldus goes through a list of these poets, among whom Vida, with his 'Christiad' and Sannazaro, with his three books, 'De partu Virginis,' hold the first place.

Sannazaro
Pl. 242

Sannazaro (b. 1458, d. 1530) is impressive by the steady and powerful flow of his verse, in which Christian and pagan elements are mingled without scruple, by the plastic vigour of his description, and by the perfection of his workmanship. He could venture to introduce Virgil's fourth eclogue into his song of the shepherds at the manger (III. 200 sqq.) without fearing a comparison. In treating of the unseen world, he sometimes gives proofs of a boldness worthy of Dante, as when King David in the Limbo of the Patriarchs rises up to sing and prophesy (I. 236 sqq.), or when the Eternal, sitting on the throne clad in a mantle shining with pictures of all the elements, addresses the heavenly host (III. 17 sqq.). At other times he does not hesitate to weave the whole classical mythology into his subject, yet without spoiling the harmony of the whole, since the pagan deities are only accessory figures, and play no important part in the story. To appreciate the artistic

genius of that age in all its bearings, we must not refuse to notice such works as these. The merit of Sannazaro will appear the greater, when we consider that the mixture of Christian and pagan elements is apt to disturb us much more in poetry than in the plastic arts. The latter can still satisfy the eye by beauty of form and colour, and in general are much more independent of the significance of the subject than poetry. With them, the imagination is interested chiefly in the form, with poetry, in the matter. Honest Battista Mantovano in his calendar of the festivals,⁵²³ tried another expedient. Instead of making the gods and demigods serve the purposes of sacred history, he put them, as the Fathers of the Church did, in active opposition to it. When the angel Gabriel salutes the Virgin at Nazareth, Mercury flies after him from Carmel, and listens at the door. He then announces the result of his eaves-dropping to the assembled gods, and stimulates them thereby to desperate resolutions. Elsewhere,⁵²⁴ it is true, in his writings, Thetis, Ceres, Æolus, and other pagan deities pay willing homage to the glory of the Madonna.

Admixture of
Mythology

The fame of Sannazaro, the number of his imitators, the enthusiastic homage which was paid to him by the greatest men, all show how dear and necessary he was to his age. On the threshold of the Reformation he solved for the Church the problem, whether it were possible for a poet to be a Christian as well as a classic; and both Leo and Clement were loud in their thanks for his achievements.

And, finally, contemporary history was now treated in hexameters or distichs, sometimes in a narrative and sometimes in a panegyric style, but most commonly to the honour of some prince or princely family. We thus meet with a Sforziad, a Borseid, a Laurentiad, a Borgiad (see p. 113), a Trivulziad, and the like. The object sought after was certainly not attained; for those who became famous and are now immortal owe it to anything rather than to this sort of poems, to which the world has always had an ineradicable dislike, even when they happen to be written by good poets. A wholly different effect is produced by smaller, simpler and more unpretentious scenes from the lives of distinguished men, such as the beautiful poem on Leo X's 'Hunt at Palo,'⁵²⁵ or the 'Journey of Julius II' by Adrian of Corneto (p. 64). Brilliant descriptions of hunting-parties are found in Ercole Strozzi, in the above-mentioned Adrian, and in others; and it is a pity that the modern reader should allow himself to be irritated or repelled by the adulation with which they are doubtless filled. The masterly treatment and the considerable historical value of many of these most graceful poems, guarantee to them a longer existence than many popular works of our own day are likely to attain.

Poetry of
contemporary
history

In general, these poems are good in proportion to the sparing use of the sentimental and the general. Some of the smaller epic poems, even of re-

Mythological
elements

cognized masters, unintentionally produce, by the ill-timed introduction of mythological elements, an impression that is indescribably ludicrous. Such, for instance, is the lament of Ercole Strozzi⁵²⁶ on Cæsar Borgia. We there listen to the complaint of Rome, who had set all her hopes on the Spanish Popes Calixtus III and Alexander VI, and who saw her promised deliverer in Cæsar. His history is related down to the catastrophe of 1503. The poet then asks the Muse what were the counsels of the gods at that moment,⁵²⁷ and Erato tells how, upon Olympus, Pallas took the part of the Spaniards, Venus of the Italians, how both then embrace the knees of Jupiter, how thereupon he kisses them, soothes them, and explains to them that he can do nothing against the fate woven by the Parcæ, but that the divine promises will be fulfilled by the child of the House of Este-Borgia.⁵²⁸ After relating the fabulous origin of both families, he declares that he can confer immortality on Cæsar as little as he could once, in spite of all entreaties, on Memnon or Achilles; and concludes with the consoling assurance that Cæsar, before his own death, will destroy many people in war. Mars then hastens to Naples to stir up war and confusion, while Pallas goes to Nepi, and there appears to the dying Cæsar under the form of Alexander VI. After giving him the good advice to submit to his fate and be satisfied with the glory of his name, the papal goddess vanishes 'like a bird.'

Yet we should needlessly deprive ourselves of an enjoyment, which is sometimes very great, if we threw aside everything in which classical mythology plays a more or less appropriate part. Here, as in painting and sculpture, art has often ennobled what is in itself purely conventional. The beginnings of parody are also to be found by lovers of that class of literature (pp. 83 sqq.) e.g. in the *Macaroneid*—to which the comic *Feast of the Gods*, by Giovanni Bellini, forms an early parallel.

Pl. 336

Justification
of the poetic
form in con-
temporary
history

Many, too, of the narrative poems in hexameters are merely exercises, or adaptations of histories in prose, which latter the reader will prefer, where he can find them. At last, everything—every quarrel and every ceremony—came to be put into verse, and this even by the German humanists of the Reformation.⁵²⁹ And yet it would be unfair to attribute this to mere want of occupation, or to an excessive facility in stringing verses together. In Italy, at all events, it was rather due to an abundant sense of style, as is further proved by the mass of contemporary reports, histories, and even pamphlets, in the 'terza rima.' Just as Niccolò da Uzzano published his scheme for a new constitution, Machiavelli his view of the history of his own time, a third, the life of Savonarola, and a fourth, the siege of Piombino by Alfonso the Great,⁵³⁰ in this difficult metre, in order to produce a stronger effect, so did many others feel the need of hexameters, in order to win their special public. What was then tolerated and demanded, in this shape, is best shown by the

Pl. 80

Pls. 88, 89

didactic poetry of the time. Its popularity in the fifteenth century is something astounding. The most distinguished humanists were ready to celebrate in Latin hexameters the most commonplace, ridiculous, or disgusting themes, such as the making of gold, the game of chess, the management of silkworms, astrology, and venereal diseases (*morbus gallicus*), to say nothing of many long Italian poems of the same kind. Nowadays this class of poems is condemned unread, and how far, as a matter of fact, they are really worth the reading, we are unable to say. One thing is certain, that epochs far above our own in the sense of beauty—the Renaissance and the Greco-Roman world—could not dispense with this form of poetry. It may be urged in reply, that it is not the lack of a sense of beauty, but the greater seriousness and the altered method of scientific treatment which renders the poetical form inappropriate, on which point it is unnecessary to enter.

One of these didactic works has of late years been occasionally republished—the ‘Zodiac of Life,’ by Marcellus Palingenius (Pier Angelo Manzolli), a secret adherent of Protestantism at Ferrara, written about 1528. With the loftiest speculations on God, virtue, and immortality, the writer connects the discussion of many questions of practical life, and is, on this account, an authority of some weight in the history of morals. On the whole, however, his work must be considered as lying outside the boundaries of the Renaissance, as is further indicated by the fact that, in harmony with the serious didactic purpose of the poem, allegory tends to supplant mythology.

But it was in lyric, and more particularly in elegiac poetry, that the poet-scholar came nearest to antiquity; and next to this, in epigram.

Latin lyrics

In the lighter style, Catullus exercised a perfect fascination over the Italians. Not a few elegant Latin madrigals, not a few little satires and malicious epistles, are mere adaptations from him; and the death of parrots and lapdogs is bewailed, even where there is no verbal imitation, in precisely the tone and style of the verses on Lesbia’s sparrow. There are short poems of this sort, the date of which even a critic would be unable to fix, in the absence of positive evidence that they are works of the fifteenth and sixteenth centuries.

On the other hand, we can find scarcely an ode in the Sapphic or Alcaic metre, which does not clearly betray its modern origin. This is shown mostly by a rhetorical verbosity, rare in antiquity before the time of Statius, and by a singular want of the lyrical concentration which is indispensable to this style of poetry. Single passages in an ode, sometimes two or three strophes together, may look like an ancient fragment; but a longer extract will seldom keep this character throughout. And where it does so, as, for instance, in the fine Ode to Venus, by Andrea Navagero, it is easy to detect a simple paraphrase of ancient masterpieces.⁵³¹ Some of the ode-writers take the saints for their

Pls. 250, 253

Odes to
Saints

subject, and invoke them in verses tastefully modelled after the pattern of analogous odes of Horace and Catullus. This is the manner of Navagero, in the Ode to the Archangel Gabriel, and particularly of Sannazaro (p. 132), who goes still further in his appropriation of pagan sentiment. He celebrates above all his patron saint,⁵³² whose chapel was attached to his lovely villa on the shores of Posillipo, 'there where the waves of the sea drink up the stream from the rocks, and surge against the walls of the little sanctuary.' His delight is in the annual feast of St. Nazario, and the branches and garlands with which the chapel is hung on this day, seem to him like sacrificial gifts. Full of sorrow, and far off in exile, at St. Nazaire, on the banks of the Loire, with the banished Frederick of Aragon, he brings wreaths of box and oak leaves to his patron saint on the same anniversary, thinking of former years, when all the youth of Posillipo used to come forth to greet him on flower-hung boats, and praying that he may return home.⁵³³

Elegiac
poems

Perhaps the most deceptive likeness to the classical style is borne by a class of poems in elegiacs or hexameters, whose subject ranges from elegy, strictly so-called, to epigram. As the humanists dealt most freely of all with the text of the Roman elegiac poets, so they felt themselves most at home in imitating them. The elegy of Navagero addressed to the night, like other poems of the same age and kind, is full of points which remind us of his models; but it has the finest antique ring about it. Indeed Navagero⁵³⁴ always begins by choosing a truly poetical subject, which he then treats, not with servile imitation, but with masterly freedom, in the style of the Anthology, of Ovid, of Catullus, or of the Virgilian eclogues. He makes a sparing use of mythology, only, for instance, to introduce a sketch of country life, in a prayer to Ceres and other rural divinities. An address to his country, on his return from an embassy to Spain, though left unfinished, might have been worthy of a place beside the 'Bella Italia, amate sponde' of Vincenzo Monti, if the rest had been equal to this beginning:

'Salve cura Deum, mundi felicior ora,
Formosae Veneris dulces salvet recessus;
Ut vos post tantos animi mentisque labores
Aspicio lustraque libens, ut munere vestro
Sollicitas toto depello e pectore curas!'

The elegiac or hexametral form was that in which all higher sentiment found expression, both the noblest patriotic enthusiasm (see p. 65, the elegy on Julius II) and the most elaborate eulogies on the ruling houses,⁵³⁵ as well as the tender melancholy of a Tibullus. Francesco Mario Molza, who rivals Statius and Martial in his flattery of Clement VII and the Farnesi, gives us in his elegy to his 'comrades,' written from a sick-bed, thoughts on death as beautiful and genuinely antique as can be found in any of the poets of

antiquity, and this without borrowing anything worth speaking of from them. The spirit and range of the Roman elegy were best understood and reproduced by Sannazaro, and no other writer of his time offers us so varied a choice of good poems in this style as he. We shall have occasion now and then to speak of some of these elegies in reference to the matter they treat of.

The Latin epigram finally became in those days an affair of serious importance, since a few clever lines, engraved on a monument or quoted with laughter in society, could lay the foundation of a scholar's celebrity. This tendency showed itself early in Italy. When it was known that Guido da Polenta wished to erect a monument at Dante's grave, epitaphs poured in from all directions,⁵³⁶ 'written by such as wished to *show themselves*, or to honour the dead poet, or to win the favour of Polenta.' On the tomb of the Archbishop Giovanni Visconti (d. 1354), in the Cathedral at Milan, we read at the foot of 36 hexameters: 'Master Gabrius de Zamoreis of Parma, Doctor of Laws, wrote these verses.' In course of time, chiefly under the influence of Martial, and partly of Catullus, an extensive literature of this sort was formed. It was held the greatest of all triumphs, when an epigram was mistaken for a genuine copy from some old marble,⁵³⁷ or when it was so good that all Italy learned it by heart, as happened in the case of some of Bembo's. When the Venetian government paid Sannazaro 600 ducats for a eulogy in three distichs, no one thought it an act of generous prodigality. The epigram was prized for what it was, in truth, to all the educated classes of that age—the concentrated essence of fame. Nor, on the other hand, was any man then so powerful as to be above the reach of a satirical epigram, and even the most powerful needed, for every inscription which they set before the public eye, the aid of careful and learned scholars, lest some blunder or other should qualify it for a place in the collections of ludicrous epitaphs.⁵³⁸ The epigraph and the epigram were branches of the same pursuit; the reproduction of the former was based on a diligent study of ancient monuments.

The city of epigrams and inscriptions was, above all others, Rome. In this state without hereditary honours, each man had to look after his own immortality, and at the same time found the epigram an effective weapon against his competitors. Pius II counts with satisfaction the distichs which his chief poet Campanus wrote on any event of his government which could be turned to poetical account. Under the following popes satirical epigrams came into fashion, and reached, in the opposition to Alexander VI and his family, the highest pitch of defiant invective. Sannazaro, it is true, wrote his verses in a place of comparative safety, but others in the immediate neighbourhood of the court ventured on the most reckless attacks (p. 62). On one occasion when eight threatening distichs were found fastened to the door of the library,⁵³⁹ Alexander strengthened his guard by 800 men; we

The Epigram

In Rome

can imagine what he would have done to the poet if he had caught him. Under Leo X, Latin epigrams were like daily bread. For complimenting or for reviling the pope, for punishing enemies and victims, named or unnamed, for real or imaginary subjects of wit, malice, grief, or contemplation, no form was held more suitable. On the famous group of the Virgin with Saint Anna and the Child, which Andrea Sansovino carved for Sant'Agostino, no less than 120 persons wrote Latin verses, not so much, it is true, from devotion, as from regard for the patron who ordered the work.⁵⁴⁰ This man, Johann Goritz of Luxemburg, papal referendary of petitions, not only held a religious service on the feast of Saint'Anna, but gave a great literary dinner in his garden on the slopes of the Capitol. It was then worth while to pass in review, in a long poem 'De poetis urbanis,' the whole crowd of singers who sought their fortune at the court of Leo. This was done by Franciscus Arsillus⁵⁴¹—a man who needed the patronage neither of pope nor prince, and who dared to speak his mind, even against his colleagues. The epigram survived the pontificate of Paul III only in a few rare echoes, while the epigraph continued to flourish till the seventeenth century, when it perished finally of bombast.

Coryciana

The Epigram
in Venice

In Venice, also, this form of poetry had a history of its own, which we are able to trace with the help of the 'Venezia' of Francesco Sansovino. A standing task for the epigram-writers was offered by the mottoes (Brievi) on the pictures of the Doges in the great hall of the ducal palace—two or four hexameters, setting forth the most noteworthy facts in the government of each.⁵⁴² In addition to this, the tombs of the Doges in the fourteenth century bore short inscriptions in prose, recording merely facts, and beside them turgid hexameters or leonine verses. In the fifteenth century more care was taken with the style; in the sixteenth century it is seen at its best; and then soon after came pointless antithesis, prosopopœia, false pathos, praise of abstract qualities—in a word, affectation and bombast. A good many traces of satire can be detected, and veiled criticism of the living is implied in open praise of the dead. At a much later period we find a few instances of a deliberate recurrence to the old, simple style.

Architectural works and decorative works in general were constructed with a view to receiving inscriptions, often in frequent repetition; while the Northern Gothic seldom, and with difficulty, offered a suitable place for them, and in sepulchral monuments, for example, left free only the most exposed parts—namely the edges.

By what has been said hitherto we have, perhaps, failed to convince the reader of the characteristic value of this Latin poetry of the Italians. Our task was rather to indicate its position and necessity in the history of civilization. In its own day, a caricature of it appeared⁵⁴³—the so-called

macaronic poetry. The masterpiece of this style, the 'opus macaronicorum,' was written by Merlinus Coccaius (Teofilo Folengo of Mantua). We shall now and then have occasion to refer to the matter of this poem. As to the form—hexameter and other verses, made up of Latin words and Italian words with Latin endings—its comic effect lies chiefly in the fact that these combinations sound like so many slips of the tongue, or the effusions of an over-hasty Latin 'improvisatore.' The German imitations do not give the smallest notion of this effect.

Macaronic
Verse

✱

After a brilliant succession of poet-scholars had, since the beginning of the fourteenth century, filled Italy and the world with the worship of antiquity, had determined the forms of education and culture, had often taken the lead in political affairs and had, to no small extent, reproduced ancient literature—at length in the sixteenth century, before their doctrines and scholarship had lost hold of the public mind, the whole class fell into deep and general disgrace. Though they still served as models to the poets, historians, and orators, personally no one would consent to be reckoned of their number. To the two chief accusations against them—that of malicious self-conceit, and that of abominable profligacy—a third charge of irreligion was now loudly added by the rising powers of the Counter-reformation.

Fall of the
Humanists

Why, it may be asked, were not these reproaches, whether true or false, heard sooner? As a matter of fact, they were heard at a very early period, but the effect they produced was insignificant, for the plain reason that men were far too dependent on the scholars for their knowledge of antiquity—that the scholars were personally the possessors and diffusers of ancient culture. But the spread of printed editions of the classics,⁵⁴⁴ and of large and well-arranged hand-books and dictionaries, went far to free the people from the necessity of personal intercourse with the humanists, and, as soon as they could be but partly dispensed with, the change in popular feeling became manifest. It was a change under which the good and bad suffered indiscriminately.

The first to make these charges were certainly the humanists themselves. Of all men who ever formed a class, they had the least sense of their common interests, and least respected what there was of this sense. All means were held lawful, if one of them saw a chance of supplanting another. From literary discussion they passed with astonishing suddenness to the fiercest and the most groundless vituperation. Not satisfied with refuting, they sought to annihilate an opponent. Something of this must be put to the account of their position and circumstances; we have seen how fiercely the age, whose loudest spokesmen they were, was borne to and fro by the passion for glory

Their own
responsibility

and the passion for satire. Their position, too, in practical life was one that they had continually to fight for. In such a temper they wrote and spoke and described one another. Poggio's works alone contain dirt enough to create a prejudice against the whole class—and these 'Opera Poggii' were just those most often printed, on the north, as well as on the south, side of the Alps. We must take care not to rejoice too soon, when we meet among these men a figure which seems immaculate; on further inquiry there is always a danger of meeting with some foul charge, which, even when it is incredible, still discolours the picture. The mass of indecent Latin poems in circulation, and such things as the ribaldry on the subject of his own family, in Pontano's dialogue, 'Antonius,' did the rest to discredit the class. The sixteenth century was not only familiar with all these ugly symptoms, but had also grown tired of the type of the humanist. These men had to pay both for the misdeeds they had done, and for the excess of honour which had hitherto fallen to their lot. Their evil fate willed it that the greatest poet of the nation wrote of them in a tone of calm and sovereign contempt.⁵⁴⁵

Of the reproaches which combined to excite so much hatred, many were only too well founded. Yet a clear and unmistakable tendency to strictness in matters of religion and morality was alive in many of the philologists, and it is a proof of small knowledge of the period, if the whole class is condemned. Yet many, and among them the loudest speakers, were guilty.

The degree
of their guilt

Three facts explain, and perhaps diminish their guilt: the overflowing excess of favour and fortune, when the luck was on their side: the uncertainty of the future, in which luxury or misery depended on the caprice of a patron or the malice of an enemy; and finally, the misleading influence of antiquity. This undermined their morality, without giving them its own instead; and in religious matters, since they could never think of accepting the positive belief in the old gods, it affected them only on the negative and sceptical side. Just because they conceived of antiquity dogmatically—that is, took it as the model for all thought and action—its influence was here pernicious. But that an age existed, which idolized the ancient world and its products with an exclusive devotion, was not the fault of individuals. It was the work of a historical providence, and all the culture of the ages which have followed, and of the ages to come, rests upon the fact that it was so, and that all the ends of life but this one were then deliberately put aside.

Their careers

The career of the humanists was, as a rule, of such a kind that only the strongest characters could pass through it unscathed. The first danger came, in some cases, from the parents, who sought to turn a precocious child into a miracle of learning,⁵⁴⁶ with an eye to his future position in that class which then was supreme. Youthful prodigies, however, seldom rise above a certain level; or, if they do, are forced to achieve their further progress and de-

velopment at the cost of the bitterest trials. For an ambitious youth, the fame and the brilliant position of the humanists were a perilous temptation; it seemed to him that he too 'through inborn pride could no longer regard the low and common things of life.'⁵⁴⁷ He was thus led to plunge into a life of excitement and vicissitude, in which exhausting studies, tutorships, secretaryships, professorships, offices in princely households, mortal enmities and perils, luxury and beggary, boundless admiration and boundless contempt, followed confusedly one upon the other, and in which the most solid worth and learning were often pushed aside by superficial impudence. But the worst of all was, that the position of the humanist was almost incompatible with a fixed home, since it either made frequent changes of dwelling necessary for a livelihood, or so affected the mind of the individual that he could never be happy for long in one place. He grew tired of the people, and had no peace among the enmities which he excited, while the people themselves in their turn demanded something new (p. 107). Much as this life reminds us of the Greek sophists of the Empire, as described to us by Philostratus, yet the position of the sophists was more favourable. They often had money, or could more easily do without it than the humanists, and as professional teachers of rhetoric, rather than men of learning, their life was freer and simpler. But the scholar of the Renaissance was forced to combine great learning with the power of resisting the influence of ever-changing pursuits and situations. Add to this the deadening effect of licentious excess, and—since do what he might, the worst was believed of him—a total indifference to the moral laws recognized by others. Such men can hardly be conceived to exist without an inordinate pride. They needed it, if only to keep their heads above water, and were confirmed in it by the admiration which alternated with hatred in the treatment they received from the world. They are the most striking examples and victims of an unbridled subjectivity.

The attacks and the satirical pictures began, as we have said, at an early period. For all strongly marked individuality, for every kind of distinction, a corrective was at hand in the national taste for ridicule. And in this case the men themselves offered abundant and terrible materials which satire had but to make use of. In the fifteenth century, Battista Mantovano, in discoursing of the seven monsters,⁵⁴⁸ includes the humanists, with many others, under the head 'Superbia.' He describes how, fancying themselves children of Apollo, they walk along with affected solemnity and with sullen, malicious looks, now gazing at their own shadow, now brooding over the popular praise they hunted after, like cranes in search of food. But in the sixteenth century the indictment was presented in full. Besides Ariosto, their own historian Gyraldus⁵⁴⁹ gives evidence of this, whose treatise, written under Leo X, was probably revised about the year 1540. Warning examples from

Comparison
with the
Sophists

Attacks
in the
15th Century

In the
16th Century

ancient and modern times of the moral disorder and the wretched existence of the scholars meet us in astonishing abundance, and along with these accusations of the most serious nature are brought formally against them. Among these are anger, vanity, obstinacy, self-adoration, a dissolute private life, immorality of all descriptions, heresy, atheism; further, the habit of speaking without conviction, a sinister influence on government, pedantry of speech, thanklessness towards teachers, and abject flattery of the great, who first give the scholar a taste of their favours and then leave him to starve. The description is closed by a reference to the golden age, when no such thing as science existed on the earth. Of these charges, that of heresy soon became the most dangerous, and Gyraldus himself, when he afterwards republished a perfectly harmless youthful work,⁵⁵⁰ was compelled to take refuge beneath the mantle of Duke Hercules II of Ferrara, since men now had the upper hand who held that people had better spend their time on Christian themes than on mythological researches. He justifies himself on the ground that the latter, on the contrary, were at such a time almost the only harmless branches of study, as they deal with subjects of a perfectly neutral character.

Pl. 14

The mis-
fortune of
the scholars

But if it is the duty of the historian to seek for evidence in which moral judgement is tempered by human sympathy, he will find no authority comparable in value to the work so often quoted of Pierio Valeriano,⁵⁵¹ 'On the Infelicity of the Scholar.' It was written under the gloomy impressions left by the sack of Rome, which seems to the writer, not only the direct cause of untold misery to the men of learning, but, as it were, the fulfilment of an evil destiny which had long pursued them. Pierio is here led by a simple and, on the whole, just feeling. He does not introduce a special power, which plagued the men of genius on account of their genius, but he states facts, in which an unlucky chance often wears the aspect of fatality. Not wishing to write a tragedy or to refer events to the conflict of higher powers, he is content to lay before us the scenes of every-day life. We are introduced to men, who in times of trouble lose, first their incomes, and then their places; to others who, in trying to get two appointments, miss both; to unsociable misers, who carry about their money sewn into their clothes, and die mad when they are robbed of it; to others, who accept well-paid offices, and then sicken with a melancholy longing for their lost freedom. We read how some died young of a plague or fever, and how the writings which had cost them so much toil were burnt with their bed and clothes; how others lived in terror of the murderous threats of their colleagues; how one was slain by a covetous servant, and another caught by highwaymen on a journey, and left to pine in a dungeon, because unable to pay his ransom. Many died of unspoken grief for the insults they received and the prizes of which

they were defrauded. We are told of the death of a Venetian, because his son, a youthful prodigy, was dead; and the mother and brothers followed, as if the lost child drew them all after him. Many, especially Florentines, ended their lives by suicide;⁵⁵² others through the secret justice of a tyrant. Who, after all, is happy?—and by what means? By blunting all feeling for such misery? One of the speakers in the dialogue in which Pierio clothed his argument, can give an answer to these questions—the illustrious Gasparo Contarini, at the mention of whose name we turn with the expectation to hear at least something of the truest and deepest which was then thought on such matters. As a type of the happy scholar, he mentions Fra Urbano Valeriano of Belluno, who was for years teacher of Greek at Venice, who visited Greece and the East, and towards the close of his life travelled, now through this country, now through that, without ever mounting a horse; who never had a penny of his own, rejected all honours and distinctions, and after a gay old age, died in his eighty-fourth year, without, if we except a fall from a ladder, having ever known an hour of sickness. And what was the difference between such a man and the humanists? The latter had more free will, more subjectivity, than they could turn to purposes of happiness. The mendicant friar, who had lived from his boyhood in the monastery, and never eaten or slept except by rule, ceased to feel the compulsion under which he lived. Through the power of this habit he led, amid all outward hardships, a life of inward peace, by which he impressed his hearers far more than by his teaching. Looking at him, they could believe that it depends on ourselves whether we bear up against misfortune or surrender to it. ‘Amid want and toil he was happy, because he willed to be so, because he had contracted no evil habits, was not capricious, inconstant, immoderate; but was always contented with little or nothing.’ If we heard Contarini himself, religious motives would no doubt play a part in the argument—but the practical philosopher in sandals speaks plainly enough. An allied character, but placed in other circumstances, is that of Fabio Calvi of Ravenna, the commentator of Hippocrates.⁵⁵³ He lived to a great age in Rome, eating only pulse ‘like the Pythagoreans,’ and dwelt in a hovel little better than the tub of Diogenes. Of the pension, which Pope Leo gave him, he spent enough to keep body and soul together, and gave the rest away. He was not a healthy man, like Fra Urbano, nor is it likely that, like him, he died with a smile on his lips. At the age of ninety, in the sack of Rome, he was dragged away by the Spaniards, who hoped for a ransom, and died of hunger in a hospital. But his name has passed into the kingdom of the immortals, for Raphael loved the old man like a father, and honoured him as a teacher, and came to him for advice in all things. Perhaps they discoursed chiefly of the projected restoration of ancient Rome (p. 96), perhaps of still higher

The deeper
reasons

The counter-
part of the
Humanist

Fabio Calvi

matters. Who can tell what a share Fabio may have had in the conception of the School of Athens, and in other great works of the master?

Pomponius
Laetus

We would gladly close this part of our essay with the picture of some pleasing and winning character. Pomponius Laetus, of whom we shall briefly speak, is known to us principally through the letter of his pupil Sabellicus,⁵⁵⁴ in which an antique colouring is purposely given to his character. Yet many of its features are clearly recognizable. He was (p. 128) a bastard of the House of the Neapolitan Sanseverini, princes of Salerno, whom he nevertheless refused to recognize, writing, in reply to an invitation to live with them, the famous letter: 'Pomponius Laetus cognatis et propinquis suis, salutem. Quod petitis fieri non potest. Valet.' An insignificant little figure, with small, quick eyes, and quaint dress, he lived, during the last decades of the fifteenth century, as professor in the University of Rome, either in his cottage in a garden on the Esquiline hill, or in his vineyard on the Quirinal. In the one he bred his ducks and fowls; the other he cultivated according to the strictest precepts of Cato, Varro, and Columella. He spent his holidays in fishing or bird-catching in the Campagna, or in feasting by some shady spring or on the banks of the Tiber. Wealth and luxury he despised. Free himself from envy and uncharitable speech, he would not suffer them in others. It was only against the hierarchy that he gave his tongue free play, and passed, till his latter years, for a scorner of religion altogether. He was involved in the persecution of the humanists begun by Pope Paul II, and surrendered to this pontiff by the Venetians; but no means could be found to wring unworthy confessions from him. He was afterwards befriended and supported by popes and prelates, and when his house was plundered in the disturbances under Sixtus IV, more was collected for him than he had lost. No teacher was more conscientious. Before daybreak he was to be seen descending the Esquiline with his lantern, and on reaching his lecture-room found it always filled to overflowing. A stutter compelled him to speak with care, but his delivery was even and effective. His few works give evidence of careful writing. No scholar treated the text of ancient authors more soberly and accurately. The remains of antiquity which surrounded him in Rome touched him so deeply, that he would stand before them as if entranced, or would suddenly burst into tears at the sight of them. As he was ready to lay aside his own studies in order to help others, he was much loved and had many friends; and at his death, even Alexander VI sent his courtiers to follow the corpse, which was carried by the most distinguished of his pupils. The funeral service in the Aracœli was attended by forty bishops and by all the foreign ambassadors.

Plautus and
the Roman
Academy

It was Laetus who introduced and conducted the representations of ancient, chiefly Plautine, plays in Rome (p. 130). Every year, he celebrated the

anniversary of the foundation of the city by a festival, at which his friends and pupils recited speeches and poems. Such meetings were the origin of what acquired, and long retained, the name of the Roman Academy. It was simply a free union of individuals, and was connected with no fixed institution. Besides the occasions mentioned, it met⁵⁵⁵ at the invitation of a patron, or to celebrate the memory of a deceased member, as of Platina. At such times, a prelate belonging to the academy would first say mass; Pomponio would then ascend the pulpit and deliver a speech; someone else would then follow him and recite an elegy. The customary banquet, with declamations and recitations, concluded the festival, whether joyous or serious, and the academicians, notably Platina himself, early acquired the reputation of epicures.⁵⁵⁶ At other times, the guests performed farces in the old Atellan style. As a free association of very varied elements, the academy lasted in its original form down to the sack of Rome, and included among its guests Angelus Coloccius, Joh. Corycius (p. 138) and others. Its precise value as an element in the intellectual life of the people is as hard to estimate as that of any other social union of the same kind; yet a man like Sadoletto⁵⁵⁷ reckoned it among the most precious memories of his youth. A large number of other academies appeared and passed away in many Italian cities, according to the number and significance of the humanists living in them, and to the patronage bestowed by the great and wealthy. Of these we may mention the Academy of Naples, of which Jovianus Pontanus was the centre, and which sent out a colony to Lecce,⁵⁵⁸ and that of Pordenone, which formed the court of the Condottiere Alviano. The circle of Lodovico il Moro, and its peculiar importance for that prince, has been already spoken of (p. 24).

About the middle of the sixteenth century, these associations seem to have undergone a complete change. The humanists, driven in other spheres from their commanding position, and viewed askance by the men of the Counter-reformation, lost the control of the academies: and here, as elsewhere, Latin poetry was replaced by Italian. Before long every town of the least importance had its academy, with some strange, fantastic name,⁵⁵⁹ and its own endowment and subscriptions. Besides the recitation of verses, the new institutions inherited from their predecessors the regular banquets and the representation of plays, sometimes acted by the members themselves, sometimes under their direction by young amateurs, and sometimes by paid players. The fate of the Italian stage, and afterwards of the opera, was long in the hands of these associations.

Pl. 233

Other
Academies

Pl. 230

Italianization
of the
Academies

PART IV

THE DISCOVERY OF THE WORLD AND OF MAN

Freed from the countless bonds which elsewhere in Europe checked progress, having reached a high degree of individual development and been schooled by the teachings of antiquity, the Italian mind now turned to the discovery of the outward universe, and to the representation of it in speech and in form.

*Journeys of
the Italians*

On the journeys of the Italians to distant parts of the world, we can here make but a few general observations. The crusades had opened unknown distances to the European mind, and awakened in all the passion for travel and adventure. It may be hard to indicate precisely the point where this passion allied itself with, or became the servant of, the thirst for knowledge; but it was in Italy that this was first and most completely the case. Even in the crusades the interest of the Italians was wider than that of other nations, since they already were a naval power and had commercial relations with the East. From time immemorial the Mediterranean sea had given to the nations that dwelt on its shores mental impulses different from those which governed the peoples of the North; and never, from the very structure of their character, could the Italians be adventurers in the sense which the word bore among the Teutons. After they were once at home in all the eastern harbours of the Mediterranean, it was natural that the most enterprising among them should be led to join that vast international movement of the Mohammedans which there found its outlet. A new half of the world lay, as it were, freshly discovered before them. Or, like Polo of Venice, they were caught in the current of the Mongolian peoples, and carried on to the steps of the throne of the Great Khan. At an early period, we find Italians sharing in the discoveries made in the Atlantic ocean; it was the Genoese who, in the thirteenth century, found the Canary Islands.⁵⁶⁰ In the same year, 1291, when Ptolemais, the last remnant of the Christian East, was lost, it was again the Genoese who made the first known attempt to find a sea-passage to the East Indies.⁵⁶¹ Columbus himself is but the greatest of a long list of Italians who, in the service of the western nations, sailed into distant seas. The true discoverer, however, is not the man who first chances to stumble

Pl. 149

Pls. 120, 122

Pl. 189

upon anything, but the man who finds what he has sought. Such a one alone stands in a link with the thoughts and interests of his predecessors, and this relationship will also determine the account he gives of his search. For which reason the Italians, although their claim to be the first comers on this or that shore may be disputed, will yet retain their title to be pre-eminently the nation of discoverers for the whole latter part of the Middle Ages. The fuller proof of this assertion belongs to the special history of discoveries. Yet ever and again we turn with admiration to the august figure of the great Genoese, by whom a new continent beyond the ocean was demanded, sought and found; and who was the first to be able to say: 'il mondo è poco'—the world is not so large as men have thought. At the time when Spain gave Alexander VI to the Italians, Italy gave Columbus to the Spaniards. Only a few weeks before the death of that pope (July 7th, 1503), Columbus wrote from Jamaica his noble letter to the thankless Catholic kings, which the ages to come can never read without profound emotion. In a codicil to his will, dated Valladolid, May 4th, 1506, he bequeathed to 'his beloved home, the Republic of Genoa, the prayer-book which Pope Alexander had given him, and which in prison, in conflict, and in every kind of adversity had been to him the greatest of comforts.' It seems as if these words cast upon the abhorred name of Borgia one last gleam of grace and mercy.

Columbus

The development of geographical and the allied sciences among the Italians must, like the history of their voyages, be touched upon but very briefly. A superficial comparison of their achievements with those of other nations shows an early and striking superiority on their part. Where, in the middle of the fifteenth century, could be found, anywhere but in Italy, such an union of geographical, statistical, and historical knowledge as was found in Æneas Sylvius? Not only in his great geographical work, but in his letters and commentaries, he describes with equal mastery landscapes, cities, manners, industries and products, political conditions and constitutions, wherever he can use his own observation or the evidence of eye-witnesses. What he takes from books is naturally of less moment. Even the short sketch⁵⁶² of that valley in the Tyrolese Alps, where Frederick III had given him a benefice, and still more his description of Scotland, leaves untouched none of the relations of human life, and displays a power and method of unbiased observation and comparison impossible in any but a countryman of Columbus, trained in the school of the ancients. Thousands saw and, in part, knew what he did, but they felt no impulse to draw a picture of it, and were unconscious that the world desired such pictures.

Cosmographic
tendencyÆneas
Sylvius

In geography⁵⁶³ as in other matters, it is vain to attempt to distinguish how much is to be attributed to the study of the ancients, and how much to the special genius of the Italians. They saw and treated the things of this

Alternating
influence of
discoveries
and
descriptions

world from an objective point of view, even before they were familiar with ancient literature, partly because they were themselves a half-ancient people, and partly because their political circumstances predisposed them to it; but they would not so rapidly have attained to such perfection had not the old geographers showed them the way. The influence of the existing Italian geographies on the spirit and tendencies of the travellers and discoverers was also inestimable. Even the simple 'dilettante' of a science—if in the present case we should assign to Æneas Sylvius so low a rank—can diffuse just that sort of general interest in the subject which prepares for new pioneers the indispensable groundwork of a favourable predisposition in the public mind. True discoverers in any science know well what they owe to such mediation.

The Natural
Sciences

For the position of the Italians in the sphere of the natural sciences, we must refer the reader to the special treatises on the subject, of which the only one with which we are familiar is the superficial and depreciatory work of *Libri*.⁵⁶⁴ The dispute as to the priority of particular discoveries concerns us all the less, since we hold that, at any time, and among any civilized people, a man may appear who, starting with very scanty preparation, is driven by an irresistible impulse into the path of scientific investigation, and through his native gifts achieves the most astonishing success. Such men were Gerbert of Rheims and Roger Bacon. That they were masters of the whole knowledge of the age in their several departments, was a natural consequence of the spirit in which they worked. When once the veil of illusion was torn asunder, when once the dread of nature and the slavery to books and tradition were overcome, countless problems lay before them for solution. It is another matter when a whole people takes a natural delight in the study and investigation of nature, at a time when other nations are indifferent, that is to say, when the discoverer is not threatened or wholly ignored, but can count on the friendly support of congenial spirits. That this was the case in Italy, is unquestionable.⁵⁶⁵ The Italian students of nature trace with pride in the 'Divine Comedy' the hints and proofs of Dante's scientific interest in nature.⁵⁶⁶ On his claim to priority in this or that discovery or reference, we must leave the men of science to decide; but every layman must be struck by the wealth of his observations on the external world, shown merely in his pictures and comparisons. He, more than any other modern poet, takes them from reality, whether in nature or human life, and uses them, never as mere ornament, but in order to give the reader the fullest and most adequate sense of his meaning. It is in astronomy that he appears chiefly as a scientific specialist, though it must not be forgotten that many astronomical allusions in his great poem, which now appear to us learned, must then have been intelligible to the general reader. Dante, learning apart, appeals to a popular knowledge of the heavens, which the Italians of his day, from the

Inclination to
Empiricism

Popular
Astronomy

mere fact that they were a nautical people, had in common with the ancients. This knowledge of the rising and setting of the constellations has been rendered superfluous to the modern world by calendars and clocks, and with it has gone whatever interest in astronomy the people may once have had. Nowadays, with our schools and hand-books, every child knows—what Dante did not know—that the earth moves round the sun; but the interest once taken in the subject itself has given place, except in the case of astronomical specialists, to the most absolute indifference.

Pl. 218

The pseudo-science, which also dealt with the stars, proves nothing against the inductive spirit of the Italians of that day. That spirit was but crossed, and at times overcome, by the passionate desire to penetrate the future. We shall recur to the subject of astrology when we come to speak of the moral and religious character of the people.

The Church treated this and other pseudo-sciences nearly always with toleration; and showed itself actually hostile even to genuine science only when a charge of heresy together with necromancy was also in question—which certainly was often the case. A point which it would be interesting to decide is this: whether and in what cases, the Dominican (and also the Franciscan) Inquisitors in Italy were conscious of the falsehood of the charges, and yet condemned the accused, either to oblige some enemy of the prisoner or from hatred to natural science, and particularly to experiments. The latter doubtless occurred, but it is not easy to prove the fact. What helped to cause such persecutions in the North, namely, the opposition made to the innovators by the upholders of the received official, scholastic system of nature, was of little or no weight in Italy. Pietro of Abano, at the beginning of the fourteenth century, is well known to have fallen a victim to the envy of another physician, who accused him before the Inquisition of heresy and magic;⁵⁶⁷ and something of the same kind may have happened in the case of his Paduan contemporary, Giovannino Sanguinacci, who was known as an innovator in medical practice. He escaped, however, with banishment. Nor must it be forgotten that the inquisitorial power of the Dominicans was exercised less uniformly in Italy than in the North. Tyrants and free cities in the fourteenth century treated the clergy at times with such sovereign contempt, that very different matters from natural science went unpunished. But when, with the fifteenth century, antiquity became the leading power in Italy, the breach it made in the old system was turned to account by every branch of secular science. Humanism, nevertheless, attracted to itself the best strength of the nation, and thereby, no doubt, did injury to the inductive investigation of nature.⁵⁶⁸ Here and there the Inquisition suddenly started into life, and punished or burned physicians as blasphemers or magicians. In such cases it is hard to discover what was the true motive underlying the

Attitude of
the Churchand of
Humanism

Pls. 191, 192

Pl. 157

condemnation. And after all, Italy, at the close of the fifteenth century, with Paolo Toscanelli, Luca Paccioli and Leonardo da Vinci, held incomparably the highest place among European nations in mathematics and the natural sciences, and the learned men of every country, even Regiomontanus and Copernicus, confessed themselves its pupils. This glory survived the Counter-reformation, and even to-day the Italians would occupy the first place in this respect if circumstances had not made it impossible for the greatest minds to devote themselves to tranquil research.

Pls. 190, 191

Botanical
collections
Pl. 331

A significant proof of the wide-spread interest in natural history is found in the zeal which showed itself at an early period for the collection and comparative study of plants and animals. Italy claims to be the first creator of botanical gardens, though possibly they may have served a chiefly practical end, and the claim to priority may be itself disputed. It is of far greater importance that princes and wealthy men, in laying out their pleasure-gardens, instinctively made a point of collecting the greatest possible number of different plants in all their species and varieties. Thus in the fifteenth century the noble grounds of the Medicean Villa Careggi appear from the descriptions we have of them to have been almost a botanical garden,⁵⁶⁹ with countless specimens of different trees and shrubs. Of the same kind was a villa of the Cardinal Trivulzio, at the beginning of the sixteenth century, in the Roman Campagna towards Tivoli,⁵⁷⁰ with hedges made up of various species of roses, with trees of every description—the fruit-trees especially showing an astonishing variety—with twenty different sorts of vines and a large kitchen-garden. This is evidently something very different from the score or two of familiar medicinal plants, which were to be found in the garden of any castle or monastery in Western Europe. Along with a careful cultivation of fruit for the purposes of the table, we find an interest in the plant for its own sake, on account of the pleasure it gives to the eye. We learn from the history of art at how late a period this passion for botanical collections was laid aside, and gave place to what was considered the picturesque style of landscape-gardening.

Pls. 326—330

Foreign
animals

The collections, too, of foreign animals not only gratified curiosity, but served also the higher purposes of observation. The facility of transport from the southern and eastern harbours of the Mediterranean, and the mildness of the Italian climate, made it practicable to buy the largest animals of the south, or to accept them as presents from the Sultans.⁵⁷¹ The cities and princes were especially anxious to keep live lions, even when the lion was not, as in Florence, the emblem of the state.⁵⁷² The lions' den was generally in or near the government palace, as in Perugia and Florence; in Rome, it lay on the slope of the Capitol. The beasts sometimes served as executioners of political judgements,⁵⁷³ and no doubt, apart from this, they

kept alive a certain terror in the popular mind. Their condition was also held to be ominous of good or evil. Their fertility, especially, was considered a sign of public prosperity, and no less a man than Giovanni Villani thought it worth recording that he was present at the delivery of a lioness.⁵⁷⁴ The cubs were often given to allied states and princes, or to Condottieri, as a reward of valour.⁵⁷⁵ In addition to the lions, the Florentines began very early to keep leopards, for which a special keeper was appointed.⁵⁷⁶ Borso⁵⁷⁷ of Ferrara used to set his lions to fight with bulls, bears, and wild boars.

By the end of the fifteenth century, however, true menageries (serragli), now reckoned part of the suitable appointments of a court, were kept by many of the princes. 'It belongs to the position of the great,' says Matarazzo,⁵⁷⁸ 'to keep horses, dogs, mules, falcons, and other birds, court-jesters, singers, and foreign animals.' The menagerie at Naples, in the time of Ferrante and others, contained a giraffe and a zebra, presented, it seems, by the ruler of Baghdad.⁵⁷⁹ Filippo Maria Visconti possessed not only horses which cost him each 500 or 1,000 pieces of gold, and valuable English dogs, but a number of leopards brought from all parts of the East; the expense of his hunting-birds which were collected from the countries of Northern Europe, amounted to 3,000 pieces of gold a month.⁵⁸⁰ King Emanuel the Great of Portugal knew well what he was about when he presented Leo X with an elephant and a rhinoceros.⁵⁸¹ It was under such circumstances that the foundations of a scientific zoology and botany were laid.

A practical fruit of these zoological studies was the establishment of studs, of which the Mantuan, under Francesco Gonzaga, was esteemed the first in Europe.⁵⁸² All interest in, and knowledge of the different breeds of horses is as old, no doubt, as riding itself, and the crossing of the European with the Asiatic must have been common from the time of the crusades. In Italy, a special inducement to perfect the breed was offered by the prizes at the horse-races held in every considerable town in the peninsula. In the Mantuan stables were found the infallible winners in these contests, as well as the best military chargers, and the horses best suited by their stately appearance for presents to great people. Gonzaga kept stallions and mares from Spain, Ireland, Africa, Thrace, and Cilicia, and for the sake of the last he cultivated the friendship of the Sultans. All possible experiments were here tried, in order to produce the most perfect animals.

Even human menageries were not wanting. The famous Cardinal Ippolito Medici,⁵⁸³ bastard of Giuliano, Duke of Nemours, kept at his strange court a troop of barbarians who talked no less than twenty different languages, and who were all of them perfect specimens of their races. Among them were incomparable *voltigeurs* of the best blood of the North African Moors, Tartar bowmen, Negro wrestlers, Indian divers, and Turks, who generally

Heraldic emblems,
hunting
animals and
curiosities
Pls. 316—321

Studs
Pls. 289, 320,
321

Human
menageries

accompanied the Cardinal on his hunting expeditions. When he was overtaken by an early death (1535), this motley band carried the corpse on their shoulders from Itri to Rome, and mingled with the general mourning for the open-handed Cardinal their medley of tongues and violent gesticulations.⁵⁸⁴

These scattered notices of the relations of the Italians to natural science, and their interest in the wealth and variety of the products of nature, are only fragments of a great subject. No one is more conscious than the author of the defects in his knowledge on this point. Of the multitude of special works in which the subject is adequately treated, even the names are but imperfectly known to him.

∴

Discovery of
the beauty
of landscape

But, outside the sphere of scientific investigation, there is another way to draw near to nature. The Italians are the first among modern peoples by whom the outward world was seen and felt as something beautiful.⁵⁸⁵

Landscape in
the Middle
Ages

The power to do so is always the result of a long and complicated development, and its origin is not easily detected, since a dim feeling of this kind may exist long before it shows itself in poetry and painting, and thereby becomes conscious of itself. Among the ancients, for example, art and poetry had gone through the whole circle of human interests, before they turned to the representation of nature, and even then the latter filled always a limited and subordinate place. And yet, from the time of Homer downwards, the powerful impression made by nature upon man is shown by countless verses and chance expressions. The Germanic races, which founded their states on the ruins of the Roman Empire, were thoroughly and specially fitted to understand the spirit of natural scenery; and though Christianity compelled them for a while to see in the springs and mountains, in the lakes and woods, which they had till then revered, the working of evil demons, yet this transitional conception was soon outgrown. By the year 1200, at the height of the Middle Ages, a genuine, hearty enjoyment of the external world was again in existence, and found lively expression in the minstrelsy of different nations,⁵⁸⁶ which gives evidence of the sympathy felt with all the simple phenomena of nature—spring with its flowers, the green fields and the woods. But these pictures are all foreground without perspective. Even the crusaders, who travelled so far and saw so much, are not recognizable as such in these poems. The epic poetry, which describes armour and costumes so fully, does not attempt more than a sketch of outward nature; and even the great Wolfram von Eschenbach scarcely anywhere gives us an adequate picture of the scene on which his heroes move. From these poems it would never be guessed that their noble authors in all countries inhabited or visited lofty castles, commanding distant prospects. Even in the Latin poems of the wandering clerks

(p. 91), we find no traces of a distant view—of landscape properly so called—but what lies near is sometimes described with a glow and splendour which none of the knightly minstrels can surpass. What picture of the Grove of Love can equal that of the Italian poet—for such we take him to be—of the twelfth century?

‘Immortalis fieret
Ibi manens homo;
Arbor ibi quaelibet
Suo gaudet pomo;
Viae myrrha, cinnamo
Fragrant, et amomo—
Conjectari poterat
Dominus ex domo,’⁵⁸⁷ etc.

To the Italian mind, at all events, nature had by this time lost its taint of sin, and had shaken off all trace of demoniacal powers. Saint Francis of Assisi, in his Hymn to the Sun, frankly praises the Lord for creating the heavenly bodies and the four elements.

But the unmistakable proofs of a deepening effect of nature on the human spirit begin with Dante. Not only does he awaken in us by a few vigorous lines the sense of the morning airs and the trembling light on the distant ocean, or of the grandeur of the storm-beaten forest, but he makes the ascent of lofty peaks, with the only possible object of enjoying the view⁵⁸⁸—the first man, perhaps, since the days of antiquity who did so. In Boccaccio we can do little more than infer how country scenery affected him;⁵⁸⁹ yet his pastoral romances show his imagination to have been filled with it. But the significance of nature for a receptive spirit is fully and clearly displayed by Petrarch—one of the first truly modern men. That clear soul—who first collected from the literature of all countries evidence of the origin and progress of the sense of natural beauty, and himself, in his ‘Ansichten der Natur,’ achieved the noblest masterpiece of description—Alexander von Humboldt, has not done full justice to Petrarch; and, following in the steps of the great reaper, we may still hope to glean a few ears of interest and value.

Petrarch was not only a distinguished geographer—the first map of Italy is said to have been drawn by his direction⁵⁹⁰—and not only a reproducer of the sayings of the ancients,⁵⁹¹ but felt himself the influence of natural beauty. The enjoyment of nature is, for him, the favourite accompaniment of intellectual pursuits; it was to combine the two that he lived in learned retirement at Vacluse and elsewhere, that he from time to time fled from the world and from his age.⁵⁹² We should do him wrong by inferring from his weak and undeveloped power of describing natural scenery that he did not feel it deeply. His picture, for instance, of the lovely Gulf of Spezia and Porto Venere, which he inserts at the end of the sixth book of the ‘Africa,’

Dante

Pl. 219

Petrarch
Pls. 221, 222

for the reason that none of the ancients or moderns had sung of it,⁵⁹³ is no more than a simple enumeration, but Petrarch is also conscious of the beauty of rock scenery, and is perfectly able to distinguish the picturesqueness from the utility of nature.⁵⁹⁴ During his stay among the woods of Reggio, the sudden sight of an impressive landscape so affected him that he resumed a poem which he had long laid aside.⁵⁹⁵ But the deepest impression of all was made upon him by the ascent of Mont Ventoux, near Avignon.⁵⁹⁶ An indefinable longing for a distant panorama grew stronger and stronger in him, till at length the accidental sight of a passage in Livy, where King Philip, the enemy of Rome, ascends the Hæmus, decided him. He thought that what was not blamed in a grey-headed monarch, might be well *excused* in a young man of private station. The ascent of a mountain for its own sake was unheard of, and there could be no thought of the companionship of friends or acquaintances. Petrarch took with him only his younger brother and two country people from the last place where he halted. At the foot of the mountain an old herdsman besought him to turn back, saying that he himself had attempted to climb it fifty years before, and had brought home nothing but repentance, broken bones, and torn clothes, and that neither before nor after had anyone ventured to do the same. Nevertheless, they struggled forward and upward, till the clouds lay beneath their feet, and at last they reached the top. A description of the view from the summit would be looked for in vain, not because the poet was insensible to it, but, on the contrary, because the impression was too overwhelming. His whole past life, with all its follies, rose before his mind; he remembered that ten years ago that day he had quitted Bologna a young man, and turned a longing gaze towards his native country; he opened a book which then was his constant companion, the 'Confessions of St. Augustine,' and his eye fell on the passage in the tenth chapter, 'and men go forth, and admire lofty mountains and broad seas, and roaring torrents, and the ocean, and the course of the stars, and forget their own selves while doing so.' His brother, to whom he read these words, could not understand why he closed the book and said no more.

Mountain-climbing

The
'Dittamondo'

Some decades later, about 1360, Fazio degli Uberti describes, in his rhyming geography⁵⁹⁷ (p. 92), the wide panorama from the mountains of Auvergne, with the interest, it is true, of the geographer and antiquarian only, but still showing clearly that he himself had seen it. He must, however, have ascended far higher peaks, since he is familiar with facts which only occur at a height of 10,000 feet or more above the sea—mountain-sickness and its accompaniments—of which his imaginary comrade Solinus tries to cure him with a sponge dipped in an essence. The ascents of Parnassus and Olympus,⁵⁹⁸ of which he speaks, are perhaps only fictions.

In the fifteenth century, the great masters of the Flemish school, Hubert

and Jan van Eyck, suddenly lifted the veil from nature. Their landscapes are not merely the fruit of an endeavour to reflect the real world in art, but have, even if expressed conventionally, a certain poetical meaning—in short, a soul. Their influence on the whole art of the West is undeniable, and extended to the landscape-painting of the Italians, but without preventing the characteristic interest of the Italian eye for nature from finding its own expression.

Pl. 45

On this point, as in the scientific description of nature, Æneas Sylvius is again one of the most weighty voices of his time. Even if we grant the justice of all that has been said against his character, we must nevertheless admit that in few other men was the picture of the age and its culture so fully reflected, and that few came nearer to the normal type of the men of the early Renaissance. It may be added parenthetically, that even in respect to his moral character he will not be fairly judged, if we listen solely to the complaints of the German Church, which his fickleness helped to balk of the Council it so ardently desired.⁵⁹⁹

Æneas
Sylvius and
landscape

He here claims our attention as the first who not only enjoyed the magnificence of the Italian landscape, but described it with enthusiasm down to its minutest details. The ecclesiastical State and the south of Tuscany—his native home—he knew thoroughly, and after he became pope he spent his leisure during the favourable season chiefly in excursions to the country. Then at last the gouty man was rich enough to have himself carried in a litter through the mountains and valleys; and when we compare his enjoyments with those of the popes who succeeded him, Pius, whose chief delight was in nature, antiquity, and simple, but noble, architecture, appears almost a saint. In the elegant and flowing Latin of his 'Commentaries' he freely tells us of his happiness.⁶⁰⁰

His eye seems as keen and practised as that of any modern observer. He enjoys with rapture the panoramic splendour of the view from the summit of the Alban Hills—from the Monte Cavo—whence he could see the shores of St. Peter from Terracina and the promontory of Circe as far as Monte Argentario, and the wide expanse of country round about, with the ruined cities of the past, and with the mountain-chains of central Italy beyond; and then his eye would turn to the green woods in the hollows beneath and the mountain-lakes among them. He feels the beauty of the position of Todi, crowning the vineyards and olive-clad slopes, looking down upon distant woods and upon the valley of the Tiber, where towns and castles rise above the winding river. The lovely hills about Siena, with villas and monasteries on every height, are his own home, and his descriptions of them are touched with a peculiar feeling. Single picturesque glimpses charm him too, like the little promontory of Capo di Monte that stretches out into the Lake of

His
enjoyment
of viewsand of the
picturesque

Bolsena. 'Rocky steps,' we read, 'shaded by vines, descend to the water's edge, where the evergreen oaks stand between the cliffs, alive with the song of thrushes.' On the path round the Lake of Nemi, beneath the chestnuts and fruit-trees, he feels that here, if anywhere, a poet's soul must awake—here in the hiding-place of Diana! He often held consistories or received ambassadors under huge old chestnut-trees, or beneath the olives on the green sward by some gurgling spring. A view like that of a narrowing gorge, with a bridge arched boldly over it, awakens at once his artistic sense. Even the smallest details give him delight through something beautiful, or perfect, or characteristic in them—the blue fields of waving flax, the yellow gorse which covers the hills, even tangled thickets, or single trees, or springs, which seem to him like wonders of nature.

Monte
Amiata

The height of his enthusiasm for natural beauty was reached during his stay on Monte Amiata, in the summer of 1462, when plague and heat made the lowlands uninhabitable. Half-way up the mountain, in the old Lombard monastery of San Salvatore, he and his court took up their quarters. There, between the chestnuts which clothe the steep declivity, the eye may wander over all southern Tuscany, with the towers of Siena in the distance. The ascent of the highest peak he left to his companions, who were joined by the Venetian envoy; they found at the top two vast blocks of stone one upon the other—perhaps the sacrificial altar of a pre-historical people—and fancied that in the far distance they saw Corsica and Sardinia⁶⁰¹ rising above the sea. In the cool air of the hills, among the old oaks and chestnuts, on the green meadows where there were no thorns to wound the feet, and no snakes or insects to hurt or to annoy, the pope passed days of unclouded happiness. For the 'Segnatura,' which took place on certain days of the week, he selected on each occasion some new shady retreat⁶⁰² 'novos in convallibus fontes et novas inveniens umbras, quæ dubiam facerent electionem.' At such times the dogs would perhaps start a great stag from his lair, who, after defending himself a while with hoofs and antlers, would fly at last up the mountain. In the evening the pope was accustomed to sit before the monastery on the spot from which the whole valley of the Paglia was visible, holding lively conversations with the cardinals. The courtiers, who ventured down from the heights on their hunting expeditions, found the heat below intolerable, and the scorched plains like a very hell, while the monastery, with its cool, shady woods, seemed like an abode of the blessed.

Pl. 814

All this is genuine modern enjoyment, not a reflection of antiquity. As surely as the ancients themselves felt in the same manner, so surely, nevertheless, were the scanty expressions of the writers whom Pius knew insufficient to awaken in him such enthusiasm.⁶⁰³

Later
proofs

The second great age of Italian poetry, which now followed at the end of

the fifteenth and the beginning of the sixteenth centuries, as well as the Latin poetry of the same period, is rich in proofs of the powerful effect of nature on the human mind. The first glance at the lyric poets of that time will suffice to convince us. Elaborate descriptions, it is true, of natural scenery, are very rare, for the reason that, in this energetic age, the novels and the lyric or epic poetry had something else to deal with. Boiardo and Ariosto paint nature vigorously, but as briefly as possible, and with no effort to appeal by their descriptions to the feelings of the reader,⁶⁰⁴ which they endeavour to reach solely by their narrative and characters. Letter-writers and the authors of philosophical dialogues⁶⁰⁵ are, in fact, better evidence of the growing love of nature than the poets. The novelist Bandello, for example, observes rigorously the rules of his department of literature; he gives us in his novels themselves not a word more than is necessary on the natural scenery amid which the action of his tales takes place,⁶⁰⁶ but in the dedications which always precede them we meet with charming descriptions of nature as the setting for his dialogues and social pictures. Among letter-writers, Aretino⁶⁰⁷ unfortunately must be named as the first who has fully painted in words the splendid effect of light and shadow in an Italian sunset.

Pl. 247

We sometimes find the feeling of the poets, also, attaching itself with tenderness to graceful scenes of country life. Tito Strozzi, about the year 1480, describes in a Latin elegy⁶⁰⁸ the dwelling of his mistress. We are shown an old ivy-clad house, half hidden in trees, and adorned with weather-stained frescoes of the saints, and near it a chapel, much damaged by the violence of the river Po, which flowed hard by; not far off, the priest ploughs his few barren roods with borrowed cattle. This is no reminiscence of the Roman elegists, but true modern sentiment; and the parallel to it—a sincere, unartificial description of country life in general—will be found at the end of this part of our work.

Genre
landscape

Pls. 332, 333

It may be objected that the German painters at the beginning of the sixteenth century succeed in representing with perfect mastery these scenes of country life, as, for instance, Albrecht Dürer, in his engraving of the Prodigal Son. But it is one thing if a painter, brought up in a school of realism, introduces such scenes, and quite another thing if a poet, accustomed to an ideal or mythological framework, is driven by inward impulse into realism. Besides which, priority in point of time is here, as in the descriptions of country life, on the side of the Italian poets.

*

To the discovery of the outward world the Renaissance added a still greater achievement, by first discerning and bringing to light the full, whole nature of man.⁶⁰⁹

Discovery
of Man

This period, as we have seen, first gave the highest development to individuality, and then led the individual to the most zealous and thorough study of himself in all forms and under all conditions. Indeed, the development of personality is essentially involved in the recognition of it in oneself and in others. Between these two great processes our narrative has placed the influence of ancient literature, because the mode of conceiving and representing both the individual and human nature in general was defined and coloured by that influence. But the power of conception and representation lay in the age and in the people.

The facts which we shall quote in evidence of our thesis will be few in number. Here, if anywhere in the course of this discussion, the author is conscious that he is treading on the perilous ground of conjecture, and that what seems to him a clear, if delicate and gradual, transition in the intellectual movement of the fourteenth and fifteenth centuries, may not be equally plain to others. The gradual awakening of the soul of a people is a phenomenon which may produce a different impression on each spectator. Time will judge which impression is the most faithful.

Tempera-
ments and
Planets

Happily the study of the intellectual side of human nature began, not with the search after a theoretical psychology—for that, Aristotle still sufficed—but with the endeavour to observe and to describe. The indispensable ballast of theory was limited to the popular doctrine of the four temperaments, in its then habitual union with the belief in the influence of the planets. Such conceptions may remain ineradicable in the minds of individuals, without hindering the general progress of the age. It certainly makes on us a singular impression, when we meet them at a time when human nature in its deepest essence and in all its characteristic expressions was not only known by exact observation, but represented by an immortal poetry and art. It sounds almost ludicrous when an otherwise competent observer considers Clement VII to be of a melancholy temperament, but defers his judgement to that of the physicians, who declare the pope of a sanguine-choleric nature;⁶¹⁰ or when we read that the same Gaston de Foix, the victor of Ravenna, whom Giorgione painted and Bambaia carved, and whom all the historians describe, had the saturnine temperament.⁶¹¹ No doubt those who use these expressions mean something by them; but the terms in which they tell us their meaning are strangely out of date in the Italy of the sixteenth century.

The Poets

As examples of the free delineation of the human spirit, we shall first speak of the great poets of the fourteenth century.

If we were to collect the pearls from the courtly and knightly poetry of all the countries of the West during the two preceding centuries, we should have a mass of wonderful divinations and single pictures of the inward life,

which at first sight would seem to rival the poetry of the Italians. Leaving lyrical poetry out of account, Godfrey of Strassburg gives us, in 'Tristram and Isolt,' a representation of human passion, some features of which are immortal. But these pearls lie scattered in the ocean of artificial convention, and they are altogether something very different from a complete objective picture of the inward man and his spiritual wealth.

Italy, too, in the thirteenth century had, through the 'Trovatori,' its share in the poetry of the courts and of chivalry. To them is mainly due the 'Canzone,' whose construction is as difficult and artificial as that of the songs of any northern minstrel. Their subject and mode of thought represents simply the conventional tone of the courts, be the poet a burgher or a scholar.

Lyric poetry
and
delineation

But two new paths at length showed themselves, along which Italian poetry could advance to another and a characteristic future. They are not the less important for being concerned only with the formal and external side of the art.

To the same Brunetto Latini—the teacher of Dante—who, in his 'Canzoni,' adopts the customary manner of the 'Trovatori,' we owe the first-known 'Versi Sciolti,' or blank hendecasyllabic verses,⁶¹² and in his apparent absence of form, a true and genuine passion suddenly showed itself. The same voluntary renunciation of outward effect, through confidence in the power of the inward conception, can be observed some years later in fresco-painting, and later still in painting of all kinds, which began to cease to rely on colour for its effect, using simply a lighter or darker shade. For an age which laid so much stress on artificial form in poetry, these verses of Brunetto mark the beginning of a new epoch.⁶¹³

About the same time, or even in the first half of the thirteenth century, one of the many strictly-balanced forms of metre, in which Europe was then so fruitful, became a normal and recognized form in Italy—the sonnet. The order of rhymes and even the number of the lines varied for a whole century,⁶¹⁴ till Petrarch fixed them permanently. In this form all higher lyrical or meditative subjects, and at a later time subjects of every possible description, were treated, and the madrigals, the sestina, and even the 'Canzoni' were reduced to a subordinate place. Later Italian writers complain, half jestingly, half resentfully, of this inevitable mould, this Procrustean bed, to which they were compelled to make their thoughts and feelings fit. Others were, and still are, quite satisfied with this particular form of verse, which they freely use to express any personal reminiscence or idle sing-song without necessity or serious purpose. For which reason there are many more bad or insignificant sonnets than good ones.

The Sonnet

Nevertheless, the sonnet must be held to have been an unspeakable and its value

blessing for Italian poetry. The clearness and beauty of its structure, the invitation it gave to elevate the thought in the second and more rapidly moving half, and the ease with which it could be learned by heart, made it valued even by the greatest masters. In fact, they would not have kept it in use down to our own century, had they not been penetrated with a sense of its singular worth. These masters could have given us the same thoughts in other and wholly different forms. But when once they had made the sonnet the normal type of lyrical poetry, many other writers of great, if not the highest, gifts, who otherwise would have lost themselves in a sea of diffusiveness, were forced to concentrate their feelings. The sonnet became for Italian literature a condenser of thoughts and emotions such as was possessed by the poetry of no other modern people.

Thus the world of Italian sentiment comes before us in a series of pictures, clear, concise, and most effective in their brevity. Had other nations possessed a form of expression of the same kind, we should perhaps have known more of their inward life; we might have had a number of pictures of inward and outward situations—reflexions of the national character and temper—and should not be dependent for such knowledge on the so-called lyrical poets of the fourteenth and fifteenth centuries, who can hardly ever be read with any serious enjoyment. In Italy we can trace an undoubted progress from the time when the sonnet came into existence. In the second half of the thirteenth century the ‘*Trovatori della transizione*,’ as they have been recently named,⁶¹⁵ mark the passage from the Troubadours to the poets—that is, to those who wrote under the influence of antiquity. The simplicity and strength of their feeling, the vigorous delineation of fact, the precise expression and rounding off of their sonnets and other poems, herald the coming of a Dante. Some political sonnets of the Guelphs and Ghibellines (1260—1270) have about them the ring of his passion, and others remind us of his sweetest lyrical notes.

Dante and the
delineation
of the soul

Of his own theoretical view of the sonnet, we are unfortunately ignorant, since the last books of his work, ‘*De vulgari eloquio*,’ in which he proposed to treat of ballads and sonnets, either remained unwritten or have been lost. But, as a matter of fact, he has left us in his Sonnets and ‘*Canzoni*,’ a treasure of inward experience. And in what a framework he has set them! The prose of the ‘*Vita Nuova*,’ in which he gives an account of the origin of each poem, is as wonderful as the verses themselves, and forms with them a uniform whole, inspired with the deepest glow of passion. With unflinching frankness and sincerity he lays bare every shade of his joy and his sorrow, and moulds it resolutely into the strictest forms of art. Reading attentively these Sonnets and ‘*Canzoni*,’ and the marvellous fragments of the diary of his youth which lie between them, we fancy that throughout the Middle Ages the poets have

been purposely fleeing from themselves, and that he was the first to seek his own soul. Before his time we meet with many an artistic verse; but he is the first artist in the full sense of the word—the first who consciously cast immortal matter into an immortal form. Subjective feeling has here a full objective truth and greatness, and most of it is so set forth that all ages and peoples can make it their own.⁶¹⁶ Where he writes in a thoroughly objective spirit, and lets the force of his sentiment be guessed at only by some outward fact, as in the magnificent sonnets ‘Tanto gentile,’ etc., and ‘Vede perfettamente,’ etc., he seems to feel the need of excusing himself.⁶¹⁷ The most beautiful of these poems really belongs to this class—the ‘Deh peregrini che pensosi andate.’

Even apart from the ‘Divine Comedy,’ Dante would have marked by these youthful poems the boundary between mediævalism and modern times. The human spirit had taken a mighty step towards the consciousness of its own secret life.

The revelations in this matter which are contained in the ‘Divine Comedy’ itself are simply immeasurable; and it would be necessary to go through the whole poem, one canto after another, in order to do justice to its value from this point of view. Happily we have no need to do this, as it has long been a daily food of all the countries of the West. Its plan, and the ideas on which it is based, belong to the Middle Ages, and appeal to our interest only historically; but it is nevertheless the beginning of all modern poetry, through the power and richness shown in the description of human nature in every shape and attitude.⁶¹⁸

From this time forwards poetry may have experienced unequal fortunes, and may show, for half a century together, a so-called relapse. But its nobler and more vital principle was saved for ever; and whenever in the fourteenth, fifteenth, and in the beginning of the sixteenth centuries, an original mind devotes himself to it, he represents a more advanced stage than any poet out of Italy, given—what is certainly not always easy to settle satisfactorily—an equality of natural gifts to start with.

Here, as in other things in Italy, culture—to which poetry belongs—precedes the plastic arts and, in fact, gives them their chief impulse. More than a century elapsed before the spiritual element in painting and sculpture attained a power of expression in any way analogous to that of the ‘Divine Comedy.’ How far the same rule holds good for the artistic development of other nations,⁶¹⁹ and of what importance the whole question may be, does not concern us here. For Italian civilization it is of decisive weight.

The position to be assigned to Petrarch in this respect must be settled by the many readers of the poet. Those who come to him in the spirit of a cross-examiner, and busy themselves in detecting the contradictions between

The Divine
Comedy
Pls. 214—218

Precedence
of Culture
over Art

Petrarch
Pls. 221, 222

the poet and the man, his infidelities in love, and the other weak sides of his character, may perhaps, after sufficient effort, end by losing all taste for his poetry. In place, then, of artistic enjoyment, we may acquire a knowledge of the man in his 'totality.' What a pity that Petrarch's letters from Avignon contain so little gossip to take hold of, and that the letters of his acquaintances and of the friends of these acquaintances have either been lost or never existed! Instead of Heaven being thanked when we are not forced to inquire how and through what struggles a poet has rescued something immortal from his own poor life and lot, a biography has been stitched together for Petrarch out of these so-called 'remains,' which reads like an indictment. But the poet may take comfort. If the printing and editing of the correspondence of celebrated people goes on for another half-century as it has begun in England and Germany, he will have illustrious company enough sitting with him on the stool of repentance.

Without shutting our eyes to much that is forced and artificial in his poetry, where the writer is merely imitating himself and singing on in the old strain, we cannot fail to admire the marvellous abundance of pictures of the inmost soul—descriptions of moments of joy and sorrow which must have been thoroughly his own, since no one before him gives us anything of the kind, and on which his significance rests for his country and for the world. His verse is not in all places equally transparent; by the side of his most beautiful thoughts, stand at times some allegorical conceit, or some sophistical trick of logic, altogether foreign to our present taste. But the balance is on the side of excellence.

Boccaccio
Pls. 219, 220

Boccaccio, too, in his imperfectly-known Sonnets,⁶²⁰ succeeds sometimes in giving a most powerful and effective picture of his feeling. The return to a spot consecrated by love (Son. 22), the melancholy of spring (Son. 33), the sadness of the poet who feels himself growing old (Son. 65), are admirably treated by him. And in the 'Ameto' he has described the ennobling and transfiguring power of love in a manner which would hardly be expected from the author of the 'Decamerone.'⁶²¹ In the 'Fiammetta' we have another great and minutely-painted picture of the human soul, full of the keenest observation, though executed with anything but uniform power, and in parts marred by the passion for high-sounding language and by an unlucky mixture of mythological allusions and learned quotations. The 'Fiammetta,' if we are not mistaken, is a sort of feminine counterpart to the 'Vita Nuova' of Dante, or at any rate owes its origin to it.

That the ancient poets, particularly the elegists, and Virgil, in the fourth book of the *Æneid*, were not without influence⁶²² on the Italians of this and the following generation is beyond a doubt; but the spring of sentiment within the latter was nevertheless powerful and original. If we compare them

in this respect with their contemporaries in other countries, we shall find in them the earliest complete expression of modern European feeling. The question, be it remembered, is not to know whether eminent men of other nations did not feel as deeply and as nobly, but who first gave documentary proof of the widest knowledge of the movements of the human heart.

Why did the Italians of the Renaissance do nothing above the second rank in tragedy? That was the field on which to display human character, intellect, and passion, in the thousand forms of their growth, their struggles, and their decline. In other words: why did Italy produce no Shakespeare? For with the stage of other northern countries besides England the Italians of the sixteenth and seventeenth centuries had no reason to fear a comparison; and with the Spaniards they could not enter into competition, since Italy had long lost all traces of religious fanaticism, treated the chivalrous code of honour only as a form, and was both too proud and too intelligent to bow down before its tyrannical and illegitimate masters.⁶²³ We have therefore only to consider the English stage in the period of its brief splendour.

It is an obvious reply that all Europe produced but one Shakespeare, and that such a mind is the rarest of Heaven's gifts. It is further possible that the Italian stage was on the way to something great when the Counter-reformation broke in upon it, and, aided by the Spanish rule over Naples and Milan, and indirectly over almost the whole peninsula, withered the best flowers of the Italian spirit. It would be hard to conceive of Shakespeare himself under a Spanish viceroy, or in the neighbourhood of the Holy Inquisition at Rome, or even in his own country a few decades later, at the time of the English Revolution. The stage, which in its perfection is a late product of every civilization, must wait for its own time and fortune.

We must not, however, quit this subject without mentioning certain circumstances, which were of a character to hinder or retard a high development of the drama in Italy, till the time for it had gone by.

As the most weighty of these causes we must mention without doubt that the scenic tastes of the people were occupied elsewhere, and chiefly in the mysteries and religious processions. Throughout all Europe dramatic representations of sacred history and legend form the origin of the secular drama; but Italy, as it will be shown more fully in the sequel, had spent on the mysteries such a wealth of decorative splendour as could not but be unfavourable to the dramatic element. Out of all the countless and costly representations, there sprang not even a branch of poetry like the 'Autos Sacramentales' of Calderón and other Spanish poets, much less any advantage or foundation for the legitimate drama.

And when the latter did at length appear, it at once gave itself up to magnificence of scenic effects, to which the mysteries had already accustomed

Absence of
Tragedy

The
Mysteries
Pls. 290, 298,
299

Magnificence
as enemy of
the Drama

the public taste to far too great an extent. We learn with astonishment how rich and splendid the scenes in Italy were, at a time when in the North the simplest indication of the place was thought sufficient. This alone might have had no such unfavourable effect on the drama, if the attention of the audience had not been drawn away from the poetical conception of the play partly by the splendour of the costumes, partly and chiefly by fantastic interludes (*Intermezzi*).

Plautus and
Terence
Pl. 292

That in many places, particularly in Rome and Ferrara, Plautus and Terence, as well as pieces by the old tragedians, were given in Latin or in Italian (pp. 123, 130), that the academies (p. 145) of which we have already spoken, made this one of their chief objects, and that the poets of the Renaissance followed these models too servilely, were all untoward conditions for the Italian stage at the period in question. Yet I hold them to be of secondary importance. Had not the Counter-reformation and the rule of foreigners intervened, these very disadvantages might have been turned into useful means of transition. At all events, by the year 1520 the victory of the mother-tongue in tragedy and comedy was, to the great disgust of the humanists, as good as won.⁶²⁴ On this side, then, no obstacle stood in the way of the most developed people in Europe, to hinder them from raising the drama, in its noblest forms, to be a true reflexion of human life and destiny. It was the Inquisitors and Spaniards who cowed the Italian spirit, and rendered impossible the representation of the greatest and most sublime themes, most of all when they were associated with patriotic memories. At the same time, there is no doubt that the distracting 'Intermezzi' did serious harm to the drama. We must now consider them a little more closely.

Performances
in Ferrara

When the marriage of Alfonso of Ferrara with Lucrezia Borgia was celebrated, Duke Hercules in person showed his illustrious guests the 110 costumes which were to serve at the representation of five comedies of Plautus, in order that all might see that not one of them was used twice.⁶²⁵ But all this display of silk and camlet was nothing to the ballets and pantomimes which served as interludes between the acts of the Plautine dramas. That, in comparison, Plautus himself seemed mortally dull to a lively young lady like Isabella Gonzaga, and that while the play was going on everybody was longing for the interludes, is quite intelligible, when we think of the picturesque brilliancy with which they were put on the stage. There were to be seen combats of Roman warriors, who brandished their weapons to the sound of music, torch-dances executed by Moors, a dance of savages with horns of plenty, out of which streamed waves of fire—all as the ballet of a pantomime in which a maiden was delivered from a dragon. Then came a dance of fools, got up as Punches, beating one another with pigs' bladders,

Pl. 20

with more of the same kind. At the Court of Ferrara they never gave a comedy without 'its' ballet (Moresca).⁶²⁶ In what style the 'Amphitruo' of Plautus was there represented (1491, at the first marriage of Alfonso with Anna Sforza), is doubtful. Possibly it was given rather as a pantomime with music, than as a drama.⁶²⁷ In any case, the accessories were more considerable than the play itself. There was a choral dance of ivy-clad youths, moving in intricate figures, done to the music of a ringing orchestra; then came Apollo, striking the lyre with the plectrum, and singing an ode to the praise of the House of Este; then followed, as an interlude within an interlude, a kind of rustic farce, after which the stage was again occupied by classical mythology—Venus, Bacchus and their followers—and by a pantomime representing the judgement of Paris. Not till then was the second half of the fable of Amphitruo performed, with unmistakable references to the future birth of a Hercules of the House of Este. At a former representation of the same piece in the courtyard of the palace (1487), 'a paradise with stars and other wheels,' was constantly burning, by which is probably meant an illumination with fireworks, that, no doubt, absorbed most of the attention of the spectators. It was certainly better when such performances were given separately, as was the case at other courts. We shall have to speak of the entertainments given by the Cardinal Pietro Riario, by the Bentivogli at Bologna, and by others, when we come to treat of the festivals in general.

This scenic magnificence, now become universal, had a disastrous effect on Italian tragedy. 'In Venice formerly,' writes Francesco Sansovino, about 1570,⁶²⁸ 'besides comedies, tragedies by ancient and modern writers were put on the stage with great pomp. The fame of the scenic arrangements (*apparati*) brought spectators from far and near. Nowadays, performances are given by private individuals in their own houses, and the custom has long been fixed of passing the carnival in comedies and other cheerful entertainments.' In other words, scenic display had helped to kill tragedy.

The various starts or attempts of these modern tragedians, among which the 'Sofonisba' of Trissino (1515) was the most celebrated, belong to the history of literature. The same may be said of genteel comedy, modelled on Plautus and Terence. Even Ariosto could do nothing of the first order in this style. On the other hand, popular prose-comedy, as treated by Machiavelli, Bibbiena, and Aretino, might have had a future, if its matter had not condemned it to destruction. This was, on the one hand, licentious to the last degree, and on the other, aimed at certain classes in society, which, after the middle of the sixteenth century, ceased to afford a ground for public attacks. If in the 'Sofonisba' the portrayal of character gave place to brilliant declamation, the latter, with its half-sister caricature, was used far too freely in comedy also.

*Pls. 286—288,
357, 358*
The Ballet

Pl. 257

Italian
Tragedy
*Pls. 291, 295,
297, 300*

and Comedy

Pls. 294, 296

Pl. 234

Pls. 255, 247

The writing of tragedies and comedies, and the practice of putting both ancient and modern plays on the stage, continued without intermission; but they served only as occasions for display. The national genius turned elsewhere for living interest. When the opera and the pastoral fable came up, these attempts were at length wholly abandoned.

Masks

One form of comedy only was and remained national—the unwritten, improvised ‘*Commedia dell’Arte*.’ It was of no great service in the delineation of character, since the masks used were few in number and familiar to everybody. But the talent of the nation had such an affinity for this style, that often in the middle of written comedies the actors would throw themselves on their own inspiration,⁶²⁹ so that a new mixed form of comedy came into existence in some places. The plays given in Venice by Burchiello, and afterwards by the company of Armonio, Val. Zuccato, Lod. Dolce, and others, were perhaps of this character.⁶³⁰ Of Burchiello we know expressly that he used to heighten the comic effect by mixing Greek and Slavonic words with the Venetian dialect. A complete ‘*Comedia dell’Arte*,’ or very nearly so, was represented by Angelo Beolco, known as ‘*Il Ruzzante*’ (1502—1542), whose customary masks were Paduan peasants, with the names Menato, Vezzo, Billora, &c. He studied their dialect when spending the summer at the villa of his patron Luigi Cornaro (Aloysius Cornelius) at Codevico.⁶³¹ Gradually all the famous local masks made their appearance, whose remains still delight the Italian populace at our day: Pantalone, the Doctor, Brighella, Pulcinella, Arlecchino, and the rest. Most of them are of great antiquity, and possibly are historically connected with the masks in the old Roman farces; but it was not till the sixteenth century that several of them were combined in one piece. At the present time this is less often the case; but every great city still keeps to its local mask—Naples to the Pulcinella, Florence to the Stentorello, Milan to its often so admirable Meneghino.⁶³²

Pl. 251

Pl. 249

Pl. 354

Compensation
through
Music

This is indeed scanty compensation for a people which possessed the power, perhaps to a greater degree than any other, to reflect and contemplate its own highest qualities in the mirror of the drama. But this power was destined to be marred for centuries by hostile forces, for whose predominance the Italians were only in part responsible. The universal talent for dramatic representation could not indeed be uprooted, and in music Italy long made good its claim to supremacy in Europe. Those who can find in this world of sound a compensation for the drama, to which all future was denied, have, at all events, no meagre source of consolation.

The romantic
epic

But perhaps we can find in epic poetry what the stage fails to offer us. Yet the chief reproach made against the heroic poetry of Italy is precisely on the score of the insignificance and imperfect representation of its characters.

Other merits are allowed to belong to it, among the rest, that for three centuries it has been actually read and constantly reprinted, while nearly the whole of the epic poetry of other nations has become a mere matter of literary or historical curiosity. Does this perhaps lie in the taste of the readers, who demand something different from what would satisfy a northern public? Certainly, without the power of entering to some degree into Italian sentiment, it is impossible to appreciate the characteristic excellence of these poems, and many distinguished men declare that they can make nothing of them. And in truth, if we criticize Pulci, Boiardo, Ariosto, and Berni solely with an eye to their thought and matter, we shall fail to do them justice. They are artists of a peculiar kind, who write for a people which is distinctly and eminently artistic.

The mediæval legends had lived on after the gradual extinction of the poetry of chivalry, partly in the form of rhyming adaptations and collections, and partly of novels in prose. The latter was the case in Italy during the fourteenth century; but the newly-awakened memories of antiquity were rapidly growing up to a gigantic size, and soon cast into the shade all the fantastic creations of the Middle Ages. Boccaccio, for example, in his 'Visione Amorosa,' names among the heroes in his enchanted palace Tristram, Arthur, Galeotto, and others, but briefly, as if he were ashamed to speak of them; and following writers either do not name them at all, or name them only for purposes of ridicule. But the people kept them in its memory, and from the people they passed into the hands of the poets of the fifteenth century. These were now able to conceive and represent their subject in a wholly new manner. But they did more. They introduced into it a multitude of fresh elements, and in fact recast it from beginning to end. It must not be expected of them that they should treat such subjects with the respect once felt for them. All other countries must envy them the advantage of having a popular interest of this kind to appeal to; but they could not without hypocrisy treat these myths with any respect.⁶³³

Instead of this, they moved with victorious freedom in the new field which poetry had won. What they chiefly aimed at seems to have been that their poems, when recited, should produce the most harmonious and exhilarating effect. These works indeed gain immensely when they are repeated, not as a whole, but piecemeal, and with a slight touch of comedy in voice and gesture. A deeper and more detailed portrayal of character would do little to enhance this effect; though the reader may desire it, the hearer, who sees the rhapsodist standing before him, and who hears only one piece at a time, does not think about it at all. With respect to the figures, which the poet found ready made for him, his feeling was of a double kind; his humanistic culture protested against their mediæval character, and their combats

Its basis
in legends

Its artistic
aim

as counterparts of the battles and tournaments of the poet's own age exercised all his knowledge and artistic power, while at the same time they called forth all the highest qualities in the reciter. Even in Pulci,⁶³⁴ accordingly, we find no parody, strictly speaking, of chivalry, nearly as the rough humour of his paladins at times approaches it. By their side stands the ideal of pugnacity—the droll and jovial Morgante—who masters whole armies with his bell-clapper, and who is himself thrown into relief by contrast with the grotesque and most interesting monster Margutte. Yet Pulci lays no special stress on these two rough and vigorous characters, and his story, long after they had disappeared from it, maintains its singular course. Boiardo⁶³⁵ treats his characters with the same mastery, using them for serious or comic purposes as he pleases; he has his fun even out of supernatural beings, whom he sometimes intentionally depicts as louts. But there is one artistic aim which he pursues as earnestly as Pulci, namely, the lively and exact description of all that goes forward. Pulci recited his poem, as one book after another was finished, before the society of Lorenzo il Magnifico, and in the same way Boiardo recited his at the court of Hercules of Ferrara. It may be easily imagined what sort of excellence such an audience demanded, and how little thanks a profound exposition of character would have earned for the poet. Under these circumstances the poems naturally formed no complete whole, and might just as well be half or twice as long as they now are. Their composition is not that of a great historical picture, but rather that of a frieze, or of some rich festoon entwined among groups of picturesque figures. And precisely as in the figures or tendrils of a frieze we do not look for minuteness of execution in the individual forms, or for distant perspectives and different planes, so we must as little expect anything of the kind from these poems.

The varied richness of invention which continually astonishes us, most of all in the case of Boiardo, turns to ridicule all our school definitions as to the essence of epic poetry. For that age, this form of literature was the most agreeable diversion from archæological studies, and, indeed, the only possible means of re-establishing an independent class of narrative poetry. For the versification of ancient history could only lead to the false tracks which were trodden by Petrarch in his 'Africa,' written in Latin hexameters, and a hundred and fifty years later by Trissino in his 'Italy delivered from the Goths,' composed in 'versi sciolti'—a never-ending poem of faultless language and versification, which only makes us doubt whether this unlucky alliance has been more disastrous to history or to poetry.

And whither did the example of Dante beguile those who imitated him? The visionary 'Trionfi' of Petrarch were the last of the works written under this influence which satisfy our taste. The 'Amorosa Visione' of Boccaccio is at bottom no more than an enumeration of historical or fabulous cha-

Luigi Pulci
Pl. 289

Boiardo

The only
possible epic

Pls. 275—281

acters, arranged under allegorical categories. Others preface what they have to tell with a baroque imitation of Dante's first canto, and provide themselves with some allegorical comparison, to take the place of Virgil. Uberti, for example, chose Solinus for his geographical poem—the 'Dittamondo'—and Giovanni Santi, Plutarch for his encomium on Frederick of Urbino.⁶³⁶ The only salvation of the time from these false tendencies lay in the new epic poetry which was represented by Pulci and Boiardo. The admiration and curiosity with which it was received, and the like of which will perhaps never fall again to the lot of epic poetry to the end of time, is a brilliant proof how great was the need of it. It is idle to ask whether that epic ideal which our own day has formed from Homer and the 'Nibelungenlied' is or is not realized in these works; an ideal of their own age certainly was. By their endless descriptions of combats, which to us are the most fatiguing part of these poems, they satisfied, as we have already said, a practical interest of which it is hard for us to form a just conception—as hard, indeed, as of the esteem in which a lively and faithful reflection of the passing moment was then held.

Nor can a more inappropriate test be applied to Ariosto than the degree in which his 'Orlando Furioso'⁶³⁷ serves for the representation of character. Characters, indeed, there are, and drawn with an affectionate care; but the poem does not depend on these for its effect, and would lose, rather than gain, if more stress were laid upon them. But the demand for them is part of a wider and more general desire which Ariosto fails to satisfy as our day would wish it satisfied. From a poet of such fame and such mighty gifts we would gladly receive something better than the adventures of Orlando. From him we might have hoped for a work expressing the deepest conflicts of the human soul, the highest thoughts of his time on human and divine things—in a word, one of those supreme syntheses like the 'Divine Comedy' or 'Faust.' Instead of which he goes to work like the plastic artists of his own day, not caring for originality in our sense of the word, simply reproducing a familiar circle of figures, and even, when it suits his purpose, making use of the details left him by his predecessors. The excellence which, in spite of all this, can nevertheless be attained, will be the more incomprehensible to people born without the artistic sense, the more learned and intelligent in other respects they are. The artistic aim of Ariosto is brilliant, living action, which he distributes equally through the whole of his great poem. For this end he needs to be excused, not only from all deeper expression of character, but also from maintaining any strict connection in his narrative. He must be allowed to take up lost and forgotten threads when and where he pleases; his heroes must come and go, not because their character, but because the story requires it. Yet in this apparently irrational and arbitrary style of

Ariosto
Pls. 252, 254

His style

composition he displays a harmonious beauty, never losing himself in description, but giving only such a sketch of scenes and persons as does not hinder the flowing movement of the narrative. Still less does he lose himself in conversation and monologue,⁶³⁸ but maintains the lofty privilege of the true epos, by transforming all into living narrative. His pathos does not lie in the words,⁶³⁹ not even in the famous twenty-third and following cantos, where Roland's madness is described. That the love-stories in the heroic poem are without all lyrical tenderness, must be reckoned a merit, though from a moral point of view they cannot be always approved. Yet at times they are of such truth and reality, notwithstanding all the magic and romance which surrounds them, that we might think them personal affairs of the poet himself. In the full consciousness of his own genius, he does not scruple to interweave the events of his own day into the poem, and to celebrate the fame of the house of Este in visions and prophecies. The wonderful stream of his octaves bears it all forward in even and dignified movement.

Folengo and
Parody

With Teofilo Folengo, or, as he here calls himself, Limerno Pitocco, the parody of the whole system of chivalry attained the end it had so long desired.⁶⁴⁰ But here comedy, with its realism, demanded of necessity a stricter delineation of character. Exposed to all the rough usage of the half-savage street-lads in a Roman country town, Sutri, the little Orlando grows up before our eyes into the hero, the priest-hater, and the disputant. The conventional world which had been recognized since the time of Pulci and had served as framework for the epos, falls here to pieces. The origin and position of the paladins is openly ridiculed, as in the tournament of donkeys in the second book, where the knights appear with the most ludicrous armament. The poet utters his ironical regrets over the inexplicable faithlessness which seems implanted in the house of Gano of Mainz, over the toilsome acquisition of the sword Durindana, and so forth. Tradition, in fact, serves him only as a substratum for episodes, ludicrous fancies, allusions to events of the time (among which some, like the close of cap. vi. are exceedingly fine), and indecent jokes. Mixed with all this, a certain derision of Ariosto is unmistakable, and it was fortunate for the 'Orlando Furioso' that the 'Orlandino,' with its Lutheran heresies, was soon put out of the way by the Inquisition. The parody is evident when (cap. v. str. 28) the house of Gonzaga is deduced from the paladin Guidone, since the Colonna claimed Orlando, the Orsini Rinaldo, and the house of Este—according to Ariosto—Ruggiero as their ancestors. Perhaps Ferrante Gonzaga, the patron of the poet, was a party to this sarcasm on the house of Este.

Torquato
Tasso

That in the 'Jerusalem Delivered' of Torquato Tasso the delineation of character is one of the chief tasks of the poet, proves only how far his mode of thought differed from that prevalent half a century before. His admirable

work is a true monument of the Counter-reformation which had been meanwhile accomplished, and of the spirit and tendency of that movement.

*

Outside the sphere of poetry also, the Italians were the first of all European nations who displayed any remarkable power and inclination accurately to describe man as shown in history, according to his inward and outward characteristics.

It is true that in the Middle Ages considerable attempts were made in the same direction; and the legends of the Church, as a kind of standing biographical task, must, to some extent, have kept alive the interest and the gift for such descriptions. In the annals of the monasteries and cathedrals, many of the churchmen, such as Meinwerk of Paderborn, Godehard of Hildesheim, and others, are brought vividly before our eyes; and descriptions exist of several of the German emperors, modelled after old authors—particularly Suetonius—which contain admirable features. Indeed these and other profane ‘vitae’ came in time to form a continuous counterpart to the sacred legends. Yet neither Einhard nor Wippo nor Radevicus⁶⁴¹ can be named by the side of Joinville’s picture of St. Louis, which certainly stands almost alone as the first complete spiritual portrait of a modern European nature. Characters like St. Louis are rare at all times, and his was favoured by the rare good fortune that a sincere and naïve observer caught the spirit of all the events and actions of his life, and represented it admirably. From what scanty sources are we left to guess at the inward nature of Frederick II or of Philip the Fair. Much of what, till the close of the Middle Ages, passed for biography, is properly speaking nothing but contemporary narrative, written without any sense of what is individual in the subject of the memoir.

Among the Italians, on the contrary, the search for the characteristic features of remarkable men was a prevailing tendency; and this it is which separates them from the other western peoples, among whom the same thing happens but seldom, and in exceptional cases. This keen eye for individuality belongs only to those who have emerged from the half-conscious life of the race and become themselves individuals.

Under the influence of the prevailing conception of fame (p. 75, sqq.), an art of comparative biography arose which no longer found it necessary, like Anastasius, Agnellus, and their successors, or like the biographers of the Venetian doges, to adhere to a dynastic or ecclesiastical succession. It felt itself free to describe a man if and because he was remarkable. It took as models Suetonius, Nepos (the ‘viri illustres’), and Plutarch, so far as he was known and translated; for sketches of literary history, the lives of the grammarians, rhetoricians, and poets, known to us as the ‘Appendices’ to

Biography
in the
Middle Ages

Italian
Biographers

Suetonius,⁶⁴² seem to have served as patterns, as well as the widely-read life of Virgil by Donatus.

Tuscan
Biographers

It has been already mentioned that biographical collections—lives of famous men and famous women—began to appear in the fourteenth century (p. 78). Where they do not describe contemporaries, they are naturally dependent on earlier narratives. The first great original effort is the life of Dante by Boccaccio. Lightly and rhetorically written, and full, as it is, of arbitrary fancies, this work nevertheless gives us a lively sense of the extraordinary features in Dante's nature. Then follow, at the end of the fourteenth century, the 'vite' of illustrious Florentines, by Filippo Villani. They are men of every calling: poets, jurists, physicians, scholars, artists, statesmen, and soldiers, some of them then still living. Florence is here treated like a gifted family, in which all the members are noticed in whom the spirit of the house expresses itself vigorously. The descriptions are brief, but show a remarkable eye for what is characteristic, and are noteworthy for including the inward and outward physiognomy in the same sketch. From that time forward,⁶⁴³ the Tuscans never ceased to consider the description of man as lying within their special competence, and to them we owe the most valuable portraits of the Italians of the fifteenth and sixteenth centuries. Giovanni Cavalcanti, in the appendices to his Florentine history, written before the year 1450, collects instances of civil virtue and abnegation, of political discernment and of military valour, all shown by Florentines. Pius II gives us in his 'Commentaries' valuable portraits of famous contemporaries; and not long ago a separate work of his earlier years,⁶⁴⁴ which seems preparatory to these portraits, but which has colours and features that are very singular, was reprinted. To Jacob of Volterra we owe piquant sketches of members of the Curia⁶⁴⁵ in the time of Sixtus IV. Vespasiano Fiorentino has been often referred to already, and as a historical authority a high place must be assigned to him; but his gift as a painter of character is not to be compared with that of Machiavelli, Niccolò Valori, Guicciardini, Varchi, Francesco Vettori, and others, by whom European historical literature has been probably as much influenced in this direction as by the ancients. It must not be forgotten that some of these authors soon found their way into northern countries by means of Latin translations. And without Giorgio Vasari of Arezzo and his all-important work, we should perhaps to this day have no history of northern art, or of the art of modern Europe, at all.

Biography
in other parts
of Italy

Among the biographers of North Italy in the fifteenth century, Bartolommeo Facio of Spezia holds a high rank (p. 79). Platina, born in the territory of Cremona, gives us, in his 'Life of Paul II' (p. 117), examples of biographical caricatures. The description of the last Visconti,⁶⁴⁶ written by Piercandido Decembrio—an enlarged imitation of Suetonius—is of special

importance. Sismondi regrets that so much trouble has been spent on so unworthy an object, but the author would hardly have been equal to deal with a greater man, while he was thoroughly competent to describe the mixed nature of Filippo Maria, and in and through it to represent with accuracy the conditions, the forms, and the consequences of this particular kind of despotism. The picture of the fifteenth century would be incomplete without this unique biography, which is characteristic down to its minutest details. Milan afterwards possessed, in the historian Corio, an excellent portrait-painter; and after him came Paolo Giovio of Como, whose larger biographies and shorter 'Elogia' have achieved a world-wide reputation, and become models for subsequent writers in all countries. It is easy to prove by a hundred passages how superficial and even dishonest he was; nor from a man like him can any high and serious purpose be expected. But the breath of the age moves in his pages, and his Leo, his Alfonso, his Pompeo Colonna, live and act before us with such perfect truth and reality, that we seem admitted to the deepest recesses of their nature.

Giovio

Among Neapolitan writers, Tristano Caracciolo (Note 63), so far as we are able to judge, holds indisputably the first place in this respect, although his purpose was not strictly biographical. In the figures which he brings before us, guilt and destiny are wondrously mingled. He is a kind of unconscious tragedian. That genuine tragedy which then found no place on the stage, 'swept by' in the palace, the street, and the public square. The 'Words and Deeds of Alfonso the Great,' written by Antonio Panormita during the lifetime of the king, are remarkable as one of the first of such collections of anecdotes and of wise and witty sayings.

The rest of Europe followed the example of Italy in this respect but slowly,⁶⁴⁷ although great political and religious movements had broken so many bands, and had awakened so many thousands to new spiritual life. Italians, whether scholars or diplomatists, still remained, on the whole, the best source of information for the characters of the leading men all over Europe. It is well known how speedily and unanimously in recent times the reports of the Venetian embassies in the sixteenth and seventeenth centuries have been recognized as authorities of the first order for personal description. Even autobiography takes here and there in Italy a bold and vigorous flight, and puts before us, together with the most varied incidents of external life, striking revelations of the inner man. Among other nations, even in Germany at the time of the Reformation, it deals only with outward experiences, and leaves us to guess at the spirit within from the style of the narrative. It seems as though Dante's 'Vita Nuova,' with the inexorable truthfulness which runs through it, had shown his people the way.

Relations
to European
LiteratureAuto-
biographies

The beginnings of autobiography are to be traced in the family histories

of the fourteenth and fifteenth centuries, which are said to be not uncommon as manuscripts in the Florentine libraries—unaffected narratives written for the sake of the individual or of his family, like that of Buonacorso Pitti.

*Æneas
Sylvius*

A profound self-analysis is not to be looked for in the 'Commentaries' of Pius II. What we here learn of him as a man seems at first sight to be chiefly confined to the account which he gives of the different steps in his career. But further reflexion will lead us to a different conclusion with regard to this remarkable book. There are men who are by nature mirrors of what surrounds them. It would be irrelevant to ask incessantly after their convictions, their spiritual struggles, their inmost victories and achievements. *Æneas Sylvius* lived wholly in the interest which lay near, without troubling himself about the problems and contradictions of life. His Catholic orthodoxy gave him all the help of this kind which he needed. And at all events, after taking part in every intellectual movement which interested his age, and notably furthering some of them, he still at the close of his earthly course retained character enough to preach a crusade against the Turks, and to die of grief when it came to nothing.

*Benvenuto
Cellini
Pls. 393, 317,
393*

Nor is the autobiography of Benvenuto Cellini, any more than that of Pius II founded on introspection. And yet it describes the whole man—not always willingly—with marvellous truth and completeness. It is no small matter that Benvenuto, whose most important works have perished half finished, and who, as an artist, is perfect only in his little decorative speciality, but in other respects, if judged by the works of him which remain, is surpassed by so many of his greater contemporaries—that Benvenuto as a man will interest mankind to the end of time. It does not spoil the impression when the reader often detects him bragging or lying; the stamp of a mighty, energetic, and thoroughly developed nature remains. By his side our northern autobiographers, though their tendency and moral character may stand much higher, appear incomplete beings. He is a man who can do all and dares do all, and who carries his measure in himself. Whether we like him or not, he lives, such as he was, as a significant type of the modern spirit.

Cardano

Another man deserves a brief mention in connection with this subject—a man who, like Benvenuto, was not a model of veracity: Girolamo Cardano of Milan (b. 1500). His little book, 'De propria vita'⁶⁴⁸ will outlive and eclipse his fame in philosophy and natural science, just as Benvenuto's life, though its value is of another kind, has thrown his works into the shade. Cardano is a physician who feels his own pulse, and describes his own physical, moral, and intellectual nature, together with all the conditions under which it had developed, and this, to the best of his ability, honestly and sincerely. The work which he avowedly took as his model—the 'Con-

fessions' of Marcus Aurelius—he was able, hampered as he was by no stoical maxims, to surpass in this particular. He desires to spare neither himself nor others, and begins the narrative of his career with the statement that his mother tried, and failed, to procure abortion. It is worth remark that he attributes to the stars which presided over his birth only the events of his life and his intellectual gifts, but not his moral qualities; he confesses (cap. 10) that the astrological prediction that he would not live to the age of forty or fifty years did him much harm in his youth. But there is no need to quote from so well-known and accessible a book; whoever opens it will not lay it down till the last page. Cardano admits that he cheated at play, that he was vindictive, incapable of all compunction, purposely cruel in his speech. He confesses it without impudence and without feigned contrition, without even wishing to make himself an object of interest, but with the same simple and sincere love of fact which guided him in his scientific researches. And, what is to us the most repulsive of all, the old man, after the most shocking experiences⁶⁴⁹ and with his confidence in his fellow-men gone, finds himself after all tolerably happy and comfortable. He has still left him a grandson, immense learning, the fame of his works, money, rank and credit, powerful friends, the knowledge of many secrets, and, best of all, belief in God. After this, he counts the teeth in his head, and finds that he has fifteen.

Yet when Cardano wrote, Inquisitors and Spaniards were already busy in Italy, either hindering the production of such natures, or, where they existed, by some means or other putting them out of the way. There lies a gulf between this book and the memoirs of Alfieri.

Yet it would be unjust to close this list of autobiographers without listening to a word from one man who was both worthy and happy. This is the well-known philosopher of practical life, Luigi Cornaro, whose dwelling at Padua, classical as an architectural work, was at the same time the home of all the muses. In his famous treatise 'On the Sober Life,'⁶⁵⁰ he describes the strict regimen by which he succeeded, after a sickly youth, in reaching an advanced and healthy age, then of eighty-three years. He goes on to answer those who despise life after the age of sixty-five as a living death, showing them that his own life had nothing deadly about it. 'Let them come and see, and wonder at my good health, how I mount on horseback without help, how I run upstairs and up hills, how cheerful, amusing, and contented I am, how free from care and disagreeable thoughts. Peace and joy never quit me. . . . My friends are wise, learned, and distinguished people of good position, and when they are not with me I read and write, and try thereby, as by all other means, to be useful to others. Each of these things I do at the proper time, and at my ease, in my dwelling, which is beautiful and lies in the best part of Padua, and is arranged both for summer and winter with

Luigi
Cornaro
Pl. 249

all the resources of architecture, and provided with a garden by the running water. In the spring and autumn, I go for awhile to my hill in the most beautiful part of the Euganean mountains, where I have fountains and gardens, and a comfortable dwelling; and there I amuse myself with some easy and pleasant chase, which is suitable to my years. At other times I go to my villa on the plain;⁶⁶¹ there all the paths lead to an open space, in the middle of which stands a pretty church; an arm of the Brenta flows through the plantations—fruitful, well-cultivated fields, now fully peopled, which the marshes and the foul air once made fitter for snakes than for men. It was I who drained the country; then the air became good, and people settled there and multiplied, and the land became cultivated as it now is, so that I can truly say: “On this spot I gave to God an altar and a temple, and souls to worship Him.” This is my consolation and my happiness whenever I come here. In the spring and autumn, I also visit the neighbouring towns, to see and converse with my friends, through whom I make the acquaintance of other distinguished men, architects, painters, sculptors, musicians, and cultivators of the soil. I see what new things they have done, I look again at what I know already, and learn much that is of use to me. I see palaces, gardens, antiquities, public grounds, churches, and fortifications. But what most of all delights me when I travel, is the beauty of the country and the places, lying now on the plain, now on the slopes of the hills, or on the banks of rivers and streams, surrounded by gardens and villas. And these enjoyments are not diminished through weakness of the eyes or the ears; all my senses (thank God!) are in the best condition, including the sense of taste; for I enjoy more the simple food which I now take in moderation, than all the delicacies which I ate in my years of disorder.’

After mentioning the works he had undertaken on behalf of the republic for draining the marshes, and the projects which he had constantly advocated for preserving the lagoons, he thus concludes:—

‘These are the true recreations of an old age which God has permitted to be healthy, and which is free from those mental and bodily sufferings to which so many young people and so many sickly older people succumb. And if it be allowable to add the little to the great, to add jest to earnest, it may be mentioned as a result of my moderate life, that in my eighty-third year I have written a most amusing comedy, full of blameless wit. Such works are generally the business of youth, as tragedy is the business of old age. If it is reckoned to the credit of the famous Greek that he wrote a tragedy in his seventy-third year, must I not, with my ten years more, be more cheerful and healthy than he ever was? And that no consolation may be wanting in the overflowing cup of my old age, I see before my eyes a sort of bodily immortality in the persons of my descendants. When I come home

I see before me, not one or two, but eleven grandchildren, between the ages of two and eighteen, all from the same father and mother, all healthy, and, so far as can already be judged, all gifted with the talent and disposition for learning and a good life. One of the younger I have as my playmate (buffoncello), since children from the third to the fifth year are born to tricks; the elder ones I treat as my companions, and, as they have admirable voices, I take delight in hearing them sing and play on different instruments. And I sing myself, and find my voice better, clearer, and louder than ever. These are the pleasures of my last years. My life, therefore, is alive, and not dead; nor would I exchange my age for the youth of such as live in the service of their passions.'

In the 'Exhortation' which Cornaro added at a much later time, in his ninety-fifth year, he reckons it among the elements of his happiness that his 'Treatise' had made many converts. He died at Padua in 1565, at the age of over a hundred years.

This national gift did not, however, confine itself to the criticism and description of individuals, but felt itself competent to deal with the qualities and characteristics of whole peoples. Throughout the Middle Ages the cities, families, and nations of all Europe were in the habit of making insulting and derisive attacks on one another, which, with much caricature, contained commonly a kernel of truth. But from the first the Italians surpassed all others in their quick apprehension of the mental differences among cities and populations. Their local patriotism, stronger probably than in any other mediæval people, soon found expression in literature, and allied itself with the current conception of 'Fame.' Topography became the counterpart of biography (p. 78); while all the more important cities began to celebrate their own praises in prose and verse,⁶⁵² writers appeared who made the chief towns and districts the subject partly of a serious comparative description, partly of satire, and sometimes of notices in which jest and earnest are not easy to be distinguished. After this, next to some famous passages in the 'Divine Comedy,' comes the 'Dittamondo' of Uberti (about 1360). As a rule, only single remarkable facts and characteristics are here mentioned: the Feast of the Crows at Sant' Apollinare in Ravenna, the springs at Treviso, the great cellar near Vicenza, the high duties at Mantua, the forest of towers at Lucca. Yet mixed up with all this, we find laudatory and satirical criticisms of every kind. Arezzo figures with the crafty disposition of its citizens, Genoa with the artificially blackened eyes and teeth (?) of its women, Bologna with its prodigality, Bergamo with its coarse dialect and hard-headed people.⁶⁵³ In the fifteenth century the fashion was to belaud one's own city even at the expense of others. Michele Savonarola allows that, in comparison with his native Padua, only Rome and Venice are more splendid,

Characteristics of Peoples and Cities

The 'Dittamondo'

and Florence perhaps more joyous⁶⁵⁴—by which our knowledge is naturally not much extended. At the end of the century, Jovianus Pontanus, in his 'Antonius,' writes an imaginary journey through Italy, simply as a vehicle for malicious observations. But in the sixteenth century we meet with a series of exact and profound studies of national characteristics, such as no other people of that time could rival.⁶⁵⁵ Machiavelli sets forth in some of his valuable essays the character and the political condition of the Germans and French in such a way, that the born northerner, familiar with the history of his own country, is grateful to the Florentine thinker for his flashes of insight. The Florentines (p. 41 sqq.) begin to take pleasure in describing themselves;⁶⁵⁶ and basking in the well-earned sunshine of their intellectual glory, their pride seems to attain its height when they derive the artistic pre-eminence of Tuscany among Italians, not from any special gifts of nature, but from hard patient work.⁶⁵⁷ The homage of famous men from other parts of Italy, of which the sixteenth *Capitolo* of Ariosto is a splendid example, they accepted as a merited tribute to their excellence.

Of an admirable description of the Italians, with their various pursuits and characteristics, though in few words and with special stress laid on the Luchese, to whom the work was dedicated, we can give only the title.⁶⁵⁸ Leandro Alberti⁶⁵⁹ is not so fruitful as might be expected in his description of the character of the different cities. An anonymous 'Commentario'⁶⁶⁰ contains among many absurdities some valuable information on the unfortunate conditions prevailing about the middle of the century.⁶⁶¹

To what extent this comparative study of national and local characteristics may, by means of Italian humanism, have influenced the rest of Europe, we cannot say with precision. To Italy, at all events, belongs the priority in this respect, as in the description of the world in general.

*

But the discoveries made with regard to man were not confined to the spiritual characteristics of individuals and nations; his outward appearance was in Italy the subject of an entirely different interest from that shown in it by northern peoples.

Of the position held by the great Italian physicians with respect to the progress of physiology, we cannot venture to speak; and the artistic study of the human figure belongs, not to a work like the present, but to the history of art. But something must here be said of that universal education of the eye, which rendered the judgement of the Italians as to bodily beauty or ugliness perfect and final.

On reading the Italian authors of that period attentively, we are astounded at the keenness and accuracy with which outward features are seized, and

at the completeness with which personal appearance in general is described.⁶⁶² Even to-day the Italians, and especially the Romans, have the art of sketching a man's picture in a couple of words. This rapid apprehension of what is characteristic is an essential condition for detecting and representing the beautiful. In poetry, it is true, circumstantial description may be a fault, not a merit, since a single feature, suggested by deep passion or insight, will often awaken in the reader a far more powerful impression of the figure described. Dante gives us nowhere a more splendid idea of his Beatrice than where he only describes the influence which goes forth from her upon all around. But here we have not to treat particularly of poetry, which follows its own laws and pursues its own ends, but rather of the general capacity to paint in words real or imaginary forms.

In this Boccaccio is a master—not in the 'Decameron,' where the character of the tales forbids lengthy description, but in the romances, where he is free to take his time. In his 'Ameto'⁶⁶³ he describes a blonde and a brunette much as an artist a hundred years later would have painted them—for here, too, culture long precedes art. In the account of the brunette—or, strictly speaking, of the less blonde of the two—there are touches which deserve to be called classical. In the words 'la spaziosa testa e distesa' lies the feeling for grander forms, which go beyond a graceful prettiness; the eyebrows with him no longer resemble two bows, as in the Byzantine ideal, but a single wavy line; the nose seems to have been meant to be aquiline;⁶⁶⁴ the broad, full breast, the arms of moderate length, the effect of the beautiful hand, as it lies on the purple mantle—all both foretells the sense of beauty of a coming time, and unconsciously approaches to that of classical antiquity. In other descriptions Boccaccio mentions a flat (not mediævally rounded) brow, a long, earnest, brown eye, and round, not hollowed neck, as well as—in a very modern tone—the 'little feet' and the 'two roguish eyes' of a black-haired nymph.⁶⁶⁵

Beauty in
Boccaccio

Whether the fifteenth century has left any written account of its ideal of beauty, I am not able to say. The works of the painters and sculptors do not render such an account as unnecessary as might appear at first sight, since possibly, as opposed to their realism, a more ideal type might have been favoured and preserved by the writers.⁶⁶⁶ In the sixteenth century Firenzuola came forward with his remarkable work on female beauty.⁶⁶⁷ We must clearly distinguish in it what he had learned from old authors or from artists, such as the fixing of proportions according to the length of the head, and certain abstract conceptions. What remains, is his own genuine observation, illustrated with examples of women and girls from Prato. As his little work is a kind of lecture, delivered before the women of this city—that is to say, before very severe critics—he must have kept pretty closely

Firenzuola's
Ideal

to the truth. His principle is avowedly that of Zeuxis and of Lucian—to piece together an ideal beauty out of a number of beautiful parts. He defines the shades of colour which occur in the hair and skin, and gives to the ‘biondo’ the preference, as the most beautiful colour for the hair,⁶⁶⁸ understanding by it a soft yellow, inclining to brown. He requires that the hair should be thick, long, and locky; the forehead serene, and twice as broad as high; the skin bright and clear (*candida*), but not of a dead white (*bianchezza*); the eyebrows dark, silky, most strongly marked in the middle, and shading off towards the ears and the nose; the white of the eye faintly touched with blue, the iris not actually black, though all the poets praise ‘occhi neri’ as a gift of Venus, despite that even goddesses were known for their eyes of heavenly blue, and that soft, joyous, brown eyes were admired by everybody. The eye itself should be large and full, and brought well forward; the lids white, and marked with almost invisible tiny red veins; the lashes neither too long, nor too thick, nor too dark. The hollow round the eye should have the same colour as the cheek.⁶⁶⁹ The ear, neither too large nor too small, firmly and neatly fitted on, should show a stronger colour in the winding than in the even parts, with an edge of the transparent ruddiness of the pomegranate. The temples must be white and even, and for the most perfect beauty ought not to be too narrow.⁶⁷⁰ The red should grow deeper as the cheek gets rounder. The nose, which chiefly determines the value of the profile, must recede gently and uniformly in the direction of the eyes; where the cartilage ceases, there may be a slight elevation, but not so marked as to make the nose *aquiline*, which is not pleasing in women; the lower part must be less strongly coloured than the ears, but not of a chilly whiteness, and the middle partition above the lips lightly tinted with red. The mouth, our author would have rather small, and neither projecting to a point, nor quite flat, with the lips not too thin, and fitting neatly together; an accidental opening, that is, when the woman is neither speaking nor laughing, should not display more than six upper teeth. As delicacies of detail, he mentions a dimple in the upper lip, a certain fullness of the under lip, and a tempting smile in the left corner of the mouth—and so on. The teeth should not be too small, regular, well marked off from one another, and of the colour of ivory; and the gums must not be too dark or even like red velvet. The chin is to be round, neither pointed nor curved outwards, and growing slightly red as it rises; its glory is the dimple. The neck should be white and round and rather long than short, with the hollow and the Adam’s apple but faintly marked; and the skin at every movement must show pleasing lines. The shoulders he desires broad, and in the breadth of the bosom sees the first condition of its beauty. No bone may be visible upon it, its fall and swell must be gentle and gradual, its colour ‘*candidissimo*.’

The leg should be long and not too hard in the lower parts, but still not without flesh on the shin, which must be provided with white, full calves. He likes the foot small, but not bony, the instep (it seems) high, and the colour white as alabaster. The arms are to be white, and in the upper parts tinted with red; in their consistence fleshy and muscular, but still soft as those of Pallas, when she stood before the shepherd on Mount Ida—in a word, ripe, fresh, and firm. The hand should be white, especially towards the wrist, but large and plump, feeling soft as silk, the rosy palm marked with a few, but distinct and not intricate lines; the elevations in it should be not too great, the space between thumb and forefinger brightly coloured and without wrinkles, the fingers long, delicate, and scarcely at all thinner towards the tips, with nails clear, even, not too long nor too square, and cut so as to show a white margin about the breadth of a knife's back.

Æsthetic principles of a general character occupy a very subordinate place to these particulars. The ultimate principles of beauty, according to which the eye judges 'senza appello,' are for Firenzuola a secret, as he frankly confesses; and his definitions of 'Leggiadria,' 'Grazia,' 'Vaghezza,' 'Venustà,' 'Aria,' 'Maestà,' are partly, as has been remarked, philological, and partly vain attempts to utter the unutterable. Laughter he prettily defines, probably following some old author, as a radiance of the soul.

The literature of all countries can, at the close of the Middle Ages, show single attempts to lay down theoretic principles of beauty;⁶⁷¹ but no other work can be compared to that of Firenzuola. Brantôme, who came a good half-century later, is a bungling critic by his side, because governed by lasciviousness and not by a sense of beauty.

*

Among the new discoveries made with regard to man, we must reckon, in conclusion, the interest taken in descriptions of the daily course of human life.

Description
of human life

The comical and satirical literature of the Middle Ages could not dispense with pictures of every-day events. But it is another thing, when the Italians of the Renaissance dwelt on this picture for its own sake—for its inherent interest—and because it forms part of that great, universal life of the world whose magic breath they felt everywhere around them. Instead of and together with the satirical comedy, which wanders through houses, villages, and streets, seeking food for its derision in parson, peasant, and burgher, we now see in literature the beginnings of a true *genre*, long before it found any expression in painting. That *genre* and satire are often met with in union, does not prevent them from being wholly different things.

How much of earthly business must Dante have watched with attentive

In Dante

interest, before he was able to make us see with our own eyes all that happened in his spiritual world.⁶⁷² The famous pictures of the busy movement in the arsenal at Venice, of the blind men laid side by side before the church door,⁶⁷³ and the like, are by no means the only instances of this kind: for the art, in which he is a master, of expressing the inmost soul by the outward gesture, cannot exist without a close and incessant study of human life.

The poets who followed rarely came near him in this respect, and the novelists were forbidden by the first laws of their literary style to linger over details. Their prefaces and narratives might be as long as they pleased, but what we understand by *genre* was outside their province. The taste for this class of description was not fully awakened till the time of the revival of antiquity.

In Æneas
Sylvius
Pls. 149, 223

And here we are again met by the man who had a heart for everything—Æneas Sylvius. Not only natural beauty, not only that which has an antiquarian or a geographical interest, finds a place in his descriptions (p. 147; p. 155), but any living scene of daily life.⁶⁷⁴ Among the numerous passages in his memoirs in which scenes are described which hardly one of his contemporaries would have thought worth a line of notice, we will here only mention the boat-race on the Lake of Bolsena.⁶⁷⁵ We are not able to detect from what old letter-writer or story-teller the impulse was derived to which we owe such life-like pictures. Indeed, the whole spiritual communion between antiquity and the Renaissance is full of delicacy and of mystery.

Pl. 212

To this class belong those descriptive Latin poems of which we have already spoken (p. 133)—hunting-scenes, journeys, ceremonies, and so forth. In Italian we also find something of the same kind, as, for example, the descriptions of the famous Medicean tournament by Politian and Luca Pulci. The true epic poets, Luigi Pulci, Boiardo, and Ariosto, are carried on more rapidly by the stream of their narrative; yet in all of them we must recognize the lightness and precision of their descriptive touch, as one of the chief elements of their greatness. Franco Sacchetti amuses himself with repeating the short speeches of a troop of pretty women caught in the woods by a shower of rain.⁶⁷⁶

Other scenes of moving life are to be looked for in the military historians (p. 54). In a lengthy poem,⁶⁷⁷ dating from an earlier period, we find a faithful picture of a combat of mercenary soldiers in the fourteenth century, chiefly in the shape of the orders, cries of battle, and dialogue with which it is accompanied.

False and true
descriptions of
country life

But the most remarkable productions of this kind are the realistic descriptions of country life, which are found most abundantly in Lorenzo il Magnifico and the poets of his circle.

Since the time of Petrarch,⁶⁷⁸ an unreal and conventional style of bucolic poetry had been in vogue, which, whether written in Latin or Italian, was essentially a copy of Virgil. Parallel to this, we find the pastoral novel of Boccaccio (p. 132) and other works of the same kind down to the 'Arcadia' of Sannazaro, and later still, the pastoral comedy of Tasso and Guarini. They are works whose style, whether poetry or prose, is admirably finished and perfect, but in which pastoral life is only an ideal dress for sentiments which belong to a wholly different sphere of culture.⁶⁷⁹

But by the side of all this there appeared in Italian poetry, towards the close of the fifteenth century, signs of a more realistic treatment of rustic life. This was not possible out of Italy; for here only did the peasant, whether labourer or proprietor, possess human dignity, personal freedom, and the right of settlement, hard as his lot might sometimes be in other respects. The difference between town and country is far from being so marked here as in northern countries. Many of the smaller towns are peopled almost exclusively by peasants who, on coming home at nightfall from their work, are transformed into townfolk. The masons of Como wandered over nearly all Italy; the child Giotto was free to leave his sheep and join a guild at Florence; everywhere there was a human stream flowing from the country into the cities, and some mountain populations seemed born to supply this current.⁶⁸⁰ It is true that the pride and local conceit supplied poets and novelists with abundant motives for making game of the 'villano,'⁶⁸¹ and what they left undone was taken charge of by the comic improvisers (p. 166 sqq.). But nowhere do we find a trace of that brutal and contemptuous class-hatred against the 'vilains' which inspired the aristocratic poets of Provence, and often, too, the French chroniclers. On the contrary,⁶⁸² Italian authors of every sort gladly recognize and accentuate what is great or remarkable in the life of the peasant. Gioviano Pontano mentions with admiration instances of the fortitude of the savage inhabitants of the Abruzzi;⁶⁸³ in the biographical collections and in the novelists we meet with the figure of the heroic peasant-maiden⁶⁸⁴ who hazards her life to defend her family and her honour.⁶⁸⁵

Such conditions made the poetical treatment of country life possible. The first instance we shall mention is that of Battista Mantovano, whose eclogues, once much read and still worth reading, appeared among his earliest works about 1480. They are a mixture of real and conventional rusticity, but the former tends to prevail. They represent the mode of thought of a well-meaning village clergyman, not without a certain leaning to liberal ideas. As Carmelite monk, the writer may have had occasion to mix freely with the peasantry.

But it is with a power of a wholly different kind that Lorenzo il Magnifico

Position of
the peasants

Battista
Mantovano

Lorenzo il
Magnifico
Pl. 100

transports himself into the peasant's world. His 'Nencia di Barberino'⁶⁸⁶ reads like a crowd of genuine extracts from the popular songs of the Florentine country, fused into a great stream of octaves. The objectivity of the writer is such that we are in doubt whether the speaker—the young peasant Vallera, who declares his love to Nencia—awakens his sympathy or ridicule. The deliberate contrast to the conventional eclogue is unmistakable. Lorenzo surrenders himself purposely to the realism of simple, rough country life, and yet his work makes upon us the impression of true poetry.

Luigi Pulci
Pl. 239

The 'Beca da Dicomano' of Luigi Pulci⁶⁸⁷ is an admitted counterpart to the 'Nencia' of Lorenzo. But the deeper purpose is wanting. The 'Beca' is written not so much from the inward need to give a picture of popular life, as from the desire to win the approbation of the educated Florentine world by a successful poem. Hence the greater and more deliberate coarseness of the scenes, and the indecent jokes. Nevertheless, the point of view of the rustic lover is admirably maintained.

Poliziano
Pl. 227

Third in this company of poets comes Angelo Poliziano, with his 'Rusticus'⁶⁸⁸ in Latin hexameters. Keeping clear of all imitation of Virgil's Georgics, he describes the year of the Tuscan peasant, beginning with the late autumn, when the countryman gets ready his new plough and prepares the seed for the winter. The picture of the meadows in spring is full and beautiful, and the 'Summer' has fine passages; but the vintage-feast in autumn is one of the gems of modern Latin poetry. Politian wrote poems in Italian as well as Latin, from which we may infer that in Lorenzo's circle it was possible to give a realistic picture of the passionate life of the lower classes. His gipsy's love-song⁶⁸⁹ is one of the earliest products of that wholly modern tendency to put oneself with poetic consciousness into the position of another class. This had probably been attempted for ages with a view to satire,⁶⁹⁰ and the opportunity for it was offered in Florence at every carnival by the songs of the maskers. But the sympathetic understanding of the feelings of another class was new; and with it the 'Nencia' and this 'Canzone zingaresca' mark a new starting-point in the history of poetry.

Here, too, we must briefly indicate how culture prepared the way for artistic development. From the time of the 'Nencia,' a period of eighty years elapses to the rustic genre-painting of Jacopo Bassano and his school.

In the next part of this work we shall show how differences of birth had lost their significance in Italy. Much of this was doubtless owing to the fact that men and mankind were here first thoroughly and profoundly understood. This one single result of the Renaissance is enough to fill us with everlasting thankfulness. The logical notion of humanity was old enough—but here the notion became a fact.

Conceptions
of Man

The loftiest conceptions on this subject were uttered by Pico della

Mirandola in his speech on the dignity of man,⁶⁹¹ which may justly be called one of the noblest bequests of that great age. God, he tells us, made man at the close of the creation, to know the laws of the universe, to love its beauty, to admire its greatness. He bound him to no fixed place, to no prescribed form of work, and by no iron necessity, but gave him freedom to will and to move. 'I have set thee,' says the Creator to Adam, 'in the midst of the world, that thou mayst the more easily behold and see all that is therein. I created thee a being neither heavenly nor earthly, neither mortal nor immortal only, that thou mightest be free to shape and to overcome thyself. Thou mayst sink into a beast, and be born anew to the divine likeness. The brutes bring from their mother's body what they will carry with them as long as they live; the higher spirits are from the beginning, or soon after,⁶⁹² what they will be for ever. To thee alone is given a growth and a development depending on thine own free will. Thou bearest in thee the germs of a universal life.'

PART V

SOCIETY AND FESTIVALS

Every period of civilization, which forms a complete and consistent whole, manifests itself not only in political life, in religion, art, and science, but also sets its characteristic stamp on social life. Thus the Middle Ages had their courtly and aristocratic manners and etiquette, differing but little in the various countries of Europe, as well as their peculiar forms of middle-class life.

Contrast
to the
Middle Ages

Italian customs at the time of the Renaissance offer in these respects the sharpest contrast to mediævalism. The foundation on which they rest is wholly different. Social intercourse in its highest and most perfect form now ignored all distinctions of caste, and was based simply on the existence of an educated class as we now understand the word. Birth and origin were without influence, unless combined with leisure and inherited wealth. Yet this assertion must not be taken in an absolute and unqualified sense, since mediæval distinctions still sometimes made themselves felt to a greater or less degree, if only as a means of maintaining equality with the aristocratic pretensions of the less advanced countries of Europe. But the main current of the time went steadily towards the fusion of classes in the modern sense of the phrase.

Community
of Life
Pl. 1

The fact was of vital importance that, from certainly the twelfth century onwards, the nobles and the burghers dwelt together within the walls of the cities.⁶⁹³ The interests and pleasures of both classes were thus identified, and the feudal lord learned to look at society from another point of view than that of his mountain-castle. The Church, too, in Italy never suffered itself, as in northern countries, to be used as a means of providing for the younger sons of noble families. Bishoprics, abbacies, and canonries were often given from the most unworthy motives, but still not according to the pedigrees of the applicants; and if the bishops in Italy were more numerous, poorer, and, as a rule, destitute of all sovereign rights, they still lived in the cities where their cathedrals stood, and formed, together with their chapters, an important element in the cultivated society of the place. In the age of despots and absolute princes which followed, the nobility in

most of the cities had the motives and the leisure to give themselves up to a private life (p. 71) free from political danger and adorned with all that was elegant and enjoyable, but at the same time hardly distinguishable from that of the wealthy burgher. And after the time of Dante, when the new poetry and literature were in the hands of all Italy,⁶⁹⁴ when to this was added the revival of ancient culture and the new interest in man as such, when the successful Condottiere became a prince, and not only good birth, but legitimate birth, ceased to be indispensable for a throne (p. 10), it might well seem that the age of equality had dawned, and the belief in nobility vanished for ever.

Equality
of Classes

From a theoretical point of view, when the appeal was made to antiquity, the conception of nobility could be both justified and condemned from Aristotle alone. Dante, for example,⁶⁹⁵ adapts from the Aristotelian definition, 'Nobility rests on excellence and inherited wealth,' his own saying, 'Nobility rests on personal excellence or on that of predecessors.' But elsewhere he is not satisfied with this conclusion. He blames himself,⁶⁹⁶ because even in Paradise, while talking with his ancestor Cacciaguida, he made mention of his noble origin, which is but as a mantle from which time is ever cutting something away, unless we ourselves add daily fresh worth to it. And in the 'Convito'⁶⁹⁷ he disconnects 'nobile' and 'nobiltà' from every condition of birth, and identifies the idea with the capacity for moral and intellectual eminence, laying a special stress on high culture by calling 'nobiltà' the sister of 'filosofia.'

And as time went on, the greater the influence of humanism on the Italian mind, the firmer and more widespread became the conviction that birth decides nothing as to the goodness or badness of a man. In the fifteenth century this was the prevailing opinion. Poggio, in his dialogue 'On nobility,'⁶⁹⁸ agrees with his interlocutors—Niccolò Niccoli, and Lorenzo Medici, brother of the great Cosimo—that there is no other nobility than that of personal merit. The keenest shafts of his ridicule are directed against much of what vulgar prejudice thinks indispensable to an aristocratic life. 'A man is all the farther removed from true nobility, the longer his forefathers have plied the trade of brigands. The taste for hawking and hunting savours no more of nobility than the nests and lairs of the hunted creatures of spikenard. The cultivation of the soil, as practised by the ancients, would be much nobler than this senseless wandering through the hills and woods, by which men make themselves liker to the brutes than to the reasonable creatures. It may serve well enough as a recreation, but not as the business of a lifetime.' The life of the English and French chivalry in the country or in the woody fastnesses seems to him thoroughly ignoble, and worst of all the doings of the robber-knights of Germany. Lorenzo here begins to take

Negation of
the Nobility

the part of the nobility, but not—which is characteristic—appealing to any natural sentiment in its favour, but because Aristotle in the fifth book of the ‘Politics’ recognizes the nobility as existent, and defines it as resting on excellence and inherited wealth. To this Niccoli retorts that Aristotle gives this not as his own conviction, but as the popular impression; in his ‘Ethics,’ where he speaks as he thinks, he calls him noble who strives after that which is truly good. Lorenzo urges upon him vainly that the Greek word for nobility (*Eugeneia*) means good birth; Niccoli thinks the Roman word ‘*nobilis*’ (*i.e.* remarkable) a better one, since it makes nobility depend on a man’s deeds.⁶⁹⁹ Together with these discussions, we find a sketch of the condition of the nobles in various parts of Italy. In Naples they will not work, and busy themselves neither with their own estates nor with trade and commerce, which they hold to be discreditable; they either loiter at home or ride about on horseback.⁷⁰⁰ The Roman nobility also despise trade, but farm their own property; the cultivation of the land even opens the way to a title;⁷⁰¹ ‘it is a respectable but boorish nobility.’ In Lombardy the nobles live upon the rent of their inherited estates; descent and the abstinence from any regular calling constitute nobility.⁷⁰² In Venice, the ‘*nobili*,’ the ruling caste, were all merchants. Similarly in Genoa the nobles and non-nobles were alike merchants and sailors, and only separated by their birth; some few of the former, it is true, still lurked as brigands in their mountain castles. In Florence a part of the old nobility had devoted themselves to trade; another, and certainly by far the smaller part, enjoyed the satisfaction of their titles, and spent their time, either in nothing at all, or else in hunting and hawking.⁷⁰³

The Nobility
in the various
regions

Attitude
towards
Culture

The decisive fact was, that nearly everywhere in Italy, even those who might be disposed to pride themselves on their birth could not make good the claims against the power of culture and of wealth, and that their privileges in politics and at court were not sufficient to encourage any strong feeling of caste. Venice offers only an apparent exception to this rule, for there the ‘*nobili*’ led the same life as their fellow-citizens, and were distinguished by few honorary privileges. The case was certainly different at Naples, which the strict isolation and the ostentatious vanity of its nobility excluded, above all other causes, from the spiritual movement of the Renaissance. The traditions of mediæval Lombardy and Normandy, and the French aristocratic influences which followed, all tended in this direction; and the Aragonese government, which was established by the middle of the fifteenth century, completed the work, and accomplished in Naples what followed a hundred years later in the rest of Italy—a social transformation in obedience to Spanish ideas, of which the chief features were the contempt for work and the passion for titles. The effect of this new influence was evident, even in the smaller towns, before the year 1500. We hear complaints

Later
Spanish
Influence

from La Cava that the place had been proverbially rich, as long as it was filled with masons and weavers; whilst now, since instead of looms and trowels nothing but spurs, stirrups and gilded belts was to be seen, since everybody was trying to become Doctor of Laws or of Medicine, Notary, Officer or Knight, the most intolerable poverty prevailed.⁷⁰⁴ In Florence an analogous change appears to have taken place by the time of Cosimo, the first Grand Duke; he is thanked for adopting the young people, who now despise trade and commerce, as knights of his order of St. Stephen.⁷⁰⁵ This goes straight in the teeth of the good old Florentine custom,⁷⁰⁶ by which fathers left property to their children on the condition that they should have some occupation (p. 45). But a mania for titles of a curious and ludicrous sort sometimes crossed and thwarted, especially among the Florentines, the levelling influence of art and culture. This was the passion for knighthood, which became one of the most striking follies of the day, at a time when the dignity itself had lost every shadow of significance.

Knighthood
Pls. 96, 64

‘A few years ago,’ writes Franco Sacchetti,⁷⁰⁷ towards the end of the fourteenth century, ‘everybody saw how all the workpeople down to the bakers, how all the wool-carders, usurers, money-changers and blackguards of all descriptions, became knights. Why should an official need knighthood when he goes to preside over some little provincial town? What has this title to do with any ordinary bread-winning pursuit? How art thou sunken, unhappy dignity! Of all the long list of knightly duties, what single one do these knights of ours discharge? I wished to speak of these things that the reader might see that knighthood is dead.⁷⁰⁸ And as we have gone so far as to confer the honour upon dead men, why not upon figures of wood and stone, and why not upon an ox?’ The stories which Sacchetti tells by way of illustration speak plainly enough. There we read how Bernabò Visconti knighted the victor in a drunken brawl, and then did the same derisively to the vanquished; how German knights with their decorated helmets and devices were ridiculed—and more of the same kind. At a later period Poggio⁷⁰⁹ makes merry over the many knights of his day without a horse and without military training. Those who wished to assert the privilege of the order, and ride out with lance and colours, found in Florence that they might have to face the government as well as the jokers.⁷¹⁰

Pl. 5

On considering the matter more closely, we shall find that this belated chivalry, independent of all nobility of birth, though partly the fruit of an insane passion for titles, had nevertheless another and a better side. Tournaments had not yet ceased to be practised, and no one could take part in them who was not a knight. But the combat in the lists, and especially the difficult and perilous tilting with the lance, offered a favourable opportunity for the display of strength, skill, and courage, which no one, whatever might

Continuance
of
Tournaments
Pls. 93—95,
309—312

be his origin, would willingly neglect in an age which laid such stress on personal merit.

It was in vain that from the time of Petrarch downwards the tournament was denounced as a dangerous folly. No one was converted by the pathetic appeal of the poet: 'In what book do we read that Scipio and Cæsar were skilled at the joust?'⁷¹¹ The practice became more and more popular in Florence. Every honest citizen came to consider his tournament—now, no doubt, less dangerous than formerly—as a fashionable sport. Franco Sacchetti⁷¹² has left us a ludicrous picture of one of these holiday cavaliers—a notary seventy years old. He rides out on horseback to Peretola, where the tournament was cheap, on a jade hired from a dyer. A thistle is stuck by some wag under the tail of the steed, who takes fright, runs away, and carries the helmeted rider, bruised and shaken, back into the city. The inevitable conclusion of the story is a severe curtain-lecture from the wife, who is not a little enraged at these break-neck follies of her husband.⁷¹³

Parodies
thereof

It may be mentioned in conclusion that a passionate interest in this sport was displayed by the Medici, as if they wished to show—private citizens as they were, without noble blood in their veins—that the society which surrounded them was in no respect inferior to a Court.⁷¹⁴ Even under Cosimo (1459), and afterwards under the elder Pietro, brilliant tournaments were held at Florence. The younger Pietro neglected the duties of government for these amusements, and would never suffer himself to be painted except clad in armour. The same practice prevailed at the Court of Alexander VI, and when the Cardinal Ascanio Sforza asked the Turkish Prince Djem (pp. 59, 63) how he liked the spectacle, the barbarian replied with much discretion that such combats in his country only took place among slaves, since then, in the case of accident, nobody was the worse for it. The Oriental was unconsciously in accord with the old Romans in condemning the manners of the Middle Ages.

Pl. 128

Apart, however, from this particular prop of knighthood, we find here and there in Italy, for example at Ferrara (p. 26 sqq.), orders of court service, whose members had a right to the title.

The
Cortigiano

But, great as were individual ambitions and the vanities of nobles and knights, it remains a fact that the Italian nobility took its place in the centre of social life, and not at the extremity. We find it habitually mixing with other classes on a footing of perfect equality, and seeking its natural allies in culture and intelligence. It is true that for the courtier a certain rank of nobility was required,⁷¹⁵ but this exigence is expressly declared to be caused by a prejudice rooted in the public mind—'per l'opinione universale'—and never was held to imply the belief that the personal worth of one who was not of noble blood was in any degree lessened thereby, nor did it follow

from this rule that the prince was limited to the nobility for his society. It was meant simply that the perfect man—the true courtier—should not be wanting in any conceivable advantage, and therefore not in this. If in all the relations of life he was specially bound to maintain a dignified and reserved demeanour, the reason was not found in the blood which flowed in his veins, but in the perfection of manner which was demanded from him. We are here in the presence of a modern distinction, based on culture and on wealth, but on the latter solely because it enables men to devote their life to the former, and effectually to promote its interests and advancement.

But in proportion as distinctions of birth ceased to confer any special privilege, was the individual himself compelled to make the most of his personal qualities, and society to find its worth and charm in itself. The demeanour of individuals, and all the higher forms of social intercourse, became ends pursued with a deliberate and artistic purpose.

Even the outward appearance of men and women and the habits of daily life were more perfect, more beautiful, and more polished than among the other nations of Europe. The dwellings of the upper classes fall rather within the province of the history of art; but we may note how far the castle and the city mansion in Italy surpassed in comfort, order, and harmony the dwellings of the northern noble. The style of dress varied so continually that it is impossible to make any complete comparison with the fashions of other countries, all the more because since the close of the fifteenth century imitations of the latter were frequent. The costumes of the time, as given us by the Italian painters, are the most convenient and the most pleasing to the eye which were then to be found in Europe; but we cannot be sure if they represent the prevalent fashion, or if they are faithfully reproduced by the artist. It is nevertheless beyond a doubt that nowhere was so much importance attached to dress as in Italy. The nation was, and is, vain; and even serious men among it looked on a handsome and becoming costume as an element in the perfection of the individual. At Florence, indeed, there was a brief period, when dress was a purely personal matter, and every man set the fashion for himself (Note 261), and till far into the sixteenth century there were exceptional people who still had the courage to do so;⁷¹⁶ and the majority at all events showed themselves capable of varying the fashion according to their individual tastes. It is a symptom of decline when Giovanni della Casa warns his readers not to be singular or to depart from existing fashions.⁷¹⁷ Our own age, which, in men's dress at any rate, treats uniformity as the supreme law, gives up by so doing far more than it is itself aware of. But it saves itself much time, and this, according to our notions of business, outweighs all other disadvantages.

In Venice⁷¹⁸ and Florence at the time of the Renaissance there were rules

Perfection
of the
Individual

Costumes
and Fashions

Naples and regulations prescribing the dress of the men and restraining the luxury of the women. Where the fashions were more free, as in Naples, the moralists confess with regret that no difference can be observed between noble and burgher.⁷¹⁹ They further deplore the rapid changes of fashion, and—if we rightly understand their words—the senseless idolatry of whatever comes from France, though in many cases the fashions which were received back from the French were originally Italian. It does not further concern us, how far these frequent changes, and the adoption of French and Spanish ways,⁷²⁰ contributed to the national passion for external display; but we find in them additional evidence of the rapid movement of life in Italy in the decades before and after the year 1500.

The Toilette We may note in particular the efforts of the women to alter their appearance by all the means which the toilette could afford. In no country of Europe since the fall of the Roman empire was so much trouble taken to modify the face, the colour of the skin and the growth of the hair, as in Italy at this time.⁷²¹ All tended to the formation of a conventional type, at the cost of the most striking and transparent deceptions. Leaving out of account costume in general, which in the fourteenth century⁷²² was in the highest degree varied in colour and loaded with ornament, and at a later period assumed a character of more harmonious richness, we here limit ourselves more particularly to the toilette in the narrower sense.

Facial make-up No sort of ornament was more in use than false hair, often made of white or yellow silk.⁷²³ The law denounced and forbade it in vain, till some preacher of repentance touched the worldly minds of the wearers. Then was seen, in the middle of the public square, a lofty pyre (talamo), on which, beside lutes, dice-boxes, masks, magical charms, song-books, and other vanities, lay masses of false hair,⁷²⁴ which the purging fires soon turned into a heap of ashes. The ideal colour sought for both natural and artificial hair, was blond. And as the sun was supposed to have the power of making the hair of this colour,⁷²⁵ many ladies would pass their whole time in the open air on sunshiny days.⁷²⁶ Dyes and other mixtures were also used freely for the same purpose. Besides all these, we meet with an endless list of beautifying waters, plasters, and paints for every single part of the face—even for the teeth and eyelids—of which in our day we can form no conception. The ridicule of the poets,⁷²⁷ the invectives of the preachers, and the experience of the baneful effects of these cosmetics on the skin, were powerless to hinder women from giving their faces an unnatural form and colour. It is possible that the frequent and splendid representations of Mysteries,⁷²⁸ at which hundreds of people appeared painted and masked, helped to further this practice in daily life. It is certain that it was widely spread, and that the countrywomen vied in this respect with their sisters in the towns.⁷²⁹ It

was vain to preach that such decorations were the mark of the courtesan; the most honourable matrons, who all the year round never touched paint, used it nevertheless on holidays when they showed themselves in public.⁷³⁰ But whether we look on this bad habit as a remnant of barbarism, to which the painting of savages is a parallel, or as a consequence of the desire for perfect youthful beauty in features and in colour, as the art and complexity of the toilette would lead us to think—in either case there was no lack of good advice on the part of the men.

The use of perfumes, too, went beyond all reasonable limits. They were applied to everything with which human beings came into contact. At festivals even the mules were treated with scents and ointments,⁷³¹ Pietro Aretino thanks Cosimo I for a perfumed roll of money.⁷³²

The Italians of that day lived in the belief that they were more cleanly than other nations. There are in fact general reasons which speak rather for than against this claim. Cleanliness is indispensable to our modern notion of social perfection, which was developed in Italy earlier than elsewhere. That the Italians were one of the richest of existing peoples, is another presumption in their favour. Proof, either for or against these pretensions, can of course never be forthcoming, and if the question were one of priority in establishing rules of cleanliness, the chivalrous poetry of the Middle Ages is perhaps in advance of anything that Italy can produce. It is nevertheless certain that the singular neatness and cleanliness of some distinguished representatives of the Renaissance, especially in their behaviour at meals, was noticed expressly,⁷³³ and that 'German' was the synonym in Italy for all that is filthy.⁷³⁴ The dirty habits which Massimiliano Sforza picked up in the course of his German education, and the notice they attracted on his return to Italy, are recorded by Giovio.⁷³⁵ It is at the same time very curious that, at least in the fifteenth century, the inns and hotels were left chiefly in the hands of Germans,⁷³⁶ who probably, however, made their profit mostly out of the pilgrims journeying to Rome. Yet the statements on this point may refer rather to the country districts, since it is notorious that in the great cities Italian hotels held the first place.⁷³⁷ The want of decent inns in the country may also be explained by the general insecurity of life and property.

To the first half of the sixteenth century belongs the manual of politeness which Giovanni della Casa, a Florentine by birth, published under the title 'Il Galateo.' Not only cleanliness in the strict sense of the word, but the dropping of all the tricks and habits which we consider unbecoming, is here prescribed with the same unflinching tact with which the moralist discerns the highest ethical truths. In the literature of other countries the same lessons are taught, though less systematically; by the indirect influence of repulsive descriptions.⁷³⁸

Perfumes

Cleanliness
Pls. 372, 373

Pl. 46

The
'Galateo'
Pl. 231

and the art of
good manners

In other respects also, the 'Galateo' is a graceful and intelligent guide to good manners—a school of tact and delicacy. Even now it may be read with no small profit by people of all classes, and the politeness of European nations is not likely to outgrow its precepts. So far as tact is an affair of the heart, it has been inborn in some men from the dawn of civilization, and acquired through force of will by others; but the Italian first recognized it as a universal social duty and a mark of culture and education. And Italy itself had altered much in the course of two centuries. We feel at their close that the time for practical jokes between friends and acquaintances—for 'burle' and 'beffe' (p. 81 sqq.)—was over in good society,⁷³⁹ that the people had emerged from the walls of the cities and had learned a cosmopolitan politeness and consideration. We shall speak later on of the intercourse of society in the narrower sense.

Comfort

Outward life, indeed, in the fifteenth and the early part of the sixteenth centuries was polished and ennobled as among no other people in the world. A countless number of those small things and great things which combine to make up what we mean by comfort, we know to have first appeared in Italy. In the well-paved streets of the Italian cities,⁷⁴⁰ driving was universal, while elsewhere in Europe walking or riding was the custom, and at all events no one drove for amusement. We read in the novelists of soft, elastic beds, of costly carpets and bedroom furniture, of which we hear nothing in other countries.⁷⁴¹ We often hear especially of the abundance and beauty of the linen. Much of all this is drawn within the sphere of art. We note with admiration the thousand ways in which art ennobles luxury, not only adorning the massive sideboard or the light brackets with noble vases and clothing the walls with the moving splendour of tapestry, and covering the toilet-table with numberless graceful trifles, but absorbing whole branches of mechanical work—especially carpentering—into its province. All western Europe, as soon as its wealth enabled it to do so, set to work in the same way at the close of the Middle Ages. But its efforts produced either childish and fantastic toy-work, or were bound by the chains of a narrow and purely Gothic art, while the Renaissance moved freely, entering into the spirit of every task it undertook and working for a far larger circle of patrons and admirers than the northern artists. The rapid ry of Italian decorative art over northern in the course of the sixteenth century is due partly to this fact, though partly the result of wider and more general causes.

✽

Social speech

The higher forms of social intercourse, which here meet us as a work of art—as a conscious product and one of the highest products of national life—have no more important foundation and condition than language.

In the most flourishing period of the Middle Ages, the nobility of Western Europe had sought to establish a 'courtly' speech for social intercourse as well as for poetry. In Italy, too, where the dialects differed so greatly from one another, we find in the thirteenth century a so-called 'Curiale,' which was common to the courts and to the poets. It is of decisive importance for Italy that the attempt was there seriously and deliberately made to turn this into the language of literature and society. The introduction to the 'Cento Novelle Antiche,' which were put into their present shape before 1300, avows this object openly. Language is here considered apart from its uses in poetry; its highest function is clear, simple, intelligent utterance in short speeches, epigrams, and answers. This faculty was admired in Italy, as nowhere else but among the Greeks and Arabs: 'how many in the course of a long life have scarcely produced a single "bel parlare."'

But the matter was rendered more difficult by the diversity of the aspects under which it was considered. The writings of Dante transport us into the midst of the struggle. His work on 'the Italian language'⁷⁴² is not only of the utmost importance for the subject itself, but is also the first complete treatise on any modern language. His method and results belong to the history of linguistic science, in which they will always hold a high place. We must here content ourselves with the remark that long before the appearance of this book the subject must have been one of daily and pressing importance, that the various dialects of Italy had long been the objects of eager study and dispute, and that the birth of the one classical language was not accomplished without many throes.

Its
development

Nothing certainly contributed so much to this end as the great poem of Dante. The Tuscan dialect became the basis of the new national speech.⁷⁴³ If this assertion may seem to some to go too far, as foreigners we may be excused, in a matter on which much difference of opinion prevails, for following the general belief.

Literature and poetry probably lost more than they gained by the contentious purism which was long prevalent in Italy, and which marred the freshness and vigour of many an able writer. Others, again, who felt themselves masters of this magnificent language, were tempted to rely upon its harmony and flow, apart from the thought which it expressed. A very insignificant melody, played upon such an instrument, can produce a very great effect. But however this may be, it is certain that socially the language had great value. It was, as it were, the crown of a noble and dignified behaviour, and compelled the gentleman, both in his ordinary bearing and in exceptional moments to observe external propriety. No doubt this classical garment, like the language of Attic society, served to drape much that was foul and malicious; but it was also the adequate expression of all that is noblest and

and diffusion

most refined. But politically and nationally it was of supreme importance, serving as an ideal home for the educated classes in all the states of the divided peninsula.⁷⁴⁴ Nor was it the special property of the nobles or of any one class, but the poorest and humblest might learn it if they would. Even now—and perhaps more than ever—in those parts of Italy where, as a rule, the most unintelligible dialect prevails, the stranger is often astonished at hearing pure and well-spoken Italian from the mouths of peasants or artisans, and looks in vain for anything analogous in France or in Germany, where even the educated classes retain traces of a provincial speech. There are certainly a larger number of people able to read in Italy than we should be led to expect from the condition of many parts of the country—as for instance, the States of the Church—in other respects; but what is more important is the general and undisputed respect for pure language and pronunciation as something precious and sacred. One part of the country after another came to adopt the classical dialect officially. Venice, Milan, and Naples did so at the noontime of Italian literature, and partly through its influences. It was not till the present century that Piedmont became of its own free will a genuine Italian province by sharing in this chief treasure of the people—pure speech.⁷⁴⁵ The dialects were from the beginning of the sixteenth century purposely left to deal with a certain class of subjects, serious as well as comic,⁷⁴⁶ and the style which was thus developed proved equal to all its tasks. Among other nations a conscious separation of this kind did not occur till a much later period.

The Purists

The opinion of educated people as to the social value of language, is fully set forth in the 'Cortigiano.'⁷⁴⁷ There were then persons, at the beginning of the sixteenth century, who purposely kept to the antiquated expressions of Dante and the other Tuscan writers of his time, simply because they were old. Our author forbids the use of them altogether in speech, and is unwilling to permit them even in writing, which he considers a form of speech. Upon this follows the admission that the best style of speech is that which most resembles good writing. We can clearly recognize the author's feeling that people who have anything of importance to say must shape their own speech, and that language is something flexible and changing because it is something living. It is allowable to make use of any expression, however ornate, as long as it is used by the people; nor are non-Tuscan words, or even French and Spanish words forbidden, if custom has once applied them to definite purposes.⁷⁴⁸ Thus care and intelligence will produce a language, which, if not the pure old Tuscan, is still Italian, rich in flowers and fruit like a well-kept garden. It belongs to the completeness of the 'Cortigiano' that his wit, his polished manners, and his poetry, must be clothed in this perfect dress.

When style and language had once become the property of a living society,

all the efforts of purists and archaists failed to secure their end. Tuscany itself was rich in writers and talkers of the first order, who ignored and ridiculed these endeavours. Ridicule in abundance awaited the foreign scholar who explained to the Tuscans how little they understood their own language.⁷⁴⁹ The life and influence of a writer like Machiavelli was enough to sweep away all these cobwebs. His vigorous thoughts, his clear and simple mode of expression wore a form which had any merit but that of the 'Trecentisti.' And on the other hand there were too many North Italians, Romans, and Neapolitans, who were thankful if the demand for purity of style in literature and conversation was not pressed too far. They repudiated, indeed, the forms and idioms of their dialect; and Bandello, with what a foreigner might suspect to be false modesty, is never tired of declaring: 'I have no style; I do not write like a Florentine, but like a barbarian; I am not ambitious of giving new graces to my language; I am a Lombard, and from the Ligurian border into the bargain.'⁷⁵⁰ But the claims of the purists were most successfully met by the express renunciation of the higher qualities of style, and the adoption of a vigorous, popular language in their stead. Few could hope to rival Pietro Bembo who, though born in Venice, nevertheless wrote the purest Tuscan, which to him was a foreign language, or the Neapolitan Sannazaro, who did the same. But the essential point was that language, whether spoken or written, was held to be an object of respect. As long as this feeling was prevalent, the fanaticism of the purists—their linguistic congresses and the rest of it⁷⁵¹—did little harm. Their bad influence was not felt till much later, when the original power of Italian literature relaxed, and yielded to other and far worse influences. At last it became possible for the Accademia della Crusca to treat Italian like a dead language. But this association proved so helpless that it could not even hinder the invasion of Gallicism in the eighteenth century.

Their lack of
success

This language—loved, tended, and trained to every use—now served as the basis of social intercourse. In northern countries, the nobles and the princes passed their leisure either in solitude, or in hunting, fighting, drinking, and the like; the burghers in games and bodily exercises, with a mixture of literary or festive amusement. In Italy there existed a neutral ground, where people of every origin, if they had the needful talent and culture, spent their time in conversation and the polished interchange of jest and earnest. As eating and drinking formed a small part of such entertainments,⁷⁵² it was not difficult to keep at a distance those who sought society for these objects. If we are to take the writers of dialogues literally, the loftiest problems of human existence were not excluded from the conversation of thinking men, and the production of noble thoughts was not, as was commonly the case in the North, the work of solitude, but of society. But we must here limit

Conversation

ourselves to the less serious side of social intercourse—to the side which existed only for the sake of amusement.

Social
Etiquette
Pls. 352, 353

Pl. 407

This society, at all events at the beginning of the sixteenth century, was a matter of art; and had, and rested on, tacit or avowed rules of good sense and propriety, which are the exact reverse of all mere etiquette. In less polished circles, where society took the form of a permanent corporation, we meet with a system of formal rules and a prescribed mode of entrance, as was the case with those wild sets of Florentine artists of whom Vasari tells us that they were capable of giving representations of the best comedies of the day.⁷⁵³ In the easier intercourse of society it was not unusual to select some distinguished lady as president, whose word was law for the evening. Everybody knows the introduction to Boccaccio's 'Decameron,' and looks on the presidency of Pampinea as a graceful fiction. That it was so in this particular case is a matter of course; but the fiction was nevertheless based on a practice which often occurred in reality. Firenzuola, who nearly two centuries later (1523) prefaces his collection of tales in a similar manner, with express reference to Boccaccio, comes assuredly nearer to the truth when he puts into the mouth of the queen of the society a formal speech on the mode of spending the hours during the stay which the company proposed to make in the country. The day was to begin with a stroll among the hills passed in philosophical talk; then followed breakfast,⁷⁵⁴ with music and singing, after

Pl. 306

which came the recitation, in some cool, shady spot, of a new poem, the subject of which had been given the night before; in the evening the whole party walked to a spring of water where they all sat down and each one told a tale; last of all came supper and lively conversation 'of such a kind that the women might listen to it without shame and the men might not seem to be speaking under the influence of wine.' Bandello, in the introductions and dedications to single novels, does not give us, it is true, such inaugural discourses as this, since the circles before which the stories are told are represented as already formed; but he gives us to understand in other ways how rich, how manifold, and how charming the conditions of society must have been. Some readers may be of opinion that no good was to be got from a world which was willing to be amused by such immoral literature. It would be juster to wonder at the secure foundations of a society which, notwithstanding these tales, still observed the rules of order and decency, and which knew how to vary such pastimes with serious and solid discussion. The need of noble forms of social intercourse was felt to be stronger than all others. To convince ourselves of it, we are not obliged to take as our standard the idealized society which Castiglione depicts as discussing the loftiest sentiments and aims of human life at the court of Guidobaldo of Urbino, and Pietro Bembo at the castle of Asolo. The society described by Bandello, with all the

The Novelists
and their
listeners

frivolities which may be laid to its charge, enables us to form the best notion of the easy and polished dignity, of the urbane kindness, of the intellectual freedom, of the wit and the graceful dilettantism which distinguished these circles. A significant proof of the value of such circles lies in the fact that the women who were the centres of them could become famous and illustrious without in any way compromising their reputation. Among the patronesses of Bandello, for example, Isabella Gonzaga (born an Este, p. 24) was talked of unfavourably not through any fault of her own, but on account of the too free-lived young ladies who filled her court.⁷⁵⁵ Giulia Gonzaga Colonna, Ippolita Sforza married to a Bentivoglio, Bianca Rangona, Cecilia Gallerana, Camilla Scarampa, and others were either altogether irreproachable, or their social fame threw into the shade whatever they may have done amiss. The most famous woman of Italy, Vittoria Colonna (b. 1490, d. 1547), the friend of Castiglione and Michelangelo, enjoyed the reputation of a saint. It is hard to give such a picture of the unconstrained intercourse of these circles in the city, at the baths, or in the country, as will furnish literal proof of the superiority of Italy in this respect over the rest of Europe. But let us read Bandello,⁷⁵⁶ and then ask ourselves if anything of the same kind would have been then possible, say, in France, before this kind of society was there introduced by people like himself. No doubt the supreme achievements of the human mind were then produced independently of the help of the drawing-room. Yet it would be unjust to rate the influence of the latter on art and poetry too low, if only for the reason that society helped to shape that which existed in no other country—a widespread interest in artistic production and an intelligent and critical public opinion. And apart from this, society of the kind we have described was in itself a natural flower of that life and culture which then was purely Italian, and which since then has extended to the rest of Europe.

The great
ladies

In Florence society was powerfully affected by literature and politics. Lorenzo the Magnificent was supreme over his circle, not, as we might be led to believe, through the princely position which he occupied, but rather through the wonderful tact he displayed in giving perfect freedom of action to the many and varied natures which surrounded him.⁷⁵⁷ We see how gently he dealt with his great tutor Politian, and how the sovereignty of the poet and scholar was reconciled, though not without difficulty, with the inevitable reserve prescribed by the approaching change in the position of the house of Medici and by consideration for the sensitiveness of the wife. In return for the treatment he received, Politian became the herald and the living symbol of Medicean glory. Lorenzo, after the fashion of a true Medici, delighted in giving an outward and artistic expression to his social amusements. In his brilliant improvisation—the Hawking Party—he gives us a humorous

Florentine
Society

Pl. 227

Lorenzo
as describer
of his
entourage

description of his comrades, and in the Symposium a burlesque of them, but in both cases in such a manner that we clearly feel his capacity for more serious companionship.⁷⁵⁸ Of this intercourse his correspondence and the records of his literary and philosophical conversation give ample proof. Some of the social unions which were afterwards formed in Florence were in part political clubs, though not without a certain poetical and philosophical character also. Of this kind was the so-called Platonic Academy which met after Lorenzo's death in the gardens of the Rucellai.⁷⁵⁹

At the courts of the princes, society naturally depended on the character of the ruler. After the beginning of the sixteenth century they became few in number, and these few soon lost their importance. Rome, however, possessed in the unique court of Leo X a society to which the history of the world offers no parallel.

Education
of the
'Cortigiano'
Pl. 248

It was for this society—or rather for his own sake—that the 'Cortigiano,' as described to us by Castiglione, educated himself. He was the ideal man of society, and was regarded by the civilization of that age as its choicest flower; and the court existed for him far rather than he for the court. Indeed, such a man would have been out of place at any court, since he himself possessed all the gifts and the bearing of an accomplished ruler, and because his calm supremacy in all things, both outward and spiritual, implied a too independent nature. The inner impulse which inspired him was directed, though our author does not acknowledge the fact, not to the service of the prince, but to his own perfection. One instance will make this clear.⁷⁶⁰ In time of war the courtier refuses even useful and perilous tasks, if they are not beautiful and dignified in themselves, such as for instance the capture of a herd of cattle; what urges him to take part in war is not duty, but 'l'onore.' The moral relation to the prince, as prescribed in the fourth book, is singularly free and independent. The theory of well-bred love-making, set forth in the third book, is full of delicate psychological observation, which perhaps would be more in place in a treatise on human nature generally; and the magnificent praise of ideal love, which occurs at the end of the fourth book, and which rises to a lyrical elevation of feeling, has no connection whatever with the special object of the work. Yet here, as in the 'Asolani' of Bembo, the culture of the time shows itself in the delicacy with which this sentiment is represented and analysed. It is true that these writers are not in all cases to be taken literally; but that the discourses they give us were actually frequent in good society, cannot be doubted, and that it was no affectation, but genuine passion, which appeared in this dress, we shall see further on.

Love-making

Accomplish-
ments

Among outward accomplishments, the so-called knightly exercises were expected in thorough perfection from the courtier, and besides these much

that could only exist at courts highly organized and based on personal emulation, such as were not to be found out of Italy. Other points obviously rest on an abstract notion of individual perfection. The courtier must be at home in all noble sports, among them running, leaping, swimming, and wrestling; he must, above all things, be a good dancer and, as a matter of course, an accomplished rider. He must be master of several languages; at all events of Latin and Italian; he must be familiar with literature and have some knowledge of the fine arts. In music a certain practical skill was expected of him, which he was bound, nevertheless, to keep as secret as possible. All this is not to be taken too seriously, except what relates to the use of arms. The mutual interaction of these gifts and accomplishments results in the perfect man, in whom no one quality usurps the place of the rest.

So much is certain, that in the sixteenth century the Italians had all Europe for their pupils both theoretically and practically in every noble bodily exercise and in the habits and manners of good society. Their instructions and their illustrated books on riding, fencing, and dancing served as the model to other countries. Gymnastics as an art, apart both from military training and from mere amusement, was probably first taught by Vittorino da Feltre (p. 108) and after his time became essential to a complete education.⁷⁶¹ The important fact is that they were taught systematically, though what exercises were most in favour, and whether they resembled those now in use, we are unable to say. But we may infer, not only from the general character of the people, but from positive evidence which has been left for us, that not only strength and skill, but grace of movement was one of the main objects of physical training. It is enough to remind the reader of the great Frederick of Urbino (p. 25) directing the evening games of the young people committed to his care.

Bodily
exercises

The games and contests of the popular classes did not differ essentially from those which prevailed elsewhere in Europe. In the maritime cities boat-racing was among the number, and the Venetian regattas were famous at an early period.⁷⁶² The classical game of Italy was and is the ball; and this was probably played at the time of the Renaissance with more zeal and brilliancy than elsewhere. But on this point no distinct evidence is forthcoming.

Popular
games

Pl. 290

A few words on music will not be out of place in this part of our work.⁷⁶³ Musical composition down to the year 1500 was chiefly in the hands of the Flemish school, whose originality and artistic dexterity were greatly admired. Side by side with this, there nevertheless existed an Italian school, which probably stood nearer to our present taste. Half a century later came Palestrina, whose genius still works powerfully among us. We learn among other facts that he was a great innovator; but whether he or others took

Music
Pls. 301—308

the decisive part in shaping the musical language of the modern world lies beyond the judgement of the unprofessional critic. Leaving on one side the history of musical composition, we shall confine ourselves to the position which music held in the social life of the day.

Abundance
of
instruments

A fact most characteristic of the Renaissance and of Italy is the specialization of the orchestra, the search for new instruments and modes of sound, and, in close connection with this tendency, the formation of a class of 'virtuosi,' who devoted their whole attention to particular instruments or particular branches of music.

Virtuosi

Of the more complex instruments, which were perfected and widely diffused at a very early period, we find not only the organ, but a corresponding string-instrument, the 'gravicembalo' or 'clavicembalo.' Fragments of these, dating from the beginning of the fourteenth century, have come down to our own days, adorned with paintings from the hands of the greatest masters. Among other instruments the first place was held by the violin, which even then conferred great celebrity on the successful player. At the court of Leo X, who, when cardinal, had filled his house with singers and musicians, and who enjoyed the reputation of a critic and performer, the Jew Giovan Maria and Jacopo Sansecolo were among the most famous. The former received from Leo the title of count and a small town;⁷⁶⁴ the latter has been taken to be the Apollo in the Parnassus of Raphael. In the course of the sixteenth century, celebrities in every branch of music appeared in abundance, and Lomazzo (about the year 1580) names the then most distinguished masters of the art of singing, of the organ, the lute, the lyre, the 'viola da gamba,' the harp, the cithern, the horn, and the trumpet, and wishes that their portraits might be painted on the instruments themselves.⁷⁶⁵ Such many-sided comparative criticism would have been impossible anywhere but in Italy, although the same instruments were to be found in other countries.

The number and variety of these instruments is shown by the fact that collections of them were now made from curiosity. In Venice, which was one of the most musical cities of Italy,⁷⁶⁶ there were several such collections, and when a sufficient number of performers happened to be on the spot, a concert was at once improvised. In one of these museums there were a large number of instruments, made after ancient pictures and descriptions, but we are not told if anybody could play them, or how they sounded. It must not be forgotten that such instruments were often beautifully decorated, and could be arranged in a manner pleasing to the eye. We thus meet with them in collections of other rarities and works of art.

Amateurs

The players, apart from the professional performers, were either single amateurs, or whole orchestras of them, organized into a corporate Aca-

demy.⁷⁶⁷ Many artists in other branches were at home in music, and often masters of the art. People of position were averse to wind-instruments, for the same reason⁷⁶⁸ which made them distasteful to Alcibiades and Pallas Athene. In good society singing, either alone or accompanied with the violin, was usual; but quartettes of string-instruments were also common,⁷⁶⁹ and the 'clavicembalo' was liked on account of its varied effects. In singing the solo only was permitted, 'for a single voice is heard, enjoyed, and judged far better.' In other words, as singing, notwithstanding all conventional modesty, is an exhibition of the individual man of society, it is better that each should be seen and heard separately. The tender feelings produced in the fair listeners are taken for granted, and elderly people are therefore recommended to abstain from such forms of art, even though they excel in them. It was held important that the effect of the song should be enhanced by the impression made on the sight. We hear nothing, however, of the treatment in these circles of musical composition as an independent branch of art. On the other hand it happened sometimes that the subject of the song was some terrible event which had befallen the singer himself.⁷⁷⁰

This dilettantism, which pervaded the middle as well as the upper classes, was in Italy both more widely spread and more genuinely artistic than in any other country of Europe. Wherever we meet with a description of social intercourse, there music and singing are always and expressly mentioned. Hundreds of portraits show us men and women, often several together, playing or holding some musical instrument, and the angelic concerts represented in the ecclesiastical pictures prove how familiar the painters were with the living effects of music. We read of the lute-player Antonio Rota, at Padua (d. 1549), who became a rich man by his lessons, and published a handbook to the practice of the lute.⁷⁷¹

At a time when there was no opera to concentrate and monopolize musical talent, this general cultivation of the art must have been something wonderfully varied, intelligent, and original. It is another question how much we should find to satisfy us in these forms of music, could they now be reproduced for us.

✽

To understand the higher forms of social intercourse at this period, we must keep before our minds the fact that women stood on a footing of perfect equality with men. We must not suffer ourselves to be misled by the sophistical and often malicious talk about the assumed inferiority of the female sex, which we meet with now and then in the dialogues of this time, nor by such satires as the third of Ariosto,⁷⁷² who treats woman as a dangerous grown-up child, whom a man must learn how to manage, in

Equality of
men and
women

spite of the great gulf between them. There is, indeed, a certain amount of truth in what he says. Just because the educated woman was on a level with the man, that communion of mind and heart which comes from the sense of mutual dependance and completion, could not be developed in marriage at this time, as it has been developed later in the cultivated society of the North.

In Education,

The education given to women in the upper classes was essentially the same as that given to men. The Italian, at the time of the Renaissance, felt no scruple in putting sons and daughters alike under the same course of literary and even philological instruction (p. 112). Indeed, looking at this ancient culture as the chief treasure of life, he was glad that his girls should have a share in it. We have seen what perfection was attained by the daughters of princely houses in writing and speaking Latin (p. 119). Many others must at least have been able to read it, in order to follow the conversation of the day, which turned largely on classical subjects. An active interest was taken by many in Italian poetry, in which, whether prepared or improvised, a large number of Italian women, from the time of the Venetian Cassandra Fedele onwards (about the close of the fifteenth century), made themselves famous.⁷⁷³ One, indeed, Vittoria Colonna, may be called immortal. If any proof were needed of the assertion made above, it would be found in the manly tone of this poetry. Even the love-sonnets and religious poems are so precise and definite in their character, and so far removed from the tender twilight of sentiment, and from all the diletantism which we commonly find in the poetry of women, that we should not hesitate to attribute them to male authors, if we had not clear external evidence to prove the contrary.

Poetry,

and
Individuality

For, with education, the individuality of women in the upper classes was developed in the same way as that of men. Till the time of the Reformation, the personality of women out of Italy, even of the highest rank, comes forward but little. Exceptions like Isabella of Bavaria, Margaret of Anjou, and Isabella of Castille, are the forced result of very unusual circumstances. In Italy, throughout the whole of the fifteenth century, the wives of the rulers, and still more those of the Condottieri, have nearly all a distinct, recognizable personality, and take their share of notoriety and glory. To these came gradually to be added a crowd of famous women of the most varied kind (see Note 308); among them those whose distinction consisted in the fact that their beauty, disposition, education, virtue, and piety, combined to render them harmonious human beings.⁷⁷⁴ There was no question of 'woman's rights' or female emancipation, simply because the thing itself was a matter of course. The educated woman, no less than the man, strove naturally after a characteristic and complete individuality. The same in-

Complete
Personality

tellectual and emotional development which perfected the man, was demanded for the perfection of the woman. Active literary work, nevertheless, was not expected from her, and if she were a poet, some powerful utterance of feeling, rather than the confidences of the novel or the diary, was looked for. These women had no thought of the public;⁷⁷⁵ their function was to influence distinguished men, and to moderate male impulse and caprice.

The highest praise which could then be given to the great Italian women was that they had the mind and the courage of men. We have only to observe the thoroughly manly bearing of most of the women in the heroic poems, especially those of Boiardo and Ariosto, to convince ourselves that we have before us the ideal of the time. The title 'virago,' which is an equivocal compliment in the present day, then implied nothing but praise. It was borne in all its glory by Caterina Sforza, wife and afterwards widow of Girolamo Riario, whose hereditary possession, Forlì, she gallantly defended first against his murderers, and then against Cæsar Borgia. Though finally vanquished, she retained the admiration of her countrymen and the title 'prima donna d'Italia.'⁷⁷⁶ This heroic vein can be detected in many of the women of the Renaissance, though none found the same opportunity of showing their heroism to the world. In Isabella Gonzaga this type is clearly recognizable.

The Virago

Pls. 34, 52

Women of this stamp could listen to novels like those of Bandello, without social intercourse suffering from it. The ruling genius of society was not, as now, womanhood, or the respect for certain presuppositions, mysteries, and susceptibilities, but the consciousness of energy, of beauty, and of a social state full of danger and opportunity. And for this reason we find, side by side with the most measured and polished social forms, something our age would call immodesty,⁷⁷⁷ forgetting that by which it was corrected and counterbalanced—the powerful characters of the women who were exposed to it.

Women
in Society

That in all the dialogues and treatises together we can find no absolute evidence on these points is only natural, however freely the nature of love and the position and capacities of women were discussed.

What seems to have been wanting in this society were the young girls,⁷⁷⁸ who, even when not brought up in the monasteries, were still carefully kept away from it. It is not easy to say whether their absence was the cause of the greater freedom of conversation, or whether they were removed on account of it.

Even the intercourse with courtesans seems to have assumed a more elevated character, reminding us of the position of the Hetairæ in Classical Athens. The famous Roman courtesan Imperia was a woman of intelligence and culture, had learned from a certain Domenico Campana the art of

Education of
Courtesans
Pls. 319, 388

making sonnets, and was not without musical accomplishments.⁷⁷⁹ The beautiful Isabella de Luna, of Spanish extraction, who was reckoned amusing company, seems to have been an odd compound of a kind heart with a shockingly foul tongue, which latter sometimes brought her into trouble.⁷⁸⁰ At Milan, Bandello knew the majestic Caterina di San Celso,⁷⁸¹ who played and sang and recited superbly. It is clear from all we read on the subject that the distinguished people who visited these women, and from time to time lived with them, demanded from them a considerable degree of intelligence and instruction, and that the famous courtesans were treated with no slight respect and consideration. Even when relations with them were broken off, their good opinion was still desired,⁷⁸² which shows that departed passion had left permanent traces behind. But on the whole this intellectual intercourse is not worth mentioning by the side of that sanctioned by the recognized forms of social life, and the traces which it has left in poetry and literature are for the most part of a scandalous nature. We may well be astonished that among the 6,800 persons of this class, who were to be found in Rome in 1490⁷⁸³—that is, before the appearance of syphilis—scarcely a single woman seems to have been remarkable for any higher gifts. These whom we have mentioned all belong to the period which immediately followed. The mode of life, the morals and the philosophy of the public women, who with all their sensuality and greed were not always incapable of deeper passions, as well as the hypocrisy and devilish malice shown by some in their later years, are best set forth by Giraldi, in the novels which form the introduction to the 'Hecatomithi.' Pietro Aretino, in his 'Ragionamenti', gives us rather a picture of his own depraved character than of this unhappy class of women as they really were.

Mistresses
of Princes
Pl. 16

The mistresses of the princes, as has already been pointed out (p. 30), were sung by poets and painted by artists, and in consequence have been personally familiar to their contemporaries and to posterity. We hardly know more than the name of Alice Perries and of Clara Dettin, the mistress of Frederick the Victorious, and of Agnes Sorel have only a half-legendary story. With the monarchs of the age of the Renaissance—Francis I and Henry II—the case is different.

∴

Domestic
Life

After creating of the intercourse of society, let us glance for a moment at the domestic life of this period. We are commonly disposed to look on the family life of the Italians at this time as hopelessly ruined by the national immorality, and this side of the question will be more fully discussed in the sequel. For the moment we must content ourselves with pointing out that conjugal infidelity has by no means so disastrous an influence on family life in Italy as in the North, so long at least as certain limits are not overstepped.

The domestic life of the Middle Ages was a product of popular morals, or if we prefer to put it otherwise, a result of the inborn tendencies of national life, modified by the varied circumstances which affected them. Chivalry at the time of its splendour left domestic economy untouched. The knight wandered from court to court, and from one battlefield to another. His homage was given systematically to some other woman than his own wife, and things went how they might at home in the castle. The spirit of the Renaissance first brought order into domestic life, treating it as a work of deliberate contrivance. Intelligent economical views (p. 44), and a rational style of domestic architecture served to promote this end. But the chief cause of the change was the thoughtful study of all questions relating to social intercourse, to education, to domestic service and organization.

The most precious document on this subject is the treatise on the management of the home by Agnolo Pandolfini (L. B. Alberti).⁷⁸⁴ He represents a father speaking to his grown-up sons, and initiating them into his method of administration. We are introduced into a large and wealthy household, which, if governed with moderation and reasonable economy, promises happiness and prosperity for generations to come. A considerable landed estate, whose produce furnishes the table of the house, and serves as the basis of the family fortune, is combined with some industrial pursuit, such as the weaving of wool or silk. The dwelling is solid and the food good. All that has to do with the plan and arrangement of the house is great, durable and costly, but the daily life within it is as simple as possible. All other expenses, from the largest in which the family honour is at stake, down to the pocket-money of the younger sons, stand to one another in a rational, not a conventional relation. Nothing is considered of so much importance as education, which the head of the house gives not only to the children, but to the whole household. He first develops his wife from a shy girl, brought up in careful seclusion, to the true woman of the house, capable of commanding and guiding the servants. The sons are brought up without any undue severity,⁷⁸⁵ carefully watched and counselled, and controlled 'rather by authority than by force.' And finally the servants are chosen and treated on such principles that they gladly and faithfully hold by the family.

One feature of this book must be referred to, which is by no means peculiar to it, but which it treats with special warmth—the love of the educated Italian for country life. In northern countries the nobles lived in the country in their castles, and the monks of the higher orders in their well-guarded monasteries, while the wealthiest burghers dwelt from one year's end to another in the cities. But in Italy, so far as the neighbourhood of certain towns at all events was concerned,⁷⁸⁶ the security of life and property was so great, and the passion for a country residence was so strong, that men were

Pandolfini

Education

The Villa
Pls. 327, 328

willing to risk a loss in time of war. Thus arose the villa, the country-house of the well-to-do citizen. This precious inheritance of the old Roman world was thus revived, as soon as the wealth and culture of the people were sufficiently advanced.

Pandolfini finds at his villa a peace and happiness, for an account of which the reader must hear him speak himself. The economical side of the matter is that one and the same property must, if possible, contain everything—corn, wine, oil, pasture-land and woods, and that in such cases the property was paid for well, since nothing needed then to be got from the market. But the higher enjoyment derived from the villa is shown by some words of the introduction: ‘Round about Florence lie many villas in a transparent atmosphere, amid cheerful scenery, and with a splendid view; there is little fog, and no injurious winds; all is good, and the water pure and healthy. Of the numerous buildings many are like palaces, many like castles, costly and beautiful to behold.’ He is speaking of those unrivalled villas, of which the greater number were sacrificed, though vainly, by the Florentines themselves in the defence of their city in the year 1529.

Atmosphere
of country
life

In these villas, as in those on the Brenta, on the Lombard hills, at Posillipo and on the Vomero, social life assumed a freer and more rural character than in the palaces within the city. We meet with charming descriptions of the intercourse of the guests, the hunting-parties, and all the open-air pursuits and amusements. But the noblest achievements of poetry and thought are sometimes also dated from these scenes of rural peace.

*

Festivals

It is by no arbitrary choice that in discussing the social life of this period, we are led to treat of the processions and shows which formed part of the popular festivals. The artistic power of which the Italians of the Renaissance gave proof on such occasions,⁷⁸⁷ was attained only by means of that free intercourse of all classes which formed the basis of Italian society. In Northern Europe the monasteries, the courts, and the burghers had their special feasts and shows as in Italy; but in the one case the form and substance of these displays differed according to the class which took part in them, in the other an art and culture common to the whole nation stamped them with both a higher and a more popular character. The decorative architecture, which served to aid in these festivals, deserves a chapter to itself in the history of art, although our imagination can only form a picture of it from the descriptions which have been left to us. We are here more especially concerned with the festival as a higher phase in the life of the people, in which its religious, moral, and poetical ideas took visible shape. The Italian festivals in their best form mark the point of transition from real life into the world of art.

The two chief forms of festal display were originally here, as elsewhere in the West, the Mystery, or the dramatization of sacred history and legend, and the Procession, the motive and character of which was also purely ecclesiastical.

Their basic forms
Pls. 112, 166,
167, 298, 299

The performances of the Mysteries in Italy were from the first more frequent and splendid than elsewhere, and were most favourably affected by the progress of poetry and of the other arts. In the course of time not only did the farce and the secular drama branch off from the Mystery, as in other countries of Europe, but the pantomime also, with its accompaniments of singing and dancing, the effect of which depended on the richness and beauty of the spectacle.

The Procession, in the broad, level, and well-paved streets of the Italian cities,⁷⁸⁸ was soon developed into the 'Trionfo,' or train of masked figures on foot and in chariots, the ecclesiastical character of which gradually gave way to the secular. The processions at the Carnival and at the feast of Corpus Christi were alike in the pomp and brilliancy with which they were conducted, and set the pattern afterwards followed by the royal or princely progresses. Other nations were willing to spend vast sums of money on these shows, but in Italy alone do we find an artistic method of treatment which arranged the processions as a harmonious and significative whole.

Pls. 274—288

What is left of these festivals is but a poor remnant of what once existed. Both religious and secular displays of this kind have abandoned the dramatic element—the costumes—partly from dread of ridicule, and partly because the cultivated classes, who formerly gave their whole energies to these things, have for several reasons lost their interest in them. Even at the Carnival, the great processions of masks are out of fashion. What still remains, such as the costumes adopted in imitation of certain religious confraternities, or even the brilliant festival of Santa Rosalia at Palermo, shows clearly how far the higher culture of the country has withdrawn from such interests.

Modern remnants

Pl. 364

The festivals did not reach their full development till after the decisive victory of the modern spirit in the fifteenth century,⁷⁸⁹ unless perhaps Florence was here, as in other things, in advance of the rest of Italy. In Florence, the several quarters of the city were, in early times, organized with a view to such exhibitions, which demanded no small expenditure of artistic effort. Of this kind was the representation of Hell, with a scaffold and boats in the Arno, on the 1st of May, 1304, when the Ponte alla Carraia broke down under the weight of the spectators.⁷⁹⁰ That at a later time Florentines used to travel through Italy as directors of festivals (*festaiuoli*), shows that the art was early perfected at home.⁷⁹¹

In setting forth the chief points of superiority in the Italian festivals over those of other countries, the first that we shall have to remark is the de-

Superiority of Italian festivals

veloped sense of individual characteristics, in other words, the capacity to invent a given mask, and to act the part with dramatic propriety. Painters and sculptors not merely did their part towards the decoration of the place where the festival was held, but helped in getting up the characters themselves, and prescribed the dress, the paints (p. 192), and the other ornaments to be used. The second fact to be pointed out is the universal familiarity of the people with the poetical basis of the show. The Mysteries, indeed, were equally well understood all over Europe, since the biblical story and the legends of the saints were the common property of Christendom; but in all other respects the advantage was on the side of Italy. For the recitations, whether of religious or secular heroes, she possessed a lyrical poetry so rich and harmonious that none could resist its charm.⁷⁹² The majority, too, of the spectators—at least in the cities—understood the meaning of mythological figures, and could guess without much difficulty at the allegorical and historical, which were drawn from sources familiar to the mass of Italians.

Allegory in
Literature
and Art

This point needs to be more fully discussed. The Middle Ages were essentially the ages of allegory. Theology and philosophy treated their categories as independent beings,⁷⁹³ and poetry and art had but little to add, in order to give them personality. Here all the countries of the West were on the same level. Their world of ideas was rich enough in types and figures, but when these were put into concrete shape, the costume and attributes were likely to be unintelligible and unsuited to the popular taste. This, even in Italy, was often the case, and not only so during the whole period of the Renaissance, but down to a still later time. To produce the confusion, it was enough if a predicate of the allegorical figures was wrongly translated by an attribute. Even Dante is not wholly free from such errors,⁷⁹⁴ and, indeed, he prides himself on the obscurity of his allegories in general.⁷⁹⁵ Petrarch, in his 'Trionfi,' attempts to give clear, if short, descriptions of at all events the figures of Love, of Chastity, of Death, and of Fame. Others again load their allegories with inappropriate attributes. In the Satires of Vinciguerra,⁷⁹⁶ for example, Envy is depicted with rough, iron teeth, Gluttony as biting its own lips, and with a shock of tangled hair, the latter probably to show its indifference to all that is not meat and drink. We cannot here discuss the bad influence of these misunderstandings on the plastic arts. They, like poetry, might think themselves fortunate if allegory could be expressed by a mythological figure—by a figure which antiquity saved from absurdity—if Mars might stand for war, and Diana⁷⁹⁷ for the love of the chase.

Allegory in
the Festivals

Nevertheless art and poetry had better allegories than these to offer, and we may assume with regard to such figures of this kind as appeared in the Italian festivals, that the public required them to be clearly and vividly characteristic, since its previous training had fitted it to be a competent

critic. Elsewhere, particularly at the Burgundian court, the most inexpressive figures, and even mere symbols, were allowed to pass, since to understand, or to seem to understand them, was a part of aristocratic breeding. On the occasion of the famous 'Oath of the Pheasant' in the year 1453,⁷⁹⁸ the beautiful young horsewoman, who appears as 'Queen of Pleasure,' is the only pleasing allegory. The huge dishes, with automatic or even living figures within them, are either mere curiosities or are intended to convey some clumsy moral lesson. A naked female statue guarding a live lion was supposed to represent Constantinople and its future saviour, the Duke of Burgundy. The rest, with the exception of a Pantomime—Jason in Colchis—seems either too recondite to be understood or to have no sense at all. Olivier himself, to whom we owe the description of the scene, appeared costumed as 'The Church,' in a tower on the back of an elephant, and sang a long elegy on the victory of the unbelievers.⁷⁹⁹

But although the allegorical element in the poetry, the art, and the festivals of Italy is superior both in good taste and in unity of conception to what we find in other countries, yet it is not in these qualities that it is most characteristic and unique. The decisive point of superiority⁸⁰⁰ lay rather in the fact, that besides the personifications of abstract qualities, historical representatives of them were introduced in great number—that both poetry and plastic art were accustomed to represent famous men and women. The 'Divine Comedy,' the 'Trionfi' of Petrarch, the 'Amorosa Visione' of Boccaccio—all of them works constructed on this principle—and the great diffusion of culture which took place under the influence of antiquity, had made the nation familiar with this historical element. These figures now appeared at festivals, either individualized, as definite masks, or in groups, as characteristic attendants on some leading allegorical figure. The art of grouping and composition was thus learnt in Italy at a time when the most splendid exhibitions in other countries were made up of unintelligible symbolism or unmeaning puerilities.

Let us begin with that kind of festival which is perhaps the oldest of all—the Mysteries.⁸⁰¹ They resembled in their main features those performed in the rest of Europe. In the public squares, in the churches and in the cloisters, extensive scaffolds were constructed, the upper story of which served as a Paradise to open and shut at will, and the ground-floor often as a Hell, while between the two lay the stage properly so called, representing the scene of all the earthly events of the drama. In Italy, as elsewhere, the biblical or legendary play often began with an introductory dialogue between Apostles, Prophets, Sibyls, Virtues, and Fathers of the Church, and sometimes ended with a dance. As a matter of course the half-comic 'Intermezzi' of secondary characters were not wanting in Italy, yet this feature was hardly

Historical
elements

The
Mysteries.

so broadly marked as in northern countries.⁸⁰² The artificial means by which figures were made to rise and float in the air—one of the chief delights of these representations—were probably much better understood in Italy than elsewhere; and at Florence in the fourteenth century the litches in these performances were a stock subject of ridicule.⁸⁰³ Soon after Brunellesco invented for the Feast of the Annunciation in the Piazza San Felice a marvellous apparatus consisting of a heavenly globe surrounded by two circles of angels, out of which Gabriel flew down in a machine shaped like an almond. Cecca, too, devised the mechanism for such displays.⁸⁰⁴ The spiritual corporations or the quarters of the city which undertook the charge and in part the performance of these plays spared, at all events in the larger towns, no trouble and expense to render them as perfect and artistic as possible. The same was no doubt the case at the great court festivals, when Mysteries were acted as well as pantomimes and secular dramas. The court of Pietro Riario (p. 57) and that of Ferrara were assuredly not wanting in all that human invention could produce.⁸⁰⁵ When we picture to ourselves the theatrical talent and the splendid costumes of the actors, the scenes constructed in the style of the architecture of the period, and hung with garlands and tapestry, and in the background the noble buildings of an Italian piazza, or the slender columns of some great courtyard or cloister, the effect is one of great brilliance. But just as the secular drama suffered from this passion for display, so the higher poetical development of the Mystery was arrested by the same cause. In the texts which are left we find for the most part the poorest dramatic groundwork, relieved now and then by a fine lyrical or rhetorical passage, but no trace of the grand symbolic enthusiasm which distinguishes the 'Autos Sagramentales' of Calderón.

In the smaller towns, where the scenic display was less, the effect of these spiritual plays on the character of the spectators may have been greater. We read⁸⁰⁶ that one of the great preachers of repentance of whom more will be said later on, Roberto da Lecce, closed his Lenten sermons during the plague of 1448, at Perugia, with a representation of the Passion. The piece followed the New Testament closely. The actors were few, but the whole people wept aloud. It is true that on such occasions emotional stimulants were resorted to which were borrowed from the crudest realism. We are reminded of the pictures of Matteo da Siena, or of the groups of clay-figures by Guido Mazzoni, when we read that the actor who took the part of Christ appeared covered with weals and apparently sweating blood, and even bleeding from a wound in the side.⁸⁰⁷

The special occasions on which these mysteries were performed, apart from the great festivals of the Church, from princely weddings, and the like, were of various kinds. When, for example, St. Bernardino of Siena was

and their
performance

*Pls. 291,
295—297*

Occasions for
Mysteries
Pls. 106, 173

canonized by the Pope (1450), a sort of dramatic imitation of the ceremony took place (*rappresentazione*), probably on the great square of his native city, and for two days there was feasting with meat and drink for all comers.⁸⁰⁸ We are told that a learned monk celebrated his promotion to the degree of Doctor of Theology, by giving a representation of the legend about the patron saint of the city.⁸⁰⁹ Charles VIII had scarcely entered Italy before he was welcomed at Turin by the widowed Duchess Bianca of Savoy with a sort of half-religious pantomime,⁸¹⁰ in which a pastoral scene first symbolized the Law of Nature, and then a procession of patriarchs the Law of Grace. Afterwards followed the story of Lancelot of the Lake, and that 'of Athens.' And no sooner had the King reached Chieri, than he was received with another pantomime, in which a woman in childbed was shown, surrounded by distinguished visitors.

If any church festival was held by universal consent to call for exceptional efforts, it was the feast of Corpus Christi, which in Spain (p. 163) gave rise to a special class of poetry. We possess a splendid description of the manner in which that feast was celebrated at Viterbo by Pius II in 1482.⁸¹¹ The procession itself, which advanced from a vast and gorgeous tent in front of San Francesco along the main street to the Cathedral, was the least part of the ceremony. The cardinals and wealthy prelates had divided the whole distance into parts, over which they severally presided, and which they decorated with curtains, tapestry, and garlands.⁸¹² Each of them had also erected a stage of his own, on which, as the procession passed by, short historical and allegorical scenes were represented. It is not clear from the account whether all the characters were living beings or some merely draped figures;⁸¹³ the expense was certainly very great. There was a suffering Christ amid singing cherubs, the Last Supper with a figure of St. Thomas Aquinas, the combat between the Archangel Michael and the devils, fountains of wine and orchestras of angels, the grave of Christ with all the scene of the Resurrection, and finally, on the square before the Cathedral, the tomb of the Virgin. It opened after High Mass and the benediction, and the Mother of God ascended singing to Paradise, where she was crowned by her Son, and led into the presence of the Eternal Father.

Among these representations in the public street, that given by the Cardinal Vice-Chancellor Roderigo Borgia, afterwards Pope Alexander VI, was remarkable for its splendour and obscure symbolism.⁸¹⁴ It offers an early instance of the fondness for salvos of artillery⁸¹⁵ which was characteristic of the house of Borgia.

The account is briefer which Pius II gives us of the procession held the same year in Rome on the arrival of the skull of St. Andrew from Greece. There, too, Roderigo Borgia distinguished himself by his magnificence; but

Corpus
Christi

Pl. 104

Pl. 298

Salvos of
Artillery

this festival had a more secular character than the other, as, besides the customary choirs of angels, other masks were exhibited, as well as 'strong men,' who seemed to have performed various feats of muscular prowess.

Secular
Representations

Such representations as were wholly or chiefly secular in their character were arranged, especially at the more important princely courts, mainly with a view to splendid and striking scenic effects. The subjects were mythological or allegorical, and the interpretation commonly lay on the surface. Extravagancies, indeed, were not wanting—gigantic animals from which a crowd of masked figures suddenly emerged, as at Siena⁸¹⁶ in the year 1465, when at a public reception a ballet of twelve persons came out of a golden wolf; living table ornaments, not always, however, showing the tasteless exaggeration of the Burgundian Court (p. 211)—and the like. Most of them showed some artistic or poetical feeling. The mixture of pantomime and the drama at the Court of Ferrara has been already referred to in the treating of poetry (p. 164). The entertainments given in 1473 by the Cardinal Pietro Riario at Rome when Leonora of Aragon, the destined bride of Prince Hercules of Ferrara, was passing through the city, were famous far beyond the limits of Italy.⁸¹⁷ The plays acted were mysteries on some ecclesiastical subject, the pantomimes, on the contrary, were mythological. There were represented Orpheus with the beasts, Perseus and Andromeda, Ceres drawn by dragons, Bacchus and Ariadne by panthers, and finally the education of Achilles. Then followed a ballet of the famous lovers of ancient times, with a troop of nymphs, which was interrupted by an attack of predatory centaurs, who in their turn were vanquished and put to flight by Hercules. The fact, in itself a trifle, may be mentioned, as characteristic of the taste of the time, that the human beings who at all the festivals appeared as statues in niches or on pillars and triumphal arches, and then showed themselves to be alive by singing or speaking, wore their natural complexion and a natural costume, and thus the sense of incongruity was removed; while in the house of Riario there was exhibited a living child, gilt from head to foot, who showered water round him from a spring.⁸¹⁸

Cardinal
Riario

At Bologna
Pl. 73

Brilliant pantomimes of the same kind were given at Bologna, at the marriage of Annibale Bentivoglio with Lucrezia of Este.⁸¹⁹ Instead of the orchestra, choral songs were sung, while the fairest of Diana's nymphs flew over to the Juno Pronuba, and while Venus walked with a lion—which in this case was a disguised man—among a troop of savages. The decorations were a faithful representation of a forest. At Venice, in 1491, the princesses of the house of Este⁸²⁰ were met and welcomed by the Bucentaur, and entertained by boat-races and a splendid pantomime, called 'Meleager,' in the court of the ducal palace. At Milan Leonardo da Vinci⁸²¹ directed the festivals of the Duke and of some leading citizens. One of his machines, which must

Leonardo's
festivals

have rivalled that of Brunellesco (p. 212), represented the heavenly bodies with all their movements on a colossal scale. Whenever a planet approached Isabella, the bride of the young Duke, the divinity whose name it bore stepped forth from the globe,⁸²² and sang some verses written by the court-poet Bellincioni (1489). At another festival (1493) the model of the equestrian statue of Francesco Sforza appeared with other objects under a triumphal arch on the square before the castle. We read in Vasari of the ingenious automata which Leonardo invented to welcome the French kings as masters of Milan. Even in the smaller cities great efforts were sometimes made on these occasions. When Duke Borso came in 1453 to Reggio⁸²³ to receive the homage of the city, he was met at the gate by a great machine, on which St. Prospero, the patron saint of the town, appeared to float, shaded by a baldachin held by angels, while below him was a revolving disk with eight singing cherubs, two of whom received from the saint the sceptre and keys of the city, which they then delivered to the Duke, while saints and angels held forth in his praise. A chariot drawn by concealed horses now advanced, bearing an empty throne, behind which stood a figure of Justice attended by a genius. At the corners of the chariot sat four grey-headed lawgivers, encircled by angels with banners; by its side rode standard-bearers in complete armour. It need hardly be added that the goddess and the genius did not suffer the Duke to pass by without an address. A second car, drawn by a unicorn, bore a Caritas with a burning torch; between the two came the classical spectacle of a car in the form of a ship, moved by men concealed within it. The whole procession now advanced before the Duke. In front of the Church of St. Pietro, a halt was again made. The saint, attended by two angels, descended in an aureole from the façade, placed a wreath of laurel on the head of the Duke, and then floated back to his former position.⁸²⁴ The clergy provided another allegory of a purely religious kind. Idolatry and Faith stood on two lofty pillars, and after Faith, represented by a beautiful girl, had uttered her welcome, the other column fell to pieces with the lay figure upon it. Further on, Borso was met by Cæsar with seven beautiful women, who were presented to him as the seven Virtues which he was exhorted to pursue. At last the Cathedral was reached, but after the service the Duke again took his seat on a lofty golden throne, and a second time received the homage of some of the masks already mentioned. To conclude all, three angels flew down from an adjacent building, and, amid songs of joy, delivered to him branches of palm, as symbols of peace.

Let us now give a glance at those festivals the chief feature of which was the procession itself.

There is no doubt that from an early period of the Middle Ages the religious processions gave rise to the use of masks. Little angels accompanied

Pls. 357, 358

Pl. 63

Reception of
a new Prince

Pls. 286—288

Processions

the sacrament or the sacred pictures and reliques on their way through the streets; or characters in the Passion—such as Christ with the cross, the thieves and the soldiers, or the faithful women—were represented for public edification. But the great feasts of the Church were from an early time accompanied by a civic procession, and the naïveté of the Middle Ages found nothing unfitting in the many secular elements which it contained. We may mention especially the naval car (*carrus navalis*), which had been inherited from pagan times,⁸²⁵ and which, as an instance already quoted shows, was admissible at festivals of very various kinds, and is associated with one of them in particular—the Carnival. Such ships, decorated with all possible splendour, delighted the eyes of spectators long after the original meaning of them was forgotten. When Isabella of England met her bridegroom, the Emperor Frederick II, at Cologne, she was met by a number of such chariots, drawn by invisible horses, and filled with a crowd of priests who welcomed her with music and singing.

But the religious processions were not only mingled with secular accessories of all kinds, but were often replaced by processions of clerical masks. Their origin is perhaps to be found in the parties of actors who wound their way through the streets of the city to the place where they were about to act the mystery; but it is possible that at an early period the clerical procession may have constituted itself as a distinct species. Dante⁸²⁶ describes the 'Trionfo' of Beatrice, with the twenty-four Elders of the Apocalypse, with the four mystical Beasts, with the three Christian and four Cardinal Virtues, and with Saint Luke, Saint Paul, and other Apostles, in a way which almost forces us to conclude that such processions actually occurred before his time. We are chiefly led to this conclusion by the chariot in which Beatrice drives, and which in the miraculous forest of the vision would have been unnecessary or rather out of place. It is possible, on the other hand, that Dante looked on the chariot as a symbol of victory and triumph, and that his poem rather served to give rise to these processions, the form of which was borrowed from the triumph of the Roman Emperors. However this may be, poetry and theology continued to make free use of the symbol. Savonarola⁸²⁷ in his 'Triumph of the Cross' represents Christ on a Chariot of Victory, above his head the shining sphere of the Trinity, in his left hand the Cross, in his right the Old and New Testaments; below him the Virgin Mary; on both sides the Martyrs and Doctors of the Church with open books; behind him all the multitude of the saved; and in the distance the countless host of his enemies—emperors, princes, philosophers, heretics—all vanquished, their idols broken, and their books burned. A great picture of Titian, which is known only as a woodcut, has a good deal in common with this description. The ninth and tenth of Sabellico's (p. 35) thirteen Elegies on the Mother

Transformation into
Trionfi
Pls. 57, 58

of God contain a minute account of her triumph, richly adorned with allegories, and especially interesting from that matter-of-fact air which also characterizes the realistic painting of the fifteenth century.

Nevertheless, the secular 'Trionfi' were far more frequent than the religious. They were modelled on the procession of the Roman Emperor, as it was known from the old reliefs and from the writings of ancient authors. The historical conceptions then prevalent in Italy, with which these shows were closely connected, have been already discussed (p. 75).

We now and then read of the actual triumphal entrance of a victorious general, which was organized as far as possible on the ancient pattern, even against the will of the hero himself. Francesco Sforza had the courage (1450) to refuse the triumphal chariot which had been prepared for his return to Milan, on the ground that such things were monarchical superstitions.⁸²⁸ Alfonso the Great, on his entrance into Naples (1443), declined the wreath of laurel,⁸²⁹ which Napoleon did not disdain to wear at his coronation in Notre-Dame. For the rest, Alfonso's procession, which passed by a breach in the wall through the city to the cathedral, was a strange mixture of antique, allegorical, and purely comic elements. The car, drawn by four white horses, on which he sat enthroned, was lofty and covered with gilding; twenty patricians carried the poles of the canopy of cloth of gold which shaded his head. The part of the procession which the Florentines then present in Naples had undertaken was composed of elegant young cavaliers, skilfully brandishing their lances, of a chariot with the figure of Fortune, and of seven Virtues on horseback. The goddess herself,⁸³⁰ in accordance with the inexorable logic of allegory to which even the painters at that time conformed, wore hair only on the front part of her head, while the back part was bald, and the genius who sat on the lower steps of the car, and who symbolized the fugitive character of fortune, had his feet immersed (?) in a basin of water. Then followed, equipped by the same Florentines, a troop of horsemen in the costumes of various nations, dressed as foreign princes and nobles, and then, crowned with laurel and standing above a revolving globe, a Julius Cæsar,⁸³¹ who explained to the king in Italian verse the meaning of the allegories, and then took his place in the procession. Sixty Florentines, all in purple and scarlet, closed this splendid display of what their home could achieve. Then a band of Catalans advanced on foot, with lay figures of horses fastened on to them before and behind, and engaged in a mock combat with a body of Turks, as though in derision of the Florentine sentimentalism. Last of all came a gigantic tower, the door of which was guarded by an angel with a drawn sword; on it stood four Virtues, who each addressed the king with a song. The rest of the show had nothing specially characteristic about it.

At the entrance of Louis XII into Milan in the year 1507⁸³² we find, besides

Secular
Trionfi

Alfonso's
Entry into
Naples
Pl. 274

Pls. 282, 283.

the inevitable chariot with Virtues, a living group representing Jupiter, Mars, and a figure of Italy caught in a net. After which came a car laden with trophies, and so forth.

Triumphal
processions
in poetry

And when there were in reality no triumphs to celebrate, the poets found a compensation for themselves and their patrons. Petrarch and Boccaccio had described the representation of every sort of fame as attendants each of an allegorical figure (p. 211); the celebrities of past ages were now made attendants of the prince. The poetess Cleofe Gabrielli of Gubbio paid this honour to Borso of Ferrara.⁸³³ She gave him seven queens—the seven liberal arts—as his handmaids, with whom he mounted a chariot; further, a crowd of heroes, distinguished by names written on their foreheads; then followed all the famous poets; and after them the gods driving in their chariots. There is, in fact, at this time simply no end to the mythological and allegorical charioteering, and the most important work of art of Borso's time—the frescoes in the Palazzo Schifanoia—shows us a whole frieze filled with these motives.⁸³⁴ Raphael, when he had to paint the Camera della Segnatura, found this mode of artistic thought completely vulgarized and worn out. The new and final consecration which he gave to it will remain a wonder to all ages.

Pls. 286—288

Triumphs of
famous
Romans

The triumphal processions, strictly speaking, of victorious generals, formed the exception. But all the festive processions, whether they celebrated any special event or were mainly held for their own sakes, assumed more or less the character and nearly always the name of a 'Trionfo.' It is a wonder that funerals were not also treated in the same way.⁸³⁵

It was the practice, both at the Carnival and on other occasions, to represent the triumphs of ancient Roman commanders, such as that of Paulus Æmilius under Lorenzo the Magnificent at Florence, and that of Camillus on the visit of Leo X. Both were conducted by the painter Francesco Granacci.⁸³⁶ In Rome, the first complete exhibition of this kind was the triumph of Augustus after the victory over Cleopatra,⁸³⁷ under Paul II, where, besides the comic and mythological masks, which, as a matter of fact, were not wanting in the ancient triumphs, all the other requisites were to be found—kings in chains, tablets with decrees of the senate and people, a senate clothed in the ancient costume, praetors, aediles, and quaestors, four chariots filled with singing masks, and, doubtless, cars laden with trophies. Other processions rather aimed at setting forth, in a general way, the universal empire of ancient Rome; and in answer to the very real danger which threatened Europe from the side of the Turks, a cavalcade of camels bearing masks representing Ottoman prisoners, appeared before the people. Later, at the Carnival of the year 1500, Cæsar Borgia, with a bold allusion to himself, celebrated the triumph of Julius Cæsar, with a procession of eleven magnificent chariots,⁸³⁸ doubtless to the scandal of the pilgrims who had

come for the Jubilee (p. 63). Two 'Trionfi,' famous for their taste and beauty, were given by rival companies in Florence, on the election of Leo X to the Papacy.⁸³⁹ One of them represented the three Ages of Man, the other the Ages of the World, ingeniously set forth in five scenes of Roman history, and in two allegories of the golden age of Saturn and of its final return. The imagination displayed in the adornment of the chariots, when the great Florentine artists undertook the work, made the scene so impressive that such representations became in time a permanent element in the popular life. Hitherto the subject cities had been satisfied merely to present their symbolical gifts—costly stuffs and wax-candles—on the day when they annually did homage. The guild of merchants now built ten chariots, to which others were afterwards to be added, not so much to carry as to symbolize the tribute, and Andrea del Sarto, who painted some of them, no doubt did his work to perfection.⁸⁴⁰ These cars, whether used to hold tribute or trophies, now formed a part of all such celebrations, even when there was not much money to be laid out. The Sienese announced, in 1477, the alliance between Ferrante and Sixtus IV, with which they themselves were associated, by driving a chariot round the city, with 'one clad as the goddess of peace standing on a hauberk and other arms.'⁸⁴¹

Trionfi in
the broader
sense

Pl. 104

At the Venetian festivals the processions, not on land but on water, were marvellous in their fantastic splendour. The sailing of the Bucentaur to meet the Princesses of Ferrara in the year 1491 (p. 214) seems to have been something belonging to fairyland.⁸⁴² Countless vessels with garlands and hangings, filled with the richly-dressed youth of the city, moved in front; genii with attributes symbolizing the various gods, floated on machines hung in the air; below stood others grouped as tritons and nymphs; the air was filled with music, sweet odours, and the fluttering of embroidered banners. The Bucentaur was followed by such a crowd of boats of every sort that for a mile all round (*octo stadia*) the water could not be seen. With regard to the rest of the festivities, besides the pantomime mentioned above, we may notice as something new, a boat-race of fifty powerful girls. In the sixteenth century,⁸⁴³ the nobility were divided into corporations with a view to these festivals, whose most noteworthy feature was some extraordinary machine placed on a ship. So, for instance, in the year 1541, at the festival of the 'Sempiterni,' a round 'universe' floated along the Grand Canal, and a splendid ball was given inside it. The Carnival, too, in this city was famous for its dances, processions, and exhibitions of every kind. The Square of St. Mark was found to give space enough not only for tournaments (Note 762), but for 'Trionfi,' similar to those common on the mainland. At a festival held on the conclusion of peace,⁸⁴⁴ the pious brotherhoods ('scuole') took each its part in the procession. There, among golden chandeliers with red

Aquatic
Festivals

Political
Festivals

candles, among crowds of musicians and winged boys with golden bowls and horns of plenty, was seen a car on which Noah and David sat together enthroned; then came Abigail, leading a camel laden with treasures, and a second car with a group of political figures—Italy sitting between Venice and Liguria—and on a raised step three female symbolical figures with the arms of the allied princes. This was followed by a great globe with the constellations, as it seems, round it. The princes themselves, or rather their bodily representatives, appeared on other chariots with their servants and their coats of arms, if we have rightly interpreted our author.

The Carnival
in Rome
Pl. 289

The Carnival, properly so called, apart from these great triumphal marches, had nowhere, perhaps, in the fifteenth century, so varied a character as in Rome.⁸⁴⁵ There were races of every kind—of horses, asses, buffaloes, old men, young men, Jews, and so on. Paul II entertained the people in crowds before the Palazzo di Venezia, in which he lived. The games in the Piazza Navona, which had probably never altogether ceased since the classical times, were remarkable for their warlike splendour. We read of a sham fight of cavalry, and a review of all the citizens in arms. The greatest freedom existed with regard to the use of masks, which were sometimes allowed for several months together.⁸⁴⁶ Sixtus IV ventured, in the most populous part of the city—at the Campofiore and near the Banchi—to make his way through crowds of masks, though he declined to receive them as visitors in the Vatican. Under Innocent VIII, a discreditable usage, which had already appeared among the Cardinals, attained its height. In the Carnival of 1491, they sent one another chariots full of splendid masks, of singers, and of buffoons, chanting scandalous verses. They were accompanied by men on horseback. Apart from the Carnival, the Romans seem to have been the first to discover the effect of a great procession by torchlight. When Pius II came back from the Congress of Mantua in 1459,⁸⁴⁷ the people waited on him with a squadron of horsemen bearing torches, who rode in shining circles before his palace. Sixtus IV, however, thought it better to decline a nocturnal visit of the people, who proposed to wait on him with torches and olive-branches.⁸⁴⁸

Torchlight
Processions

The Carnival
in Florence

But the Florentine Carnival surpassed the Roman in a certain class of processions, which have left their mark even in literature.⁸⁴⁹ Among a crowd of masks on foot and on horseback appeared some huge, fantastic chariot, and upon it an allegorical figure or group of figures with the proper accompaniments, such as Jealousy with four spectacled faces on one head; the four temperaments (p. 158) with the planets belonging to them; the three Fates; Prudence enthroned above Hope and Fear, which lay bound before her; the four Elements, Ages, Winds, Seasons, and so on; as well as the famous chariot of Death with the coffins, which presently opened. Sometimes we meet with

a splendid scene from classical mythology—Bacchus and Ariadne, Paris and Helen, and others. Or else a chorus of figures forming some single class or category, as the beggars, the hunters and nymphs, the lost souls, who in their lifetime were hard-hearted women, the hermits, the astrologers, the vagabonds, the devils, the sellers of various kinds of wares, and even on one occasion 'il popolo', the people as such, who all reviled one another in their songs. The songs, which still remain and have been collected, give the explanation of the masquerade sometimes in a pathetic, sometimes in a humorous, and sometimes in an excessively indecent tone. Some of the worst in this respect are attributed to Lorenzo the Magnificent, probably because the real author did not venture to declare himself. However this may be, we must certainly ascribe to him the beautiful song which accompanied the masque of Bacchus and Ariadne, whose refrain still echoes to us from the fifteenth century, like a regretful presentiment of the brief splendour of the Renaissance itself:—

*'Quanto è bella giovinezza,
Che si fugge tuttavia!
Chi vuol esser lieto, sia:
Di doman non c'è certezza.'*

PART VI

MORALITY AND RELIGION

The relation of the various peoples of the earth to the supreme interests of life, to God, virtue, and immortality, may be investigated up to a certain point, but can never be compared to one another with absolute strictness and certainty. The more plainly in these matters our evidence seems to speak, the more carefully must we refrain from unqualified assumptions and rash generalizations.

Morality and
Judgement

This remark is especially true with regard to our judgement on questions of morality. It may be possible to indicate many contrasts and shades of difference among different nations, but to strike the balance of the whole is not given to human insight. The ultimate truth with respect to the character, the conscience, and the guilt of a people remains for ever a secret; if only for the reason that its defects have another side, where they reappear as peculiarities or even as virtues. We must leave those who find a pleasure in passing sweeping censures on whole nations, to do so as they like. The peoples of Europe can maltreat, but happily not judge one another. A great nation, interwoven by its civilization, its achievements, and its fortunes with the whole life of the modern world, can afford to ignore both its advocates and its accusers. It lives on with or without the approval of theorists.

Accordingly, what here follows is no judgement, but rather a string of marginal notes, suggested by a study of the Italian Renaissance extending over some years. The value to be attached to them is all the more qualified as they mostly touch on the life of the upper classes, with respect to which we are far better informed in Italy than in any other country in Europe at that period. But though both fame and infamy sound louder here than elsewhere, we are not helped thereby in forming an adequate moral estimate of the people.

What eye can pierce the depths in which the character and fate of nations are determined?—in which that which is inborn and that which has been experienced combine to form a new whole and a fresh nature?—in which even those intellectual capacities, which at first sight we should take to be most original, are in fact evolved late and slowly? Who can tell if the Italian

before the thirteenth century possessed that flexible activity and certainty in his whole being—that play of power in shaping whatever subject he dealt with in word or in form, which was peculiar to him later? And if no answer can be found to these questions, how can we possibly judge of the infinite and infinitely intricate channels through which character and intellect are incessantly pouring their influence one upon the other. A tribunal there is for each one of us, whose voice is our conscience; but let us have done with these generalities about nations. For the people that seems to be most sick the cure may be at hand; and one that appears to be healthy may bear within it the ripening germs of death, which the hour of danger will bring forth from their hiding-place.

*

At the beginning of the sixteenth century, when the civilization of the Renaissance had reached its highest pitch, and at the same time the political ruin of the nation seemed inevitable, there were not wanting serious thinkers who saw a connexion between this ruin and the prevalent immorality. It was not one of those methodistical moralists who in every age think themselves called to declaim against the wickedness of the time, but it was Machiavelli, who, in one of his most well-considered works,⁸⁵⁰ said openly: ‘We Italians are irreligious and corrupt above others.’ Another man had perhaps said, ‘We are individually highly developed; we have outgrown the limits of morality and religion which were natural to us in our undeveloped state, and we despise outward law, because our rulers are illegitimate, and their judges and officers wicked men.’ Machiavelli adds, ‘because the Church and her representatives set us the worst example.’

Consciousness
of Immorality

Shall we add also, ‘because the influence exercised by antiquity was in this respect unfavourable’? The statement can only be received with many qualifications. It may possibly be true of the humanists (p. 139 sqq.), especially as regards the profligacy of their lives. Of the rest it may perhaps be said with some approach to accuracy, that, after they became familiar with antiquity, they substituted for holiness—the Christian ideal of life—the cult of historical greatness (see Part II, p. 75). We can understand, therefore, how easily they would be tempted to consider those faults and vices to be matters of indifference, in spite of which their heroes were great. They were probably scarcely conscious of this themselves, for if we are summoned to quote any statement of doctrine on this subject, we are again forced to appeal to humanists like Paolo Giovio, who excuses the perjury of Giangaleazzo Visconti, through which he was enabled to found an empire, by the example of Julius Cæsar.⁸⁵¹ The great Florentine historians and statesman never stoop to these slavish quotations, and what seems antique in their deeds and their

Influence of
Antiquity

judgements is so because the nature of their political life necessarily fostered in them a mode of thought which has some analogy with that of antiquity.

Nevertheless, it cannot be denied that Italy at the beginning of the sixteenth century found itself in the midst of a grave moral crisis, out of which the best men saw hardly any escape.

Modern
Sentiment
of Honour

Let us begin by saying a few words about that moral force which was then the strongest bulwark against evil. The highly gifted men of that day thought to find it in the sentiment of honour. This is that enigmatic mixture of conscience and egotism which often survives in the modern man after he has lost, whether by his own fault or not, faith, love, and hope. This sense of honour is compatible with much selfishness and great vices, and may be the victim of astonishing illusions; yet, nevertheless, all the noble elements that are left in the wreck of a character may gather around it, and from this fountain may draw new strength. It has become, in a far wider sense than is commonly believed, a decisive test of conduct in the minds of the cultivated Europeans of our own day, and many of those who yet hold faithfully by religion and morality are unconsciously guided by this feeling in the gravest decisions of their lives.

It lies without the limits of our task to show how the men of antiquity also experienced this feeling in a peculiar form, and how, afterwards, in the Middle Ages, a special sense of honour became the mark of a particular class. Nor can we here dispute with those who hold that conscience, rather than honour, is the motive power. It would indeed be better and nobler if it were so; but since it must be granted that even our worthier resolutions result from 'a conscience more or less dimmed by selfishness,' it is better to call the mixture by its right name. It is certainly not always easy, in treating of the Italian of this period, to distinguish this sense of honour from the passion for fame, into which, indeed, it easily passes. Yet the two sentiments are essentially different.

Opinions on
this subject

There is no lack of witnesses on this subject. One who speaks plainly may here be quoted as a representative of the rest. We read in the recently-published 'Aphorisms' of Guicciardini:⁸⁵² 'He who esteems honour highly, succeeds in all that he undertakes, since he fears neither trouble, danger, nor expense; I have found it so in my own case, and may say it and write it; vain and dead are the deeds of men which have not this as their motive.' It is necessary to add that, from what is known of the life of the writer, he can here be only speaking of honour, and not of fame. Rabelais has put the matter more clearly than perhaps any Italian. We quote him, indeed, unwillingly in these pages. What the great, baroque Frenchman gives us, is a picture of what the Renaissance would be without form and without beauty.⁸⁵³ But his description of an ideal state of things in the Thelemite

Rabelais

monastery is decisive as historical evidence. In speaking of his gentlemen and ladies of the Order of Free Will,⁸⁵⁴ he tells us as follows:—

‘En leur reigle n’estoit que ceste clause: Fay ce que voudras. Parce que gens libres, bien nez,⁸⁵⁵ bien instruictz, conversans en compaignies honnestes, ont par nature ung instinct et aguillon qui toujours les poulse à faitz vertueux, et retire de vice; lequel ilz nommoient honneur.’

This is that same faith in the goodness of human nature which inspired the men of the second half of the eighteenth century, and helped to prepare the way for the French Revolution. Among the Italians, too, each man appeals to this noble instinct within him, and though with regard to the people as a whole—chiefly in consequence of the national disasters—judgements of a more pessimistic sort became prevalent, the importance of this sense of honour must still be rated highly. If the boundless development of individuality, stronger than the will of the individual, be the work of a historical providence, not less so is the opposing force which then manifested itself in Italy. How often, and against what passionate attacks of selfishness it won the day, we cannot tell, and therefore no human judgement can estimate with certainty the absolute moral value of the nation.

A force which we must constantly take into account in judging of the morality of the more highly-developed Italian of this period, is that of the imagination. It gives to his virtues and vices a peculiar colour, and under its influence his unbridled egotism shows itself in its most terrible shape.

The force of his imagination explains, for example, the fact that he was the first gambler on a large scale in modern times. Pictures of future wealth and enjoyment rose in such life-like colours before his eyes, that he was ready to hazard everything to reach them. The Mohammedan nations would doubtless have anticipated him in this respect, had not the Koran, from the beginning, set up the prohibition against gambling as a chief safeguard of public morals, and directed the imagination of its followers to the search after buried treasures. In Italy, the passion for play reached an intensity which often threatened or altogether broke up the existence of the gambler. Florence had already, at the end of the fourteenth century, its Casanova—a certain Buonaccorso Pitti,⁸⁵⁶ who, in the course of his incessant journeys as merchant, political agent, diplomatist and professional gambler, won and lost sums so enormous that none but princes like the Dukes of Brabant, Bavaria, and Savoy, were able to compete with him. That great lottery-bank, which was called the Court of Rome, accustomed people to a need of excitement, which found its satisfaction in games of hazard during the intervals between one intrigue and another. We read, for example, how Franceschetto Cybó, in two games with the Cardinal Raffaello Riario, lost no less than 14,000 ducats, and afterwards complained to the Pope that his

Influence of
Imagination

Gambling

opponent had cheated him.⁸⁵⁷ Italy has since that time been the home of the lottery.

Vengeance

It was to the imagination of the Italians that the peculiar character of their vengeance was due. The sense of justice was, indeed, one and the same throughout Europe, and any violation of it, so long as no punishment was inflicted, must have been felt in the same manner. But other nations, though they found it no easier to forgive, nevertheless forgot more easily, while the Italian imagination kept the picture of the wrong alive with frightful vividness.⁸⁵⁸ The fact that, according to the popular morality, the avenging of blood is a duty—a duty often performed in a way to make us shudder—gives to this passion a peculiar and still firmer basis. The government and the tribunals recognize its existence and justification, and only attempt to keep it within certain limits. Even among the peasantry, we read of Thyestean banquets and mutual assassination on the widest scale. Let us look at an instance.⁸⁵⁹

Blood
Vendettas of
the Peasants

In the district of Acquapendente three boys were watching cattle, and one of them said: 'Let us find out the way how people are hanged.' While one was sitting on the shoulders of the other, and the third, after fastening the rope round the neck of the first, was tying it to an oak, a wolf came, and the two who were free ran away and left the other hanging. Afterwards they found him dead, and buried him. On the Sunday his father came to bring him bread, and one of the two confessed what had happened, and showed him the grave. The old man then killed him with a knife, cut him up, brought away the liver, and entertained the boy's father with it at home. After dinner, he told him whose liver it was. Hereupon began a series of reciprocal murders between the two families, and within a month thirty-six persons were killed, women as well as men.

Among the
upper classes

And such 'vendette,' handed down from father to son, and extending to friends and distant relations, were not limited to the lower classes, but reached to the highest. The chronicles and novels of the period are full of such instances, especially of vengeance taken for the violation of women. The classic land for these feuds was Romagna, where the 'vendetta' was interwoven with intrigues and party divisions of every conceivable sort. The popular legends present an awful picture of the savagery into which this brave and energetic people had relapsed. We are told, for instance, of a nobleman at Ravenna, who had got all his enemies together in a tower, and might have burned them; instead of which he let them out, embraced them, and entertained them sumptuously; whereupon shame drove them mad, and they conspired against him.⁸⁶⁰ Pious and saintly monks exhorted unceasingly to reconciliation, but they can scarcely have done more than restrain to a certain extent the feuds already established; their influence

hardly prevented the growth of new ones. The novelists sometimes describe to us this effect of religion—how sentiments of generosity and forgiveness were suddenly awakened, and then again paralysed by the force of what had once been done and could never be undone. The Pope himself was not always lucky as a peacemaker. ‘Pope Paul II desired that the quarrel between Antonio Caffarello and the family of Alberino should cease, and ordered Giovanni Alberino and Antonio Caffarello to come before him, and bade them kiss one another, and promised them a fine of 2,000 ducats in case they renewed this strife, and two days after Antonio was stabbed by the same Giacomo Alberino, son of Giovanni, who had wounded him once before; and the Pope was full of anger, and confiscated the goods of Alberino, and destroyed his houses, and banished father and son from Rome.’⁸⁶¹ The oaths and ceremonies by which reconciled enemies attempted to guard themselves against a relapse, are sometimes utterly horrible. When the parties of the ‘Nove’ and the ‘Popolari’ met and kissed one another by twos in the cathedral at Siena on New Year’s Eve, 1494,⁸⁶² an oath was read by which all salvation in time and eternity was denied to the future violator of the treaty—‘an oath more astonishing and dreadful than had ever yet been heard.’ The last consolations of religion in the hour of death were to turn to the damnation of the man who should break it. It is clear, however, that such a ceremony rather represents the despairing mood of the mediators than offers any real guarantee of peace, inasmuch as the truest reconciliation is just that one which has least need of it.

Oaths of
reconciliation

This personal need of vengeance felt by the cultivated and highly placed Italian resting on the solid basis of an analogous popular custom, naturally displays itself under a thousand different aspects, and receives the unqualified approval of public opinion, as reflected in the works of the novelists.⁸⁶³ All are at one on the point, that, in the case of those injuries and insults for which Italian justice offered no redress, and all the more in the case of those against which no human law can ever adequately provide, each man is free to take the law into his own hands. Only there must be art in the vengeance, and the satisfaction must be compounded of the material injury and moral humiliation of the offender. A mere brutal, clumsy triumph of force was held by public opinion to be no satisfaction. The whole man with his sense of fame and of scorn, not only his fist, must be victorious.

Vengeance
and public
opinion

The Italian of that time shrank, it is true, from no dissimulation in order to attain his ends, but was wholly free from hypocrisy in matters of principle. In these he attempted to deceive neither himself nor others. Accordingly, revenge was declared with perfect frankness to be a necessity of human nature. Cool-headed people declared that it was then most worthy of praise, when it was disengaged from passion, and worked simply from motives of

expedience, 'in order that other men may learn to leave us unharmed.'⁸⁶⁴ Yet such instances must have formed only a small minority in comparison with those in which passion sought an outlet. This sort of revenge differs clearly from the avenging of blood, which has been already spoken of; while the latter keeps more or less within the limits of retaliation—the 'jus talionis'—the former necessarily goes much farther, not only requiring the sanction of the sense of justice, but craving admiration, and even striving to get the laugh on its own side.

Here lies the reason why men were willing to wait so long for their revenge. A 'bella vendetta' demanded as a rule a combination of circumstances for which it was necessary to wait patiently. The gradual ripening of such opportunities is described by the novelists with heartfelt delight.

Vengeance
and gratitude

There is no need to discuss the morality of actions in which plaintiff and judge are one and the same person. If this Italian thirst for vengeance is to be palliated at all, it must be by proving the existence of a corresponding national virtue, namely gratitude. The same force of imagination which retains and magnifies wrong once suffered, might be expected also to keep alive the memory of kindness received.⁸⁶⁵ It is not possible, however, to prove this with regard to the nation as a whole, though traces of it may be seen in the Italian character of to-day. The gratitude shown by the inferior classes for kind treatment, and the good memory of the upper for politeness in social life, are instances of this.

This connexion between the imagination and the moral qualities of the Italian repeats itself continually. If, nevertheless, we find more cold calculation in cases where the Northerner rather follows his impulses, the reason is that individual development in Italy was not only more marked and earlier in point of time, but also far more frequent. Where this is the case in other countries, the results are also analogous. We find, for example, that the early emancipation of the young from domestic and paternal authority is common to North America with Italy. Later on, in the more generous natures, a tie of freer affection grows up between parents and children.

It is in fact a matter of extreme difficulty to judge fairly of other nations in the sphere of character and feeling. In these respects a people may be developed highly, and yet in a manner so strange that a foreigner is utterly unable to understand it. Perhaps all the nations of the West are in this point equally favoured.

Conjugal
Mis-
demeanours

But where the imagination has exercised the most powerful and despotic influence on morals is in the illicit intercourse of the two sexes. It is well known that prostitution was freely practised in the Middle Ages, before the appearance of syphilis. A discussion, however, on these questions does not

belong to our present work. What seems characteristic of Italy at this time, is that here marriage and its rights were more often and more deliberately trampled under foot than anywhere else. The girls of the higher classes were carefully secluded, and of them we do not speak. All passion was directed to the married women.

Under these circumstances it is remarkable that, so far as we know, there was no diminution in the number of marriages, and that family life by no means underwent that disorganization which a similar state of things would have produced in the North. Men wished to live as they pleased, but by no means to renounce the family, even when they were not sure that it was all their own. Nor did the race sink, either physically or mentally, on this account; for that apparent intellectual decline which showed itself towards the middle of the sixteenth century may be certainly accounted for by political and ecclesiastical causes, even if we are not to assume that the circle of achievements possible to the Renaissance had been completed. Notwithstanding their profligacy, the Italians continued to be, physically and mentally, one of the healthiest and best-born populations in Europe,⁸⁶⁶ and have retained this position, with improved morals, down to our own time.

When we come to look more closely at the ethics of love at the time of the Renaissance, we are struck by a remarkable contrast. The novelists and comic poets give us to understand that love consists only in sensual enjoyment, and that to win this, all means, tragic or comic, are not only permitted, but are interesting in proportion to their audacity and unscrupulousness. But if we turn to the best of the lyric poets and writers of dialogues, we find in them a deep and spiritual passion of the noblest kind, whose last and highest expression is a revival of the ancient belief in an original unity of souls in the Divine Being. And both modes of feeling were then genuine, and could co-exist in the same individual. It is not exactly a matter of glory, but it is a fact, that, in the cultivated man of modern times, this sentiment can be not merely unconsciously present in both its highest and lowest stages, but may thus manifest itself openly, and even artistically. The modern man, like the man of antiquity, is in this respect too a microcosm, which the mediæval man was not and could not be.

To begin with the morality of the novelists. They treat chiefly, as we have said, of married women, and consequently of adultery.

The opinion mentioned above (p. 203) of the equality of the two sexes is of great importance in relation to this subject. The highly developed and cultivated woman disposes of herself with a freedom unknown in Northern countries; and her unfaithfulness does not break up her life in the same terrible manner, so long as no outward consequence follow from it. The husband's claim on her fidelity has not that firm foundation which it acquires

Frivolous
and idealized
love-making

Morality of
the Novelists

Position of
Women

in the North through the poetry and passion of courtship and betrothal. After the briefest acquaintance with her future husband, the young wife quits the convent or the paternal roof to enter upon a world in which her character begins rapidly to develop. The rights of the husband are for this reason conditional, and even the man who regards them in the light of a 'jus quaesitum' thinks only of the outward conditions of the contract, not of the affections. The beautiful young wife of an old man sends back the presents and letters of a youthful lover, in the firm resolve to keep her honour (honestà). 'But she rejoices in the love of the youth for the sake of his great excellence; and she perceives that a noble woman may love a man of merit without loss to her honour.'⁸⁰⁷ But the way is short from such a distinction to a complete surrender.

Penalties for
Unfaithful-
ness

The latter seems indeed as good as justified, when there is unfaithfulness on the part of the husband. The woman, conscious of her own dignity, feels this not only as a pain, but also as a humiliation and deceit, and sets to work, often with the calmest consciousness of what she is about, to devise the vengeance which the husband deserves. Her tact must decide as to the measure of punishment which is suited to the particular case. The deepest wound, for example, may prepare the way for a reconciliation and a peaceful life in the future, if only it remain secret. The novelists, who themselves undergo such experiences or invent them according to the spirit of the age, are full of admiration when the vengeance is skilfully adapted to the particular case, in fact, when it is a work of art. As a matter of course, the husband never at bottom recognizes this right of retaliation, and only submits to it from fear or prudence. Where these motives are absent, where his wife's unfaithfulness exposes him or may expose him to the derision of outsiders, the affair becomes tragical, and not seldom ends in murder or other vengeance of a violent sort. It is characteristic of the real motive from which these deeds arise, that not only the husbands, but the brothers⁸⁰⁸ and the father of the woman feel themselves not only justified in taking vengeance, but bound to take it. Jealousy, therefore, has nothing to do with the matter, moral reprobation but little; the real reason is the wish to spoil the triumph of others. 'Nowadays,' says Bandello,⁸⁰⁹ 'we see a woman poison her husband to gratify her lusts, thinking that a widow may do whatever she desires. Another, fearing the discovery of an illicit amour, has her husband murdered by her lover. And though fathers, brothers, and husbands arise to extirpate the shame with poison, with the sword, and by every other means, women still continue to follow their passions, careless of their honour and their lives.' Another time, in a milder strain, he exclaims: 'Would that we were not daily forced to hear that one man has murdered his wife because he suspected her of infidelity; that another has killed his daughter, on account

The Avengers

of a secret marriage; that a third has caused his sister to be murdered, because she would not marry as he wished! It is great cruelty that we claim the right to do whatever we list, and will not suffer women to do the same. If they do anything which does not please us, there we are at once with cords and daggers and poison. What folly it is of men to suppose their own and their house's honour depends on the appetite of a woman!' The tragedy in which such affairs commonly ended was so well known that the novelist looked on the threatened gallant as a dead man, even while he went about alive and merry. The physician and lute-player Antonio Bologna⁸⁷⁰ had made a secret marriage with the widowed Duchess of Amalfi, of the house of Aragon. Soon afterwards her brother succeeded in securing both her and her children, and murdered them in a castle. Antonio, ignorant of their fate, and still cherishing the hope of seeing them again, was staying at Milan, closely watched by hired assassins, and one day in the society of Ippolita Sforza sang to the lute the story of his misfortunes. A friend of the house, Delio, 'told the story up to this point to Scipione Atellano, and added that he would make it the subject of a novel, as he was sure that Antonio would be murdered.' The manner in which this took place, almost under the eyes of Delio and Atellano, is thrillingly described by Bandello (i. 26).

Nevertheless, the novelists habitually show a sympathy for all the ingenious, comic, and cunning features which may happen to attend adultery. They describe with delight how the lover manages to hide himself in the house, all the means and devices by which he communicates with his mistress, the boxes with cushions and sweetmeats in which he can be hidden and carried out of danger. The deceived husband is described sometimes as a fool to be laughed at, sometimes as a bloodthirsty avenger of his honour; there is no third situation except when the woman is painted as wicked and cruel, and the husband or lover is the innocent victim. It may be remarked, however, that narratives of the latter kind are not strictly speaking novels, but rather warning examples taken from real life.⁸⁷¹

When in the course of the sixteenth century Italian life fell more and more under Spanish influence, the violence of the means to which jealousy had recourse perhaps increased. But this new phase must be distinguished from the punishment of infidelity which existed before, and which was founded in the spirit of the Renaissance itself. As the influence of Spain declined, these excesses of jealousy declined also, till towards the close of the seventeenth century they had wholly disappeared, and their place was taken by that indifference which regarded the 'Cicisbeo' as an indispensable figure in every household, and took no offence at one or two supernumerary lovers ('Patiti').

But who can undertake to compare the vast sum of wickedness which all

Sympathy of
the Novelists
Pl. 219

Comparison
with other
nations

these facts imply, with what happened in other countries? Was the marriage-tie, for instance, really more sacred in France during the fifteenth century than in Italy? The 'fabliaux' and farces would lead us to doubt it, and rather incline us to think that unfaithfulness was equally common, though its tragic consequences were less frequent, because the individual was less developed and his claims were less consciously felt than in Italy. More evidence, however, in favour of the Germanic peoples lies in the fact of the social freedom enjoyed among them by girls and women, which impressed Italian travellers so pleasantly in England and in the Netherlands (Note 778). And yet we must not attach too much importance to this fact. Unfaithfulness was doubtless very frequent, and in certain cases led to a sanguinary vengeance. We have only to remember how the northern princes of that time dealt with their wives on the first suspicion of infidelity.

Spirituali-
zation
of Love

But it was not merely the sensual desire, not merely the vulgar appetite of the ordinary man, which trespassed upon forbidden ground among the Italians of that day, but also the passion of the best and noblest; and this, not only because the unmarried girl did not appear in society, but also because the man, in proportion to the completeness of his own nature, felt himself most strongly attracted by the woman whom marriage had developed. These are the men who struck the loftiest notes of lyrical poetry, and who have attempted in their treatises and dialogues to give us an idealized image of the devouring passion—'l'amor divino.' When they complain of the cruelty of the winged god, they are not only thinking of the coyness or hard-heartedness of the beloved one, but also of the unlawfulness of the passion itself. They seek to raise themselves above this painful consciousness by that spiritualization of love which found a support in the Platonic doctrine of the soul, and of which Pietro Bembo is the most famous representative. His thoughts on this subject are set forth by himself in the third book of the 'Asolani,' and indirectly by Castiglione, who puts in his mouth the splendid speech with which the fourth book of the 'Cortigiano' concludes; neither of these writers was a stoic in his conduct, but at that time it meant something to be at once a famous and a good man, and this praise must be accorded to both of them; their contemporaries took what these men said to be a true expression of their feeling, and we have not the right to despise it as affectation. Those who take the trouble to study the speech in the 'Cortigiano' will see how poor an idea of it can be given by an extract. There were then living in Italy several distinguished women, who owed their celebrity chiefly to relations of this kind, such as Giulia Gonzaga, Veronica da Correggio, and, above all, Vittoria Colonna. The land of profligates and scoffers respected these women and this sort of love—and what more can be said in their favour? We cannot tell how far vanity had to do

Pietro Bembo
Pl. 246

with the matter, how far Vittoria was flattered to hear around her the sublimated utterances of hopeless love from the most famous men in Italy. If the thing was here and there a fashion, it was still no trifling praise for Vittoria that she, at least, never went out of fashion, and in her latest years produced the most profound impressions. It was long before other countries had anything similar to show.

In the imagination then, which governed this people more than any other, lies one general reason why the course of every passion was violent, and why the means used for the gratification of passion were often criminal. There is a violence which cannot control itself because it is born of weakness; but in Italy what we find is the corruption of powerful natures. Sometimes this corruption assumes a colossal shape, and crime seems to acquire almost a personal existence of its own.

The restraints of which men were conscious were but few. Each individual, even among the lowest of the people, felt himself inwardly emancipated from the control of the State and its police, whose title to respect was illegitimate, and itself founded on violence; and no man believed any longer in the justice of the law. When a murder was committed, the sympathies of the people, before the circumstances of the case were known, ranged themselves instinctively on the side of the murderer.⁸⁷² A proud, manly bearing before and at the execution excited such admiration that the narrator often forgets to tell us for what offence the criminal was put to death.⁸⁷³ But when we add to this inward contempt of law and to the countless grudges and enmities which called for satisfaction, the impunity which crime enjoyed during times of political disturbance, we can only wonder that the state and society were not utterly dissolved. Crises of this kind occurred at Naples during the transition from the Aragonese to the French and Spanish rule, and at Milan, on the repeated expulsions and returns of the Sforzas; at such times those men who have never in their hearts recognized the bonds of law and society, come forward and give free play to their instincts of murder and rapine. Let us take, by way of example, a picture drawn from a humbler sphere.

When the Duchy of Milan was suffering from the disorders which followed the death of Galeazzo Maria Sforza, about the year 1480 (pp. 23, 32), all safety came to an end in the provincial cities. This was the case in Parma,⁸⁷⁴ where the Milanese Governor, terrified by threats of murder, consented to throw open the gaols and let loose the most abandoned criminals. Burglary, the demolition of houses, public assassination and murders, were events of everyday occurrence. At first the authors of these deeds prowled about singly, and masked; soon large gangs of armed men went to work every night without disguise. Threatening letters, satires, and scandalous jests

General
Frivolity

circulated freely; and a sonnet in ridicule of the Government seems to have roused its indignation far more than the frightful condition of the city. In many churches the sacred vessels with the host were stolen, and this fact is characteristic of the temper which prompted these outrages. It is impossible to say what would happen now in any country of the world, if the government and police ceased to act, and yet hindered by their presence the establishment of a provisional authority; but what then occurred in Italy wears a character of its own, through the great share which personal hatred and revenge had in it. The impression, indeed, which Italy at this period makes on us is, that even in quiet times great crimes were commoner than in other countries. We may, it is true, be misled by the fact that we have far fuller details on such matters here than elsewhere, and that the same force of imagination, which gives a special character to crimes actually committed, causes much to be invented which never really happened. The amount of violence was perhaps as great elsewhere. It is hard to say for certain, whether in the year 1500 men were any safer, whether human life was after all better protected, in powerful, wealthy Germany, with its robber knights, extortionate beggars, and daring highwaymen. But one thing is certain, that premeditated crimes, committed professionally and for hire by third parties, occurred in Italy with great and appalling frequency.

Brigandage

So far as regards brigandage, Italy, especially in the more fortunate provinces, such as Tuscany, was certainly not more, and probably less, troubled than the countries of the North. But the figures which do meet us are characteristic of the country. It would be hard, for instance, to find elsewhere the case of a priest, gradually driven by passion from one excess to another, till at last he came to head a band of robbers. That age offers us this example among others.⁸⁷⁵ On August 12, 1495, the priest Don Niccolò de' Pelegati of Figarolo was shut up in an iron cage outside the tower of San Giuliano at Ferrara. He had twice celebrated his first mass; the first time he had the same day committed murder, but afterwards received absolution at Rome; he then killed four people and married two wives, with whom he travelled about. He afterwards took part in many assassinations, violated women, carried others away by force, plundered far and wide, and infested the territory of Ferrara with a band of followers in uniform, extorting food and shelter by every sort of violence. When we think of what all this implies, the mass of guilt on the head of this one man is something tremendous. The clergy and monks had many privileges and little supervision, and among them were doubtless plenty of murderers and other malefactors—but hardly a second Pelegati. It is another matter, though by no means creditable, when ruined characters sheltered themselves in the cowl in order to escape the arm of the law, like the corsair whom Massuccio knew in

a convent at Naples.⁸⁷⁶ What the real truth was with regard to Pope John XXIII in this respect, is not known with certainty.⁸⁷⁷

The age of the famous brigand chief did not begin till later, in the seventeenth century, when the political strife of Guelph and Ghibelline, of Frenchman and Spaniard, no longer agitated the country. The robber then took the place of the partisan.

In certain districts of Italy, where civilization had made little progress, the country people were disposed to murder any stranger who fell into their hands. This was especially the case in the more remote parts of the Kingdom of Naples, where the barbarism dated probably from the days of the Roman 'latifundia,' and when the stranger and the enemy ('hospes' and 'hostis') were in all good faith held to be one and the same. These people were far from being irreligious. A herdsman once appeared in great trouble at the confessional, avowing that, while making cheese during Lent, a few drops of milk had found their way into his mouth. The confessor, skilled in the customs of the country, discovered in the course of his examination that the penitent and his friends were in the practice of robbing and murdering travellers, but that, through the force of habit, this usage gave rise to no twinges of conscience within them.⁸⁷⁸ We have already mentioned (Note 685) to what a degree of barbarism the peasants elsewhere could sink in times of political confusion.

A worse symptom than brigandage of the morality of that time was the frequency of paid assassination. In that respect Naples was admitted to stand at the head of all the cities of Italy. 'Nothing,' says Pontano,⁸⁷⁹ 'is cheaper here than human life.' But other districts could also show a terrible list of these crimes. It is hard, of course, to classify them according to the motives by which they were prompted, since political expediency, personal hatred, party hostility, fear, and revenge, all play into one another. It is no small honour to the Florentines, the most highly-developed people of Italy, that offences of this kind occurred more rarely among them than anywhere else,⁸⁸⁰ perhaps because there was a justice at hand for legitimate grievances which was recognized by all, or because the higher culture of the individual gave him different views as to the right of men to interfere with the decrees of fate. In Florence, if anywhere, men were able to feel the incalculable consequences of a deed of blood, and to understand how insecure the author of a so-called profitable crime is of any true and lasting gain. After the fall of Florentine liberty, assassination, especially by hired agents, seems to have rapidly increased, and continued till the government of Cosimo I had attained such strength that the police⁸⁸¹ was at last able to repress it.

Elsewhere in Italy paid crimes were probably more or less frequent in proportion to the number of powerful and solvent buyers. Impossible as it is

Barbarism
among the
Peasants

Paid
Assassins

Princely
Instigators
of Murder

Poisoning

to make any statistical estimate of their amount, yet if only a fraction of the deaths which public report attributed to violence were really murders, the crime must have been terribly frequent. The worst example of all was set by princes and governments, who without the faintest scruple reckoned murder as one of the instruments of their power. And this, without being in the same category with Cæsar Borgia. The Sforzas, the Aragonese monarchs, and, later on, the agents of Charles V resorted to it whenever it suited their purpose. The imagination of the people at last became so accustomed to facts of this kind, that the death of any powerful man was seldom or never attributed to natural causes. There were certainly absurd notions current with regard to the effect of various poisons. There may be some truth in the story of that terrible white powder used by the Borgias, which did its work at the end of a definite period (p. 62), and it is possible that it was really a 'venenum atterminatum' which the Prince of Salerno handed to the Cardinal of Aragon, with the words: 'In a few days you will die, because your father, King Ferrante, wished to trample upon us all.'⁸⁸² But the poisoned letter which Caterina Riario sent to Pope Alexander VI⁸⁸³ would hardly have caused his death even if he had read it; and when Alfonso the Great was warned by his physicians not to read in the 'Livy' which Cosimo de' Medici had presented to him, he told them with justice not to talk like fools.⁸⁸⁴ Nor can that poison with which the secretary of Piccinino wished to anoint the sedan-chair of Pius II,⁸⁸⁵ have affected any other organ than the imagination. The proportion which mineral and vegetable poisons bore to one another, cannot be ascertained precisely. The poison with which the painter Rosso Fiorentino destroyed himself (1541) was evidently a powerful acid,⁸⁸⁶ which it would have been impossible to administer to another person without his knowledge. The secret use of weapons, especially of the dagger, in the service of powerful individuals, was habitual in Milan, Naples, and other cities. Indeed, among the crowds of armed retainers who were necessary for the personal safety of the great, and who lived in idleness, it was natural that outbreaks of this mania for blood should from time to time occur. Many a deed of horror would never have been committed, had not the master known that he needed but to give a sign to one or other of his followers.

The Bravi

Among the means used for the secret destruction of others—so far, that is, as the intention goes—we find magic,⁸⁸⁷ practised, however, sparingly. Where 'maleficii,' 'malie,' and so forth, are mentioned, they appear rather as a means of heaping up additional terror on the head of some hated enemy. At the courts of France and England in the fourteenth and fifteenth centuries, magic, practised with a view to the death of an opponent, plays a far more important part than in Italy.

Pl. 182

Absolute
Wickedness

In this country, finally, where individuality of every sort attained its

highest development, we find instances of that ideal and absolute wickedness which delights in crimes for their own sake, and not as means to an end, or at any rate as means to ends for which our psychology has no measure.

Among these appalling figures we may first notice certain of the 'Condottieri,'⁸⁸⁸ such as Braccio da Montone, Tiberto Brandolino, and that Werner von Urslingen whose silver hauberk bore the inscription: 'The enemy of God, of pity and of mercy.' This class of men offers us some of the earliest instances of criminals deliberately repudiating every moral restraint. Yet we shall be more reserved in our judgement of them when we remember that the worst part of their guilt—in the estimate of those who record it—lay in their defiance of spiritual threats and penalties, and that to this fact is due that air of horror with which they are represented as surrounded. In the case of Braccio, the hatred of the Church went so far that he was infuriated at the sight of monks at their psalms, and had them thrown down from the top of a tower;⁸⁸⁹ but at the same time 'he was loyal to his soldiers and a great general.' As a rule, the crimes of the 'Condottieri' were committed for the sake of some definite advantage, and must be attributed to a position in which men could not fail to be demoralized. Even their apparently gratuitous cruelty had commonly a purpose, if it were only to strike terror. The barbarities of the House of Aragon, as we have seen, were mainly due to fear and to the desire for vengeance. The thirst for blood on its own account, the devilish delight in destruction, is most clearly exemplified in the case of the Spaniard Cæsar Borgia, whose cruelties were certainly out of all proportion to the end which he had in view (p. 60 sqq.). In Sigismondo Malatesta, tyrant of Rimini (pp. 18, 116), the same disinterested love of evil may also be detected. It is not only the Court of Rome,⁸⁹⁰ but the verdict of history, which convicts him of murder, rape, adultery, incest, sacrilege, perjury and treason, committed not once but often. The most shocking crime of all—the unnatural attempt on his own son Roberto, who frustrated it with his drawn dagger⁸⁹¹—may have been the result, not merely of moral corruption, but perhaps of some magical or astrological superstition. The same conjecture has been made to account for the rape of the Bishop of Fano⁸⁹² by Pierluigi Farnese of Parma, son of Paul III.

If we now attempt to sum up the principal features in the Italian character of that time, as we know it from a study of the life of the upper classes, we shall obtain something like the following result. The fundamental vice of this character was at the same time a condition of its greatness, namely, excessive individualism. The individual first inwardly casts off the authority of a state which, as a fact, is in most cases tyrannical and illegitimate, and what he thinks and does is, rightly or wrongly, now called treason. The sight of victorious egotism in others drives him to defend his own right by his own

Pl. 79

Sigismondo
Malatesta

Pl. 74

Morality as-1
Individualism

arm. And, while thinking to restore his inward equilibrium, he falls, through the vengeance which he executes, into the hands of the powers of darkness. His love, too, turns mostly for satisfaction to another individuality equally developed, namely, to his neighbour's wife. In face of all objective facts, of laws and restraints of whatever kind, he retains the feeling of his own sovereignty, and in each single instance forms his decision independently, according as honour or interest, passion or calculation, revenge or renunciation, gain the upper hand in his own mind.

If therefore egotism in its wider as well as narrower sense is the root and fountain of all evil, the more highly developed Italian was for this reason more inclined to wickedness than the members of other nations of that time.

But this individual development did not come upon him through any fault of his own, but rather through an historical necessity. It did not come upon him alone, but also, and chiefly, by means of Italian culture, upon the other nations of Europe, and has constituted since then the higher atmosphere which they breathe. In itself it is neither good nor bad, but necessary; within it has grown up a modern standard of good and evil—a sense of moral responsibility—which is essentially different from that which was familiar to the Middle Ages.

But the Italian of the Renaissance had to bear the first mighty surging of a new age. Through his gifts and his passions, he has become the most characteristic representative of all the heights and all the depths of his time. By the side of profound corruption appeared human personalities of the noblest harmony, and an artistic splendour which shed upon the life of man a lustre which neither antiquity nor mediævalism either could or would bestow upon it.

*

Religion

The morality of a people stands in the closest connection with its consciousness of God, that is to say, with its firmer or weaker faith in the divine government of the world, whether this faith looks on the world as destined to happiness or to misery and speedy destruction.⁸⁰³ The infidelity then prevalent in Italy is notorious, and whoever takes the trouble to look about for proofs, will find them by the hundred. Our present task, here as elsewhere, is to separate and discriminate; refraining from an absolute and final verdict.

The belief in God at earlier times had its source and chief support in Christianity and the outward symbol of Christianity, the Church. When the Church became corrupt, men ought to have drawn a distinction, and kept their religion in spite of all. But this is more easily said than done. It is not every people which is calm enough, or dull enough, to tolerate a lasting

contradiction between a principle and its outward expression. But history does not record a heavier responsibility than that which rests upon the decaying Church. She set up as absolute truth, and by the most violent means, a doctrine which she had distorted to serve her own aggrandizement. Safe in the sense of her inviolability, she abandoned herself to the most scandalous profligacy, and, in order to maintain herself in this state, she levelled mortal blows against the conscience and the intellect of nations, and drove multitudes of the noblest spirits, whom she had inwardly estranged, into the arms of unbelief and despair.

Here we are met by the question: Why did not Italy, intellectually so great, react more energetically against the hierarchy; why did she not accomplish a reformation like that which occurred in Germany, and accomplish it at an earlier date?

Absence of a
Reformation

A plausible answer has been given to this question. The Italian mind, we are told, never went further than the denial of the hierarchy, while the origin and the vigour of the German Reformation was due to its positive religious doctrines, most of all to the doctrines of justification by faith and of the inefficacy of good works.

It is certain that these doctrines only worked upon Italy through Germany, and this not till the power of Spain was sufficiently great to root them out without difficulty, partly by itself and partly by means of the Papacy, and its instruments.⁸⁹⁴ Nevertheless, in the earlier religious movements of Italy, from the Mystics of the thirteenth century down to Savonarola, there was a large amount of positive religious doctrine which, like the very definite Christianity of the Huguenots, failed to achieve success only because circumstances were against it. Mighty events like the Reformation elude, as respects their details, their outbreak and their development, the deductions of the philosophers, however clearly the necessity of them as a whole may be demonstrated. The movements of the human spirit, its sudden flashes, its expansions and its pauses, must for ever remain a mystery to our eyes, since we can but know this or that of the forces at work in it, never all of them together.

The feeling of the upper and middle classes in Italy with regard to the Church at the time when the Renaissance culminated, was compounded of deep and contemptuous aversion, of acquiescence in the outward ecclesiastical customs which entered into daily life, and of a sense of dependence on sacraments and ceremonies. The great personal influence of religious preachers may be added as a fact characteristic of Italy.

Attitude
towards
the Church

That hostility to the hierarchy, which displays itself more especially from the time of Dante onwards in Italian literature and history, has been fully treated by several writers. We have already (p. 113) said something of the

Towards the
Hierarchy

attitude of public opinion with regard to the Papacy. Those who wish for the strongest evidence which the best authorities offer us, can find it in the famous passages of Machiavelli's 'Discorsi,' and in the unmutilated edition of Guicciardini. Outside the Roman Curia, some respect seems to have been felt for the best men among the bishops,⁸⁹⁵ and for many of the parochial clergy. On the other hand, the mere holders of benefices, the canons and the monks were held in almost universal suspicion, and were often the objects of the most scandalous aspersions, extending to the whole of their order.

The
Mendicant
Friars

It has been said that the monks were made the scapegoats for the whole clergy, for the reason that none but they could be ridiculed without danger.⁸⁹⁶ But this is certainly incorrect. They are introduced so frequently in the novels and comedies, because these forms of literature need fixed and well-known types where the imagination of the reader can easily fill up an outline. Besides which, the novelists do not as a fact spare the secular clergy.⁸⁹⁷ In the third place, we have abundant proof in the rest of Italian literature that men could speak boldly enough about the Papacy and the Court of Rome. In works of imagination we cannot expect to find criticism of this kind. Fourthly, the monks, when attacked, were sometimes able to take a terrible vengeance.

It is nevertheless true that the monks were the most unpopular class of all, and that they were reckoned a living proof of the worthlessness of conventual life, of the whole ecclesiastical organization, of the system of dogma, and of religion altogether, according as men pleased, rightly or wrongly, to draw their conclusions. We may also assume that Italy retained a clearer recollection of the origin of the two great mendicant orders than other countries, and had not forgotten that they were the chief agents in the reaction⁸⁹⁸ against what is called the heresy of the thirteenth century, that is to say, against an early and vigorous movement of the modern Italian spirit. And that spiritual police which was permanently entrusted to the Dominicans certainly never excited any other feeling than secret hatred and contempt.

Scorn of the
Novelists

After reading the 'Decameron' and the novels of Franco Sacchetti, we might imagine that the vocabulary of abuse directed at the monks and nuns was exhausted. But towards the time of the Reformation this abuse became still fiercer. To say nothing of Aretino, who in the 'Ragionamenti' uses conventual life merely as a pretext for giving free play to his own poisonous nature, we may quote one author as typical of the rest—Massuccio, in the first ten of his fifty novels. They are written in a tone of the deepest indignation, and with this purpose to make the indignation general; and are dedicated to men in the highest position, such as King Ferrante and Prince Alfonso of Naples. The stories are many of them old, and some of them

familiar to readers of Boccaccio. But others reflect, with a frightful realism, the actual state of things at Naples. The way in which the priests befool and plunder the people by means of spurious miracles, added to their own scandalous lives, is enough to drive any thoughtful observer to despair. We read of the Minorite friars who travelled to collect alms: 'They cheat, steal, and fornicate, and when they are at the end of their resources, they set up as saints and work miracles, one displaying the cloak of St. Vincent, another the handwriting⁸⁹⁹ of St. Bernardino, a third the bridle of Capistrano's donkey.' Others 'bring with them confederates who pretend to be blind or afflicted with some mortal disease, and after touching the hem of the monk's cowl, or the relics which he carries, are healed before the eyes of the multitude. All then shout "Misericordia," the bells are rung, and the miracle is recorded in a solemn protocol.' Or else a monk in the pulpit is denounced as a liar by another who stands below among the audience; the accuser is immediately possessed by the devil, and then healed by the preacher. The whole thing was a pre-arranged comedy, in which, however, the principal with his assistant made so much money that he was able to buy a bishopric from a Cardinal, on which the two confederates lived comfortably to the end of their days. Massuccio makes no great distinction between Franciscans and Dominicans, finding the one worth as much as the other. 'And yet the foolish people lets itself be drawn into their hatreds and divisions, and quarrels about them in public places,⁹⁰⁰ and calls itself "franceschino" or "domenichino."' The nuns are the exclusive property of the monks. Those of the former who have anything to do with the laity, are prosecuted and put in prison, while others are wedded in due form to the monks, with the accompaniments of mass, a marriage-contract, and a liberal indulgence in food and wine. 'I myself,' says the author, 'have been there not once, but several times, and seen it all with my own eyes. The nuns afterwards bring forth pretty little monks or else use means to hinder that result. And if anyone charges me with falsehood, let him search the nunneries well, and he will find there as many little bones as in Bethlehem at Herod's time.'⁹⁰¹ These things, and the like, are among the secrets of monastic life. The monks are by no means too strict with one another in the confessional, and impose a Paternoster in cases where they would refuse all absolution to a layman as if he were a heretic. 'Therefore may the earth open and swallow up the wretches alive, with those who protect them!' In another place Massuccio, speaking of the fact that the influence of the monks depends chiefly on the dread of another world, utters the following remarkable wish: 'The best punishment for them would be for God to abolish Purgatory; they would then receive no more alms, and would be forced to go back to their spades.'

Mendicant
Friars in the
Novels

If men were free to write, in the time of Ferrante, and to him, in this

strain, the reason is perhaps to be found in the fact that the king himself had been incensed by a false miracle which had been palmed off on him.⁹⁰² An attempt had been made to urge him to a persecution of the Jews, like that carried out in Spain and imitated by the Popes, by producing a tablet with an inscription bearing the name of St. Cataldus, said to have been buried at Taranto, and afterwards dug up again. When he discovered the fraud, the monks defied him. He had also managed to detect and expose a pretended instance of fasting, as his father Alfonso had done before him. The Court, certainly, was no accomplice in maintaining these blind superstitions.⁹⁰³

We have been quoting from an author who wrote in earnest, and who by no means stands alone in his judgement. All the Italian literature of that time is full of ridicule and invective aimed at the begging friars.⁹⁰⁴ It can hardly be doubted that the Renaissance would soon have destroyed these two Orders, had it not been for the German Reformation, and the Counter-Reformation which that provoked. Their saints and popular preachers could hardly have saved them. It would only have been necessary to come to an understanding at a favourable moment with a Pope like Leo X, who despised the Mendicant Orders. If the spirit of the age found them ridiculous or repulsive, they could no longer be anything but an embarrassment to the Church. And who can say what fate was in store for the Papacy itself, if the Reformation had not saved it?

The
Dominican
Inquisition

The influence which the Father Inquisitor of a Dominican monastery was able habitually to exercise in the city where it was situated, was in the latter part of the fifteenth century just considerable enough to hamper and irritate cultivated people, but not strong enough to extort any lasting fear or obedience.⁹⁰⁵ It was no longer possible to punish men for their thoughts, as it once was (p. 149 sqq.), and those whose tongues wagged most impudently against the clergy could easily keep clear of heretical doctrine. Except when some powerful party had an end to serve, as in the case of Savonarola, or when there was a question of the use of magical arts, as was often the case in the cities of North Italy, we seldom read at this time of men being burnt at the stake. The Inquisitors were in some instances satisfied with the most superficial retractation, in others it even happened that the victim was saved out of their hands on the way to the place of execution. In Bologna (1452) the priest Niccolò da Verona had been publicly degraded on a wooden scaffold in front of San Domenico as a wizard and profaner of the sacraments, and was about to be led away to the stake, when he was set free by a gang of armed men, sent by Achille Malvezzi, a noted friend of heretics and violator of nuns. The legate, Cardinal Bessarion, was only able to catch and hang one of the party; Malvezzi lived on in peace.⁹⁰⁶

The higher
Orders

It deserves to be noticed that the higher monastic orders—e. g. Benedic-

tines, with their many branches—were, notwithstanding their great wealth and easy lives, far less disliked than the mendicant friars. For ten novels which treat of ‘frati,’ hardly one can be found in which a ‘monaco’ is the subject and the victim. It was no small advantage to these orders that they were founded earlier, and not as an instrument of police, and that they did not interfere with private life. They contained men of learning, wit, and piety, but the average has been described by a member of it, Firenzuola,⁹⁰⁷ who says: ‘These well-fed gentlemen with the capacious cowls do not pass their time in barefooted journeys and in sermons, but sit in elegant slippers with their hands crossed over their paunches, in charming cells wainscoted with cyprus-wood. And when they are obliged to quit the house, they ride comfortably, as if for their amusement, on mules and sleek, quiet horses. They do not overstrain their minds with the study of many books, for fear lest knowledge might put the pride of Lucifer in the place of monkish simplicity.’

Those who are familiar with the literature of the time, will see that we have only brought forward what is absolutely necessary for the understanding of the subject.⁹⁰⁸ That the reputation attaching to the monks and the secular clergy must have shattered the faith of multitudes in all that is sacred is, of course, obvious.

And some of the judgements which we read are terrible; we will quote one of them in conclusion, which has been published only lately and is but little known. The historian Guicciardini, who was for many years in the service of the Medicean Popes, says (1529) in his ‘Aphorisms’⁹⁰⁹: ‘No man is more disgusted than I am with the ambition, the avarice, and the profligacy of the priests, not only because each of these vices is hateful in itself, but because each and all of them are most unbecoming in those who declare themselves to be men in special relations with God, and also because they are vices so opposed to one another, that they can only co-exist in very singular natures. Nevertheless, my position at the Court of several Popes forced me to desire their greatness for the sake of my own interest. But, had not it been for this, I should have loved Martin Luther as myself, not in order to free myself from the laws which Christianity, as generally understood and explained, lays upon us, but in order to see this swarm of scoundrels (‘questa caterva di scellerati’) put back into their proper place, so that they may be forced to live either without vices or without power.’

The same Guicciardini⁹¹⁰ is of opinion that we are in the dark as to all that is supernatural, that philosophers and theologians have nothing but nonsense to tell us about it, that miracles occur in every religion and prove the truth of none in particular, and that all of them may be explained as unknown phenomena of nature. The faith which moves mountains, then

Guicciardini
on the Clergy
Pl. 235

common among the followers of Savonarola, is mentioned by Guicciardini as a curious fact, but without any bitter remark.

Familiarity
with the
Church

Notwithstanding this hostile public opinion, the clergy and the monks had the great advantage that the people was used to them, and that their existence was interwoven with the everyday existence of all. This is the advantage which every old and powerful institution possesses. Everybody had some cowed or frocked relative, some prospect of assistance or future gain from the treasure of the Church; and in the centre of Italy stood the Court of Rome, where men sometimes became rich in a moment. Yet it must never be forgotten that all this did not hinder people from writing and speaking freely. The authors of the most scandalous satires were themselves mostly monks or beneficed priests. Poggio, who wrote the 'Facetiae,' was a clergyman; Francesco Berni, the satirist, held a canonry; Teofilo Folengo, the author of the 'Orlandino,' was a Benedictine, certainly by no means a faithful one; Matteo Bandello, who held up his own order to ridicule, was a Dominican, and nephew of a general of this order. Were they encouraged to write by the sense that they ran no risk? Or did they feel an inward need to clear themselves personally from the infamy which attached to their order? Or were they moved by that selfish pessimism which takes for its maxim, 'it will last our time'? Perhaps all of these motives were more or less at work. In the case of Folengo, the unmistakable influence of Lutheranism must be added.⁹¹¹

Dependence
on rites

The sense of dependence on rites and sacraments, which we have already touched upon in speaking of the Papacy (p. 56), is not surprising among that part of the people which still believed in the Church. Among those who were more emancipated, it testifies to the strength of youthful impressions, and to the magical force of traditional symbols. The universal desire of dying men for priestly absolution shows that the last remnants of the dread of hell had not, even in the case of one like Vitellozzo, been altogether extinguished. It would hardly be possible to find a more instructive instance than this. The doctrine taught by the Church of the 'character indelibilis' of the priesthood, independently of the personality of the priest, had so far borne fruit that it was possible to loathe the individual and still desire his spiritual gifts. It is true, nevertheless, that there were defiant natures like Galeotto of Mirandola,⁹¹² who died unabsolved in 1499, after living for sixteen years under the ban of the Church. All this time the city lay under an interdict on his account, so that no mass was celebrated and no Christian burial took place.

Preachers of
Repentance

A splendid contrast to all this is offered by the power exercised over the nation by its great Preachers of Repentance. Other countries of Europe were from time to time moved by the words of saintly monks, but only super-

ficially, in comparison with the periodical upheaval of the Italian conscience. The only man, in fact, who produced a similar effect in Germany during the fifteenth century,⁹¹³ was an Italian, born in the Abruzzi, named Giovanni Capistrano. Those natures which bear within them this religious vocation and this commanding earnestness, wore then in Northern countries an intuitive and mystical aspect. In the South they were practical and expansive, and shared in the national gift of language and oratorical skill. The North produced an 'Imitation of Christ,' which worked silently, at first only within the walls of the monastery, but worked for the ages; the South produced men who made on their fellows a mighty but passing impression.

This impression consisted chiefly in the awakening of the conscience. The sermons were moral exhortations, free from abstract notions and full of practical application, rendered more impressive by the saintly and ascetic character of the preacher, and by the miracles which, even against his will, the inflamed imagination of the people attributed to him.⁹¹⁴ The most powerful argument used was not the threat of Hell and Purgatory, but rather the living results of the 'maledizione,' the temporal ruin wrought on the individual by the curse which clings to wrong-doing. The grieving of Christ and the Saints has its consequences in this life. And only thus could men, sunk in passion and guilt, be brought to repentance and amendment—which was the chief object of these sermons.

Among these preachers were Bernardino da Siena, Alberto da Sarzana, Jacopo della Marca, Giovanni Capistrano, Roberto da Lecce and others (p. 212); and finally, Girolamo Savonarola. No prejudice of the day was stronger than that against the mendicant friar, and this they overcame. They were criticized and ridiculed by a scornful humanism;⁹¹⁵ but when they raised their voices, no one gave heed to the humanists. The thing was no novelty, and the scoffing Florentines had already in the fourteenth century learned to caricature it whenever it appeared in the pulpit.⁹¹⁶ But no sooner did Savonarola come forward than he carried the people so triumphantly with him, that soon all their beloved art and culture melted away in the furnace which he lighted. Even the grossest profanation done to the cause by hypocritical monks, who got up an effect in the audience by means of confederates (p. 241), could not bring the thing itself into discredit. Men kept on laughing at the ordinary monkish sermons, with their spurious miracles and manufactured relics;⁹¹⁷ but did not cease to honour the great and genuine preachers. These are a true Italian speciality of the fifteenth century.

The Order—generally that of St. Francis, and more particularly the so-called Observantines—sent them out according as they were wanted. This was commonly the case when there was some important public or private

Their Order

feud in a city, or some alarming outbreak of violence, immorality, or disease. When once the reputation of a preacher was made, the cities were all anxious to hear him even without any special occasion. He went wherever his superiors sent him. A special form of this work was the preaching of a Crusade against the Turks;¹¹⁸ but here we have to speak more particularly of the exhortations to repentance.

Their
Methods

The order of these, when they were treated methodically, seems to have followed the customary list of the deadly sins. The more pressing, however, the occasion is, the more directly does the preacher make for his main point. He begins perhaps in one of the great churches of the Order, or in the cathedral. Soon the largest piazza is too small for the crowds which throng from every side to hear him, and he himself can hardly move without risking his life.¹¹⁹ The sermon is commonly followed by a great procession; but the first magistrates of the city, who take him in their midst, can hardly save him from the multitude of women who throng to kiss his hands and feet, and cut off fragments from his cowl.¹²⁰

The most immediate consequences which follow from the preacher's denunciations of usury, luxury, and scandalous fashions, are the opening of the gaols—which meant no more than the discharge of the poorer debtors—and the burning of various instruments of luxury and amusement, whether innocent or not. Among these are dice, cards, games of all kinds, written incantations,¹²¹ masks, musical instruments, song-books, false hair, and so forth. All these would then be gracefully arranged on a scaffold ('talamo'), a figure of the devil fastened to the top, and then the whole set on fire (comp. p. 192).

Their
Influence

Pls. 106, 173

Then came the turn of the more hardened consciences. Men who had long never been near the confessional, now acknowledged their sins. Ill-gotten gains were restored, and insults which might have borne fruit in blood retracted. Orators like Bernardino of Siena¹²² entered diligently into all the details of the daily life of men, and the moral laws which are involved in it. Few theologians nowadays would feel tempted to give a morning sermon 'on contracts, restitutions, the public debt ("monte"), and the portioning of daughters,' like that which he once delivered in the Cathedral at Florence. Imprudent speakers easily fell into the mistake of attacking particular classes, professions, or offices, with such energy that the enraged hearers proceeded to violence against those whom the preacher had denounced.¹²³ A sermon which Bernardino once preached in Rome (1424) had another consequence besides a bonfire of vanities on the Capitol: 'after this,'¹²⁴ we read, 'the witch Finicella was burnt, because by her diabolical arts she had killed many children and bewitched many other persons; and all Rome went to see the sight.'

But the most important aim of the preacher was, as has been already said, to reconcile enemies and persuade them to give up thoughts of vengeance. Probably this end was seldom attained till towards the close of a course of sermons, when the tide of penitence flooded the city, and when the air resounded⁹²⁵ with the cry of the whole people: 'Misericordia!' Then followed those solemn embracings and treaties of peace, which even previous bloodshed on both sides could not hinder. Banished men were recalled to the city to take part in these sacred transactions. It appears that these 'Paci' were on the whole faithfully observed, even after the mood which prompted them was over; and then the memory of the monk was blessed from generation to generation. But there were sometimes terrible crises like those in the families Della Valle and Croce in Rome (1482), where even the great Roberto da Lecce raised his voice in vain.⁹²⁶ Shortly before Holy Week he had preached to immense crowds in the square before the Minerva. But on the night before Maundy Thursday a terrible combat took place in front of the Palazzo della Valle, near the Ghetto. In the morning Pope Sixtus gave orders for its destruction, and then performed the customary ceremonies of the day. On Good Friday Roberto preached again with a crucifix in his hand; but he and his hearers could do nothing but weep.

Limits
of their
Influence

Violent natures, which had fallen into contradiction with themselves, often resolved to enter a convent, under the impression made by these men. Among such were not only brigands and criminals of every sort, but soldiers without employment.⁹²⁷ This resolve was stimulated by their admiration of the holy man, and by the desire to copy at least his outward position.

The concluding sermon is a general benediction, summed up in the words: 'la pace sia con voi!' Throngs of hearers accompany the preacher to the next city, and there listen for a second time to the whole course of sermons.

The enormous influence exercised by these preachers made it important, both for the clergy and for the government, at least not to have them as opponents; one means to this end was to permit only monks⁹²⁸ or priests who had received at all events the lesser consecration, to enter the pulpit, so that the Order or Corporation to which they belonged was, to some extent, responsible for them. But it was not easy to make the rule absolute, since the Church and pulpit had long been used as a means of publicity in many ways, judicial, educational, and others, and since even sermons were sometimes delivered by humanists and other laymen (p. 118 sqq.). There existed, too, in Italy a dubious class of persons,⁹²⁹ who were neither monks nor priests, and who yet had renounced the world—that is to say, the numerous class of hermits who appeared from time to time in the pulpit on their own authority, and often carried the people with them. A case of this kind occurred at Milan in 1516, after the second French conquest, certainly at a time when public

Lack of
Control

Hermit
Preachers

order was much disturbed. A Tuscan hermit, Hieronymus of Siena, possibly an adherent of Savonarola, maintained his place for months together in the pulpit of the Cathedral, denounced the hierarchy with great violence, caused a new chandelier and a new altar to be set up in the church, worked miracles, and only abandoned the field after a long and desperate struggle.⁹³⁰ During the decades in which the fate of Italy was decided, the spirit of prophecy was unusually active, and nowhere where it displayed itself was it confined to any one particular class. We know with what a tone of true prophetic defiance the hermits came forward before the sack of Rome (p. 66). In default of any eloquence of their own, these men made use of messengers with symbols of one kind or another, like the ascetic near Siena (1429), who sent a 'little hermit,' that is a pupil, into the terrified city with a skull upon a pole, to which was attached a paper with a threatening text from the Bible.⁹³¹

Nor did the monks themselves scruple to attack princes, governments, the clergy, or even their own order. A direct exhortation to overthrow a despotic house, like that uttered by Jacopo Bussolaro at Pavia in the fourteenth century,⁹³² hardly occurs again in the following period; but there is no want of courageous reproofs, addressed even to the Pope in his own chapel (Note 483), and of naïve political advice given in the presence of rulers who by no means held themselves in need of it.⁹³³ In the Piazza del Castello at Milan, a blind preacher from the Incoronata—consequently an Augustinian—ventured in 1494 to exhort Lodovico il Moro from the pulpit: 'My lord, beware of showing the French the way, else you will repent it.'⁹³⁴ There were further prophetic monks, who, without exactly preaching political sermons, drew such appalling pictures of the future that the hearers almost lost their senses. After the election of Leo X, in the year 1513, a whole association of these men, twelve Franciscan monks in all, journeyed through the various districts of Italy, of which one or other was assigned to each preacher. The one who appeared in Florence,⁹³⁵ Fra Francesco da Montepulciano, struck terror into the whole people. The alarm was not diminished by the exaggerated reports of his prophecies which reached those who were too far off to hear him. After one of his sermons he suddenly died 'of pain in the chest.' The people thronged in such numbers to kiss the feet of the corpse that it had to be secretly buried in the night. But the newly awakened spirit of prophecy, which seized upon even women and peasants, could not be controlled without great difficulty. 'In order to restore to the people their cheerful humour, the Medici—Giuliano, Leo's brother, and Lorenzo—gave on St. John's Day, 1514, those splendid festivals, tournaments, processions, and hunting-parties, which were attended by many distinguished persons from Rome, and among them, though disguised, by no less than six cardinals.'

But the greatest of the prophets and apostles had been already burnt in Florence in the year 1498—Fra Girolamo Savonarola of Ferrara. We must content ourselves with saying a few words respecting him.⁹³⁶

Savonarola
Pls. 161—164;
363

The instrument by means of which he transformed and ruled the city of Florence (1494—8) was his eloquence. Of this the meagre reports that are left to us, which were taken down mostly on the spot, give us evidently a very imperfect notion. It was not that he possessed any striking outward advantages, for voice, accent, and rhetorical skill constituted precisely his weakest side; and those who required the preacher to be a stylist, went to his rival Fra Mariano da Genazzano. The eloquence of Savonarola was the expression of a lofty and commanding personality, the like of which was not seen again till the time of Luther. He himself held his own influence to be the result of a divine illumination, and could therefore, without presumption, assign a very high place to the office of the preacher, who, in the great hierarchy of spirits, occupies, according to him, the next place below the angels.

This man, whose nature seemed made of fire, worked another and greater miracle than any of his oratorical triumphs. His own Dominican monastery of San Marco, and then all the Dominican monasteries of Tuscany, became like-minded with himself, and undertook voluntarily the work of inward reform. When we reflect what the monasteries then were, and what measureless difficulty attends the least change where monks are concerned, we are doubly astonished at so complete a revolution. While the reform was still in progress large numbers of Savonarola's followers entered the Order, and thereby greatly facilitated his plans. Sons of the first houses in Florence entered San Marco as novices.

His Reforms

This reform of the Order in a particular province was the first step to a national Church, in which, had the reformer himself lived longer, it must infallibly have ended. Savonarola, indeed, desired the regeneration of the whole Church, and near the end of his career sent pressing exhortations to the great potentates urging them to call together a Council. But in Tuscany his Order and party were the only organs of his spirit—the salt of the earth—while the neighbouring provinces remained in their old condition. Fancy and asceticism tended more and more to produce in him a state of mind to which Florence appeared as the scene of the kingdom of God upon earth.

The prophecies, whose partial fulfilment conferred on Savonarola a supernatural credit, were the means by which the ever-active Italian imagination seized control of the soundest and most cautious natures. At first the Franciscans of the Osservanza, trusting in the reputation which had been bequeathed to them by St. Bernardino of Siena, fancied that they could compete with the great Dominican. They put one of their own men into the

His
Prophecies
and Visions

Cathedral pulpit, and outbid the Jeremiads of Savonarola by still more terrible warnings, till Pietro de' Medici, who then still ruled over Florence, forced them both to be silent. Soon after, when Charles VIII came into Italy and the Medici were expelled, as Savonarola had clearly foretold, he alone was believed in.

It must be frankly confessed that he never judged his own premonitions and visions critically, as he did those of others. In the funeral oration on Pico della Mirandola, he deals somewhat harshly with his dead friend. Since Pico, notwithstanding an inner voice which came from God, would not enter the Order, he had himself prayed to God to chasten him for his disobedience. He certainly had not desired his death, and alms and prayers had obtained the favour that Pico's soul was safe in Purgatory. With regard to a comforting vision which Pico had upon his sick-bed, in which the Virgin appeared and promised him that he should not die, Savonarola confessed that he had long regarded it as a deceit of the Devil, till it was revealed to him that the Madonna meant the second and eternal death. If these things and the like are proofs of presumption, it must be admitted that this great soul at all events paid a bitter penalty for his fault. In his last days Savonarola seems to have recognized the vanity of his visions and prophecies. And yet enough inward peace was left him to enable him to meet death like a Christian. His partisans held to his doctrine and predictions for thirty years longer.

His
Constitution

He only undertook the reorganization of the state for the reason that otherwise his enemies would have got the government into their own hands. It is unfair to judge him by the semi-democratic constitution (Note 156) of the beginning of the year 1495. Nor is it either better or worse than other Florentine constitutions.⁹²⁷

He was at bottom the most unsuitable man who could be found for such a work. His ideal was a theocracy, in which all men were to bow in blessed humility before the Unseen, and all conflicts of passion were not even to be able to arise. His whole mind is written in that inscription on the Palazzo della Signoria, the substance of which was his maxim⁹²⁸ as early as 1495, and which was solemnly renewed by his partisans in 1527: 'Jesus Christus Rex populi Florentini S. P. Q. decreto creatus.' He stood in no more relation to mundane affairs and their actual conditions than any other inhabitant of a monastery. Man, according to him, has only to attend to those things which make directly for his salvation.

His Attitude
towards
Culture

This temper comes out clearly in his opinions on ancient literature: 'The only good thing which we owe to Plato and Aristotle, is that they brought forward many arguments which we can use against the heretics. Yet they and other philosophers are now in Hell. An old woman knows more about the Faith than Plato. It would be good for religion if many books that seem

useful were destroyed. When there were not so many books and not so many arguments ("ragioni naturali") and disputes, religion grew more quickly than it has done since.' He wished to limit the classical instruction of the schools to Homer, Virgil, and Cicero, and to supply the rest from Jerome and Augustine. Not only Ovid and Catullus, but Terence and Tibullus, were to be banished. This may be no more than the expression of a nervous morality, but elsewhere in a special work he admits that science as a whole is harmful. He holds that only a few people should have to do with it, in order that the tradition of human knowledge may not perish, and particularly that there may be no want of intellectual athletes to confute the sophisms of the heretics. For all others, grammar, morals, and religious teaching ('litterae sacrae') suffice. Culture and education would thus return wholly into the charge of the monks, and as, in his opinion, the 'most learned and the most pious' are to rule over the states and empires, these rulers would also be monks. Whether he really foresaw this conclusion, we need not inquire.

A more childish method of reasoning cannot be imagined. The simple reflection that the new-born antiquity and the boundless enlargement of human thought and knowledge which was due to it, might give splendid confirmation to a religion able to adapt itself thereto, seems never even to have occurred to the good man. He wanted to forbid what he could not deal with by any other means. In fact, he was anything but liberal, and was ready, for example, to send the astrologers to the same stake at which he afterwards himself died.⁹⁵⁰

How mighty must have been the soul which dwelt side by side with this narrow intellect! And what a flame must have glowed within him before he could constrain the Florentines, possessed as they were by the passion for culture, to surrender themselves to a man who could thus reason!

How much of their heart and their worldliness they were ready to sacrifice for his sake is shown by those famous bonfires by the side of which all the 'talami' of Bernardino da Siena and others were certainly of small account.

All this could not, however, be effected without the agency of a tyrannical police. He did not shrink from the most vexatious interferences with the much-prized freedom of Italian private life, using the espionage of servants on their masters as a means of carrying out his moral reforms. That transformation of public and private life which the iron Calvin was but just able to effect at Geneva with the aid of a permanent state of siege necessarily proved impossible at Florence, and the attempt only served to drive the enemies of Savonarola to a more implacable hostility. Among his most unpopular measures may be mentioned those organized parties of boys, who forced their way into the houses and laid violent hands on any objects which seemed suitable for the bonfire. As it happened that they were sometimes sent away

His moral
Reforms

with a beating, they were afterwards attended, in order to keep up the figment of a pious 'rising generation,' by a body-guard of grown-up persons.

His
sacrificial
pyres

On the last day of the Carnival in the year 1497, and on the same day the year after, the great 'Auto da Fé' took place on the Piazza della Signoria. In the centre of it rose a great pyramidal flight of stairs, like the 'rogus' on which the Roman Emperors were commonly burned. On the lowest tier were arranged false beards, masks, and carnival disguises; above came volumes of the Latin and Italian poets, among others Boccaccio, the 'Morgante' of Pulci, and Petrarch, partly in the form of valuable printed parchments and illuminated manuscripts; then women's ornaments and toilet articles, scents, mirrors, veils, and false hair; higher up, lutes, harps, chess-boards, playing-cards; and finally, on the two uppermost tiers, paintings only, especially of female beauties, partly fancy-pictures, bearing the classical names of Lucretia, Cleopatra, or Faustina, partly portraits of the beautiful Bencina, Lena Morella, Bina, and Maria de' Lenzi. On the first occasion a Venetian merchant who happened to be present offered the Signoria 22,000 gold florins for the objects on the pyramid; but the only answer he received was that his portrait, too, was painted, and burned along with the rest. When the pile was lighted, the Signoria appeared on the balcony, and the air echoed with song, the sound of trumpets, and the pealing of bells. The people then adjourned to the Piazza di San Marco, where they danced round in three concentric circles. The innermost was composed of monks of the monastery, alternating with boys, dressed as angels; then came young laymen and ecclesiastics; and on the outside old men, citizens, and priests, the latter crowned with wreaths of olive.

All the ridicule of his victorious enemies, who in truth had no lack of justification or of talent for ridicule, was unable to discredit the memory of Savonarola. The more tragic the fortunes of Italy became, the brighter grew the halo which in the recollection of the survivors surrounded the figure of the great monk and prophet. Though his predictions may not have been confirmed in detail, the great and general calamity which he foretold was fulfilled with appalling truth.

Great, however, as the influence of all these preachers may have been, and brilliantly as Savonarola justified the claim of the monks to this office,⁴⁰ nevertheless the order as a whole could not escape the contempt and condemnation of the people. Italy showed that she could give her enthusiasm only to individuals.

*

Strength
of the Old
Faith

If, apart from all that concerns the priests and the monks, we attempt to measure the strength of the old faith, it will be found great or small according

to the light in which it is considered. We have spoken already of the need felt for the Sacraments as something indispensable (pp. 56, 244). Let us now glance for a moment at the position of faith and worship in daily life. Both were determined partly by the habits of the people and partly by the policy and example of the rulers.

All that has to do with penitence and the attainment of salvation by means of good works was in much the same stage of development or corruption as in the North of Europe, both among the peasantry and among the poorer inhabitants of the cities. The instructed classes were here and there influenced by the same motives. Those sides of popular Catholicism which had their origin in the old pagan ways of addressing, rewarding, and reconciling the gods have fixed themselves ineradicably in the consciousness of the people. The eighth eclogue of Battista Mantovano,⁹¹¹ which has been already quoted elsewhere, contains the prayer of a peasant to the Madonna, in which she is called upon as the special patroness of all rustic and agricultural interests. And what conceptions they were which the people formed of their protectress in heaven! What was in the mind of the Florentine woman⁹⁴² who gave 'ex voto' a keg of wax to the Annunziata, because her lover, a monk, had gradually emptied a barrel of wine without her absent husband finding it out! Then, too, as still in our own days, different departments of human life were presided over by their respective patrons. The attempt has often been made to explain a number of the commonest rites of the Catholic Church as remnants of pagan ceremonies, and no one doubts that many local and popular usages, which are associated with religious festivals, are forgotten fragments of the old pre-Christian faiths of Europe. In Italy, on the contrary, we find instances in which the affiliation of the new faith on the old seems consciously recognized. So, for example, the custom of setting out food for the dead four days before the feast of the Chair of St. Peter, that is to say, on February 18, the date of the ancient Feralia.⁹⁴³ Many other practices of this kind may then have prevailed and have since then been extirpated. Perhaps the paradox is only apparent if we say that the popular faith in Italy had a solid foundation just in proportion as it was pagan.

The extent to which this form of belief prevailed in the upper classes can to a certain point be shown in detail. It had, as we have said in speaking of the influence of the clergy, the power of custom and early impressions on its side. The love for ecclesiastical pomp and display helped to confirm it, and now and then there came one of those epidemics of revivalism, which few even among the scoffers and the sceptics were able to withstand.

But in questions of this kind it is perilous to grasp too hastily at absolute results. We might fancy, for example, that the feeling of educated men towards the relics of the saints would be a key by which some chambers of

Pagan
elements in
popular
Faith

Belief
in Relics
*Pls. I, 108,
109, 167*

their religious consciousness might be opened. And in fact, some difference of degree may be demonstrable, though by no means as clearly as might be wished. The Government of Venice in the fifteenth century seems to have fully shared in the reverence felt throughout the rest of Europe for the remains of the bodies of the saints (p. 41). Even strangers who lived in Venice found it well to adapt themselves to this superstition.⁹⁴⁴ If we can judge of scholarly Padua from the testimony of its topographer Michele Savonarola (p. 76), things must have been much the same there. With a mixture of pride and pious awe, Michele tells us how in times of great danger the saints were heard to sigh at night along the streets of the city, how the hair and nails on the corpse of a holy nun in Santa Chiara kept on continually growing, and how the same corpse, when any disaster was impending, used to make a noise and lift up the arms.⁹⁴⁵ When he sets to work to describe the chapel of St. Anthony in the Santo, the writer loses himself in ejaculations and fantastic dreams. In Milan the people at least showed a fanatical devotion to relics; and when once, in the year 1517, the monks of San Simpliciano were careless enough to expose six holy corpses during certain alterations of the high altar, which event was followed by heavy floods of rain, the people⁹⁴⁶ attributed the visitation to this sacrilege, and gave the monks a sound beating whenever they met them in the street. In other parts of Italy, and even in the case of the Popes themselves, the sincerity of this feeling is much more dubious, though here, too, a positive conclusion is hardly attainable. It is well known amid what general enthusiasm Pius II solemnly deposited the head of the Apostle Andrew, which had been brought from Greece, and then from San Mauro, in the Church of St. Peter (1462); but we gather from his own narrative that he only did it from a kind of shame, as so many princes were competing for the relic. It was not till afterwards that the idea struck him of making Rome the common refuge for all the remains of the saints which had been driven from their own churches.⁹⁴⁷ Under Sixtus IV, the population of the city was still more zealous in this cause than the Pope himself, and the magistracy (1483) complained bitterly that Sixtus had sent to Louis XI, the dying king of France, some specimens of the Lateran relics.⁹⁴⁸ A courageous voice was raised about this time at Bologna, advising the sale of the skull of St. Dominic to the king of Spain, and the application of the money to some useful public object.⁹⁴⁹ But those who had the least reverence of all for the relics were the Florentines. Between the decision to honour their saint, St. Zanobi, with a new sarcophagus and the final execution of the project by Ghiberti nineteen years elapsed (1409—1428), and then it only happened by chance, because the master had executed a smaller order of the same kind with great skill.⁹⁵⁰

Perhaps through being tricked by a cunning Neapolitan abess (1352),

Differences
in degree

who sent them a spurious arm of the patroness of the Cathedral, Santa Reparata, made of wood and plaster, they began to get tired of relics.⁹⁵¹ Or perhaps it would be truer to say that their æsthetic sense turned them away in disgust from dismembered corpses and mouldy clothes. Or perhaps their feeling was rather due to that sense for glory which thought Dante and Petrarch worthier of a splendid grave than all the twelve apostles put together. It is probable that throughout Italy, apart from Venice and from Rome, the condition of which latter city was exceptional, the worship of relics had been long giving way to the adoration of the Madonna,⁹⁵² at all events to a greater extent than elsewhere in Europe; and in this fact lies indirect evidence of an early development of the æsthetic sense.

Mariolatry
among
the people

It may be questioned whether in the North, where the vastest cathedrals are nearly all dedicated to Our Lady, and where an extensive branch of Latin and indigenous poetry sang the praises of the Mother of God, a greater devotion to her was possible. In Italy, however, the number of miraculous pictures of the Virgin was far greater, and the part they played in the daily life of the people much more important. Every town of any size contained a quantity of them, from the ancient, or ostensibly ancient, paintings by St. Luke, down to the works of contemporaries, who not seldom lived to see the miracles wrought by their own handiwork. The work of art was in these cases by no means as harmless as Battista Mantovano⁹⁵³ thinks; sometimes it suddenly acquired a magical virtue. The popular craving for the miraculous, especially strong in women, may have been fully satisfied by these pictures, and for this reason the relics been less regarded. It cannot be said with certainty how far the respect for genuine relics suffered from the ridicule which the novelists aimed at the spurious.⁹⁵⁴

The attitude of the educated classes towards Mariolatry is more clearly recognizable than towards the worship of images. One cannot but be struck with the fact that in Italian literature Dante's 'Paradise' is the last poem in honour of the Virgin, while among the people hymns in her praise have been constantly produced down to our own day. The names of Sannazaro and Sabellico⁹⁵⁵ and other writers of Latin poems prove little on the other side, since the object with which they wrote was chiefly literary. The poems written in Italian in the fifteenth⁹⁵⁶ and at the beginning of the sixteenth centuries, in which we meet with genuine religious feeling, such as the hymns of Lorenzo the Magnificent, and the sonnets of Vittoria Colonna and of Michelangelo, might have been just as well composed by Protestants. Besides the lyrical expression of faith in God, we chiefly notice in them the sense of sin, the consciousness of deliverance through the death of Christ, the longing for a better world. The intercession of the Mother of God is only mentioned by the way.⁹⁵⁷ The same phenomenon is repeated in the classical

and among
the educated
classes

literature of the French at the time of Louis XIV. Not till the time of the Counter-Reformation did Mariolatry reappear in the higher Italian poetry. Meanwhile the plastic arts had certainly done their utmost to glorify the Madonna. It may be added that the worship of the saints among the educated classes often took an essentially pagan form (p. 132).

Contrasts in
Worship

We might thus critically examine the various sides of Italian Catholicism at this period, and so establish with a certain degree of probability the attitude of the instructed classes towards popular faith. Yet an absolute and positive result cannot be reached. We meet with contrasts hard to explain. While architects, painters, and sculptors were working with restless activity in and for the churches, we hear at the beginning of the sixteenth century the bitterest complaints of the neglect of public worship and of these churches themselves.

*Templa ruunt, passim sordent altaria, cultus
Paulatim divinus abit.*⁵⁵⁸

It is well known how Luther was scandalized by the irreverence with which the priests in Rome said Mass. And at the same time the feasts of the Church were celebrated with a taste and magnificence of which Northern countries had no conception. It looks as if this most imaginative of nations was easily tempted to neglect every-day things, and as easily captivated by anything extraordinary.

Epidemics of
Repentance

It is to this excess of imagination that we must attribute the epidemic religious revivals, upon which we shall again say a few words. They must be clearly distinguished from the excitement called forth by the great preachers. They were rather due to general public calamities, or to the dread of such.

In the Middle Ages all Europe was from time to time flooded by these great tides, which carried away whole peoples in their waves. The Crusades and the Flagellant revival are instances. Italy took part in both of these movements. The first great companies of Flagellants appeared, immediately after the fall of Ezzelino and his house, in the neighbourhood of the same Perugia⁵⁵⁹ which has been already spoken of (Note 948), as the head-quarters of the revivalist preachers. Then followed the Flagellants of 1310 and 1334,⁵⁶⁰ and then the great pilgrimage without scourging in the year 1399, which Corio has recorded.⁵⁶¹ It is not impossible that the Jubilees were founded partly in order to regulate and render harmless this sinister passion for vagabondage which seized on whole populations at times of religious excitement. The great sanctuaries of Italy, such as Loreto and others, had meantime become famous, and no doubt diverted a certain part of this enthusiasm.⁵⁶²

But terrible crises had still at a much later time the power to reawaken

the glow of mediæval penitence, and the conscience-stricken people, often still further appalled by signs and wonders, sought to move the pity of Heaven by wailings and scourgings. So it was at Bologna when the plague came in 1457,⁹⁶³ so in 1496 at a time of internal discord at Siena,⁹⁶⁴ to mention two only out of countless instances. No more moving scene can be imagined than that we read of at Milan in 1529, when famine, plague, and war conspired with Spanish extortion to reduce the city to the lowest depths of despair.⁹⁶⁵ It chanced that the monk who had the ear of the people, Fra Tommaso Nieto, was himself a Spaniard. The Host was borne along in a novel fashion, amid barefooted crowds of old and young. It was placed on a decorated bier, which rested on the shoulders of four priests in linen garments—an imitation of the Ark of the Covenant⁹⁶⁶ which the children of Israel once carried round the walls of Jericho. Thus did the afflicted people of Milan remind their ancient God of His old covenant with man; and when the procession again entered the cathedral, and it seemed as if the vast building must fall in with the agonized cry of 'Misericordia!' many who stood there may have believed that the Almighty would indeed subvert the laws of nature and of history, and send down upon them a miraculous deliverance.

Religious
Revival
in Milan

There was one government in Italy, that of Duke Ercole I of Ferrara,⁹⁶⁷ which assumed the direction of public feeling, and compelled the popular revivals to move in regular channels. At the time when Savonarola was powerful in Florence, and the movement which he began spread far and wide among the population of central Italy, the people of Ferrara voluntarily entered on a general fast (at the beginning of 1496). A Lazarist announced from the pulpit the approach of a season of war and famine such as the world had never seen; but the Madonna had assured some pious people that these evils might be avoided by fasting. Upon this, the court itself had no choice but to fast, but it took the conduct of the public devotions into its own hands. On Easter Day, the 3rd of April, a proclamation on morals and religion was published, forbidding blasphemy, prohibiting games, sodomy, concubinage, the letting of houses to prostitutes or panders, and the opening of all shops on feast-days, excepting those of the bakers and greengrocers. The Jews and Moors, who had taken refuge from the Spaniards at Ferrara, were now compelled again to wear the yellow O upon the breast. Contraveners were threatened, not only with the punishments already provided by law, but also 'with such severer penalties as the Duke might think good to inflict.' After this, the Duke and the court went several days in succession to hear sermons in church, and on the 10th of April all the Jews in Ferrara were compelled to do the same. On the 3rd of May the director of police—that Zampante who has been already referred to (p. 29)—sent the crier to

Attitude
of the
Ferrarese
Government

Rapacity
of the Police

announce that whoever had given money to the police-officers in order not to be informed against as a blasphemer, might, if he came forward, have it back with a further indemnification. These wicked officers, he said, had extorted as much as two or three ducats from innocent persons by threatening to lodge an information against them. They had then mutually informed against one another, and so had all found their way into prison. But as the money had been paid precisely in order not to have to do with Zampante, it is probable that his proclamation induced few people to come forward. In the year 1500, after the fall of Lodovico il Moro, when a similar outbreak of popular feeling took place, Ercole⁹⁶⁸ ordered a series of nine processions, in which there were 4,000 children dressed in white, bearing the standard of Jesus. He himself rode on horseback, as he could not walk without difficulty. An edict was afterwards published of the same kind as that of 1496. It is well known how many churches and monasteries were built by this ruler. He even sent for a live saint, the Suor Colomba,⁹⁶⁹ shortly before he married his son Alfonso to Lucrezia Borgia (1502). A special messenger⁹⁷⁰ fetched the saint with fifteen other nuns from Viterbo, and the Duke himself conducted her on her arrival at Ferrara into a convent prepared for her reception. We shall probably do him no injustice if we attribute all these measures very largely to political calculation. To the conception of government formed by the House of Este, as indicated above (p. 26 sq.), this employment of religion for the ends of statecraft belongs by a kind of logical necessity.

*

Attempt at a
Synthesis

But in order to reach a definite conclusion with regard to the religious sense of the men of this period, we must adopt a different method. From their intellectual attitude in general, we can infer their relation both to the Divine idea and to the existing religion of their age.

These modern men, the representatives of the culture of Italy, were born with the same religious instincts as other mediæval Europeans. But their powerful individuality made them in religion, as in other matters, altogether subjective, and the intense charm which the discovery of the inner and outer universe exercised upon them rendered them markedly worldly. In the rest of Europe religion remained, till a much later period, something given from without, and in practical life egotism and sensuality alternated with devotion and repentance. The latter had no spiritual competitors, as in Italy, or only to a far smaller extent.

Further, the close and frequent relations of Italy with Byzantium and the Mohammedan peoples had produced a dispassionate tolerance which weakened the ethnographical conception of a privileged Christendom. And when classical antiquity with its men and institutions became an ideal of

life, as well as the greatest of historical memories, ancient speculation and scepticism obtained in many cases a complete mastery over the minds of Italians.

Since, again, the Italians were the first modern people of Europe who gave themselves boldly to speculations on freedom and necessity, and since they did so under violent and lawless political circumstances, in which evil seemed often to win a splendid and lasting victory, their belief in God began to waver, and their view of the government of the world became fatalistic. And when their passionate natures refused to rest in the sense of uncertainty, they made a shift to help themselves out with ancient, Oriental, or mediæval superstition. They took to astrology and magic.

Finally, these intellectual giants, these representatives of the Renaissance, show, in respect to religion, a quality which is common in youthful natures. Distinguishing keenly between good and evil, they yet are conscious of no sin. Every disturbance of their inward harmony they feel themselves able to make good out of the plastic resources of their own nature, and therefore they feel no repentance. The need of salvation thus becomes felt more and more dimly, while the ambitions and the intellectual activity of the present either shut out altogether every thought of a world to come, or else cause it to assume a poetic instead of a dogmatic form.

When we look on all this as pervaded and often perverted by the all-powerful Italian imagination, we obtain a picture of that time which is certainly more in accordance with truth than are vague declamations against modern paganism. And closer investigation often reveals to us that underneath this outward shell much genuine religion could still survive.

The fuller discussion of these points must be limited to a few of the most essential explanations.

That religion should again become an affair of the individual and of his own personal feeling was inevitable when the Church became corrupt in doctrine and tyrannous in practice, and is a proof that the European mind was still alive. It is true that this showed itself in many different ways. While the mystical and ascetical sects of the North lost no time in creating new outward forms for their new modes of thought and feeling, each individual in Italy went his own way, and thousands wandered on the sea of life without any religious guidance whatever. All the more must we admire those who attained and held fast to a personal religion. They were not to blame for being unable to have any part or lot in the old Church, as she then was; nor would it be reasonable to expect that they should all of them go through that mighty spiritual labour which was appointed to the German reformers. The form and aim of this personal faith, as it showed itself in the better minds, will be set forth at the close of our work.

Subjectivity
of Religion

Worldliness

The worldliness, through which the Renaissance seems to offer so striking a contrast to the Middle Ages, owed its first origin to the flood of new thoughts, purposes, and views, which transformed the mediæval conception of nature and man. This spirit is not in itself more hostile to religion than that 'culture' which now holds its place, but which can give us only a feeble notion of the universal ferment which the discovery of a new world of greatness then called forth. This worldliness was not frivolous, but earnest, and was ennobled by art and poetry. It is a lofty necessity of the modern spirit that this attitude, once gained, can never again be lost, that an irresistible impulse forces us to the investigation of men and things, and that we must hold this inquiry to be our proper end and work.⁹⁷¹ How soon and by what paths this search will lead us back to God, and in what ways the religious temper of the individual will be affected by it, are questions which cannot be met by any general answer. The Middle Ages, which spared themselves the trouble of induction and free inquiry, can have no right to impose upon us their dogmatical verdict in a matter of such vast importance.

Tolerance
of Islam

To the study of man, among many other causes, was due the tolerance and indifference with which the Mohammedan religion was regarded. The knowledge and admiration of the remarkable civilization which Islam, particularly before the Mongol inundation, had attained, was peculiar to Italy from the time of the Crusades. This sympathy was fostered by the half-Mohammedan government of some Italian princes, by dislike and even contempt for the existing Church, and by constant commercial intercourse with the harbours of the Eastern and Southern Mediterranean.⁹⁷² It can be shown that in the thirteenth century the Italians recognized a Mohammedan ideal of nobleness, dignity, and pride, which they loved to connect with the person of a Sultan. A Mameluke Sultan is commonly meant; if any name is mentioned, it is the name of Saladin.⁹⁷³ Even the Osmanli Turks, whose destructive tendencies were no secret, gave the Italians, as we have shown above (p. 51 sqq.), only half a fright, and a peaceable accord with them was looked upon as no impossibility.

The
Three Rings

The truest and most characteristic expression of this religious indifference is the famous story of the Three Rings, which Lessing has put into the mouth of his Nathan, after it had been already told centuries earlier, though with some reserve, in the 'Hundred Old Novels' (nov. 72 or 73), and more boldly in Boccaccio.⁹⁷⁴ In what language and in what corner of the Mediterranean it was first told, can never be known; most likely the original was much more plain-spoken than the two Italian adaptations. The religious postulate on which it rests, namely Deism, will be discussed later on in its wider significance for this period. The same idea is repeated, though in a clumsy caricature, in the famous proverb of the 'three who have deceived the world, that is,

Moses, Christ, and Mohammed.' If the Emperor Frederick II, in whom this saying is said to have originated, really thought so, he probably expressed himself with more wit. Ideas of the same kind were also current in Islam.

At the height of the Renaissance, towards the close of the fifteenth century, Luigi Pulci offers us an example of the same mode of thought in the 'Morgante Maggiore.' The imaginary world of which his story treats is divided, as in all heroic poems of romance, into a Christian and a Mohammedan camp. In accordance with the mediæval temper, the victory of the Christian and the final reconciliation among the combatants was attended by the baptism of the defeated Islamites, and the Improvisatori, who preceded Pulci in the treatment of these subjects, must have made free use of this stock incident. It was Pulci's object to parody his predecessors, particularly the worst among them, and this he does by those appeals to God, Christ, and the Madonna, with which each canto begins; and still more clearly by the sudden conversions and baptisms, the utter senselessness of which must have struck every reader or hearer. This ridicule leads him further to the confession of his faith in the relative goodness of all religions,⁹⁷⁵ which faith, notwithstanding his professions of orthodoxy,⁹⁷⁶ rests on an essentially theistic basis. In another point too he departs widely from mediæval conceptions. The alternatives in past centuries were: Christian, or else Pagan and Mohammedan; orthodox believer or heretic. Pulci draws a picture of the Giant Margutte⁹⁷⁷ who, disregarding each and every religion, jovially confesses to every form of vice and sensuality, and only reserves to himself the merit of having never broken faith. Perhaps the poet intended to make something of this—in his way—honest monster, possibly to have led him into virtuous paths by Morgante, but he soon got tired of his own creation, and in the next canto brought him to a comic end.⁹⁷⁸ Margutte has been brought forward as a proof of Pulci's frivolity; but he is needed to complete the picture of the poetry of the fifteenth century. It was natural that it should somewhere present in grotesque proportions the figure of an untamed egotism, insensible to all established rule, and yet with a remnant of honourable feeling left. In other poems sentiments are put into the mouths of giants, fiends, infidels, and Mohammedans which no Christian knight would venture to utter.

Antiquity exercised an influence of another kind than that of Islam, and this not through its religion, which was but too much like the Catholicism of this period, but through its philosophy. Ancient literature, now worshipped as something incomparable, is full of the victory of philosophy over religious tradition. An endless number of systems and fragments of systems were suddenly presented to the Italian mind, not as curiosities or even as heresies, but almost with the authority of dogmas, which had now to be reconciled rather than discriminated. In nearly all these various opinions and

Justification
of all
Religions

The Giant
Margutte
Pl. 239

Influence of
Antiquity
in the
14th Century

doctrines a certain kind of belief in God was implied; but taken altogether they formed a marked contrast to the Christian faith in a Divine government of the world. And there was one central question, which mediæval theology had striven in vain to solve, and which now urgently demanded an answer from the wisdom of the ancients, namely, the relation of Providence to the freedom or necessity of the human will. To write the history of this question even superficially from the fourteenth century onwards, would require a whole volume. A few hints must here suffice.

Epicureanism

If we take Dante and his contemporaries as evidence, we shall find that ancient philosophy first came into contact with Italian life in the form which offered the most marked contrast to Christianity, that is to say, Epicureanism. The writings of Epicurus were no longer preserved, and even at the close of the classical age a more or less one-sided conception had been formed of his philosophy. Nevertheless, that phase of Epicureanism which can be studied in Lucretius, and especially in Cicero, is quite sufficient to make men familiar with a godless universe. To what extent his teaching was actually understood, and whether the name of the problematic Greek sage was not rather a catch-word for the multitude, it is hard to say. It is probable that the Dominican Inquisition used it against men who could not be reached by a more definite accusation. In the case of sceptics born before the time was ripe, whom it was yet hard to convict of positive heretical utterances, a moderate degree of luxurious living may have sufficed to provoke the charge. The word is used in this conventional sense by Giovanni Villani⁹⁷⁹, when he explains the Florentine fires of 1115 and 1117 as a Divine judgement on heresies, among others, 'on the luxurious and gluttonous sect of Epicureans.' The same writer says of Manfred, 'His life was Epicurean, since he believed neither in God, nor in the Saints, but only in bodily pleasure.'

Dante
and the
Epicureans

Dante speaks still more clearly in the ninth and tenth cantos of the 'Inferno.' That terrible fiery field covered with half-opened tombs, from which issued cries of hopeless agony, was peopled by the two great classes of those whom the Church had vanquished or expelled in the thirteenth century. The one were heretics who opposed the Church by deliberately spreading false doctrine; the other were Epicureans, and their sin against the Church lay in their general disposition, which was summed up in the belief that the soul dies with the body.⁹⁸⁰ The Church was well aware that this one doctrine, if it gained ground, must be more ruinous to her authority than all the teachings of the Manichæans and Paterines, since it took away all reason for her interference in the affairs of men after death. That the means which she used in her struggles were precisely what had driven the most gifted natures to unbelief and despair was what she naturally would not herself admit.

Dante's loathing of Epicurus, or of what he took to be his doctrine, was certainly sincere. The poet of the life to come could not but detest the denier of immortality; and a world neither made nor ruled by God, no less than the vulgar objects of earthly life which the system appeared to countenance, could not but be intensely repugnant to a nature like his. But if we look closer, we find that certain doctrines of the ancients made even on him an impression which forced the biblical doctrine of the Divine government into the background, unless, indeed, it was his own reflection, the influence of opinions then prevalent, or loathing for the injustice that seemed to rule this world, which made him give up the belief in a special Providence.⁹⁸¹ His God leaves all the details of the world's government to a deputy, Fortune, whose sole work it is to change and change again all earthly things, and who can disregard the wailings of men in unalterable beatitude. Nevertheless, Dante does not for a moment loose his hold on the moral responsibility of man; he believes in free will.

The belief in the freedom of the will, in the popular sense of the words, has always prevailed in Western countries. At all times men have been held responsible for their actions, as though this freedom were a matter of course. The case is otherwise with the religious and philosophical doctrine, which labours under the difficulty of harmonizing the nature of the will with the laws of the universe at large. We have here to do with a question of more or less, which every moral estimate must take into account. Dante is not wholly free from those astrological superstitions which illumined the horizon of his time with deceptive light, but they do not hinder him from rising to a worthy conception of human nature. 'The stars,' he makes his Marco Lombardo say,⁹⁸² 'the stars give the first impulse to your actions,' but

Doctrine of
Free Will

Light has been given you for good and evil
And free volition; which, if some fatigue
In the first battles with the heavens it suffers,
Afterwards conquers all, if well 'tis nurtured.

Others might seek the necessity which annulled human freedom in another power than the stars, but the question was henceforth an open and inevitable one. So far as it was a question for the schools or the pursuit of isolated thinkers, its treatment belongs to the historian of philosophy. But inasmuch as it entered into the consciousness of a wider public, it is necessary for us to say a few words respecting it.

The fourteenth century was chiefly stimulated by the writings of Cicero, who, though in fact an eclectic, yet, by his habit of setting forth the opinions of different schools, without coming to a decision between them, exercised the influence of a sceptic. Next in importance came Seneca, and the few works of Aristotle which had been translated into Latin. The immediate

fruit of these studies was the capacity to reflect on great subjects, if not in direct opposition to the authority of the Church, at all events independently of it.

Influence of
Antiquity
in the
15th Century

In the course of the fifteenth century the works of antiquity were discovered and diffused with extraordinary rapidity. All the writings of the Greek philosophers which we ourselves possess were now, at least in the form of Latin translations, in everybody's hands. It is a curious fact that some of the most zealous apostles of this new culture were men of the strictest piety, or even ascetics (p. 140). Fra Ambrogio Camaldolese, as a spiritual dignitary chiefly occupied with ecclesiastical affairs, and as a literary man with the translation of the Greek Fathers of the Church, could not repress the humanistic impulse, and at the request of Cosimo de' Medici, undertook to translate Diogenes Laertius into Latin. His contemporaries, Niccolò Niccoli, Giannozzo Mannetti, Donato Acciaiuoli, and Pope Nicholas V,⁹⁸³ united to a many-sided humanism profound biblical scholarship and deep piety. In Vittorino da Feltre the same temper has been already noticed (p. 108 sqq.). The same Matthew Vegio, who added a thirteenth book to the 'Æneid,' had an enthusiasm for the memory of St. Augustine and his mother Monica which cannot have been without a deeper influence upon him. The result of all these tendencies was that the Platonic Academy at Florence deliberately chose for its object the reconciliation of the spirit of antiquity with that of Christianity. It was a remarkable oasis in the humanism of the period.

Piety and
Humanism

Pl. 238

The middle
path of
Humanism

This humanism was in fact pagan, and became more and more so as its sphere widened in the fifteenth century. Its representatives, whom we have already described as the advanced guard of an unbridled individualism, display as a rule such a character that even their religion, which is sometimes professed very definitely, becomes a matter of indifference to us. They easily got the name of atheists, if they showed themselves indifferent to religion, and spoke freely against the Church; but not one of them ever professed, or dared to profess, a formal, philosophical atheism.⁹⁸⁴ If they sought for any leading principle, it must have been a kind of superficial rationalism—a careless inference from the many and contradictory opinions of antiquity with which they busied themselves, and from the discredit into which the Church and her doctrines had fallen. This was the sort of reasoning which was near bringing Galeottus Martius⁹⁸⁵ to the stake, had not his former pupil, Pope Sixtus IV, perhaps at the request of Lorenzo de' Medici, saved him from the hands of the Inquisition. Galeotto had ventured to write that the man who walked uprightly, and acted according to the natural law born within him, would go to heaven, whatever nation he belonged to.

Religion of
Codrus
Urcus

Let us take, by way of example, the religious attitude of one of the smaller

men in the great army. Codrus Urceus⁹⁸⁶ was first the tutor of the last Ordelaffo, Prince of Forlì, and afterwards for many years professor at Bologna. Against the Church and the monks his language is as abusive as that of the rest. His tone in general is reckless to the last degree, and he constantly introduces himself in all his local history and gossip. But he knows how to speak to the edification of the true God-Man, Jesus Christ, and to commend himself by letter to the prayers of a saintly priest. On one occasion, after enumerating the follies of the pagan religions, he thus goes on: 'Our theologians, too, fight and quarrel "de lana caprina," about the Immaculate Conception, Antichrist, Sacraments, Predestination, and other things, which were better let alone than talked of publicly.' Once, when he was not at home, his room and manuscripts were burnt. When he heard the news he stood opposite a figure of the Madonna in the street, and cried to it: 'Listen to what I tell you; I am not mad, I am saying what I mean. If I ever call upon you in the hour of my death, you need not hear me or take me among your own, for I will go and spend eternity with the devil.' After which speech he found it desirable to spend six months in retirement at the house of a wood-cutter. With all this, he was so superstitious that prodigies and omens gave him incessant frights, leaving him no belief to spare for the immortality of the soul. When his hearers questioned him on the matter, he answered that no one knew what became of a man, of his soul or his body, after death, and the talk about another life was only fit to frighten old women. But when he came to die, he commended in his will his soul or his spirit⁹⁸⁷ to Almighty God, exhorted his weeping pupils to fear the Lord, and especially to believe in immortality and future retribution, and received the Sacrament with much fervour. We have no guarantee that more famous men in the same calling, however significant their opinions may be, were in practical life any more consistent. It is probable that most of them wavered inwardly between incredulity and a remnant of the faith in which they were brought up, and outwardly held for prudential reasons to the Church.

Through the connexion of rationalism with the newly born science of historical investigation, some timid attempts at biblical criticism may here and there have been made. A saying of Pius II⁹⁸⁸ has been recorded, which seems intended to prepare the way for such criticism: 'Even if Christianity were not confirmed by miracles, it ought still to be accepted on account of its morality.' The legends of the Church, in so far as they contained arbitrary versions of the biblical miracles, were freely ridiculed,⁹⁸⁹ and this reacted on the religious sense of the people. Where Judaizing heretics are mentioned, we must understand chiefly those who denied the Divinity of Christ, which was probably the offence for which Giorgio da Novara was burnt at Bologna about the year 1500.⁹⁹⁰ But again at Bologna in the year 1497 the Dominican

Beginnings
of Negative
Criticism

Inquisitor was forced to let the physician Gabriele da Salò, who had powerful patrons, escape with a simple expression of penitence,⁹⁹¹ although he was in the habit of maintaining that Christ was not God, but son of Joseph and Mary, and conceived in the usual way; that by his cunning he had deceived the world to its ruin; that he may have died on the cross on account of crimes which he had committed; that his religion would soon come to an end; that his body was not really contained in the sacrament, and that he performed his miracles, not through any divine power, but through the influence of the heavenly bodies. This latter statement is most characteristic of the time, Faith is gone, but magic still holds its ground.⁹⁹²

Fatalism of
the Humanists

With respect to the moral government of the world, the humanists seldom get beyond a cold and resigned consideration of the prevalent violence and misrule. In this mood the many works 'On Fate,' or whatever name they bear, are written. They tell of the turning of the wheel of Fortune, and of the instability of earthly, especially political, things. Providence is only brought in because the writers would still be ashamed of undisguised fatalism, of the avowal of their ignorance, or of useless complaints. Gioviano Pontano⁹⁹³ ingeniously illustrates the nature of that mysterious something which men call Fortune by a hundred incidents, most of which belonged to his own experience. The subject is treated more humorously by Æneas Sylvius, in the form of a vision seen in a dream.⁹⁹⁴ The aim of Poggio, on the other hand, in a work written in his old age,⁹⁹⁵ is to represent the world as a vale of tears, and to fix the happiness of various classes as low as possible. This tone became in future the prevalent one. Distinguished men drew up a debit and credit of the happiness and unhappiness of their lives, and generally found that the latter outweighed the former. The fate of Italy and the Italians, so far as it could be told in the year 1510, has been described with dignity and an almost elegiac pathos by Tristan Caracciolo.⁹⁹⁶ Applying this general tone of feeling to the humanists themselves, Pierio Valeriano afterwards composed his famous treatise (pp. 142, 143). Some of these themes, such as the fortunes of Leo, were most suggestive. All the good that can be said of him politically has been briefly and admirably summed up by Francesco Vettori; the picture of Leo's pleasures is given by Paolo Giovio and in the anonymous biography;⁹⁹⁷ and the shadows which attended his prosperity are drawn with inexorable truth by the same Pierio Valeriano.

Glorification
of Fortune
Pls. 9—12

We cannot on the other hand, read without a kind of awe how men sometimes boasted of their fortune in public inscriptions. Giovanni II Bentivoglio, ruler of Bologna, ventured to carve in stone on the newly built tower by his palace, that his merit and his fortune had given him richly of all that could be desired⁹⁹⁸—and this a few years before his expulsion. The ancients, when they spoke in this tone, had nevertheless a sense of the envy of the

gods. In Italy it was probably the Condottieri (p. 11) who first ventured to boast so loudly of their fortune.

But the way in which resuscitated antiquity affected religion most powerfully, was not through any doctrines or philosophical system, but through a general tendency which it fostered. The men, and in some respects the institutions of antiquity were preferred to those of the Middle Ages, and in the eager attempt to imitate and reproduce them, religion was left to take care of itself. All was absorbed in the admiration for historical greatness (Part ii. p. 75 sqq., and above, *passim*). To this the philologists added many special follies of their own, by which they became the mark for general attention. How far Paul II was justified in calling his Abbreviators and their friends to account for their paganism, is certainly a matter of great doubt, as his biographer and chief victim, Platina (pp. 117, 172), has shown a masterly skill in explaining his vindictiveness on other grounds, and especially in making him play a ludicrous figure. The charges of infidelity, paganism,⁹⁹⁹ denial of immortality, and so forth, were not made against the accused till the charge of high treason had broken down. Paul, indeed, if we are correctly informed about him, was by no means the man to judge of intellectual things. It was he who exhorted the Romans to teach their children nothing beyond reading and writing. His priestly narrowness of view reminds us of Savonarola (p. 250), with the difference that Paul might fairly have been told that he and his like were in great part to blame if culture made men hostile to religion. It cannot, nevertheless, be doubted that he felt a real anxiety about the pagan tendencies which surrounded him. And what, in truth, may not the humanists have allowed themselves at the court of the profligate pagan, Sigismondo Malatesta? How far these men, destitute for the most part of fixed principle, ventured to go, depended assuredly on the sort of influences they were exposed to. Nor could they treat of Christianity without paganizing it (Part. iii. 132). It is curious, for instance, to notice how far Gioviano Pontano carried this confusion. He speaks of a saint not only as 'divus,' but as 'deus'; the angels he holds to be identical with the genii of antiquity;¹⁰⁰⁰ and his notion of immortality reminds us of the old kingdom of the shades. This spirit occasionally appears in the most extravagant shapes. In 1526, when Siena was attacked by the exiled party,¹⁰⁰¹ the worthy canon Tizio, who tells us the story himself, rose from his bed on the 22nd July, called to mind what is written in the third book of Macrobius,¹⁰⁰² celebrated mass, and then pronounced against the enemy the curse with which his author had supplied him, only altering 'Tellus mater teque Juppiter obtestor' into 'Tellus teque Christe Deus obtestor.' After he had done this for three days, the enemy retreated. On the one side, these things strike us as an affair of mere style and fashion; on the other, as a symptom of religious decadence.

Pagan
Externals

Influence of
ancient
Superstition

But in another way, and that dogmatically, antiquity exercised a perilous influence. It imparted to the Renaissance its own forms of superstition. Some fragments of this had survived in Italy all through the Middle Ages, and the resuscitation of the whole was thereby made so much the more easy. The part played by the imagination in the process need not be dwelt upon. This only could have silenced the critical intellect of the Italians.

The belief in a Divine government of the world was in many minds destroyed by the spectacle of so much injustice and misery. Others, like Dante, surrendered at all events this life to the caprices of chance, and if they nevertheless retained a sturdy faith, it was because they held that the higher destiny of man would be accomplished in the life to come. But when the belief in immortality began to waver, then Fatalism got the upper hand, or sometimes the latter came first and had the former as its consequence.

Astrology
Pls. 184, 185

The gap thus opened was in the first place filled by the astrology of antiquity, or even of the Arabs. From the relations of the planets among themselves and to the signs of the zodiac, future events and the course of whole lives were inferred, and the most weighty decisions were taken in consequence. In many cases the line of action thus adopted at the suggestion of the stars may not have been more immoral than that which would otherwise have been followed. But too often the decision must have been made at the cost of honour and conscience. It is profoundly instructive to observe how powerless culture and enlightenment were against this delusion; since the latter had its support in the ardent imagination of the people, in the passionate wish to penetrate and determine the future. Antiquity, too, was on the side of astrology.

Its Diffusion

At the beginning of the thirteenth century this superstition suddenly appeared in the foreground of Italian life. The Emperor Frederick II always travelled with his astrologer Theodorus; and Ezzelino da Romano¹⁰⁰³ with a large, well-paid court of such people, among them the famous Guido Bonatto and the long-bearded Saracen, Paul of Baghdad. In all important undertakings they fixed for him the day and the hour, and the gigantic atrocities of which he was guilty may have been in part practical inferences from their prophecies. Soon all scruples about consulting the stars ceased. Not only princes, but free cities¹⁰⁰⁴ had their regular astrologers, and at the universities,¹⁰⁰⁵ from the fourteenth to the sixteenth century, professors of this pseudo-science were appointed, and lectured side by side with the astronomers. The Popes¹⁰⁰⁶ commonly made no secret of their star-gazing, though Pius II, who also despised magic, omens, and the interpretation of dreams, is an honourable exception.¹⁰⁰⁷ Even Leo X seems to have thought the flourishing condition of astrology a credit to his pontificate,¹⁰⁰⁸ and Paul III never held a Consistory till the star-gazers had fixed the hour.¹⁰⁰⁹

It may fairly be assumed that the better natures did not allow their actions to be determined by the stars beyond a certain point, and that there was a limit where conscience and religion made them pause. In fact, not only did pious and excellent people share the delusion, but they actually came forward to profess it publicly. One of these was Maestro Pagolo of Florence,¹⁰¹⁰ in whom we can detect the same desire to turn astrology to moral account which meets us in the late Roman Firmicus Maternus.¹⁰¹¹ His life was that of a saintly ascetic. He ate almost nothing, despised all temporal goods, and only collected books. A skilled physician, he only practised among his friends, and made it a condition of his treatment that they should confess their sins. He frequented the small but famous circle which assembled in the Monastery of the Angeli around Fra Ambrogio Camaldolese (p. 264). He also saw much of Cosimo the Elder, especially in his last years; for Cosimo accepted and used astrology, though probably only for objects of lesser importance. As a rule, however, Pagolo only interpreted the stars to his most confidential friends. But even without this severity of morals, the astrologers might be highly respected and show themselves everywhere. There were also far more of them in Italy than in other European countries, where they only appeared at the great courts, and there not always. All the great householders in Italy, when the fashion was once established, kept an astrologer, who, it must be added, was not always sure of his dinner.¹⁰¹² Through the literature of this science, which was widely diffused even before the invention of printing, a diletantism also grew up which as far as possible followed in the steps of the masters. The worst class of astrologers were those who used the stars either as an aid or a cloak to magical arts.

Its better
aspects

Yet apart from the latter, astrology is a miserable feature in the life of that time. What a figure do all these highly gifted, many-sided, original characters play, when the blind passion for knowing and determining the future dethrones their powerful will and resolution! Now and then, when the stars send them too cruel a message, they manage to brace themselves up, act for themselves, and say boldly: 'Vir sapiens dominabitur astris'—the wise man is master of the stars,¹⁰¹³ and then again relapse into the old delusion.

Its influence
on everyday
life

In all the better families the horoscope of the children was drawn as a matter of course, and it sometimes happened that for half a lifetime men were haunted by the idle expectation of events which never occurred.¹⁰¹⁴ The stars were questioned whenever a great man had to come to any important decision, and even consulted as to the hour at which any undertaking was to be begun. The journeys of princes, the reception of foreign ambassadors,¹⁰¹⁵ the laying of the foundation-stone of public buildings, depended on the answer. A striking instance of the latter occurs in the life of the aforementioned

The stars and
the laying of
foundation-
stones

Guido Bonatto, who by his personal activity and by his great systematic work on the subject¹⁰¹⁶ deserves to be called the restorer of astrology in the thirteenth century. In order to put an end to the struggle of the Guelphs and Ghibellines at Forlì, he persuaded the inhabitants to rebuild the city walls and to begin the works under a constellation indicated by himself. If then two men, one from each party, at the same moment put a stone into the foundation, there would henceforth and for ever be no more party divisions in Forlì. A Guelph and a Ghibelline were selected for this office; the solemn moment arrived, each held the stone in his hands, the workmen stood ready with their implements, Bonatto gave the signal and the Ghibelline threw down his stone on to the foundation. But the Guelph hesitated, and at last refused to do anything at all, on the ground that Bonatto himself had the reputation of a Ghibelline and might be devising some mysterious mischief against the Guelphs. Upon which the astrologer addressed him: 'God damn thee and the Guelph party, with your distrustful malice! This constellation will not appear above our city for 500 years to come.' In fact God soon afterwards did destroy the Guelphs of Forlì, but now, writes the chronicler about 1480, the two parties are thoroughly reconciled, and their very names are heard no longer.¹⁰¹⁷

Astrology
in War

Nothing that depended upon the stars was more important than decisions in time of war. The same Bonatto procured for the great Ghibelline leader Guido da Montefeltro a series of victories, by telling him the propitious hour for marching. When Montefeltro was no longer accompanied by him¹⁰¹⁸ he lost the courage to maintain his despotism, and entered a Minorite monastery, where he lived as a monk for many years till his death. In the war with Pisa in 1362, the Florentines commissioned their astrologer to fix the hour for the march,¹⁰¹⁹ and almost came too late through suddenly receiving orders to take a circuitous route through the city. On former occasions they had marched out by the Via di Borgo Santi Apostoli, and the campaign had been unsuccessful. It was clear that there was some bad omen connected with the exit through this street against Pisa, and consequently the army was now led out by the Porta Rossa. But as the tents stretched out there to dry had not been taken away, the flags—another bad omen—had to be lowered. The influence of astrology in war was confirmed by the fact that nearly all the Condottieri believed in it. Jacopo Caldora was cheerful in the most serious illness, knowing that he was fated to fall in battle, which in fact happened.¹⁰²⁰ Bartolommeo Alviano was convinced that his wounds in the head were as much a gift of the stars as his military command.¹⁰²¹ Niccolò Orsini-Pitigliano asked the physicist and astrologer Alessandro Benedetto¹⁰²² to fix a favourable hour for the conclusion of his bargain with Venice (1495). When the Florentines on June 1, 1498, solemnly invested their new Condottiere Paolo Vitelli

with his office, the Marshal's staff which they handed him was, at his own wish, decorated with pictures of the constellations.¹⁰²³

Sometimes it is not easy to make out whether in important political events the stars were questioned beforehand, or whether the astrologers were simply impelled afterwards by curiosity to find out the constellation which decided the result. When Giangaleazzo Visconti (p. 7) by a master-stroke of policy took prisoner his uncle Bernabò, with the latter's family (1385), we are told by a contemporary, that Jupiter, Saturn, and Mars stood in the house of the Twins,¹⁰²⁴ but we cannot say if the deed was resolved on in consequence. It is also probable that the advice of the astrologers was often determined by political calculation not less than by the course of the planets.¹⁰²⁵

Astrology
and political
events

All Europe, through the latter part of the Middle Ages, had allowed itself to be terrified by predictions of plagues, wars, floods, and earthquakes, and in this respect Italy was by no means behind other countries. The unlucky year 1494, which for ever opened the gates of Italy to the stranger, was undeniably ushered in by many prophecies of misfortune¹⁰²⁶—only we cannot say whether such prophecies were not ready for each and every year.

This mode of thought was extended with thorough consistency into regions where we should hardly expect to meet with it. If the whole outward and spiritual life of the individual is determined by the facts of his birth, the same law also governs groups of individuals and historical products—that is to say, nations and religions; and as the constellation of these things changes, so do the things themselves. The idea that each religion has its day, first came into Italian culture in connexion with these astrological beliefs. The conjunction of Jupiter with Saturn brought forth, we are told,¹⁰²⁷ the faith of Israel; that of Jupiter and Mars, the Chaldean; with the Sun, the Egyptian; with Venus, the Mohammedan; with Mercury, the Christian; and the conjunction of Jupiter with the Moon will one day bring forth the religion of Antichrist. Cecco d'Ascoli had already blasphemously calculated the nativity of Christ, and deduced from it his death upon the cross. For this he was burnt at the stake in 1327, at Florence.¹⁰²⁸ Doctrines of this sort ended by simply darkening men's whole perceptions of spiritual things.

Dependence
of Religions
on the Stars

So much more worthy then of recognition is the warfare which the clear Italian spirit waged against this army of delusions. Notwithstanding the great monumental glorification of astrology, as in the frescoes in the Salone at Padua,¹⁰²⁹ and those in Borso's summer palace (Schifanoia), at Ferrara, notwithstanding the shameless praises of even such a man as the elder Beroaldus,¹⁰³⁰ there was no want of thoughtful and independent minds to protest against it. Here, too, the way had been prepared by antiquity, but it was their own common sense and observation which taught them what to say. Petrarch's attitude towards the astrologers, whom he knew by personal intercourse, is

Opponents
of Astrology

Pls. 286,—288.
357, 358

one of bitter contempt;¹⁰³¹ and no one saw through their system of lies more clearly than he. The novels, from the time when they first began to appear—from the time of the ‘*Cento novelle antiche*,’ are almost always hostile to the astrologers.¹⁰³² The Florentine chroniclers bravely keep themselves free from the delusions which, as part of historical tradition, they are compelled to record. Giovanni Villani says more than once,¹⁰³³ ‘No constellation can subjugate either the free will of man, or the counsels of God.’ Matteo Villani declares astrology to be a vice which the Florentines had inherited, along with other superstitions, from their pagan ancestors, the Romans. The question, however, did not remain one for mere literary discussion, but the parties for and against disputed publicly. After the terrible floods of 1333, and again in 1345, astrologers and theologians discussed with great minuteness the influence of the stars, the will of God, and the justice of his punishments.¹⁰³⁴ These struggles never ceased throughout the whole time of the Renaissance,¹⁰³⁵ and we may conclude that the protestors were in earnest, since it was easier for them to recommend themselves to the great by defending, than by opposing astrology.

In the circle of Lorenzo the Magnificent, among his most distinguished Platonists, opinions were divided on this question. Marsilio Ficino defended astrology, and drew the horoscope of the children of the house, promising the little Giovanni, afterwards Leo X, that he would one day be Pope.¹⁰³⁶ Pico della Mirandola,¹⁰³⁷ on the other hand, made an epoch in the subject by his famous refutation. He detects in this belief the root of all impiety and immorality. If the astrologer, he maintains, believes in anything at all, he must worship not God, but the planets, from which all good and evil are derived. All other superstitions find a ready instrument in astrology, which serves as handmaid to geomancy, chiromancy, and magic of every kind. As to morality, he maintains that nothing can more foster evil than the opinion that heaven itself is the cause of it, in which case the faith in eternal happiness and punishment must also disappear. Pico even took the trouble to check off the astrologers inductively, and found that in the course of a month three-fourths of their weather prophecies turned out false. But his main achievement was to set forth, in the Fourth Book—a positive Christian doctrine of the freedom of the will and the government of the universe, which seems to have made a greater impression on the educated classes throughout Italy than all the revivalist preachers put together. The latter, in fact, often failed to reach these classes.

The first result of his book was that the astrologers ceased to publish their doctrines,¹⁰³⁸ and those who had already printed them were more or less ashamed of what they had done. Gioviano Pontano, for example, in his book on Fate (p. 266), had recognized the science, and in a great work of his¹⁰³⁹

Pl. 225

Pico's
Refutation
Pl. 232

Its effect

had expounded the whole theory of it in the style of the old Firmicus, ascribing to the stars the growth of every bodily and spiritual quality. He now in his dialogue 'Ægidius,' surrendered, if not astrology, at least certain astrologers, and sounded the praises of free will, by which man is enabled to know God. Astrology remained more or less in fashion, but seems not to have governed human life in the way it formerly had done. The art of painting, which in the fifteenth century had done its best to foster the delusion, now expressed the altered tone of thought. Raphael, in the cupola of the Cappella Chigi,¹⁰⁴⁰ represents the gods of the different planets and the starry firmament, watched, however, and guided by beautiful angel-figures, and receiving from above the blessing of the Eternal Father. There was also another cause which now began to tell against astrology in Italy. The Spaniards took no interest in it, not even the generals, and those who wished to gain their favour¹⁰⁴¹ declared open war against the half-heretical, half-Mohammedan science. It is true that Guicciardini¹⁰⁴² writes in the year 1529: 'How happy are the astrologers, who are believed if they tell one truth to a hundred lies, while other people lose all credit if they tell one lie to a hundred truths.' But the contempt for astrology did not necessarily lead to a return to the belief in Providence. It could as easily lead to an indefinite Fatalism.

In this respect, as in others, Italy was unable to make its own way healthily through the ferment of the Renaissance, because the foreign invasion and the Counter-Reformation came upon it in the middle. Without such interfering causes its own strength would have enabled it thoroughly to get rid of these fantastic illusions. Those who hold that the onslaught of the strangers and the Catholic reactions were necessities for which the Italian people was itself solely responsible, will look on the spiritual bankruptcy which they produced as a just retribution. But it is a pity that the rest of Europe had indirectly to pay so large a part of the penalty.

The beliefs in omens seems a much more innocent matter than astrology. The Middle Age had everywhere inherited them in abundance from the various pagan religions; and Italy did not differ in this respect from other countries. What is characteristic of Italy is the support lent by humanism to the popular superstition. The pagan inheritance was here backed up by a pagan literary development.

The popular superstition of the Italians rested largely on premonitions and inferences drawn from ominous occurrences,¹⁰⁴³ with which a good deal of magic, mostly of an innocent sort, was connected. There was, however, no lack of learned humanists who boldly ridiculed these delusions, and to whose attacks we partly owe the knowledge of them. Gioviano Pontano, the author of the great astrological work already mentioned above, enumerates with pity in his 'Charon,' a long string of Neapolitan superstitions—the grief of

Other
Superstitions

the women when a fowl or a goose caught the pip; the deep anxiety of the nobility if a hunting falcon did not come home, or if a horse sprained his foot; the magical formulæ of the Apulian peasants, recited on three Saturday evenings, when mad dogs were at large. The animal kingdom, as in antiquity, was regarded as specially significant in this respect, and the behaviour of the lions, leopards, and other beasts kept by the State (p. 150 sqq.) gave the people all the more food for reflection, because they had come to be considered as living symbols of the State. During the siege of Florence, in 1529, an eagle which had been shot at fled into the city, and the Signoria gave the bearer four ducats, because the omen was good.¹⁰⁴⁴ Certain times and places were favourable or unfavourable, or even decisive one way or the other, for certain actions. The Florentines, so Varchi tells us, held Saturday to be the fateful day on which all important events, good as well as bad, commonly happened. Their prejudice against marching out to war through a particular street has been already mentioned (p. 270). At Perugia one of the gates, the 'Porta Eburnea,' was thought lucky, and the Baglioni always went out to fight through it.¹⁰⁴⁵ Meteors and the appearance of the heavens were as significant in Italy as elsewhere in the Middle Ages, and the popular imagination saw warring armies in an unusual formation of clouds, and heard the clash of their collision high in the air.¹⁰⁴⁶ The superstition became a more serious matter when it attached itself to sacred things, when figures of the Virgin wept or moved the eyes,¹⁰⁴⁷ or when public calamities were associated with some alleged act of impiety, for which the people demanded expiation. In 1478, when Piacenza was visited with a violent and prolonged rainfall, it was said that there would be no dry weather till a certain usurer, who had been lately buried in San Francesco, had ceased to rest in consecrated earth. As the bishop was not obliging enough to have the corpse dug up, the young fellows of the town took it by force, dragged it round the streets amid frightful confusion, and at last threw it into the Po.¹⁰⁴⁸ Even Politian accepted this point of view in speaking of Giacomo Pazzi, one of the chiefs of the conspiracy of 1478, in Florence, which is called after his family. When he was put to death, he devoted his soul to Satan with fearful words. Here, too, rain followed and threatened to ruin the harvest; here, too, a party of men, mostly peasants, dug up the body in the church, and immediately the clouds departed and the sun shone—'so gracious was fortune to the opinion of the people,' adds the great scholar.¹⁰⁴⁹ The corpse was first cast into unhallowed ground, the next day again dug up, and after a horrible procession through the city thrown into the Arno.

Public
Calamities

Superstition
among the
Humanists

These facts and the like bear a popular character, and might have occurred in the tenth, just as well as in the sixteenth century. But now comes the literary influence of antiquity. We know positively that the humanists were

peculiarly accessible to prodigies and auguries, and instances of this have been already quoted. If further evidence were needed, it would be found in Poggio. The same radical thinker who denied the rights of noble birth and the inequality of men (p. 187 sqq.), not only believed in all the mediæval stories of ghosts and devils (fol. 167, 179), but also in prodigies after the ancient pattern, like those said to have occurred on the last visit of Eugenius IV to Florence.¹⁰⁵⁰ 'Near Como there were seen one evening 4,000 dogs, who took the road to Germany; these were followed by a great herd of cattle, and these by an army on foot and horseback, some with no heads and some with almost invisible heads, and then a gigantic horseman with another herd of cattle behind him.' Poggio also believes in a battle of magpies and jackdaws (fol. 180). He even relates, perhaps without being aware of it, a well-preserved piece of ancient mythology. On the Dalmatian coast a Triton had appeared, bearded and horned, a genuine sea-satyr, ending in fins and a tail; he carried away women and children from the shore, till five stout-hearted washer-women killed him with sticks and stones.¹⁰⁵¹ A wooden model of the monster, which was exhibited at Ferrara, makes the whole story credible to Poggio. Though there were no more oracles, and it was no longer possible to take counsel of the gods, yet it became again the fashion to open Virgil at hazard, and take the passage hit upon as an omen¹⁰⁵² ('Sortes Virgilianæ'). Nor can the belief in dæmons current in the later period of antiquity have been without influence on the Renaissance. The work of Jamblichus or Abammon on the Mysteries of the Egyptians, which may have contributed to this result, was printed in a Latin translation at the end of the fifteenth century. The Platonic Academy at Florence was not free from these and other neoplatonic dreams of the Roman decadence. A few words must here be given to the belief in dæmons and to the magic which was connected with this belief.

The popular faith in what is called the spirit-world was nearly the same in Italy as elsewhere in Europe.¹⁰⁵³ In Italy as elsewhere there were ghosts, that is, reappearances of deceased persons; and if the view taken of them differed in any respect from that which prevailed in the North, the difference betrayed itself only in the ancient name 'ombra.' Even nowadays if such a shade presents itself, a couple of masses are said for its repose. That the spirits of bad men appear in a dreadful shape, is a matter of course, but along with this we find the notion that the ghosts of the departed are universally malicious. The dead, says the priest in Bandello,¹⁰⁵⁴ kill the little children. It seems as if a certain shade was here thought of as separate from the soul, since the latter suffers in Purgatory, and when it appears, does nothing but wail and pray. At other times what appears is not the ghost of a man, but of an event—of a past condition of things. So the neighbours explained

Ghosts of the
Dead

the diabolical appearances in the old palace of the Visconti near San Giovanni in Conca, at Milan, since here it was that Bernabò Visconti had caused countless victims of his tyranny to be tortured and strangled, and no wonder if there were strange things to be seen.¹⁰⁵⁵ One evening a swarm of poor people with candles in their hands appeared to a dishonest guardian of the poor at Perugia, and danced round about him; a great figure spoke in threatening tones on their behalf—it was St. Alò, the patron saint of the poor-house.¹⁰⁵⁰ These modes of belief were so much a matter of course that the poets could make use of them as something which every reader would understand. The appearance of the slain Lodovico Pico under the walls of the besieged Mirandola is finely represented by Castiglione.¹⁰⁵⁷ It is true that poetry made the freest use of these conceptions when the poet himself had outgrown them.

Belief in
Daemons
Pls. 176, 177,
180

Italy, too, shared the belief in dæmons with the other nations of the Middle Ages. Men were convinced that God sometimes allowed bad spirits of every class to exercise a destructive influence on parts of the world and of human life. The only reservation made was that the man to whom the Evil One came as tempter, could use his free will to resist. In Italy the dæmonic influence, especially as shown in natural events, easily assumed a character of poetical greatness. In the night before the great inundation of the Val d'Arno in 1333, a pious hermit above Vallombrosa heard a diabolical tumult in his cell, crossed himself, stepped to the door, and saw a crowd of black and terrible knights gallop by in armour. When conjured to stand, one of them said: 'We go to drown the city of Florence on account of its sins, if God will let us.'¹⁰⁵⁸ With this, the nearly contemporary vision at Venice (1340) may be compared, out of which a great master of the Venetian school, probably Giorgione, made the marvellous picture of a galley full of dæmons, which speeds with the swiftness of a bird over the stormy lagoon to destroy the sinful island-city, till the three saints, who have stepped unobserved into a poor boatman's skiff, exorcized the fiends and sent them and their vessel to the bottom of the waters.

Pl. 178

Exorcisms

To this belief the illusion was now added that by means of magical arts it was possible to enter into relations with the evil ones, and use their help to further the purposes of greed, ambition, and sensuality. Many persons were probably accused of doing so before the time when it was actually attempted by many; but when the so-called magicians and witches began to be burned, the deliberate practice of the black art became more frequent. With the smoke of the fires in which the suspected victims were sacrificed, were spread the narcotic fumes by which numbers of ruined characters were drugged into magic; and with them many calculating impostors became associated.

The primitive and popular form in which the superstition had probably lived on uninterruptedly from the time of the Romans, was the art of the witch (Strega). The witch, so long as she limited herself to mere divination,¹⁰⁵⁹ might be innocent enough, were it not that the transition from prophecy to active help could easily, though often imperceptibly, be a fatal downward step. She was credited in such a case not only with the power of exciting love or hatred between man and woman, but also with purely destructive and malignant arts, and was especially charged with the sickness of little children, even when the malady obviously came from the neglect and stupidity of the parents. It is still questionable how far she was supposed to act by mere magical ceremonies and formulæ, or by a conscious alliance with the fiends, apart from the poisons and drugs which she administered with a full knowledge of their effect.

The Italian
Witch
Pl. 182

The more innocent form of the superstition, in which the mendicant friar could venture to appear as the competitor of the witch, is shown in the case of the witch of Gaeta whom we read of in Pontano.¹⁰⁶⁰ His traveller Suppatius reaches her dwelling while she is giving audience to a girl and a servant-maid, who come to her with a black hen, nine eggs laid on a Friday, a duck, and some white thread—for it is the third day since the new moon. They are then sent away, and bidden to come again at twilight. It is to be hoped that nothing worse than divination is intended. The mistress of the servant-maid is pregnant by a monk; the girl's lover has proved untrue and has gone into a monastery. The witch complains: 'Since my husband's death I support myself in this way, and should make a good thing of it, since the Gaetan women have plenty of faith, were it not that the monks balk me of my gains by explaining dreams, appeasing the anger of the saints for money, promising husbands to the girls, men-children to the pregnant women, offspring to the barren, and besides all this visiting the women at night when their husbands are away fishing, in accordance with the assignations made in day-time at church.' Suppatius warns her against the envy of the monastery, but she has no fear, since the guardian of it is an old acquaintance of hers.

Normal
character

But the superstition further gave rise to a worse sort of witches, namely those who deprived men of their health and life. In these cases the mischief, when not sufficiently accounted for by the evil eye and the like, was naturally attributed to the aid of powerful spirits. The punishment, as we have seen in the case of Finicella (p. 246), was the stake; and yet a compromise with fanaticism was sometimes practicable. According to the laws of Perugia, for example, a witch could settle the affair by paying down 400 pounds.¹⁰⁶¹ The matter was not then treated with the seriousness and consistency of later times. In the territories of the Church, at Norcia (Nursia), the home of St. Benedict, in the upper Apennines, there was a perfect nest of witches and

The Nest of
Witches at
Norcia

sorcerers, and no secret was made of it. It is spoken of in one of the most remarkable letters of Æneas Sylvius,¹⁰⁶² belonging to his earlier period. He writes to his brother: 'The bearer of this came to me to ask if I knew of a Mount of Venus in Italy, for in such a place magical arts were taught, and his master, a Saxon and a great astronomer,¹⁰⁶³ was anxious to learn them. I told him that I knew of a Porto Venere not far from Carrara, on the rocky coast of Liguria, where I spent three nights on the way to Basle; I also found that there was a mountain called Eryx in Sicily, which was dedicated to Venus, but I did not know whether magic was taught there. But it came into my mind while talking that in Umbria, in the old Duchy (Spoleto), near the town of Nursia, there is a cave beneath a steep rock, in which water flows. There, as I remember to have heard, are witches (*striges*), *dæmons*, and nightly shades, and he that has the courage can see and speak to ghosts (*spiritus*), and learn magical arts.¹⁰⁶⁴ I have not seen it, nor taken any trouble about it, for that which is learned with sin is better not learned at all.' He nevertheless names his informant, and begs his brother to take the bearer of the letter to him, should he be still alive. Æneas goes far enough here in his politeness to a man of position, but personally he was not only freer from superstition than his contemporaries (pp. 254, 268), but he also stood a test on the subject which not every educated man of our own day could endure. At the time of the Council of Basle, when he lay sick of the fever for seventy-five days at Milan, he could never be persuaded to listen to the magic doctors, though a man was brought to his bedside who a short time before had marvellously cured 2,000 soldiers of fever in the camp of Piccinino. While still an invalid, Æneas rode over the mountains to Basle, and got well on the journey.¹⁰⁶⁵

Norcia in the
16th Century

We learn something more about the neighbourhood of Norcia through the necromancer who tried to get Benvenuto Cellini into his power. A new book of magic was to be consecrated,¹⁰⁶⁶ and the best place for the ceremony was among the mountains in that district. The master of the magician had once, it is true, done the same thing near the Abbey of Farfa, but had there found difficulties which did not present themselves at Norcia; further, the peasants in the latter neighbourhood were trustworthy people who had practice in the matter, and who could afford considerable help in case of need. The expedition did not take place, else Benvenuto would probably have been able to tell us something of the impostor's assistants. The whole neighbourhood was then proverbial. Aretino says somewhere of an enchanted well, 'there dwell the sisters of the sibyl of Norcia and the aunt of the Fata Morgana.' And about the same time Trissino could still celebrate the place in his great epic¹⁰⁶⁷ with all the resources of poetry and allegory as the home of authentic prophecy.

After the famous Bull of Innocent VIII (1484),¹⁰⁶⁸ witchcraft and the persecution of witches grew into a great and revolting system. The chief representatives of this system of persecution were German Dominicans; and Germany and, curiously enough, those parts of Italy nearest Germany were the countries most afflicted by this plague. The bulls and injunctions of the Popes themselves¹⁰⁶⁹ refer, for example, to the Dominican Province of Lombardy, to Cremona, to the dioceses of Brescia and Bergamo. We learn from Sprenger's famous theoretico-practical guide, the 'Malleus Maleficarum,' that forty-one witches were burnt at Como in the first year after the publication of the bull; crowds of Italian women took refuge in the territory of the Archduke Sigismund, where they believed themselves to be still safe. Witchcraft ended by taking firm root in a few unlucky Alpine valleys, especially in the Val Camonica;¹⁰⁷⁰ the system of persecution had succeeded in permanently infecting with the delusion those populations which were in any way predisposed for it. This essentially German form of witchcraft is what we should think of when reading the stories and novels of Milan or Bologna.¹⁰⁷¹ That it did not make further progress in Italy is probably due to the fact that here a highly developed 'Stregheria' was already in existence, resting on a different set of ideas. The Italian witch practised a trade, and needed for it money and, above all, sense. We find nothing about her of the hysterical dreams of the Northern witch, of marvellous journeys through the air, of Incubus and Succubus; the business of the 'Strega' was to provide for other people's pleasure. If she was credited with the power of assuming different shapes, or of transporting herself suddenly to distant places, she was so far content to accept this reputation, as her influence was thereby increased; on the other hand, it was perilous for her when the fear of her malice and vengeance, and especially of her power for enchanting children, cattle, and crops, became general. Inquisitors and magistrates were then thoroughly in accord with popular wishes if they burnt her.

By far the most important field for the activity of the 'Strega' lay, as has been said, in love-affairs, and included the stirring up of love and of hatred, the producing of abortion, the pretended murder of the unfaithful man or woman by magical arts, and even the manufacture of poisons.¹⁰⁷² Owing to the unwillingness of many persons to have to do with these women, a class of occasional practitioners arose who secretly learned from them some one or other of their arts, and then used this knowledge on their own account. The Roman prostitutes, for example, tried to enhance their personal attractions by charms of another description in the style of Horatian Canidia. Aretino¹⁰⁷³ may not only have known, but have also told the truth about them in this particular. He gives a list of the loathsome messes which were

Witchcraft
in the North

Its influence
on Northern
Italy

Magic among
Prostitutes

to be found in their boxes—hair, skulls, ribs, teeth, dead men's eyes, human skin, the navels of little children, the soles of shoes and pieces of clothing from tombs. They even went themselves to the graveyard and fetched bits of rotten flesh, which they slyly gave their lovers to eat—with more that is still worse. Pieces of the hair and nails of the lover were boiled in oil stolen from the ever-burning lamps in the church. The most innocuous of their charms was to make a heart of glowing ashes, and then to pierce it while singing:

Prima che'l fuoco spenghi,
Fa ch'a mia porta venghi;
Tal ti punga mio amore
Quale io fo questo cuore.

There were other charms practised by moonshine, with drawings on the ground, and figures of wax or bronze, which doubtless represented the lover, and were treated according to circumstances.

These things were so customary that a woman who, without youth and beauty, nevertheless exercised a powerful charm on men, naturally became suspected of witchcraft. The mother of Sanga,¹⁰⁷⁴ secretary to Clement VII, poisoned her son's mistress, who was a woman of this kind. Unfortunately the son died too, as well as a party of friends who had eaten of the poisoned salad.

The
Magician

Next come, not as helper, but as competitor to the witch, the magician or enchanter—'incantatore'—who was still more familiar with the most perilous business of the craft. Sometimes he was as much or more of an astrologer than of a magician; he probably often gave himself out as an astrologer in order not to be prosecuted as a magician, and a certain astrology was essential in order to find out the favourable hour for a magical process. But since many spirits are good¹⁰⁷⁵ or indifferent, the magician could sometimes maintain a very tolerable reputation, and Sixtus IV in the year 1474, had to proceed expressly against some Bolognese Carmelites,¹⁰⁷⁶ who asserted in the pulpit that there was no harm in seeking information from the *dæmons*. Very many people believed in the possibility of the thing itself; an indirect proof of this lies in the fact that the most pious men believed that by prayer they could obtain visions of good spirits. Savonarola's mind was filled with these things; the Florentine Platonists speak of a mystic union with God; and Marcellus Palingenius (p. 135), gives us to understand clearly enough that he had to do with consecrated spirits.¹⁰⁷⁷ The same writer is convinced of the existence of a whole hierarchy of bad *dæmons*, who have their seat from the moon downwards, and are ever on the watch to do some mischief to nature and human life.¹⁰⁷⁸ He even tells of his own personal acquaintance with some of them, and as the scope of the present work does not allow of a systematic

exposition of the then prevalent belief in spirits, the narrative of Palingenius may be given as one instance out of many.¹⁰⁷⁹

At San Silvestro, on Soracte, he had been receiving instruction from a pious hermit on the nothingness of earthly things and the worthlessness of human life; and when the night drew near he set out on his way back to Rome. On the road, in the full light of the moon, he was joined by three men, one of whom called him by name, and asked him whence he came. Palingenius made answer: 'From the wise man on the mountain.' 'O fool,' replied the stranger, 'dost thou in truth believe that anyone on earth is wise? Only higher beings (Divi) have wisdom, and such are we three, although we wear the shapes of men. I am named Saracil, and these two Sathiel and Jana. Our kingdom lies near the moon, where dwell that multitude of intermediate beings who have sway over earth and sea.' Palingenius then asked, not without an inward tremor, what they were going to do at Rome. The answer was: 'One of our comrades, Ammon, is kept in servitude by the magic arts of a youth from Narni, one of the attendants of Cardinal Orsini; for mark it, O men, there is proof of your own immortality therein, that you can control one of us; I myself, shut up in crystal, was once forced to serve a German, till a bearded monk set me free. This is the service which we wish to render at Rome to our friend, and he shall also take the opportunity of sending one or two distinguished Romans to the nether world.' At these words a light breeze arose, and Sathiel said: 'Listen, our messenger is coming back from Rome, and this wind announces him.' And then another being appeared, whom they greeted joyfully and then asked about Rome. His utterances are strongly anti-papal: Clement VII was again allied with the Spaniards and hoped to root out Luther's doctrines, not with arguments, but by the Spanish sword. This is wholly in the interest of the dæmons, whom the impending bloodshed would enable to carry away the souls of thousands into hell. At the close of this conversation, in which Rome with all its guilt is represented as wholly given over to the Evil One, the apparitions vanish, and leave the poet sorrowfully to pursue his way alone.¹⁰⁸⁰

Those who would form a conception of the extent of the belief in those relations to the dæmons which could be openly avowed in spite of the penalties attaching to witchcraft, may be referred to the much-read work of Agrippa of Nettesheim on 'Secret Philosophy.' He seems originally to have written it before he was in Italy,¹⁰⁸¹ but in the dedication to Trithemius he mentions Italian authorities among others, if only by way of disparagement. In the case of equivocal persons like Agrippa, or of the knaves and fools into whom the majority of the rest may be divided, there is little that is interesting in the system they profess, with its formulæ, fumigations, ointments, and the rest of it.¹⁰⁸² But this system was filled with quotations from the superstitions

Daemons on
the road to
Rome

Extent of
belief in
Daemons.

of antiquity, the influence of which on the life and the passions of Italians is at times most remarkable and fruitful. We might think that a great mind must be thoroughly ruined, before it surrendered itself to such influences; but the violence of hope and desire led even vigorous and original men of all classes to have recourse to the magician, and the belief that the thing was feasible at all weakened to some extent the faith, even of those who kept at a distance, in the moral order of the world. At the cost of a little money and danger it seemed possible to defy with impunity the universal reason and morality of mankind, and to spare oneself the intermediate steps which otherwise lie between a man and his lawful or unlawful ends.

The
Telesmata

Let us here glance for a moment at an older and now decaying form of superstition. From the darkest period of the Middle Ages, or even from the days of antiquity, many cities of Italy had kept the remembrance of the connexion of their fate with certain buildings, statues, or other material objects. The ancients had left records of consecrating priests or *Telestæ*, who were present at the solemn foundation of cities, and magically guaranteed their prosperity by erecting certain monuments or by burying certain objects (*Telesmata*). Traditions of this sort were more likely than anything else to live on in the form of popular, unwritten legend; but in the course of centuries the priest naturally became transformed into the magician, since the religious side of his function was no longer understood. In some of his

At Naples

Virgilian miracles at Naples,¹⁰⁸³ the ancient remembrance of one of these *Telestæ* is clearly preserved, his name being in course of time supplanted by that of Virgil. The enclosing of the mysterious picture of the city in a vessel is neither more nor less than a genuine, ancient *Telesma*; and Virgil the founder of Naples is only the officiating priest, who took part in the ceremony, presented in another dress. The popular imagination went on working at these themes, till Virgil became also responsible for the brazen horse, for the heads at the Nolan gate, for the brazen fly over another gate, and even for the Grotto of Posillipo—all of them things which in one respect or other served to put a magical constraint upon fate, and the first two of which seemed to determine the whole fortune of the city. Mediæval Rome also preserved confused recollections of the same kind. At the church of Sant'

At Milan

Ambrogio at Milan, there was an ancient marble Hercules; so long, it was said, as this stood in its place, so long would the Empire last. That of the Germans is probably meant, as the coronation of their Emperors at Milan took place in this church.¹⁰⁸⁴ The Florentines¹⁰⁸⁵ were convinced that the temple of Mars, afterwards transformed into the Baptistery, would stand to

At Florence

the end of time, according to the constellation under which it had been built; they had, as Christians, removed from it the marble equestrian statue; but since the destruction of the latter would have brought some great calamity

on the city—also according to a constellation—they set it upon a tower by the Arno. When Totila conquered Florence, the statue fell into the river, and was not fished out again till Charlemagne refounded the city. It was then placed on a pillar at the entrance to the Ponte Vecchio, and on this spot Buondelmonti was slain in 1215. The origin of the great feud between Guelph and Ghibelline was thus associated with the dreaded idol. During the inundation of 1333 the statue vanished for ever.

But the same Telesma reappears elsewhere. Guido Bonatto, already mentioned, was not satisfied, at the refounding of the walls of Forlì, with requiring certain symbolic acts of reconciliation from the two parties (p. 270). By burying a bronze or stone equestrian statue,¹⁰⁸⁶ which he had produced by astrological or magical arts, he believed that he had defended the city from ruin, and even from capture and plunder. When Cardinal Albornoz (p. 55) was governor of Romagna some sixty years later, the statue was accidentally dug up and then shown to the people, probably by the order of the Cardinal, that it might be known by what means the cruel Montefeltro had defended himself against the Roman Church. And again, half a century later, when an attempt to surprise Forlì had failed, men began to talk afresh of the virtue of the statue, which had perhaps been saved and reburied. It was the last time that they could do so; for a year later Forlì was really taken. The foundation of buildings all through the fifteenth century was associated not only with astrology (p. 269) but also with magic. The large number of gold and silver medals which Paul II buried in the foundation of his buildings¹⁰⁸⁷ was noticed, and Platina was by no means displeased to recognize an old pagan Telesma in the fact. Neither Paul nor his biographer were in any way conscious of the mediæval religious significance of such an offering.¹⁰⁸⁸

But this official magic, which in many cases only rests on hearsay, was comparatively unimportant by the side of the secret arts practised for personal ends.

The form which these most often took in daily life is shown by Ariosto in his comedy of the necromancers.¹⁰⁸⁹ His hero is one of the many Jewish exiles from Spain, although he also gives himself out for a Greek, an Egyptian, and an African, and is constantly changing his name and costume. He pretends that his incantations can darken the day and lighten the darkness, that he can move the earth, make himself invisible, and change men into beasts; but these vaunts are only an advertisement. His true object is to make his account out of unhappy and troubled marriages, and the traces which he leaves behind him in his course are like the slime of a snail, or often like the ruin wrought by a hail-storm. To attain his ends he can persuade people that the box in which a lover is hidden is full of ghosts, or that he can make a

The Telesma
at Forlì

Magic and
the laying of
foundation-
stones

Necromancers
in Poetry

corpse talk. It is at all events a good sign that poets and novelists could reckon on popular applause in holding up this class of men to ridicule. Bandello not only treats the sorcery of a Lombard monk as a miserable, and in its consequences terrible, piece of knavery,¹⁰⁰⁰ but he also describes with unaffected indignation¹⁰⁰¹ the disasters which never cease to pursue the credulous fool. 'A man hopes with "Solomon's Key" and other magical books to find the treasures hidden in the bosom of the earth, to force his lady to do his will, to find out the secret of princes, and to transport himself in the twinkling of an eye from Milan to Rome. The more often he is deceived, the more steadfastly he believes . . . Do you remember the time, Signor Carlo, when a friend of ours, in order to win the favour of his beloved, filled his room with skulls and bones like a churchyard?' The most loathsome tasks were prescribed—to draw three teeth from a corpse or a nail from its finger, and the like; and while the hocus-pocus of the incantation was going on, the unhappy participants sometimes died of terror.

Benvenuto
Cellini
Pl. 395

Benvenuto Cellini did not die during the well-known incantation (1532) in the Coliseum at Rome,¹⁰⁰² although both he and his companions witnessed no ordinary horrors; the Sicilian priest, who probably expected to find him a useful coadjutor in the future, paid him the compliment as they went home of saying that he had never met a man of so sturdy a courage. Every reader will make his own reflections on the proceedings themselves. The narcotic fumes and the fact that the imaginations of the spectators were predisposed for all possible terrors, are the chief points to be noticed, and explain why the lad who formed one of the party, and on whom they made most impression, saw much more than the others. But it may be inferred that Benvenuto himself was the one whom it was wished to impress, since the dangerous beginning of the incantation can have had no other aim than to arouse curiosity. For Benvenuto had to think before the fair Angelica occurred to him; and the magician told him afterwards that love-making was folly compared with the finding of treasures. Further, it must not be forgotten that it flattered his vanity to be able to say, 'The demons have kept their word, and Angelica came into my hands, as they promised, just a month later' (cap. 68). Even on the supposition that Benvenuto gradually lied himself into believing the whole story, it would still be permanently valuable as evidence of the mode of thought then prevalent.

As a rule, however, the Italian artists, even 'the odd, capricious, and eccentric' among them, had little to do with magic. One of them, in his anatomical studies, may have cut himself a jacket out of the skin of a corpse, but at the advice of his confessor he put it again into the grave.¹⁰⁰³ Indeed the frequent study of anatomy probably did more than anything else to destroy the belief in the magical influence of various parts of the body, while at the

same time the incessant observation and representation of the human form made the artist familiar with a magic of a wholly different sort.

In general, notwithstanding the instances which have been quoted, magic seems to have been markedly on the decline at the beginning of the sixteenth century—that is to say, at a time when it first began to flourish vigorously out of Italy; and thus the tours of Italian sorcerers and astrologers in the North seem not to have begun till their credit at home was thoroughly impaired. In the fourteenth century it was thought necessary carefully to watch the lake on Mount Pilatus, near Scariotto, to hinder the magicians from there consecrating their books.¹⁰⁹⁴ In the fifteenth century we find, for example, that the offer was made to produce a storm of rain, in order to frighten away a besieged army; and even then the commander of the besieged town—Niccolò Vitelli in Città di Castello—had the good sense to dismiss the sorcerers as godless persons.¹⁰⁹⁵ In the sixteenth century no more instances of this official kind appear, although in private life the magicians were still active. To this time belongs the classic figure of German sorcery, Dr. Johann Faust; the Italian ideal, on the other hand, Guido Bonatto, dates back to the thirteenth century.

It must nevertheless be added that the decrease of the belief in magic was not necessarily accompanied by an increase of the belief in a moral order, but that in many cases, like the decaying faith in astrology, the delusion left behind it nothing but a stupid fatalism.

One or two minor forms of this superstition, pyromancy, chiromancy¹⁰⁹⁶ and others, which obtained some credit as the belief in sorcery and astrology was declining, may be here passed over, and even the pseudo-science of physiognomy has by no means the interest which the name might lead us to expect. For it did not appear as the sister and ally of art and psychology, but as a new form of fatalistic superstition, and, what it may have been among the Arabs, as the rival of astrology. The author of a physiognomical treatise, Bartolommeo Cocele, who styled himself a 'metoposcopist,'¹⁰⁹⁷ and whose science, according to Giovio, seemed like one of the most respectable of the free arts, was not content with the prophecies which he made to the many clever people who daily consulted him, but wrote also a most serious 'catalogue of such whom great dangers to life were awaiting.' Giovio, although grown old in the free thought of Rome—'in hac luce romana'—is of opinion that the predictions contained therein had only too much truth in them.¹⁰⁹⁸ We learn from the same source how the people aimed at in these and similar prophecies took vengeance on the seer. Giovanni Bentivoglio caused Lucas Gauricus to be five times swung to and fro against the wall, on a rope hanging from a lofty winding staircase, because Lucas had foretold to him the loss of his authority.¹⁰⁹⁹ Ermes Bentivoglio sent an assassin

Pl. 192

Decline of
MagicMinor forms
of Magic

Physiognomy

Fate of the
Seers

after Coele, because the unlucky metoposcopist had unwillingly prophesied to him that he would die an exile in battle. The murderer seems to have derided the dying man in his last moments, saying that the prophet had foretold to him that he would shortly commit an infamous murder. The reviver of chiromancy, Antioco Tiberto of Cesena,¹¹⁰⁰ came by an equally miserable end at the hands of Pandolfo Malatesta of Rimini, to whom he had prophesied the worst that a tyrant can imagine, namely, death in exile and in the most grievous poverty. Tiberto was a man of intelligence, who was supposed to give his answers less according to any methodical chiromancy than by means of his shrewd knowledge of mankind; and his high culture won for him the respect of those scholars who thought little of his divination.¹¹⁰¹

Alchemy
Pl. 183

Alchemy, in conclusion, which is not mentioned in antiquity till quite late under Diocletian, played only a very subordinate part at the best period of the Renaissance.¹¹⁰² Italy went through the disease earlier, when Petrarch in the fourteenth century confessed, in his polemic against it, that gold-making was a general practice.¹¹⁰³ Since then that particular kind of faith, devotion, and isolation which the practice of alchemy required became more and more rare in Italy, just when Italian and other adepts began to make their full profit out of the great lords in the North.¹¹⁰⁴ Under Leo X the few Italians who busied themselves with it were called 'ingenia curiosa,'¹¹⁰⁵ and Aurelio Augurelli, who dedicated to Leo X, the great despiser of gold, his didactic poem on the making of the metal, is said to have received in return a beautiful but empty purse. The mystic science which besides gold sought for the omnipotent philosopher's stone, is a late northern growth, which had its rise in the theories of Paracelsus and others.

With these superstitions, as with ancient modes of thought generally, the decline in the belief of immortality stands in the closest connection. This question has the widest and deepest relations with the whole development of the modern spirit.

Disbelief

One great source of doubt in immortality was the inward wish to be under no obligations to the hated Church. We have seen that the Church branded those who thus felt as Epicureans (p. 262 sqq.). In the hour of death many doubtless called for the sacraments, but multitudes during their whole lives, and especially during their most vigorous years, lived and acted on the negative supposition. That unbelief on this particular point must often have led to a general scepticism, is evident of itself, and is attested by abundant historical proof. These are the men of whom Ariosto says: 'Their faith goes no higher than the roof.'¹¹⁰⁶ In Italy, and especially in Florence, it was possible to live as an open and notorious unbeliever, if a man only refrained from direct acts of hostility against the Church. The confessor, for instance, who

was sent to prepare a political offender for death, began by inquiring whether the prisoner was a believer, 'for there was a false report that he had no belief at all.'¹¹⁰⁷

The unhappy transgressor here referred to—the same Pierpaolo Boscoli who has been already mentioned (p. 33)—who in 1513 took part in an attempt against the newly restored family of the Medici, is a faithful mirror of the religious confusion then prevalent. Beginning as a partisan of Savonarola, he became afterwards possessed with an enthusiasm for the ancient ideals of liberty, and for paganism in general; but when he was in prison his early friends regained the control of his mind, and secured for him what they considered a pious ending. The tender witness and narrator of his last hours is one of the artistic family of the Della Robbia, the learned philologist Luca. 'Ah,' sighs Boscoli, 'get Brutus out of my head for me, that I may go my way as a Christian.' 'If you will,' answers Luca, 'the thing is not difficult; for you know that these deeds of the Romans are not handed down to us as they were, but idealized (*con arte accresciute*).' The penitent now forces his understanding to believe, and bewails his inability to believe voluntarily. If he could only live for a month with pious monks, he would truly become spiritually minded. It comes out that these partisans of Savonarola knew their Bible very imperfectly; Boscoli can only say the Paternoster and Avemaria, and earnestly begs Luca to exhort his friends to study the sacred writings, for only what a man has learned in life does he possess in death. Luca then reads and explains to him the story of the Passion according to the Gospel of St. John; the poor listener, strange to say, can perceive clearly the Godhead of Christ, but is perplexed at his manhood; he wishes to get as firm a hold of it 'as if Christ came to meet him out of a wood.' His friend thereupon exhorts him to be humble, since this was only a doubt sent him by the Devil. Soon after it occurs to the penitent that he has not fulfilled a vow made in his youth to go on pilgrimage to the Impruneta; his friend promises to do it in his stead. Meantime the confessor—a monk, as was desired, from Savonarola's monastery—arrives, and after giving him the explanation quoted above of the opinion of St. Thomas Aquinas on tyrannicide, exhorts him to bear death manfully. Boscoli makes answer: 'Father, waste no time on this; the philosophers have taught it me already; help me to bear death out of love to Christ.' What follows—the communion, the leave-taking and the execution—is very touchingly described; one point deserves special mention. When Boscoli laid his head on the block, he begged the executioner to delay the stroke for a moment: 'During the whole time since the announcement of the sentence he had been striving after a close union with God, without attaining it as he wished, and now in this supreme moment he thought that by a strong effort he could give himself wholly to

God.' It is clearly some half-understood expression of Savonarola which was troubling him.

Confusion in
Religion

If we had more confessions of this character the spiritual picture of the time would be the richer by many important features which no poem or treatise has preserved for us. We should see more clearly how strong the inborn religious instinct was, how subjective and how variable the relation of the individual to religion, and what powerful enemies and competitors religion had. That men whose inward condition is of this nature, are not the men to found a new church, is evident; but the history of the Western spirit would be imperfect without a view of that fermenting period among the Italians, while other nations, who have had no share in the evolution of thought, may be passed over without loss. But we must return to the question of immortality.

General spirit
of doubt

If unbelief in this respect made such progress among the more highly cultivated natures, the reason lay partly in the fact that the great earthly task of discovering the world and representing it in word and form, absorbed most of the higher spiritual faculties. We have already spoken (p. 259) of the inevitable worldliness of the Renaissance. But this investigation and this art were necessarily accompanied by a general spirit of doubt and inquiry. If this spirit shows itself but little in literature, if we find, for example, only isolated instances of the beginnings of biblical criticism (p. 244), we are not therefore to infer that it had no existence. The sound of it was only overpowered by the need of representation and creation in all departments—that is, by the artistic instinct; and it was further checked, whenever it tried to express itself theoretically, by the already existing despotism of the Church. This spirit of doubt must, for reasons too obvious to need discussion, have inevitably and chiefly busied itself with the question of the state of man after death.

Immortality
of the Soul

And here came in the influence of antiquity, and worked in a twofold fashion on the argument. In the first place men set themselves to master the psychology of the ancients, and tortured the letter of Aristotle for a decisive answer. In one of the Lucianic dialogues of the time¹¹⁰⁸ Charon tells Mercury how he questioned Aristotle on his belief in immortality, when the philosopher crossed in the Stygian boat; but the prudent sage, although dead in the body and nevertheless living on, declined to compromise himself by a definite answer—and centuries later how was it likely to fare with the interpretation of his writings? All the more eagerly did men dispute about his opinion and that of others on the true nature of the soul, its origin, its pre-existence, its unity in all men, its absolute eternity, even its transformations; and there were men who treated of these things in the pulpit.¹¹⁰⁹ The dispute was warmly carried on even in the fifteenth century; some proved that Aristotle

taught the doctrine of an immortal soul;¹¹¹⁰ others complained of the hardness of men's hearts, who would not believe that there was a soul at all, till they saw it sitting down on a chair before them;¹¹¹¹ Filelfo in his funeral oration on Francesco Sforza brings forward a long list of opinions of ancient and even of Arab philosophers in favour of immortality, and closes the mixture, which covers a folio page and a half of print,¹¹¹² with the words, 'Besides all this we have the Old and New Testaments, which are above all truth.' Then came the Florentine Platonists with their master's doctrine of the soul, supplemented at times, as in the case of Pico, by Christian teaching. But the opposite opinion prevailed in the instructed world. At the beginning of the sixteenth century the stumbling-block which it put in the way of the Church was so serious that Leo X set forth a Constitution¹¹¹³ at the Lateran Council in 1513, in defence of the immortality and individuality of the soul, the latter against those who asserted that there was but one soul in all men. A few years later appeared the work of Pomponazzo, in which the impossibility of a philosophical proof of immortality is maintained; and the contest was now waged incessantly with replies and apologies, till it was silenced by the Catholic reaction. The pre-existence of the soul in God, conceived more or less in accordance with Plato's theory of ideas, long remained a common belief, and proved of service even to the poets.¹¹¹⁴ The consequences which followed from it as to the mode of the soul's continued existence after death, were not more closely considered.

There was a second way in which the influence of antiquity made itself felt, chiefly by means of that remarkable fragment of the sixth book of Cicero's 'Republic' known by the name of Scipio's Dream. Without the commentary of Macrobius it would probably have perished like the rest of the second part of the work; it was now diffused in countless manuscript copies,¹¹¹⁵ and, after the discovery of typography, in a printed form, and edited afresh by various commentators. It is the description of a transfigured hereafter for great men, pervaded by the harmony of the spheres. This pagan heaven, for which many other testimonies were gradually extracted from the writings of the ancients, came step by step to supplant the Christian heaven in proportion as the ideal of fame and historical greatness threw into the shade the ideal of the Christian life, without, nevertheless, the public feeling being thereby offended as it was by the doctrine of personal annihilation after death. Even Petrarch founds his hope chiefly on this Dream of Scipio, on the declarations found in other Ciceronian works, and on Plato's 'Phædo,' without making any mention of the Bible.¹¹¹⁶ 'Why,' he asks elsewhere, 'should not I as a Catholic share a hope which was demonstrably cherished by the heathen?' Soon afterwards Coluccio Salutati wrote his 'Labours of Hercules' (still existing in manuscript), in which it is proved at

The Pagan
Heaven

the end that the valorous man, who has well endured the great labours of earthly life, is justly entitled to a dwelling among the stars.¹¹¹⁷ If Dante still firmly maintained that the great pagans, whom he would have gladly welcomed in Paradise, nevertheless must not come beyond the Limbo at the entrance to Hell,¹¹¹⁸ the poetry of a later time accepted joyfully the new liberal ideas of a future life. Cosimo the Elder, according to Bernardo Pulci's poem on his death, was received in heaven by Cicero, who had also been called the 'Father of his country,' by the Fabii, by Curius, Fabricius and many others; with them he would adorn the choir where only blameless spirits sing.¹¹¹⁹

The Homeric
world to
come

But in the old writers there was another and less pleasing picture of the world to come—the shadowy realms of Homer and of those poets who had not sweetened and humanized the conception. This made an impression on certain temperaments. Gioviano Pontano somewhere attributes to Sannazaro the story of a vision, which he beheld one morning early while half awake.¹¹²⁰ He seemed to see a departed friend, Ferrandus Januarius, with whom he had often discoursed on the immortality of the soul, and whom he now asked whether it was true that the pains of Hell were really dreadful and eternal. The shadow gave an answer like that of Achilles when Odysseus questioned him. 'So much I tell and aver to thee, that we who are parted from earthly life have the strongest desire to return to it again.' He then saluted his friend and disappeared.

It cannot but be recognized that such views of the state of man after death partly presuppose and partly promote the dissolution of the most essential dogmas of Christianity. The notion of sin and of salvation must have almost entirely evaporated. We must not be misled by the effects of the great preachers of repentance or by the epidemic revivals which have been described above (pp. 466 sqq.). For even granting that the individually developed classes had shared in them like the rest, the cause of their participation was rather the need of emotional excitement, the rebound of passionate natures, the horror felt at great national calamities, the cry to heaven for help. The awakening of the conscience had by no means necessarily the sense of sin and the felt need of salvation as its consequence, and even a very severe outward penance did not perforce involve any repentance in the Christian meaning of the word. When the powerful natures of the Renaissance tell us that their principle is to repent of nothing,¹¹²¹ they may have in their minds only matters that are morally indifferent, faults of unreason or imprudence; but in the nature of the case this contempt for repentance must extend to the sphere of morals, because its origin, namely the consciousness of individual force, is common to both sides of human nature. The passive and contemplative form of Christianity, with its constant

reference to a higher world beyond the grave, could no longer control these men. Machiavelli ventured still farther, and maintained that it could not be serviceable to the state and to the maintenance of public freedom.¹¹²²

The form assumed by the strong religious instinct which, notwithstanding all, survived in many natures, was Theism or Deism, as we may please to call it. The latter name may be applied to that mode of thought which simply wiped away the Christian element out of religion, without either seeking or finding any other substitute for the feelings to rest upon. Theism may be considered that definite heightened devotion to the one Supreme Being which the Middle Ages were not acquainted with. This mode of faith does not exclude Christianity, and can either ally itself with the Christian doctrines of sin, redemption, and immortality, or else exist and flourish without them.

Deism and
Theism

Sometimes this belief presents itself with childish naïveté and even with a half-pagan air, God appearing as the almighty fulfiller of human wishes. Agnolo Pandolfini¹¹²³ tells us how, after his wedding, he shut himself in with his wife, and knelt down before the family altar with the picture of the Madonna, and prayed, not to her, but to God, that he would vouchsafe to them the right use of their property, a long life in joy and unity with one another, and many male descendants: 'for myself I prayed for wealth, honour, and friends, for her blamelessness, honesty, and that she might be a good housekeeper.' When the language used has a strong antique flavour, it is not always easy to keep apart the pagan style and the theistic belief.¹¹²⁴

The Theistic
Prayer

This temper sometimes manifests itself in times of misfortune with a striking sincerity. Some addresses to God are left us from the latter period of Firenzuola, when for years he lay ill of fever, in which, though he expressly declares himself a believing Christian, he shows that his religious consciousness is essentially theistic.¹¹²⁵ His sufferings seem to him neither as the punishment of sin, nor as preparation for a higher world; they are an affair between him and God only, who has put the strong love of life between man and his despair. 'I curse, but only curse Nature, since thy greatness forbids me to utter thy name . . . Give me death, Lord, I beseech thee, give it me now!'

In these utterances and the like, it would be vain to look for a conscious and consistent Theism; the speakers partly believed themselves to be still Christians, and for various other reasons respected the existing doctrines of the Church. But at the time of the Reformation, when men were driven to come to a distinct conclusion on such points, this mode of thought was accepted with a fuller consciousness; a number of the Italian Protestants came forward as Anti-Trinitarians and Socinians, and even as exiles in distant countries made the memorable attempt to found a church on these principles. From the foregoing exposition it will be clear that, apart from humanistic rationalism, other spirits were at work in this field.

Italian Anti-
Trinitarians

Lorenzo il
Magnifico
and his
circle

One chief centre of theistic modes of thought lay in the Platonic Academy at Florence, and especially in Lorenzo il Magnifico himself. The theoretical works and even the letters of these men show us only half their nature. It is true that Lorenzo, from his youth till he died, expressed himself dogmatically as a Christian,¹¹²⁶ and that Pico was drawn by Savonarola's influence to accept the point of view of a monkish ascetic.¹¹²⁷ But in the hymns of Lorenzo,¹¹²⁸ which we are tempted to regard as the highest product of the spirit of this school, an unreserved Theism is set forth—a Theism which strives to treat the world as a great moral and physical Cosmos. While the men of the Middle Ages look on the world as a vale of tears, which Pope and Emperor are set to guard against the coming of Antichrist; while the fatalists of the Renaissance oscillate between seasons of overflowing energy and seasons of superstition or of stupid resignation, here, in this circle of chosen spirits,¹¹²⁹ the doctrine is upheld that the visible world was created by God in love, that it is the copy of a pattern pre-existing in Him, and that He will ever remain its eternal mover and restorer. The soul of man can by recognizing God draw Him into its narrow boundaries, but also by love to Him itself expand into the Infinite—and this is blessedness on earth.

Echoes of mediæval mysticism here flow into one current with Platonic doctrines, and with a characteristically modern spirit. One of the most precious fruits of the knowledge of the world and of man here comes to maturity, on whose account alone the Italian Renaissance must be called the leader of modern ages.

NOTES

NOTES

1. *History of Architecture*, by Franz Kugler. (The first half of the fourth volume, containing the 'Architecture and Decoration of the Italian Renaissance,' is by the Author.)

2. Machiavelli, *Discorsi*, l. i. c. 12.

3. The rulers and their dependants were together called 'lo stato,' and this name afterwards acquired the meaning of the collective existence of a territory.

4. Höfler, *Kaiser Friedrich II.* p. 39 *et seq.*

5. *Cento Novelle Antiche*, Nov. 1, 6, 20, 21, 22, 23, 29, 30, 45, 56, 83, 88, 98.

6. Scardeonius, *De Urbis Patav. Antiqu.* in Grævius, *Thesaurus*, vi. iii. p. 259.

7. Sismondi, *Hist. des Rép. Italiennes*, iv. p. 420; viii. pp. 1 *sqq.*

8. Franco Sacchetti, *Novelle* (61, 62).

9. Petrarca, *Epistolæ Seniles*, lib. xiv. 1, to Francesco di Carrara (Nov. 28, 1373). The letter is sometimes printed separately with the title, 'De Republica optime administranda,' e.g. Bern, 1602.

10. It is not till a hundred years later that the princess is spoken of as the mother of the people. Comp. Hieron. Crivelli's funeral oration on Bianca Maria Visconti, in Muratori, *Scriptores Rerum Italicarum*, xxv. col. 429. It was by way of parody of this phrase that a sister of Sixtus IV is called in Jac. Volaterranus (Murat., xxiii. col. 109) 'mater ecclesiæ.'

11. With the parenthetical request, in reference to a previous conversation, that the prince would again forbid the keeping of pigs in the streets of Padua, as the sight of them was unpleasing, especially for strangers, and apt to frighten the horses.

12. Petrarca, *Rerum Memorandar.*, lib. iii. 2, 66.—Matteo I Visconti and Guido della Torre, then ruling in Milan, are the persons referred to.

13. Matteo Villani, v. 81: the secret murder of Matteo II (Maffiolo) Visconti by his brothers.

14. Filippo Villani, *Istorie*, xi. 101. Petrarch speaks in the same tone of the tyrants dressed out 'like altars at a festival.'—The triumphal procession of Castracani at Lucca is described minutely in his life by Tegrino, in Murat., xi., col. 1340.

15. *De Vulgari Eloquentia*. i. c. 12: ... 'qui non heroico more, sed plebeo sequuntur superbiam,' etc.

16. This we find first in the fifteenth century, but their representations are certainly based on the beliefs of earlier times: L. B. Alberti, *De re ædific.*, v. 3.—Franc. di Giorgio, 'Trattato,' in Della Valle, *Lettere Sanesi*, iii. 121.

17. Franco Sacchetti, Nov. 61.

18. Matteo Villani, vi. 1.

19. The Paduan passport office about the middle of the fourteenth century is referred to by Franco Sacchetti, Nov. 117, in the words, 'quelli delle bullette.' In the last ten years of the reign of Frederick II, when the strictest control was exercised on the personal conduct of his subjects, this system must have been very highly developed.

20. Corio, *Storia di Milano*, fol. 247 *sqq.*

21. E.g. of Paolo Giovio: *Elogia Virorum bellicâ virtute illustrium*. Basle, 1575, p. 85, in the life of Bernabò.

22. Corio, fol. 272, 285.
 23. Cagnola, in the *Archiv. Stor.*, iii. p. 23.
 24. So Corio, fol. 286, and Poggio, *Hist. Florent.* iv. in Murat. xx. col. 290.—Cagnola (loc. cit.) speaks of his designs on the imperial crown. See too the sonnet in Trucchi, *Poesie Ital. ined.*, ii. p. 118:

“Stan le città lombarde con le chiave
 In man per darle a voi . . . etc.
 Roma vi chiama: Cesar mio novello
 Io sono ignuda, e l'anima pur vive:
 Or mi coprite col vostro mantello,” etc.

25. Corio, fol. 301 and sqq. Comp. Ammian. Marcellin., xxix. 3.
 26. So Paul. Jovius, *Elogia*, Jo. Galeatius, Philippus.
 27. De Gingins, *Dépêches des Ambassadeurs Milanais*, Paris and Geneva 1858, ii. pp. 200 sqq. (N. 213). Comp. ii. 3 (N. 144) and ii. 212 sqq. (N. 218).
 28. Paul. Jovius, *Elogia*, pp. 156 sqq. Carolus, Burg. dux.
 29. This compound of force and intellect is called by Machiavelli *Uirtù*, and is quite compatible with *scelleratezza*. E.g. *Discorsi*, i. 10. in speaking of Sep. Severus.
 30. On this point Franc. Vettori, *Arch. Stor.* vi. p. 293 sqq.: ‘The investiture at the hands of a man who lives in Germany, and has nothing of the Roman Emperor about him but the empty name, cannot turn a scoundrel into the real lord of a city.’
 31. M. Villani, iv. 38, 39, 56, 77, 78, 92; v. 1, 2, 21, 36, 54.
 32. It was an Italian, Fazio degli Uberti (*Dittamondo*, l. vi. cap. 5—about 1360) who recommended to Charles IV a crusade to the Holy Land. The passage is one of the best in this poem, and in other respects characteristic. The poet is dismissed from the Holy Sepulchre by an insolent Turk:

‘Con passi lunghi e con la testa bassa
 Oltre passai e dissi: ecco vergogna
 Del cristian che'l saracin qui lassa!
 Poscia al Pastor (the Pope) mi volsi per rampogna:
 E tu ti stai, che sei vicar di Cristo.
 Co' frati tuoi a ingrassar la carogna?
 Similimente dissi a quel sofisto (Charles IV)
 Che sta in Buemme (Bohemia) a piantar vigne e fichi,
 E che non cura di sì caro acquisto:
 Che fai? Perchè non segui i primi antichi
 Cesari de' Romani, e che non segui,
 Dico, gli Otti, i Corradi, i Federichi?
 E che pur tieni questo imperio in tregui?
 E se non hai lo cuor d'esser Augusto,
 Che nol rifiuti? o che non ti dilegui?' etc.

33. See for details Vespasiano Fiorent. ed. Mai, *Specilegium Romanum*, vol. i. p. 54. Comp. 150.
 34. *Diario Ferrarese*, in Murat. xxiv. col. 215 sqq.
 35. ‘Haveria voluto scortigare la brigata.’
 36. *Annales Estenses*, in Murat. xx. col. 41.
 37. Poggii, *Hist. Florent. pop.* l. vii. in Murat. col. 381.
 38. Senarega, *De reb. Genuens.* in Murat. xxiv. col. 575.
 39. Enumerated in the *Diario Ferrarese*, in Murat. xxiv. col. 203. Comp. Pii II, *Comment.* ii. p. 102, ed. Rome, 1584.
 40. Marin Sanudo, *Vita de' Duchi di Venezia*, in Murat. xxii. col. 1113.
 41. Varchi, *Stor. Fiorent.* i. p. 8.
 42. Soriano, *Relazione di Roma*, 1533, in Tommaso Gar. *Relaz. della Corte di Roma* (in Alberi, *Relaz. degli ambasc. Veneti*, ii. ser. iii.).
 43. For what follows, see Canestrini, in the Introduction to vol. xv. of the *Archiv. Stor.*

44. Cagnola, *Archiv. Stor.* iii. p. 28: 'Et (Filippo Maria) da lei (Beatr.) ebbe molto tesoro e dinari, e tutte le gente d'arme del dicto Facino, che obedivano a lei.'
45. Infessura, in Eccard, *Scriptores*, ii. col. 1911. For the alternatives which Machiavelli puts before the victorious Condottiere, see *Discorsi*, i. 30.
46. It is uncertain whether the Venetians did not poison Alviano in 1516, cf. Prato, *Arch. Stor.* iii. p. 348. The Republic made itself Colleoni's heir, and after his death in 1475 formally confiscated his property. Comp. Malipiero, *Annali Veneti*, in *Arch. Stor.* vii. i. 244. It was liked when the Condottieri invested their money in Venice, *ibid.* p. 351.
47. Cagnola, in *Arch. Stor.* iii. pp. 121 sqq.
48. At all events in Paul. Jovius, *Vita Magni Sfortiæ*, Rome 1539, one of the most attractive of his biographies.
49. Æn. Sylv. *Comment. de Dietis et Factis Alfonsi, Opera*, fol. 475.
50. Pii II, *Comment.* i. 46; comp. 69.
51. Sismondi, x. 258; Corio, fol. 412, where Sforza is accused of complicity, as he feared danger to his own sons from P.'s popularity. *Storia Bresciana*, in Murat. xxi. col. 902. How the Venetian Condottiere Colleoni was tempted in 1466, is told by Malipiero, *Annali Veneti*, *Arch. Stor.* vii. i. p. 210.
52. Allegretti, *Diarii Sanesi*, in Murat. xxiii. p. 811.
53. *Orationes Phililphi*, ed. Venet. 1492, fol. 9, in the funeral oration on Francesco.
54. Marin Sanudo, *Vita dei Duchi di Venezia*, in Murat. xxii. col. 1241.
55. Malipiero, *Ann. Venet.*, *Arch. Stor.* vii. i. p. 407.
56. *Chron. Eugubinum*, in Murat. xxi. col. 972.
57. Vespas. Fiorent. p. 148.
58. *Archiv. Stor.* xvi., parte i. et ii., ed. Bonaini, Fabretti, Polidori.
59. Varchi, *Stor. Fiorent.* i. pp. 242 sqq.
60. Malipiero, *Ann. Venet.*, *Archiv. Stor.* vii. i. pp. 498 sqq.
61. Lil. Greg. Giraldus, *De Sepulchris ac vario Sepeliendi Ritu*. In 1470 a catastrophe in miniature had already occurred in the same family. Comp. *Diario Ferrarese*, in Murat. xxiv. col. 225.
62. Jovian. Pontan. *De Liberalitate*, and *De Obedentia*, I. 4. Comp. Sismondi, x. p. 78.
63. Tristano Caracciolo, 'De Varietate Fortunæ', in Muratori, xxii.; Jovian. Pontanus, *De Prudentia*. I. iv.; *De Magnanimitate*, I. i.; *De Liberalitate*, cap. 29, 36; *De Immanitate*, cap. 8. Cam. Porzio, *Congiura dei Baroni*, *passim*; Comines, Charles VIII, chap. 17, with the general characteristics of the Aragonese.
64. Paul. Jovius, *Histor.* i. p. 14. in the speech of a Milanese ambassador; *Diario Ferrarese*, in Muratori, xxiv. col. 294.
65. Petri Candidi Decembrii Vita Phil. Mariæ Viccomitis, in Murat. xx.
66. It troubled him: *quod aliquando 'non esse' necesse esset*.
67. Corio, fol. 400; Cagnola, in *Archiv. Stor.* iii. p. 125.
68. Pii II, *Comment.* iii. p. 130. Comp. ii. 87, 106. Another and rather darker estimate of Sforza's fortune is given by Caracciolo, *De Varietate Fortunæ*, in Murat. xxii. col. 74.
69. Malipiero, *Ann. Veneti*, *Archiv. Stor.* vii. i. pp. 216 sqq. 221—4.
70. *Chron. Venetum*, in Murat. xxiv. col. 65.
71. Malipiero, *Ann. Veneti*, *Archiv. Stor.* vii. i. p. 492. Comp. 482, 561.
72. His last words to the same man, Bernardino da Corte, are to be found, certainly with oratorical decorations, but perhaps agreeing in the main with the thoughts of the Moor, in Senarega, Murat. xxiv. col. 567.
73. *Diario Ferrarese*, in Murat. xxiv. col. 336, 367, 369. The people believed he was forming a treasure.
74. Corio, fol. 448. The after-effects of this state of things are clearly recognizable in those of the novels and introductions of Bandello which relate to Milan.
75. Amoretti, *Memorie Storiche sulla Vita Ecc. di Leonardo da Vinci*, pp. 35 sqq., pp. 83 sqq.
76. See his sonnets in Trucchi, *Poesie inedite*.

77. Prato, in the *Arch. Stor.* iii. 298. Comp. 302.
78. Born 1466, betrothed to Isabella, herself six years of age in 1480, suc. 1484; m. 1490, d. 1519. Isabella's death, 1539. Her sons, Federigo (1519—1540), made Duke in 1530, and the famous Ferrante Gonzaga. What follows is taken from the correspondence of Isabella, with Appendices, *Archiv. Stor.*, append., tom. ii. communicated by d'Arco.
79. Franc. Vettori, in the *Arch. Stor.* Append., tom. vi. p. 321. For Federigo, see *Vespas. Fiorent.* pp. 132 sqq.
80. Castiglione, *Cortigiano*, l. i.
81. What follows is chiefly taken from the *Annales Estenses*, in Murat. xx. and the *Diario Ferrarese*, Murat. xxiv.
82. *Diario Ferrar.* l. c. col. 347.
83. Paul. Jov. *Vita Alfonsi ducis*, ed. Flor. 1550.
84. Paulus Jovius, l. c.
85. The journey of Leo X when Cardinal, may be also mentioned here. Comp. Paul. Jov. *Vita Leonis X.*, lib. i. His purpose was less serious, and directed rather to amusement and knowledge of the world; but the spirit is wholly modern. No Northerner then travelled with such objects.
86. Jovian. Pontan. *De Liberalitate*, cap. 28.
87. Giraldi, *Heccatomithi*, vi. nov. 1.
88. Vasari, xii. 166, *Vita di Michelangelo*.
89. An early example is Bernabò Visconti; see p. 7.
90. Capitolo 19, and in the *Opere Minore*, ed. Lemonnier, vol. i. p. 425, entitled Elegia 17. Doubtless the cause of this death (above, p. 26) was unknown to the young poet, then 19 years old.
91. Of the novels in the *Heccatomithi* of Giraldi, i. Nov. 8 and vi. Nov. 1, 2, 3, 4 and 10 deal with Hercules I, Alfonso I and Hercules II, and were all composed during the lifetime of the latter two. There is much about their princely contemporaries in Bandello.
92. In the *Deliciæ Poet. Italarum*.
93. Mentioned as early as 1367, in the *Polistore*, in Murat. xxiv. col. 848, in reference to Niccolò the Elder.
94. Burigozzo, in the *Archiv. Stor.* iii. p. 432.
95. *Discorsi*, i. 17, on Milan after the death of Filippo Visconti.
96. *De Incert. et Vanitate Scientiar.* cap. 55.
97. Prato, *Archiv. Stor.* iii. p. 241.
98. *De Casibus Virorum Illustrum*, l. ii. cap. 15.
99. *Discorsi*, iii. 6; comp. *Storie Fiorent.* l. viii.
100. Corio, fol. 333. For what follows, *ibid.* fol. 305, 422 sqq., 440.
101. So in the quotations from Gallus, in Sismondi, xi. 93.
102. Corio, fol. 422. Allegretto, *Diari Sanesi*, in Murat. xxiii. col. 777. See above, p. 23.
103. Comp. (in Corio) a sentence like the following in the deposition of Olgiati: 'Quisque nostrum magis socios potissime et infinitos alios sollicitare, infestare, alter alteri benevolos se facere cœpit. Aliquid aliquibus parum donare: simul magis noctu edere, bibere, vigilare, nostra omnia bona polliceri,' etc.
104. Vasari, iii. 251, note to *U. di Donatello*.
105. *Inferno*, xxxiv. 64.
106. Related by a hearer, Luca della Robbia, *Archiv. Stor.* i. 273. Comp. Paul. Jovius, *Vita Leonis X.*, iii. in the *Viri Illustres*.
107. In Roscoe, *Vita di Lorenzo de' Medici*, vol. iv. app. 12.
108. On the latter point see Jac. Nardi, *Vita di Ant. Giacomini*, Lucca (1818), p. 18.
109. 'Genethliacum Venetæ urbis,' in the *Carmina* of Ant. Sabellicus. Comp. Sansovino, *Venezia*, fol. 203. The eldest Venetian chronicler, Joh. Diaconi, *Chron. Venetum* in Pertz, *Monum.* ix. pp. 5, 6, places the occupation of the islands in the time of the Lombards and the foundation of the Rialto later.
110. De situ Venetæ urbis.

111. The whole quarter was altered in the reconstructions of the sixteenth century.
112. Benedictus *Carol VIII*, in Eccard, *Scriptores*, ii. col. 1597, 1601, 1621. In the *Chron. Venetum*, Murat. xxiv. col. 26, the political virtues of the Venetians are enumerated: 'bontà innocenza, zelo di carità, pietà, misericordia.'
113. *Epistolæ*, lib. v. fol. 28.
114. Malipiero, *Ann. Veneti. Archiv. Stor.* vii. i. pp. 377, 431, 481, 493, 530; ii. pp. 661, 668, 679. *Chron. Venetum*, in Muratori, xxiv. col. 57. *Diario Ferrarese*, ib. col. 240.
115. Malipiero, in the *Archiv. Stor.* vii. ii. p. 691. Comp. 694, 713, and i. 535.
116. Marin Sanudo, *Vite dei Duchi*, Murat. xxii. col. 1194.
117. *Chron. Venetum*, Murat. xxiv. col. 105.
118. *Chron. Venetum*, Murat. xxiv. col. 123 sqq. and Malipiero, l. c. vii. i. pp. 175, 187 sqq. relate the significant fall of the Admiral Antonio Grimani.
119. *Chron. Ven.* l. c. col. 166.
120. Malipiero, l. c. vii. i. 349. For other lists of the same kind see Marin Sanudo, *Vite dei Duchi*, Murat. xxii. col. 990 (year 1426), col. 1088 (year 1440), in Corio, fol. 435—438 (1483), in Guazzo, *Historie*, fol. 151 sqq.
121. Guicciardini (*Ricordi*, n. 150) is one of the first to remark that the passion for vengeance can drown the clearest voice of self-interest.
122. Malipiero, l. c. vii. i., p. 328.
123. The statistical view of Milan, in the 'Manipulus Florum' (in Murat. xi. 711 sqq.) for the year 1288, is important, though not extensive. It includes house-doors, population, men of military age, 'loggie' of the nobles, wells, bakeries, wine-shops, butchers'-shops, fishmongers, the consumption of corn, dogs, birds of chase, the price of salt, wood, hay, and wines; also the judges, notaries, doctors, schoolmasters, copying clerks, armourers, smiths, hospitals, monasteries, endowments, and religious corporations. A list perhaps still older is found in the 'Liber de magnalibus Mediolani,' in *Heinr. de Hervordia*, ed. Potthast, p. 165.
124. Especially Marin Sanudo, in the *Vite dei Duchi di Venezia*, Murat. xxii. *passim*.
125. In Sanudo, l. c. col. 958. What relates to trade is extracted in Scherer, *Allgem. Gesch. des Welthandels*, i. 326, note.
126. Here all the houses, not merely those owned by the state, are meant. The latter however, sometimes yielded enormous rents. See Vasari, xiii. 83. V. d. Jac. Sansovino.
127. See Sanudo, col. 963. An estimate for 1490 is to be found, col. 1245 sqq.
128. This dislike seems to have amounted to positive hatred in Paul II, who called the humanists one and all heretics. Platina, *Vita Pauli*, p. 323.
129. Sanudo, l. c. col. 1167.
130. Sansovino, *Venezia*, lib. xii.
131. See Heinric. de Hervordia ad. a. 1293, p. 213, ed. Potthast.
132. Sanudo, l. c. col. 1158, 1171, 1177. When the body of St. Luke was brought from Bosnia, a dispute arose with the Benedictines of Santa Giustina at Padua, who claimed to possess it already, and the Pope had to decide between the two parties. Comp. Guicciardini, *Ricordi*, n. 401.
133. Sansovino, *Venezia*, lib. xii.
134. G. Villani, viii. 36. The year 1300 is also a fixed date in the *Divine Comedy*.
135. Stated about 1470 in Vespas. Fiorent. p. 551.
136. *Purgatorio*, vi. at the end.
137. *De Monarchia*, i. 1.
138. *Dantis Alligherii Epistolæ, cum notis*, C. Witte, Padua, 1827. He wished to keep the Pope as well as the Emperor always in Italy. See his letter, p. 35, during the conclave of Carpentras, 1314.
139. Giov. Villani, xi. 20. Comp. Matt. Villani, ix. 93.
140. See for this and similar facts Giov. Villani, xi. 87, xii. 54.
141. Giov. Villani, xi. 92, 93. In Machiavelli, *Stor. Fiorent.* lib. ii. cap. 42, we read that 96,000 persons died of the plague in 1348.

142. The priest put aside a black bean for every boy and a white one for every girl. This was the only means of registration.

143. There was already a permanent fire brigade in Florence, Giov. Villani, xii, 35.

144. Matteo Villani, iii, 106.

145. Matteo Villani, i, 2-7, comp. 58. The best authority for the plague itself is the famous description by Boccaccio at the beginning of the *Decameron*.

146. Giov. Villani, x, 164.

147. *Ex Annalibus Ceretani*, in Fabroni, *Magni Cosmi Vita*, Adnot. 34, vol. ii, p. 63.

148. *Ricordi* of Lorenzo, in Fabroni, *Laur. Med. Magnifici Vita*, Adnot. 2 and 25. Paul. Jovius, *Elogia*, pp. 131 sqq. Cosmus.

149. Given by Benedetto Dei, in Fabroni, *ibid.* Adnot. 200. For the date see Varchi, iii, p. 107. The financial project of a certain Ludovico Ghetti, with important facts, is given in Roscoe, *Vita di Lor. Med.* ii, Append. i.

150. E.g. in the *Arch. Stor.* iv.

151. Libri, *Histoire des Sciences Mathématiques*, ii, 163 sqq.

152. Varchi, *Stor. Fiorent.* iii, p. 56 and sqq. up to the end of the 9th book. Some obviously erroneous figures are probably no more than clerical or typographical blunders.

153. In respect of prices and of wealth in Italy, I am only able, in default of further means of investigation, to bring together some scattered facts, which I have picked up here and there. Obvious exaggerations must be put aside. The gold coins which are worth referring to are the ducat, the sequin, the 'fiorino d'oro,' and the 'scudo d'oro.' The value of all is nearly the same, 11 to 12 francs of our money.

In Venice, for example, the Doge Andrea Vendramin (1476) with 170,000 ducats passed for an exceedingly rich man (Malipiero, l. c. vii. ii, p. 666).

About 1460 the Patriarch of Aquileia, Lodovico Patavino, with 200,000 ducats, was called 'perhaps the richest of all Italians.' (Gasp. Veronens., *Vita Pauli II.* in Murat. iii. ii. col. 1027.) Elsewhere fabulous statements.

Antonio Grimani paid 30,000 ducats for his son's election as Cardinal. His ready money alone was put at 100,000 ducats. (*Chron. Venetum*, Murat. xxiv. col. 125.)

For notices as to the grain in commerce and on the market at Venice, see in particular Malipiero, l. c. vii. ii, p. 709 sqq. Date 1498.

In 1522 it is no longer Venice, but Genoa, next to Rome, which ranks as the richest city in Italy (only credible on the authority of Francesco Vettori. See his history in the *Archiv Stor.* Append. tom. vi, p. 343). Bandello, *parte ii. novello* 34 and 42, names as the richest Genoese merchant of his time Ansaldo Grimaldi.

Between 1400 and 1580 Francs. Sansovino assumes a depreciation of 50 per cent. in the value of money. (*Venezia*, fol. 151 bis.)

In Lombardy it is believed that the relation between the price of corn about the middle of the fifteenth and that at the middle of the present century is as 3 to 8. (Sacco di Piacenza, in *Archiv Stor.* Append. tom. v. Note of editor Scarabelli.)

At Ferrara there were people at the time of Duke Borso with 50,000 to 60,000 ducats (*Diario Ferrarese*, Murat. xxiv. col. 207, 214, 218; an extravagant statement, col. 187). In Florence the data are exceptional and do not justify a conclusion as to averages. Of this kind are the loans to foreign princes, in which the names of one or two houses only appear, but which were in fact the work of great companies. So too the enormous fines levied on defeated parties; we read, e.g. that from 1430 to 1453 seventy-seven families paid 4,875,000 gold florins (Varchi, iii, p. 115 sqq.).

The fortune of Giovanni Medici amounted at his death (1428) to 179,221 gold florins, but the latter alone of his two sons Cosimo and Lorenzo left at his death (1440) as much as 235,137 (Fabroni, *Laur. Med.* Adnot. 2).

It is a proof of the general activity of trade that the forty-four goldsmiths on the Ponte Vecchio paid in the fourteenth century a rent of 800 florins to the Government (Vasari, ii, 114, *Vita di Taddeo Gaddi*). The diary of Buonaccorso Pitti (in Delécluze, *Florence et ses Vicissitudes*,

vol. ii.) is full of figures, which, however, only prove in general the high price of commodities and the low value of money.

For Rome, the income of the Curia, which was derived from all Europe, gives us no criterion; nor are statements about papal treasures and the fortunes of cardinals very trustworthy. The well-known banker Agostino Chigi left (1520) a fortune of in all 800,000 ducats (*Lettere Pittoriche*, i. Append. 48).

154. So far as Cosimo (1433—1465) and his grandson Lorenzo il Magnifico (d. 1492) are concerned, the author refrains from any criticism on their internal policy. Accusations against them will be found in Gino Capponi, *Archiv. Stor.* i. p. 315 seq.

155. Franc. Burlamacchi, father of the head of the Lucchese Protestants, Michele B. See *Arch. Stor.* Append. i. tom. x., p. 176. It is well known how Milan, by its hard treatment of the neighbouring cities from the eleventh to the thirteenth century, prepared the way for the foundation of a great despotic state. Even at the time of the extinction of the Visconti in 1447, Milan frustrated the deliverance of Upper Italy, principally through not accepting the plan of a confederation of equal cities. Comp. Corio, fol. 358 sqq.

156. On the third Sunday in Advent, 1494, Savonarola preached as follows on the method of bringing about a new constitution: The sixteen companies of the city were each to work out a plan, the Gonfalonieri to choose the four best of these, and the Signory to name the best of all on the reduced list. Things, however, took a different turn, under the influence indeed of the preacher himself.

157. The latter first in 1527, after the expulsion of the Medici. See Varchi, i. 121, &c.

158. Machiavelli, *Storie Fior.* l. c. iii. cap. 1: 'Un savio dator di leggi' could save Florence.

159. Varchi, *Stor. Fior.* i. p. 210.

160. 'Discorso sopra il riformar lo Stato di Firenze,' in the *Opere Minori*, p. 207.

161. The same view, doubtless borrowed from here, occurs in Montesquieu.

162. Æn. Sylvii, *Apologia ad Martinum Mayer*, p. 701. To the same effect Machiavelli, *Discorsi*, i. 55, and elsewhere.

163. How strangely modern half-culture affected political life is shown by the party struggles of 1535. Della Valle, *Lettere Sanesi*, iii. p. 317. A number of small shopkeepers, excited by the study of Livy and of Machiavelli's *Discorsi*, call in all seriousness for tribunes of the people and other Roman magistrates against the misgovernment of the nobles and the official classes.

164. Pierio Valeriano, *De Infelicitate Literator.*, speaking of Bartolommeo della Rovere.

165. Senarega, *De reb. Genuens.* in Murat. xxiv. col. 548. For the insecurity of the time see esp. col. 519, 525, 528, &c. For the frank language of the envoys on the occasion of the surrender of the state to Francesco Sforza (1464), see Cagnola, *Archiv. Stor.* iii. p. 165 sqq.

166. So Varchi, at a much later time. *Stor. Fiorent.* i. 57.

167. Galeazzo Maria Sforza, indeed, declared the contrary (1467) to the Venetian agent, namely, that Venetian subjects had offered to join him in making war on Venice; but this is only vapouring. Comp. Malipiero, *Annali Veneti*, *Archiv. Stor.* vii. i. p. 216 sqq. On every occasion cities and villages voluntarily surrendered to Venice, chiefly, it is true, those that escaped from the hands of some despot, while Florence had to keep down the neighbouring republics, which were used to independence, by force of arms, as Guicciardini (*Ricordi*, n. 29) observes.

168. Most strongly, perhaps, in an instruction to the ambassadors going to Charles VII in the year 1452. (See Fabroni, *Cosmus*, Adnot. 107, fol. ii. pp. 200 sqq.)

169. Comines, *Charles VIII*, chap. x. The French were considered 'comme saints.' Comp. chap. 17. *Chron. Uenetum*, in Murat. xxiv. col. 5, 10, 14, 15; Matarazzo, *Cron. di Perugia*, *Arch. Stor.* xvi. ii. p. 23, not to speak of countless other proofs.

170. *Pii II, Commentarii*, x. p. 492.

171. Gingins, *Dépêches des Ambassadeurs Milanais, etc.* i. pp. 26, 153, 279, 283, 285, 327, 331, 345, 359; ii. pp. 29, 37, 101, 217, 306. Charles once spoke of giving Milan to the young Duke of Orleans.

172. Niccolò Valori, *Vita di Lorenzo*, Flor. 1568.

173. Fabroni, *Laurentius Magnus*, Adnot. 205 sqq.

174. E.g. Jovian. Pontan. in his *Charon*. He believed that the result would be the formation of one unified state.

175. Comines, *Charles VIII*, chap. 7. How Alfonso once tried in time of war to seize his opponents at a conference, is told by Nantiporto, in Murat. iii. ii. col. 1073. He was a genuine predecessor of Caesar Borgia.

176. *Pii II, Commentarii*, x. p. 492. What Galeazzo Maria of Milan told in 1467 to a Venetian envoy, namely, that he and his allies would join with the Turks to destroy Venice, was said merely by way of threat. Comp. Malipiero, *Ann. Veneti, Archiv. Stor.* vii. i. p. 222. For Boccacino, see page 36.

177. Porzio, *Congiura dei Baroni*, l. i. p. 4. That Lorenzo, as Porzio hints, really had a hand in it, is not credible.

178. *Chron. Venet.* in Murat. xxiv. col. 14 and 76.

179. Malipiero, l. c. p. 565, 568.

180. Trithem. *Annales Hirsaug.* ad. a. 1490, tom. ii. pp. 535 sqq.

181. Malipiero, l. c. 161; comp. p. 152. For the surrender of Djem to Charles VIII, see p. 145, from which it is clear that a connection of the most shameful kind existed between Alexander and Bajazet, even if the documents in Burcardus be spurious.

182. Bapt. Mantuanus, *De Calamitatibus Temporum*, at the end of the second book, in the song of the Nereid Doris to the Turkish fleet.

183. Tommaso Gar, *Relaz. della Corte di Roma*, i. p. 55.

184. Ranke, *Geschichte der romanischen und germanischen Völker*. The opinion of Michelet (*Réforme*, p. 467), that the Turks would have adopted Western civilization in Italy, does not satisfy me. This mission of Spain is hinted at, perhaps for the first time, in the speech delivered by Fedra Inghirami in 1510 before Julius II, at the celebration of the capture of Bugia by the fleet of Ferdinand the Catholic. See *Anecdota Litteraria*, ii. p. 419.

185. Among others Corio, fol. 333. Compare the line of conduct adopted with regard to Sforza, fol. 329.

186. Nic. Valori, *Vita di Lorenzo*; Paul. Jovius, *Vita Leonis X.* l. i. The latter certainly upon good authority, though not without rhetorical embellishment.

187. If Comines on this and many other occasions observes and judges as objectively as any Italian, his intercourse with Italians, particularly with Angelo Catto, must be taken into account.

188. Comp. e.g. Malipiero, pp. 216, 221, 236, 237, 478, &c.

189. *Pii II, Comment.* iv. p. 190, ad a. 1459.

190. To this effect Paul. Jovius (*Elogia*, p. 184). We are reminded of Frederick of Urbino, who would have been 'ashamed' to tolerate a printed book in his library. See Vespas. Fiorent.

191. *Porcellii Commentaria Jac. Picinini*, in Murat. xx. A continuation for the war of 1453, *ibid.* xxv.

192. Porcello calls Scipio 'Æmilianus' by mistake, meaning Africanus Major.

193. Simonetta, *Hist. Fr. Sfortiæ*, in Murat. xxi. col. 630.

194. So he was considered. Comp. Bandello, parte i. nov. 40.

195. Comp. e.g. *De Obsidione Tiphernatium*, in vol. 2, of the *Rer. Italic. Scriptores ex codd. Florent.* col. 690. A very typical occurrence of the year 1474. The duel of Marshal Boucicault with Galeazzo Gonzaga (1406) in Cagnola. *Arch. Stor.* iii. p. 25. Infessura tells us of the honour paid by Sixtus IV to the duellists among his guards. His successors issued bulls against duelling.

196. For details, see *Arch. Stor.* Append. tom. v.

197. Here once for all we refer our readers to Ranke's *Popes*, vol. i., and to Sugenheim, *Geschichte der Entstehung und Ausbildung des Kirchenstaates*.

198. For the impression made by the blessing of Eugenius IV in Florence, see Vespasiano Fiorent., p. 18. For the impressive offices of Nicholas V, see Infessura (Eccard, ii. col. 1883 sqq.) and J. Mannetti, *Vita Nicolai V* (Murat. iii. ii. col. 923). For the homage given to Pius II, see *Diario Ferrarese* (Murat. xxiv. col. 205), and *Pii II, Commentarii, passim*, esp. iv. 201, 204, and xi. 562. Even professional murderers respect the person of the Pope.

The great offices in church were treated as matters of much importance by the pomp-loving Paul II (Platina, l. c. 321) and by Sixtus IV. who, in spite of the gout, conducted mass at Easter in a sitting posture. (*Jac. Volaterran. Diarium*, Murat. xxiii. col. 131.) It is curious to notice how the people distinguished between the magical efficacy of the blessing and the unworthiness of the man who gave it; when he was unable to give the benediction on Ascension Day, 1481, the populace murmured and cursed him. (*Ibid.* col. 133.)

199. Machiavelli, *Scritti Minori*, p. 142, in the well-known essay on the catastrophe of Sinigaglia. It is true that the French and Spanish soldiers were still more zealous than the Italians. Comp. in Paul. Jov. *Vita Leonis X* (l. ii.) the scene before the battle of Ravenna, in which the Legate, weeping for joy, was surrounded by the Spanish troops, and besought for absolution. See further (*ibid.*) the statements respecting the French in Milan.

200. In the case of the heretics of Poli, in the Campagna, who held the doctrine that a genuine Pope must show the poverty of Christ as the mark of his calling, we have simply a kind of Waldensian doctrine. Their imprisonment under Paul II is related by Infessura (Eccard, ii. col. 1893), Platina, p. 317, &c.

201. L. B. Alberti, *De Porcaria Conjurazione*, in Murat. xxv. col. 309. Porcari was desirous 'omnem pontificiam turbam funditus exstinguere.' The author concludes: 'Video sane, quo stent loco res Italiæ; intelligo qui sint, quibus hic perturbata esse omnia conducatur. . . .' He names them 'Extrinsecos impulsores,' and is of opinion that Porcari will find successors in his misdeeds. The dreams of Porcari certainly bore some resemblance to those of Cola Rienzi.

202. 'Ut Papa tantum vicarius Christi sit et non etiam Cæsaris. . . Tunc Papa et dicitur et erit pater sanctus, pater omnium, pater ecclesiæ,' &c.

203. *Pii II. Comment.* iv. 208 sqq.

204. Platina, *Vita Pauli II.*

205. Battista Mantovano, *De Calamitatibus Temporum*, l. iii. The Arab sells incense, the Tyrian purple, the Indian ivory; 'Venalia nobis templa, sacerdotes, altaria sacra, coronæ, ignes, thura, preces, cælum est venale Deusque.'

206. See e.g. the *Annales Placentini*, in Murat. xx. col. 943.

207. Corio, *Storia di Milano*, fol. 416—420. Pietro had already helped at the election of Sixtus. See Infessura, in Eccard, *Scriptores*, ii. col. 1895. According to Machiavelli, *Storie Fiorent.* l. vii, the Venetians poisoned the cardinal. Certainly they were not without motives to do so.

208. Honorius II wished, after the death of William I (1127), to annex Apulia, as a fief reverted to St. Peter.

209. Fabroni, *Laurentius Mag.* Adnot. 130. An informer, Vespucci, sends word of both, 'Hanno in ogni elezione a mettere a sacco questa corte, e sono i maggior ribaldi del mondo.'

210. Corio, fol. 450.

211. A most characteristic letter of exhortation by Lorenzo in Fabroni, *Laurentius Magn.* Adnot. 217, and extracts in Ranke, *Popes*, i. p. 45.

212. And perhaps of certain Neapolitan fiefs, for the sake of which Innocent called in the Angevins afresh against the immovable Ferrante.

213. Comp. in particular Infessura, in Eccard, *Scriptores*, ii. *passim*.

214. Except the Bentivoglio at Bologna, and the House of Este at Ferrara. The latter was compelled to form a family relationship, Lucrezia marrying Prince Alfonso.

215. According to Corio (fol. 479) Charles had thoughts of a Council, of deposing the Pope, and even of carrying him away to France, this upon his return from Naples. According to Benedictus, *Carolus VIII* (in Eccard, *Scriptores*, ii. col. 1584), Charles, while in Naples, when Pope and cardinals refused to recognize his new crown, had certainly entertained the thought 'de Italiæ imperio deque pontificis statu mutando,' but soon after made up his mind to be satisfied with the personal humiliation of Alexander. The Pope, nevertheless, escaped him. Particulars in Pilorgerie, *Campagne et Bulletins de la Grande Armée d'Italie*, 1494, 1495 (Paris, 1866, 8vo.), where the degree of Alexander's danger at different moments is discussed (pp. 111, 117, &c.). Even on his return journey Charles had no wish to harm him.

216. Corio, fol. 450. Malipiero, *Ann. Veneti. Arch. Stor.* vii. i. p. 318. The rapacity of the whole family can be seen in Malipiero, among other authorities, l. c. p. 565. A 'nipote' was splendidly entertained in Venice as papal legate, and made an enormous sum of money by selling dispensations; his servants, when they went away, stole whatever they could lay their hands on, including a piece of embroidered cloth from the high altar of a church at Murano.

217. This in Panvinio (*Contin. Platinae*, p. 339), 'insidiis Cæsaris fratris interfectus . . . connivente . . . ad scelus patræ.' This is certainly authentic, and must be believed rather than the statements of Malipiero and Matarazzo, where Giovanni Sforza is blamed. The profound emotion of Alexander looks like a sign of complicity. After the corpse was drawn out of the Tiber, Sannazaro wrote (*Opera Omnia Latine Scripta* 1535, fol. 41 a):

'Piscatorem hominum ne te non, Sixte, putemus
Piscaris natum retibus, ecce, tuum.'

218. Machiavelli, *Opere*, ed. Milan, vol. v. pp. 387, 393, 395, in the *Legazione al Duca Valentino*.

219. Tommaso Gar, *Relazioni della Corte di Roma*, i. p. 12, in the *Rel. of P. Capello*. Literally: 'The Pope has more respect for Venice than for any other power in the world.' 'E però desidera, che ella (Signoria di Venezia) protegga il figliuolo, e dice voler fare tale ordine, che il papato o sia suo, ovvero della signoria nostra.' The word 'suo' can only refer to Cæsar.

220. *Strozzi Poetae*, p. 19, in the 'Venatio' of Ercole Strozzi: '. . . cui triplicem fata invidere coronam.' And in the Elegy on Cæsar's death, p. 31 sqq.: 'Speraretque olim solii decora alta paterni.'

221. *Ibid.* Jupiter had once promised:

'Affore Alexandri sobolem, quæ poneret olim
Italiae leges, atque aurea sæcla referret,' etc.

222. *Ibid.* 'Sacrumque decus majora parantem deposuisse.'

223. He was married, as is well known, to a French princess of the family of Albret, and had a daughter by her; in some way or other he would have attempted to found a dynasty. It is not known that he took steps to regain the cardinal's hat, although (acc. to Machiavelli, l. c. p. 285) he must have counted on the speedy death of his father.

224. Machiavelli, l. c. p. 334. Designs on Siena and eventually on all Tuscany certainly existed, but were not yet ripe; the consent of France was indispensable.

225. Machiavelli, l. c. pp. 326, 351, 414; Matarazzo, *Cronaca di Perugia, Arch. Stor.* xvi. ii. pp. 157 and 221. He wished his soldiers to quarter themselves where they pleased, so that they gained more in time of peace than of war.

226. To this effect Pierio Valeriano, *De Infelicitate Literat.* ed. Mencken, p. 282, in speaking of Giovanni Regio.

227. Tommaso Gar, l. c. p. 11.

228. Paulus Jovius, *Elogia, Cæsar Borgia*. In the *Commentarii Urbani* of Raph. Volaterranus, lib. xxii, there is a description of Alexander VI, composed under Julius II, and still written very guardedly. We here read: 'Roma . . . nobilis jam carnificina facta erat.'

229. *Diario Ferrarese*, in Muratori, xxiv. col. 362.

230. Paul. Jovius, *Histor.* ii. fol. 47.

231. Panvinius, *Epitome Pontificum*, p. 359. For the attempt to poison Alexander's successor, Julius II, see p. 363. According to Sismondi, xiii. p. 246, it was in this way that Lopez, Cardinal of Capua, for years the partner of all the Pope's secrets, came by his end; according to Sanudo (in Ranke, *Popes*, i. p. 52, note), the Cardinal of Verona also.

232. Prato, *Arch. Stor.* iii. p. 254.

233. Turned to the most profitable account by the Pope. Comp. *Chron. Venetum*, in Murat. xxiv. col. 133.

234. Anshelm, *Berner Chronik*, iii. pp. 146—156. Trithem. *Annales Hirsaug.* tom ii. pp. 579, 584, 586.

235. Panvin. *Contin. Platinae*, p. 341.

236. Hence the splendour of the tombs of the prelates erected during their lifetime. A part of the plunder was in this way saved from the hands of the Popes.

237. Whether Julius really hoped that Ferdinand the Catholic would be induced to restore to the throne of Naples the expelled Aragonese dynasty, remains, in spite of Giovio's declaration (*Vita Alfonsi Ducis*), very doubtful.

238. Both poems in Roscoe, *Leone X*, ed. Bossi, iv. 257 and 297. It is true that when Julius, in August, 1511, lay one day for hours in a fainting fit, and was thought to be dead, the more restless members of the noblest families—Pompeo Colonna and Antimo Savelli—ventured to call 'the people' to the Capitol, and to urge them to throw off the Papal yoke—'a vendicarsi in libertà . . . a pubblica ribellione,' as Guicciardini tells us in his tenth book.

239. *Septimo decretal.* l. i. tit. 3, cap. 1—3.

240. Franc. Vettori, in the *Arch. Stor.* vi. 297.

241. Franc. Vettori, l. c. p. 301. *Arch. Stor.* Append i. p. 293 sqq. Roscoe, *Leone X*, ed. Bossi, vi. p. 232 sqq. Tommaso Gar, l. c. p. 42.

242. Ariosto, *Sat.* vi. v. 106. 'Tutti morrete, ed è fatal che muoia Leone appresso.'

243. One of several instances of such combinations is given in the *Lettere dei Principi*, i. 65, in a dispatch of the Cardinal Bibbiena from Paris of the year 1518.

244. Franc. Vettori, l. c. p. 333.

245. At the time of the Lateran Council, in 1512, Pico wrote an address: *J. E. P. Oratio ad Leonem X. et Concilium Lateranense de Reformandis Ecclesie Moribus* (ed. Hagenau, 1512, frequently printed in editions of his works). The address was dedicated to Pirckheimer and was again sent to him in 1517. Pico fears that under Leo evil may definitely triumph over good, 'et in te bellum a nostræ religionis hostibus ante audias geri quam parari.'

246. *Lettere dei Principi*, i. (Rome, 17th March, 1523): 'This city stands on a needle's point, and God grant that we are not soon driven to Avignon or to the end of the Ocean. I foresee the early fall of this spiritual monarchy . . . Unless God helps us we are lost.'

247. Negro, l. c. on Oct. 24 (should be Sept.) and Nov. 9, 1526, April 11, 1527.

248. Varchi, *Stor. Fiorent.* i. 43, 46 sqq.

249. Paul. Jov., *Vita Pomp. Columnæ*.

250. Ranke, *Deutsche Geschichte* (4. Aufl.), ii. 262 sqq.

251. Varchi, *Stor. Fiorent.* ii. 43 sqq.

252. *Ibid.* and Ranke, *Deutsche Gesch.* ii. 278, note, and iii. 6 sqq. It was thought that Charles would transfer his seat of government to Rome.

253. See his letter to the Pope, dated Carpentras, Sept. 1, 1527, in the *Anecdota litt.* iv. p. 335.

254. *Lettere dei Principi*, i. 72. Castiglione to the Pope, Burgos, Dec. 10, 1527.

255. Tommaso Gar, *Relaz. della Corte di Roma*, i. 299.

256. The Farnese succeeded in something of the kind, the Caraffa were ruined.

257. Petrarca, *Epist. Fam.* i. 3. p. 574. when he thanks God that he was born an Italian. And again in the *Apologia contra eujusdam anonymi Galli Calunnias* of the year 1367 (*Opp.* ed. Bas. 1581), p. 1068 sqq.

258. Particularly those of Wimpheling, Bebel, and others, in vol. i. of Schardius, *Scriptores rerum Germanicarum*, Basle, 1574.

259. One instance out of many: *The Answers of the Doge of Venice to a Florentine Agent respecting Pisa*, 1496, in Malipiero, *Ann. Veneti.* *Arch. Stor.* vii. i. p. 427.

260. Observe the expressions 'uomo singolare' and 'uomo unico' for the higher and highest stages of individual development.

261. By the year 1390 there was no longer any prevailing fashion of dress for men at Florence, each preferring to clothe himself in his own way. See the *Canzone* of Franco Sacchetti: 'Contro alle nuove foggie' in the *Rime*, publ. by Poggiali, p. 52.

262. And also of their wives, as is seen in the family of Sforza and among other North Italian rulers. Comp. in the work of Jacobus Phil. Bergomensis, *De Plurimis Claris Selectisque Mulieribus*, Ferrara, 1497, the lives of Battista Malatesta, Paola Gonzaga, Bona Lombarda, Riccarda of Este, and the chief women of the House of Sforza, Beatrice and others. Among them are more

than one genuine virago, and in several cases natural gifts are supplemented by great humanistic culture. (See below, p. 75 sqq. and Part v.)

263. Franco Sacchetti, in his 'Capitolo' (*Rime*, publ. by Poggiali, p. 56), enumerates about 1390 the names of over a hundred distinguished people in the ruling parties who had died within his memory. However many mediocrities there may have been among them, the list is still remarkable as evidence of the awakening of individuality. On the 'Vite' of Filippo Villani, see below.

264. *Trattato del Governo della Famiglia* forms a part of the work: *La Cura della Famiglia (Opere Volg. de Leon Batt. Alberti)*, publ. by Anicio Bonucci, Flor. 1844, vol. ii.). See there vol. i. pp. xxx.-xl., vol. ii. xxxv. sqq. and vol. v. pp. 1-127. Formerly the work was generally, as in the text, attributed to Agnolo Pandolfini (d. 1446; see on him Vesp. Fiorent., pp. 291 and 379); the recent investigations of Fr. Palermo (Florence 1871), have shown Alberti to be the author. The work is quoted from the ed. Torino, Pomba, 1828.

265. *Trattato*, p. 65 sqq.

266. Jov. Pontanus, *De Fortitudine*, l. ii. cap. 4, 'De tolerando Exilio.' Seventy years later, Cardanus (*De Vita Propria*, cap. 32) could ask bitterly: 'Quid est patria nisi consensus tyrannorum minorum ad opprimendos imbelles timidos et qui plerumque sunt innoxii?'

267. *De Vulgari Eloquentia*, lib. i. cap. 6. On the ideal Italian language, cap. 17. The spiritual unity of cultivated men, cap. 18. On home-sickness, comp. the famous passages, *Purg.* viii. 1 sqq., and *Parad.* xxv. 1 sqq.

268. *Dantis Alligherii Epistolæ*, ed. Carolus Witte, p. 65.

269. Ghiberti, *Secondo Commentario*, cap. xv. (*Vasari*, ed. Lemonnier, i. p. xxix.).

270. *Codri Urcei Vita*, at the beginning of his works, first pub. Bologna 1502. This certainly comes near the old saying: 'ubi bene, ibi patria.' The abundance of neutral intellectual pleasure, which is independent of local circumstances, and of which the educated Italians became more and more capable, rendered exile more tolerable to them. Cosmopolitanism is further a sign of an epoch in which new worlds are discovered, and men feel no longer at home in the old. We see it among the Greeks after the Peloponnesian war; Plato, as Niebuhr says, was not a good citizen, and Xenophon was a bad one; Diogenes went so far as to proclaim homelessness a pleasure, and calls himself, Laertius tells us, ἀπολις.

271. Boccaccio, *Vita di Dante*, p. 16.

272. The angels which he drew on tablets at the anniversary of the death of Beatrice (*Vita Nuova*, p. 61) may have been more than the work of a dilettante. Lion. Aretino says he drew 'egregiamente,' and was a great lover of music.

273. For this and what follows, see esp. Vespasiano Fiorentino, an authority of the first order for Florentine culture in the fifteenth century. Comp. pp. 359, 379, 401, etc. See, also, the charming and instructive *Vita Jannocctii Mannetti* (b. 1396), by Naldus Naldus, in Murat. xx. pp. 529—608.

274. What follows is taken, e. g., from Peticari's account of Pandolfo Collenuccio, in Roscoe, *Leone X.*, ed. Bossi, iii. pp. 197 sqq., and from the *Opere del Conte Peticari*. Mil. 1823, vol. ii.

275. In Murat. xxv. col. 295. sqq., with the Italian translation in the *Opere Volgari di L. B. Alberti*, vol. i. pp. lxxxix-cix, where the conjecture is made and shown to be probable that this 'Vita' is by Alberti himself. See further, Vasari, iv. 52 sqq. Mariano Socini, if we can believe what we read of him in Æn. Sylvius (*Opera*, p. 622, *Epist.* 112) was a universal dilettante, and at the same time a master in several subjects.

276. Similar attempts, especially an attempt at a flying-machine, had been made about 880 by the Andalusian Abul Abbas Kasim ibn Firnas. Extracts in Hammer, *Literaturgeschichte der Araber*, i. Introd. p. li.

277. Quicquid ingenio esset hominum cum quadam effectum elegantia, id prope divinum ducebat.

278. This is the book (comp. Note 264) of which one part, often printed alone, long passed for a work of Pandolfini.

279. In his work, *De Re Edificatoria*, l. viii. cap. i., there is a definition of a beautiful road:

'Si modo mare, modo montes, modo lacum fluentem fontesve, modo aridam rupem aut planitiem, modo nemus vallemque exhibebit.'

280. One writer among many: Blondus: *Roma Triumphans*, l. v. pp. 117 sqq., where the definitions of glory are collected from the ancients, and the desire of it is expressly allowed to the Christian. Cicero's work, *De Gloria*, which Petrarch claimed to own, was stolen from him by his teacher Convevole, and has never since been seen.

281. *Paradiso*, xxv. at the beginning: 'Se mai continga,' &c. Comp. Boccaccio, *Vita di Dante*, p. 49. 'Vaghissimo fu c d'onore e di pompa, e per avventura più che alla sua inclita virtù non si sarebbe richiesto.'

282. *De Vulgari Eloquentia*, l. i. cap. i. and esp. *De Monarchia*, l. i. cap. i., where he wishes to set forth the idea of monarchy not only in order to be useful to the world but also 'ut palmam tanti bravii primus in meam gloriam adipiscar.'

283. *Convito*, ed. Venezia, 1529, fol. 5 and 6.

284. *Paradiso*, vi. 112 sqq.

285. E.g. *Inferno*, vi. 89; xiii. 53; xvi. 85; xxxi. 127.

286. *Purgatorio*, v. 70, 87, 133; vi. 26; viii. 71; xi. 31; xiii. 147.

287. *Purgatorio*, xi. 85—117. Besides 'gloria' we here find close together 'grido, fama, rumore, nominanza, onore' all different names for the same thing. Boccaccio wrote, as he admits in his letter to Joh. Pizinga (*Op. Volg.* xvi. 30 sqq.) 'perpetuandi nominis desiderio.'

288. Scardeonius, *De Urb. Patav. Antiqu.* (Græv. *Thesaur.* vi. iii. col. 260). Whether 'cereis' or 'certis muneribus' should be the reading, cannot be said.

289. Franc. Petrarca, *Posteritati*, or *Ad Posteror.*, at the beginning of the editions of his works, or the only letter of Book xviii. of the *Epp. Seniles*; also in Fracassetti, *Petr. Epistolæ Familiares*, 1859, i. 1—11. Some modern critics of Petrarch's vanity would hardly have shown as much kindness and frankness had they been in his place.

290. *Opera*, ed. 1581, p. 177: 'De celebritate nominis importuna.'

291. 'De Remediis Utriusque Fortunæ' in the editions of the works.

292. *Epp. Fam.* lib. xviii. (ed. Fracassetti) 2. A measure of Petrarch's fame is given a hundred years later by the assertion of Blondus (*Italia Illustrata*, p. 416) that hardly even a learned man would know anything of Robert the Good if Petrarch had not spoken of him so often and so kindly.

293. *Epist. Seniles*, xiii. 3, to Giovanni Aretino, Sept. 9, 1370.

294. Filippo Villani, *Vite*, p. 19.

295. Both together in the epitaph on Boccaccio: 'Nacqui in Firenze al Pozzo Toscanelli; Di fuor sepolto a Certaldo giaccio,' &c. Comp. *Op. Volg. di Boccaccio*, xvi. 44.

296. Mich. Savonarola, *De Laudibus Patavii*, in Murat. xxiv. col. 1157.

297. The decree of 1396 and its grounds in Gaye, *Carteggio*, i. 123.

298. Boccaccio, *Vita di Dante*, p. 39.

299. Franco Sacchetti, *nov.* 121.

300. The former in the well-known sarcophagus near San Lorenzo, the latter over a door in the Palazzo della Ragione. For details as to their discovery in 1413, see Misson, *Voyage en Italie*, vol. i.

301. *Vita di Dante*, l. c. How came the body of Cassius from Philippi back to Parma?

302. 'Nobilitatis fastu' and 'sub obtentu religionis,' says Pius II (*Comment.* x. p. 473). The new sort of fame must have been inconvenient to those who were accustomed to the old.

303. Comp. Keyssler's *Neueste Reisen*, p. 1016.

304. The elder was notoriously a native of Verona.

305. This is the tone of the remarkable work, *De Laudibus Papiæ*, in Murat. x., dating from the fourteenth century—much municipal pride, but no idea of personal fame.

306. *De Laudibus Patavii*, in Murat. xxiv. col. 1138 sqq.

307. 'Nam et veteres nostri tales aut divos aut æternâ memoriâ dignos non immerito prædicabant, quum virtus summa sanctitatis sit consocia et pari emanant pretio.'

308. In the *Casus Virorum Illustrum* of Boccaccio only the close of the eighth book and the

last book—the ninth—deal with non-classical times. And so at a much later time in the *Commentarii Urbani* of Raph. Volaterranus, in the 21st book; popes and emperors are treated separately in Books 22 and 23. In the work *De Claris Mulieribus* of the Augustinian Jacobus Bergomensis (printed 1497, but probably published earlier) antiquity and legend hold the chief place, but there are still some valuable biographies of Italian women. In Scardonius (*De Urb. Patav. Antiqu.* Græv. *Thesaur.* vi. iii. col. 405 sqq.) only famous Paduan women are mentioned. First comes a legend or tradition from the time of the fall of the empire, then tragical stories of the party struggles of the thirteenth and fourteenth centuries; then notices of several heroic women; then the foundress of nunneries, the political woman, the female doctor, the mother of many and distinguished sons, the learned woman, the peasant girl who dies defending her chastity; then the cultivated beauty of the sixteenth century, on whom everybody writes sonnets; and lastly, the female novelist and poet at Padua. A century later the woman-professor would have been added to these. For the famous women of the House of Este, see Ariosto, *Orl.* xiii.

309. Bartolommeo Facio, *De Viris Illustribus Liber*, was first published by L. Mehus (Florence, 1745).

310. A Latin poet of the twelfth century, one of the wandering scholars who barter his song for a coat, uses this as a threat. *Carmina Burana*, p. 76.

311. Boccaccio, *Opere Volgari*, vol. xvi. in Sonnet 13: Pallido, vinto etc.

312. Elsewhere, and in Roscoe, *Leone X*, ed. Bossi, iv. 203.

313. *Angeli Politiani Epp.* lib. x.

314. Paul. Jov. *De Romanis Piscibus*, Præfatio (1525). The first decade of his histories would soon be published, 'non sine aliqua spe immortalitatis.'

315. Comp. *Discorsi*, i. 27. 'Tristizia' (crime) can have 'grandezza' and be 'in alcuna parte generosa'; 'grandezza' can take away 'infamia' from a deed; a man can be 'onorevolmente tristo' in contrast to one who is 'perfettamente buono.'

316. *Storie Fiorentine*, l. vi.

317. Paul. Jov. *Elog. Vir. Lit. Ill.* p. 192, speaking of Marius Molza.

318. The Middle Ages are further rich in so-called satirical poems; but the satire is not individual, but aimed at classes, categories, and whole populations, and easily passes into the didactic tone. The whole spirit of this literature is best represented by *Reineke Fuchs*, in all its forms among the different nations of the West. For this branch of French literature see a new and admirable work by Lenient, *La Satire en France au Moyen-âge*, Paris, 1860, and the equally excellent continuation, *La Satire en France, ou la littérature militante au XVI^e Siècle*, Paris, 1866.

319. See above, Note 5. Occasionally we find an insolent joke, nov. 37.

320. *Inferno*, xxi. xxii. The only possible parallel is with Aristophanes.

321. A modest beginning, *Opera*, p. 421, sqq., in *Rerum Memorandarum Libri IV*. Again, in *Epp. Seniles*, x. 2. Comp. *Epp. Fam.* ed. Fracass. i. 68 sqq., 70, 240, 245. The puns have a flavour of their mediæval home, the monasteries.

322. Nov. 40, 41; Ridolfo da Camerino is the man.

323. The well-known jest of Brunellesco and the fat wood-carver, Manetto Ammanatini, who is said to have fled into Hungary before the ridicule he encountered, is clever but cruel.

324. Sacchetti, nov. 49. And yet, according to nov. 67, there was an impression that a Romagna was superior to the worst Florentine.

325. L. B. Alberti, *Del Governo della Famiglia. Opere*, ed. Bonucci, v. 171. Comp. above. Note 264.

326. Franco Sacchetti, nov. 156; comp. 24 for Dolcibene and the Jews. The *Facetiæ* of Poggio resemble Sacchetti's in substance—practical jokes, impertinences, refined indecency misunderstood by simple folk; the philologist is betrayed by the large number of verbal jokes. On L. B. Alberti, see pp. 74, sqq.

327. And consequently in those novels of the Italians whose subject is taken from them.

328. According to Bandello, iv. nov. 2, Gonnella could twist his features into the likeness of other people, and mimic all the dialects of Italy.

329. Paul. Jov. *Vita Leonis X.*

330. 'Erat enim Bibbiena mirus artifex hominibus ætate vel professione gravibus ad insaniam impellendis.' We are here reminded of the jests of Christine of Sweden with her philologists.

331. The eye-glass I not only infer from Raphael's portrait, where it can be explained as a magnifier for looking at the miniatures in the prayer-book, but from a statement of Pellicanus, according to which Leo views an advancing procession of monks through a 'specillum' (comp. *Züricher Taschenbuch* for 1858, p. 177), and from the 'cristallus concava,' which, according to Giovio, he used when hunting.

332. We find it also in plastic art, e.g., in the famous engraving parodying the group of the Laocoön as three monkeys. But here parody seldom went beyond sketches and the like, though much, it is true, may have been destroyed. Caricature, again, is something different. Leonardo, in the grotesque faces in the Biblioteca Ambrosiana, represents what is hideous when and because it is comical, and exaggerates the ludicrous element at pleasure.

333. Jovian. Pontan. *De Sermone*, libri v. He attributes a special gift of wit to the Siense and Peruginese, as well as to the Florentines, adding the Spanish court as a matter of politeness.

334. *Il Cortigiano*, lib. ii. cap. 4 sqq., ed. Baude di Vesme, Florence, 1854, pp. 124 sqq. For the explanation of wit as the effect of contrast, though not clearly put, see *ibid.* cap. lxxiii. p. 136.

335. *Galateo del Casa*, ed. Venez. 1789, p. 26 sqq. 48.

336. *Lettere Pittoriche*, i. p. 71, in a letter of Vinc. Borghini, 1577. Machiavelli (*Stor. Fior.* vii. cap. 28) says of the young gentlemen in Florence soon after the middle of the fifteenth century: 'Gli studi loro erano apparire col vestire splendidi, e col parlare sagaci ed astuti, e quello che più destramente mordeva gli altri, era più savio e da più stimato.'

337. Comp. Fedra Inghirami's funeral oration on Ludovico Podocataro (d. Aug. 25, 1504) in the *Anecd. Litt.* i. p. 319. The scandal-monger Massaino is mentioned in Paul. Jov. *Dialogus de Viris Litt. Illustr.* (Tiraboschi, tom. vii. parte iv. p. 1631).

338. This was the plan followed by Leo X, and his calculations were not disappointed. Fearfully as his reputation was mangled after his death by the satirists, they were unable to modify the general estimate formed of him.

339. This was probably the case with Cardinal Ardicino della Porta, who in 1491 wished to resign his dignity and take refuge in a monastery. See Infessura, in Eccard, ii. col. 2000.

340. See his funeral oration in the *Anecd. Litt.* iv. p. 315. He assembled an army of peasants in the March of Ancona, which was only hindered from acting by the treason of the Duke of Urbino. For his graceful and hopeless love-poems, see Trucchi, *Poesie Inedite*, ii. 123.

341. How he used his tongue at the table of Clement VII is told in Giraldi, *Heatommithi*, vii. nov. 5.

342. The charge of taking into consideration the proposal to drown Pasquino (in Paul. Jov. *Vita Hadriani*), is transferred from Sixtus IV to Adrian. Comp. *Lettere dei Principi*, i. 114 sqq., letter of Negro, dated April 7, 1523. On St. Mark's Day Pasquino had a special celebration, which the Pope forbade.

343. E.g. Firenzuola, *Opera* (Milano 1802), vol. i. p. 116, in the *Discorsi degli Animalì.*

344. To the Duke of Ferrara, January 1, 1536 (*Lettere*, ed. 1539, fol. 39): 'You will now journey from Rome to Naples,' 'ricreando la vista avvilita nel mirar le miserie pontificali con la contemplazione delle eccellenze imperiali.'

345. The fear which he caused to men of mark, especially artists, by these means, cannot be here described. The publicistic weapon of the German Reformation was chiefly the pamphlet dealing with events as they occurred; Aretino is a journalist in the sense that he has within himself a perpetual occasion for writing.

346. E.g. in the *Capitolo* on Albicante, a bad poet; unfortunately the passages are unfit for quotation.

347. *Lettere*, ed. Venez. 1539, fol. 12, dated May 31, 1527.

348. In the first *Capitolo* to Cosimo.

349. Gaye, *Carteggio*, ii. 332.

350. See the insolent letter of 1536 in the *Lettere Pittor.* i. Append. 34.

351. 'L'Arcin, per Dio grazia, è vivo e sano,
Ma'l mostaccio ha fregiato nobilmente,
E più colpi ha, che dita in una mano.'
(Mauro, '*Capitolo in lode delle bugie*.')

352. See e.g. the letter to the Cardinal of Lorraine, *Lettere*, ed. Venez. fol. 29, dated Nov. 21, 1534, and the letters to Charles V, in which he says that no man stands nearer to God than Charles.

353. For what follows, see Gaye, *Carteggio*, ii. 336, 337, 345.

354. *Lettere*, ed. Venez. 1539, fol. 15, dated June 16, 1529.

355. He may have done so either in the hope of obtaining the red hat or from fear of the new activity of the Inquisition, which he had ventured to attack bitterly in 1535 (l. c. fol. 37), but which, after the reorganization of the institution in 1542, suddenly took a fresh start, and soon silenced every opposing voice.

356. Carmina Burana, in the *Bibliothek des literarischen Vereins in Stuttgart*, vol. xvi. (Stuttg. 1847). The stay in Pavia (p. 68 bis), the Italian local references in general, the scene with the 'pastorella' under the olive-tree (p. 145), the mention of the 'pinus' as a shady field tree (p. 156), the frequent use of the word 'bravium' (pp. 137, 144), and particularly the form *Madii* for *Maji* (p. 141), all speak in favour of our assumption.

That he bore the name of Walther throws no light upon his origin. He was formerly identified with Gualterus de Mapes, a canon of Salisbury and chaplain to the English kings at the end of the twelfth century; since, by Giesebrecht (*Die Vaganten oder Goliarden und ihre Lieder*, *Allgemeine Monatsschrift*, 1855), with Walther of Lille or Chatillon, who passed from France into England and Germany, and thence possibly with the Archbishop Reinhold of Köln (1164 and 75) to Italy (Pavia, &c.).

357. In what way antiquity could serve as guide and teacher in all the higher regions of life, is briefly sketched by Æneas Sylvius (*Opera*, p. 603, in the *Epist.* 105, to the Archduke Sigismund).

358. For particulars we must refer the reader to Roscoe, *Lorenzo Mag.* and *Leo X.*, as well as to Voigt, *Enca Silvio* (Berlin, 1856—63); and to Papencordt, *Geschichte der Stadt Rom im Mittelalter*.

To form a conception of the extent which studies at the beginning of the sixteenth century had reached, we cannot do better than turn to the *Commentarii Urbani* of Raphael Volaterranus (ed. Basil, 1544, fol. 16, &c.). Here we see how antiquity formed the introduction and the chief matter of study in every branch of knowledge, from geography and local history, the lives of great and famous men, popular philosophy, morals and the special sciences, down to the analysis of the whole of Aristotle with which the work closes. To understand its significance as an authority for the history of culture, we must compare it with all the earlier encyclopædias. A complete and circumstantial account of the matters is given in Voigt's admirable work, *Die Wiederbelebung des classischen Alterthums oder Das erste Jahrhundert des Humanismus*, Berlin, 1859.

359. Dante, *Convito*, tratt. iv. cap. v.

360. *Epp. Familiares*, vi. 2; references to Rome before he had seen it, and expressions of his longing for the city, *Epp. Fam.* ed. Fracass. vol. i. pp. 125, 213; vol. ii. pp. 336 sqq.

361. *Dittamondo*, ii. cap. 3. The procession reminds one at times of the three Magi and their suite in the old pictures. The description of the city (ii. cap. 31) is not without archaeological value. According to Polistoro (Murat. xxiv. col. 845), Niccolò and Ugo of Este journeyed in 1366 to Rome, 'per vedere quelle magnificenze antiche, che al presente si possono vedere in Roma.'

362. Parenthetically we may quote foreign evidence that Rome in the Middle Ages was looked upon as a quarry. The famous Abbot Sugerius, who about 1140 was in search of lofty pillars for the rebuilding of St. Denis, thought at first of nothing less than getting hold of the granite monoliths of the Baths of Diocletian, but afterwards changed his mind. See 'Sugerii Libellus Alter,' in Duchesne, *Hist. Franc. Scriptores*, iv. p. 352. Charlemagne was doubtless more modest in his requirements.

363. *Poggii Opera*, fol. 50 sqq. 'Ruinarum Urbis Romæ Descriptio,' written about 1430, shortly before the death of Martin V. The Baths of Caracalla and Diocletian had then their pillars and coating of marble.

364. Poggio appears as one of the earliest collectors of inscriptions, in his letter in the *Vita Poggii*. Muratori, xx. col. 177, and as collector of busts (col. 183, and letter in Shepherd-Tonelli, i. 258).

365. Fabroni, *Cosmus*, Adnot. 86. From a letter of Alberto degli Alberti to Giovanni Medici. For the condition of Rome under Martin V, see Platina, p. 227; and during the absence of Eugenius IV, see Vespasiano Fiorent., p. 21.

366. What follows is from Jo. Ant. Campanus, *Vita Pii II*, in Muratori, iii. ii. col. 980 sqq. *Pii II. Commentarii*, pp. 48, 72 sqq., 206, 248 sqq., 501, and elsewhere.

367. Boccaccio, *Fiammetta*, cap. 5.

368. Comp. Leandro Alberti, *Descriz. di tutta l'Italia*, fol. 285.

369. Two instances out of many: the fabulous origin of Milan in Manipulus (Murat. xl. col. 552), and that of Florence in Gio. Villani (who here, as elsewhere, enlarges on the forged chronicle of Ricordano Malespini), according to which Florence, being loyally Roman in its sentiments, is always in the right against the anti-Roman rebellious Fiesole (i. 9, 38, 41; ii. 2). Dante, *Inf.* xv. 76.

370. *Commentarii*, p. 206, in the fourth book.

371. Mich. Cansesius, *Vita Pauli II*, in Murat. iii. ii. col. 993. Towards even Nero, son of Domitius Ahenobarbus, the author will not be impolite, on account of his connection with the Pope. He only says of him, 'De quo rerum Scriptores multa ac diversa commemorant.' The family of Plato in Milan went still farther, and flattered itself on its descent from the great Athenian. Filelfo in a wedding speech, and in an encomium on the jurist Teodoro Plato, ventured to make this assertion; and a Giovanantonio Plato put the inscription on a portrait in relief carved by him in 1478 (in the court of the Pal. Magenta at Milan): 'Platonem suum, a quo originem et ingenium refert.'

372. See on this point, Nantiporto, in Murat. iii. ii. col. 1094; Infessura, in Eccard, *Scriptores*, ii. col. 1951; Matarazzo, in the *Arch. Stor.* xvi. ii. p. 180.

373. As early as Julius II excavations were made in the hope of finding statues. Vasari, xi. p. 302, *V. di Gio. da Udine*. Comp. Gregorovius, viii. 186.

374. Quatremère, *Storia della vita etc. di Raffaello*, ed. Longhena, p. 531.

375. *Lettere Pittoriche*, ii. 1, Tolomei to Landi, 14 Nov., 1542.

376. He tried 'curis animique doloribus quacunq[ue] ratione aditum intercludere'; music and lively conversation charmed him, and he hoped by their means to live longer. *Leonis X. Vita Anonyma*, in Roscoe, ed. Bossi, xii. p. 169.

377. This point is referred to in the *Satires* of Ariosto. See the first ('Perc' ho molto, &c.), and the fourth ('Poichè, Annibale').

378. Ranke, *Päpste*, i. 408 sqq. *Lettere dei Principi*, p. 107. Letter of Negro, September 1, 1522. . . . 'tutti questi cortigiani esausti da Papa Leone e falliti.'

379. *Pii II. Commentarii*, p. 251 in the 5th book. Comp. Sannazaro's elegy, 'Ad Ruinas Cumarum urbis vetustissimæ' (*Opera*, fol. 236 sqq.).

380. Polifilo (i.e. Franciscus Columna) 'Hypnerotomachia,' Venice, Aldus Manutius, 1499, pages unnumbered; extracts in Temanza, p. 12.

381. While all the Fathers of the Church and all the pilgrims speak only of a cave. The poets, too, do without the palace. Comp. Sannazaro, *De Partu Virginis*, l. ii.

382. Chiefly from Vespasiano Fiorentino, in the first vol. of the *Spicileg. Romanum*, by Mai, from which edition the quotations in this book are made. New edition by Bartoli, Florence, 1859. The author was a Florentine bookseller and copying agent, about and after the middle of the fifteenth century.

383. Forgeries, by which the passion for antiquity was turned to the profit or amusement of rogues, are well known to have been not uncommon. See the articles in the literary histories on Anniius of Viterbo.

384. Vespas. Fiorent. p. 31. 'Tommaso da Serezana usava dire, che dua cosa farebbe, se egli potesse mai spendere, ch'era in libri e murare. E l'una e l'altra fece nel suo pontificato.' With respect to his translation, see Æn. Sylvius, *De Europa*, cap. 58, p. 459, and Papencordt, *Ges. der Stadt Rom*, p. 502.

385. Vespas. Fior. pp. 48 and 658, 665. Comp. J. Mannetti, *Vita Nicolai V*, in Murat. iii. ii. col. 925 sqq. On the question whether and how Calixtus III partly dispersed the library again, see Vespas. Fiorent. p. 284, with Mai's note.

386. Vespas. Fior. pp. 617 sqq.

387. Vespas. Fior. pp. 547 sqq.

388. Vespas. Fiorent. p. 193. Comp. Marin Sanudo, in Murat. xxii. col. 1185 sqq.

389. How the matter was provisionally treated is related in Malipiero, *Ann. Veneti, Arch. Stor.* vii. ii. pp. 653, 655.

390. Vespas. Fior. pp. 124 sqq.

391. Perhaps at the capture of Urbino by the troops of Cæsar Borgia. The existence of the manuscript has been doubted; but I cannot believe that Vespasiano would have spoken of the gnomic extracts from Menander, which do not amount to more than a couple of hundred verses, as 'tutte le opere,' nor have mentioned them in the list of comprehensive manuscripts, even though he had before him only our present Pindar and Sophocles. It is not inconceivable that this Menander may some day come to light.

392. When Piero de' Medici, at the death of Matthias Corvinus, the book-loving King of Hungary, declared that the 'scrittori' must now lower their charges, since they would otherwise find no further employment (except from him), he can only have meant the Greek copyists, as the calligraphists, to whom one might be tempted to refer his words, continued to be numerous throughout all Italy. Fabroni, *Laurent. Magn. Adnot.* 156. Comp. Adnot. 154.

393. Gaye, *Carteggio*, i. p. 164. A letter of the year 1455 under Calixtus III. The famous miniature Bible of Urbino is written by a Frenchman, a workman of Vespasiano's. See D'Agincourt, *La Peinture*, tab. 78.

394. Vespas. Fior. p. 335.

395. Ambr. Trav. *Epist.* i. p. 63. The Pope was equally serviceable to the libraries of Urbino and Pesaro (that of Aless. Sforza, p. 38).

396. Vespas. Fior. p. 129.

397. 'Artes—Quis Labor est fessis demptus ab articulis' in a poem by Robertus Ursus about 1470. *Rerum Ital. Script. ex Codd. Fiorent.* tom. ii. col. 693. He rejoices rather too hastily over the rapid spread of classical literature which was hoped for. Comp. Libri, *Hist. des Sciences Mathématiques*, ii. 278 sqq. For the printers at Rome (the first were Germans: Hahn, Pannartz, Schweinhelm), see Gaspar. Veron. *Vita Pauli II*, in Murat. iii. ii. col. 1046. For the first Privilegium in Venice, see Marin Sanudo, in Muratori, xxii. col. 1189.

398. Something of the sort had already existed in the age of manuscripts. See Vespas. Fior. p. 656, on the *Cronaco del Mondo* of Zembino of Pistoia.

399. Fabroni, *Laurent. Magn. Adnot.* 212. It happened in the case of the libel, *De Exilio*.

400. See Sismondi, vi, p. 149 sqq.

401. The dying out of these Greeks is mentioned by Pierius Valerian. *De Infelicitate Literat.* in speaking of Lascaris. And Paulus Jovius, at the end of his *Elogia Literaria*, says of the Germans. 'Quum literæ non latinæ modo cum pudore nostro, sed græcæ et hebraicæ in eorum terras fatali commigratione transierint' (about 1540).

402. Ranke, *Päpste*, i. 486 sqq. Comp. the end of this part of our work.

403. Tommaso Gar, *Relazioni della Corte di Roma*, i. pp. 338, 379.

404. George of Trebizond, teacher of rhetoric at Venice, with a salary of 150 ducats a year (see Malipiero, *Arch. Stor.* vii. ii. p. 653). For the Greek chair at Perugia, see *Arch. Stor.* xvi. ii. p. 19 of the Introduction. In the case of Rimini, there is some doubt whether Greek was taught or not. Comp. *Anecd. Litt.* ii. p. 300.

405. See Vesp. Fior. pp. 48, 476, 578, 614. Fra Ambrogio Camaldolese also knew Hebrew, *ibid.* p. 320.

406. Sixtus IV, who built the Vatican Library and enriched it with many purchases, offered to pay for Latin, Greek and Hebrew writings (librarios). Platina, *Vita Sixti IV*, p. 232.

407. Pierius Valerian. *De Infelic. Lit.* ed. Mencken, 301, speaking of Mongaio. On Ramusio, see Sansovino, *Venezia*, fol. 250.

408. Especially in the important letter of the year 1485 to Ermolao Barbaro, in *Ang. Politian. Epistola*, l. ix. Comp. Jo. Pici, *Oratio de Hominis Dignitate*.

409. Their estimate of themselves is indicated by Poggio (*De Avaritia*, fol. 2), according to whom only such persons could say that they had lived (*se vixisse*) who had written learned and eloquent books in Latin or translated Greek into Latin.

410. Esp. Libri, *Histoires des Sciences Mathém.* ii. 159 sqq., 258 sqq.

411. *Purgatorio*, xviii. contains striking instances. Mary hastens over the mountains, Cæsar to Spain; Mary is poor and Fabricius disinterested. We may here remark on the chronological introduction of the Sibyls into the profane history of antiquity as attempted by Uberti in his *Dittamondo* (i. cap. 14, 15), about 1360.

412. 'Poeta,' even in Dante (*Vita Nuova*, p. 47), means only the writer of Latin verses, while for Italian the expressions 'Rimatore, Dicitore per rima,' are used. It is true that the names and ideas became mixed in course of time.

413. Petrarch, too, at the height of his fame complained in moments of melancholy that his evil star decreed him to pass his last years among scoundrels (*extremi fures*). In the imaginary letter to Livy, *Epp. Fam.* ed. Fracass. lib. xxiv. ep. 8.

414. Boccaccio, in a later letter to Jacobus Pizinga (*Opere Volgari*, vol. xvi.), confines himself more strictly to poetry properly so called. And yet he only recognizes as poetry that which treated of antiquity, and ignores the Troubadours.

415. Boccaccio (*Vita di Dante*, p. 50): 'La quale (laurea) non scienza accresce ma è dell' acquistata certissimo testimonio e ornamento.'

416. *Paradiso*, xxv. 1 sqq. Boccaccio, *Vita di Dante*, p. 50. 'Sopra le fonti di San Giovanni si era disposto di coronare.' Comp. *Paradiso*, i. 25.

417. See Boccaccio's letter to him in the *Opere Volgari*, vol. xvi. p. 36: 'Si præstet Deus, concedente senatu Romuleo.'...

418. Matt. Villani, v. 26. There was a solemn procession on horseback round the city, when the followers of the Emperor, his 'baroni,' accompanied the poet. Boccaccio, l. c. Fazio degli Uberti was also crowned, but it is not known where or by whom.

419. Jac. Volaterran. in Murat. xxiii. col. 185.

420. Vespas. Fiorent. pp. 575, 589. *Vita Jan. Mannetti*, in Murat. xx. col. 543, the celebrity of Leonardo Aretino was in his lifetime so great that people came from all parts merely to see him; a Spaniard fell on his knees before him.—Vesp. p. 568. For the monument of Guarino, the magistrate of Ferrara allowed, in 1461, the then considerable sum of 100 ducats.

421. Comp. Libri, *Histoire des Sciences Mathém.* ii. p. 92 sqq. Bologna, as is well known, was older. Pisa flourished in the fourteenth century, fell through the wars with Florence, and was afterwards restored by Lorenzo il Magnifico, 'ad solatium veteris amissæ libertatis,' as Giovio says, *Vita Leonis X*, l. i. The university of Florence (comp. Gaye, *Carteggio*, i. p. 461 to 560 *passim*; Matteo Villani, i. 8.; vii. 90), which existed as early as 1321, with compulsory attendance for the natives of the city, was founded afresh after the Black Death in 1348, and endowed with an income of 2,500 gold florins, fell again into decay, and was refounded in 1357. The chair for the explanation of Dante, established in 1373 at the request of many citizens, was afterwards commonly united with the professorship of philology and rhetoric, as when Filelfo held it.

422. This should be noticed in the lists of professors, as in that of the University of Pavia in 1400 (Corio, *Storia di Milano*, fol. 290), where (among others) no less than twenty jurists appear.

423. Marin Sanudo, in Murat. xxii. col. 990.

424. Fabroni, *Laurent. Magn.* Adnot. 52, in the year 1491.

425. Allegretto, *Diarîi Sanesi*, in Murat. xxiii. col. 824.

426. Filelfo, when called to the newly founded University of Pisa, demanded at least 500 gold florins. Comp. Fabroni, *Laur. Magn.* ii. 75 sqq.
427. Comp. Vespasian. Fiorent. pp. 271, 572, 580, 625. *Uita. Jan. Mannetti*, in Murat. xx. col. 531 sqq.
428. Vespas. Fiorent. p. 640. I am not acquainted with the separate biographies of Vittorino and Guarino, by Rosmini.
429. Vespas. Fior. p. 646.
430. To the Archduke Sigismund, *Epist.* 105, p. 600, and to King Ladislaus Postumus, p. 695; the latter as *Tractatus de Librorum Educatione* (1450).
431. The following words of Vespasiano are untranslatable: 'A vederlo in tavola così antico come era, era una gentilezza.'
432. *Ibid.* p. 485.
433. According to Vespas. p. 271, learned men were in the habit of meeting here for discussion.
434. See his *Uita*, by Naldus Naldi, in Murat. xx. col. 532 sqq.
435. What was known of Plato before can only have been fragmentary. A strange discussion on the antagonism of Plato and Aristotle took place at Ferrara in 1438, between Ugo of Siena and the Greeks who came to the Council. Comp. Æneas Sylvius, *De Europa*, cap. 52 (*Opera*, p. 450).
436. In Niccolò Valori, *Life of Lorenzo the Magnificent*. Comp. Vespas. Fiorent. p. 426. The first supporters of Argyropulos were the Acciaiuoli. *Ib.* 192; Cardinal Bessarion and his parallels between Plato and Aristotle. *Ib.* 223; Cusanus as Platonist. *Ib.* 308; The Catalonian Narciso and his disputes with Argyropulos. *Ib.* 571; Single Dialogues of Plato, translated by Leonardo Aretino. *Ib.* 298; The rising influence of Neoplatonism.
437. Varchi, *Stor. Fior.* p. 321. An admirable sketch of character.
438. The lives of Guarino and Vittorino by Rosmini mentioned above (Note 428), as well as the life of Poggio by Shepherd all contain much on this subject.
439. *Epist.* 39; *Opera*, p. 526, to Mariano Sucino.
440. We must not be misled by the fact that along with all this complaints were frequently heard of the inadequacy of princely patronage and of the indifference of many princes to their fame. See e.g. Bapt. Mantuan. *Eclog.* v. as early as the fifteenth century. It was impossible to satisfy all.
441. For the literary and scientific patronage of the popes down to the end of the fifteenth century, see the last part of Papencordt's *Geschichte der Stadt Rom im Mittelalter*.
442. Lil. Greg. Gyraldus, *De Poetis Nostri Temporis*, speaking of the *Sphaerulus* of Camerino. The worthy man did not finish it in time, and his work lay for forty years in his desk. For the scanty payments made by Sixtus IV, comp. Pierio Valer. *De Infelic. Lit.* on Theodorus Gaza. On the deliberate exclusion of the humanists from the cardinalate by the popes before Leo, comp. Lor. Grana's funeral oration on Cardinal Egidio, *Anecd. Litt.* iv. p. 307.
443. The best are to be found in the *Deliciae Poetarum Italarum*, and in the Appendices to the various editions of Roscoe, *Leo X.*
444. Paul. Jov. *Elogia* speaking of Guido Posthumus.
445. Pierio Valeriano in his *Simia*.
446. See the elegy of Joh. Aurelius Mutius in the *Deliciae Poetarum Italarum*.
447. The well-known story of the purple velvet purse filled with packets of gold of various sizes, in which Leo used to thrust his hand blindly, is in Giraldi *Hecatommithi*, vi. nov. 8. On the other hand, the Latin 'improvisatori,' when their verses were too faulty, were whipped. Lil. Greg. Gyraldus, *De Poetis Nostri Temp.*
448. Roscoe, *Leone X.*, ed. Bossi, iv. 181.
449. Vespas. Fior. p. 68 sqq. For the translations from Greek made by Alfonso's orders, see p. 93; *Uita Jan. Mannetti*, in Murat. xx. col. 541 sqq., 550 sqq., 595. Panormita, *Dicta et Facta Alphonsi*, with the notes by Æneas Sylvius, ed. by Jacob Spiegel, Basle, 1538.
450. Ovid. *Amores*, ii. 15, vs. 11.; Jovian. Pontan. *De Principe*.

451. *Giorn. Napolet.* in Murat. xxi. col. 1127.
452. Vespas. Fior. pp. 3, 119 sqq. 'Volle aver picna notizia d'ogni cosa, così sacra come gentile.'
453. The last Visconti divided his interest between Livy, the French chivalrous romances, Dante, and Petrarch. The humanists who presented themselves to him with the promise 'to make him famous,' were generally sent away after a few days. Comp. *Decembrio*, in Murat. xx. col. 1014.
454. Paul. Jov. *Vita Alfonsi Ducis*.
455. On Colleuccio at the court of Giovanni Sforza of Pesaro (son of Alessandro, p. 15), who finally, in 1508, put him to death, see Note 274. At the time of the last Ordellaffi at Forlì, the place was occupied by Codrus Urceus (1477—80). Among the instructed despots, we may mention Galeotto Manfredi of Faenza, murdered in 1488 by his wife, and some of the Bentivoglio family at Bologna.
456. *Anecdota Literar.* ii. pp. 305 sqq., 405. Basinius of Parma ridicules Porcellio and Tommaso Seneca; they are needy parasites, and must play the soldier in their old age, while he himself was enjoying an 'ager' and a 'villa.' (About 1460. An interesting document, from which we see that there were still humanists who, like the two named, attempted to resist the spread of Greek.)
457. For details respecting these graves, see Keyssler, *Neueste Reisen*, p. 924.
458. *Pii II. Comment.* l. ii. p. 92. By history he means all that has to do with antiquity.
459. *Fabroni, Cosmus*, Adnot. 117. Vespasian. Fior. *passim*. An important passage respecting the demands made by the Florentines on their secretaries is to be found in Æneas Sylvius, *De Europâ*, cap. 54 (*Opera*, p. 454).
460. Cf. p. 94, and Papencordt, *Gesch. der Stadt Rom*, p. 512, on the new college of abbreviators founded by Pius.
461. *Anecdota Lit.* i. p. 119 sqq. A plea ('Actio ad Cardinales Deputatos') of Jacobus Volaterranus in the name of the Secretaries, no doubt of the time of Sixtus IV. The humanistic claims of the 'advocati consistoriales' rested on their oratory, as that of the Secretaries on their correspondence.
462. The Imperial chancery under Frederick III was best known to Æneas Sylvius. Comp. *Epp.* 23 and 105; *Opera*, pp. 516 and 607.
463. Corio, *Storia di Milano*, fol. 449, for the letter of Isabella of Aragon to her father, Alfonso of Naples; fols. 451, 464, two letters of the Moor to Charles VIII. Compare the story in the *Lettere Pittoriche*, iii. 86 (Sebastiano del Piombo to Aretino), how Clement VII, during the sack of Rome, called his learned men round him, and made each of them separately write a letter to Charles V.
464. Comp. the speeches in the *Opera* of Philelphus, Sabellicus, Beroaldus, &c.; and the writings and lives of Giann. Mannetti, Æneas Sylvius, and others.
465. *Diario Ferrarese*, in Murat. xxiv. col. 198, 205.
466. *Pii II, Comment.* l. i. p. 10.
467. The success of the fortunate orator was great, and the humiliation of the speaker who broke down before distinguished audiences no less great. Examples of the latter in Petrus Crinitus, *De Honestâ Disciplinâ*, v. cap. 3. Comp. Vespas. Fior. pp. 319, 430.
468. *Pii II, Comment.* l. iv. 205. There were some Romans, too, who awaited him at Viterbo. 'Singuli per se verba facere, ne alius alio melior videretur, cum essent eloquentiâ ferme pares.' The fact that the Bishop of Arezzo was not allowed to speak in the name of the general embassy of the Italian states to the newly chosen Alexander VI, is seriously placed by Guicciardini (at the beginning of book i.) among the causes which helped to produce the disaster of 1494.
469. Told by Marin Sanudo, in Murat. xxii. col. 1160.
470. *Pii II, Comment.* l. ii. p. 107. Comp. p. 87. Another oratorical princess, Madonna Battista Montefeltro, married to a Malatesta, harangued Sigismund and Martin. Comp. *Arch. Stor.* iv. i. p. 442, note.
471. *De Expeditione in Turcas*, in Murat. xxiii. col. 68. 'Nihil enim Pii concionantis majestate

sublimius.' Not to speak of the naïve pleasure with which Pius describes his own triumphs, see Campanus, *Vita Pii II.* in Murat. iii. ii. *passim*. At a later period these speeches were judged less admiringly. Comp. Voigt, *Enca Silvio.* ii. 275 sqq.

472. Charles V, when unable on one occasion to follow the flourishes of a Latin orator at Genoa, whispered in the ear of Gioivo: 'Ah, my tutor Adrian was right, when he told me I should be chastened for my childish idleness in learning Latin.' Paul. Jov. *Vita Hadriani VI.*

473. Lil. Greg. Gyraldus, *De poctis Nostri Temp.* speaking of Collenuccio. Filelfo, a married layman, delivered an introductory speech in the Cathedral at Como for the Bishop Scarampi, in 1460.

474. Fabroni, *Cosmus*, Adnot. 52.

475. Which, nevertheless, gave some offence to Jac. Volaterranus (in Murat. xxiii. col. 171) at the service in memory of Platina.

476. *Anecdota Lit.* i. p. 299, in Fedra's funeral oration on Lod. Podacataro, whom Guarino commonly employed on these occasions.

477. Many of these opening lectures have been preserved in the works of Sabellicus, Beroaldus Major, Codrus Urceus, &c.

478. The fame of Pomponazzo's delivery is preserved in Paul. Jov. *Elogia*.

479. Vespas. Fior. p. 103. Comp. p. 598, where he describes how Giannozzo Mannetti came to him in the camp.

480. *Archiv. Stor.* xv. pp. 113, 121. Canestrini's Introduction, p. 342 sqq., reports of two such speeches to soldiers; the first, by Alamanni, is wonderfully fine and worthy of the occasion (1528).

481. On this point see Faustinus Terdoccus, in his satire *De Triumpho Stultitiae*, lib. ii.

482. Both of these extraordinary cases occur in Sabellicus, *Opera*, fol. 61—82. *De Origine et Actu Religionis*, delivered at Verona from the pulpit before the barefoot friars; and *De Sacerdotii Laudibus*, delivered at Venice.

483. Jac. Volaterrani *Diar. Roman.* in Murat. xxiii. *passim*. In col. 173 a remarkable sermon before the court, though in the absence of Sixtus IV, is mentioned. Pater Paolo Toscanella thundered against the Pope, his family, and the cardinals. When Sixtus heard of it, he smiled.

484. Fil. Villani, *Vite*, ed. Galetti, p. 30.

485. Georg. Trapezunt, *Rhetorica*, the first complete system of instruction. Æn. Sylvius, *Artis Rhetoricæ Præcepta*, in the *Opera*, p. 992, treats purposely only of the construction of sentences and the position of words. It is characteristic as an instance of the routine which was followed. He names several other theoretical writers who are some of them no longer known.

486. His life in Murat. xx. is full of the triumphs of his eloquence. Comp. Vespas. Fior. 592 sqq.

487. *Annales Placentini*, in Murat. xx. col. 918.

488. E.g. Savonarola, comp. Perrrens, *Vie de Savonarole*, i. p. 163. The shorthand writers, however, could not always follow him, or, indeed, any rapid 'Improvisatori.'

489. It was by no means one of the best. The most remarkable thing in it is the flourish at the end: 'Esto tibi ipsi archetypon et exemplar, teipsum imitare.' etc.

490. Letters and speeches of this kind were written by Alberto di Ripalta, comp. the *Annales Placentini*. written by his father Antonius and continued by himself, in Murat. xx. col. 914 sqq., where the pedant gives an instructive account of his own literary career.

491. *Pauli Jovii Dialogus de Viris Litteris Illustribus*, in Tiraboschi, tom. vii. parte iv. Yet he says some ten years later, at the close of the *Elogia Litteraria*: 'Tenemus adhuc (after the leadership in philology had passed to the Germans) sinceræ et constantis eloquentiæ munitam arcem,' etc.

492. A special class is formed by the semi-satirical dialogues, which Collenuccio, and still more Pontano, copied from Lucian. Their example stimulated Erasmus and Hutten. For the treatises properly so-called parts of the ethical writings of Plutarch may have served as models.

493. Benedictus: *Caroli VIII. Hist.* in Eccard, *Scriptt.* vi. col. 1577.

494. Petrus Crinitus deplores this contempt, *De honesta disciplina*, l. xviii. cap. 9. The

humanists here resemble the writers in the decline of antiquity, who also severed themselves from their own age. Comp. Burckhardt, *Die Zeit Constantins des Grossen*, p. 285 sqq.

495. In the letter to Pizinga, *Opere Volgari*, vol. xvi. p. 38. With Raph. Volaterranus, l. xxi. the intellectual world begins in the fourteenth century. He is the same writer whose early books contain so many notices—excellent for his time—of the history of all countries.

496. Like that of Giannozzo Mannetti in the presence of Nicholas V, of the whole Papal court, and of a great concourse of strangers from all parts. Comp. Vespas. Fior. p. 591, and more fully in the *Commentario*, pp. 37—40.

497. In fact, it was already said that Homer alone contained the whole of the arts and sciences—that he was an encyclopædia. Comp. *Codri Urcei Opera*, Sermo xiii. at the end.

498. A cardinal under Paul II had his cooks instructed in the Ethics of Aristotle. Comp. Gaspar. Veron. *Vita Pauli II*, in Muratori, iii. ii. col. 1034.

499. For the study of Aristotle in general, a speech of Hermolaus Barbarus is specially instructive.

500. Bursellis, *Ann. Bonon.* in Murat. xxiii. col. 898.

501. Vasari, xi. pp. 189, 257. *Vite di Sodoma e di Garofalo*. It is not surprising that the profligate women at Rome took the most harmonious ancient names—Julia, Lucretia, Cassandra, Portia, Virginia, Pentheseilea, under which they appear in Aretino. It was, perhaps, then that the Jews took the names of the great Semitic enemies of the Romans—Hannibal, Hamilcar, Hasdrubal, which even now they commonly bear in Rome.

502. 'Quasi che'l nome i buon giudici inganni,
E che quel meglio t'abbia a far poeta,
Che non farà lo studio di molt' anni!'

So jests Ariosto, to whom fortune had certainly given a harmonious name, in the *Seventh Satire*, vs. 64.

503. Or after those of Boiardo, which are in part the same as his.

504. The soldiers of the French army in 1512 were 'omnibus diris ad inferos devocati!' The honest canon, Tizio, who, in all seriousness, pronounced a curse from Macrobius against foreign troops, will be spoken of further on.

505. *De infelicitate principum*, in Poggii *Opera*, fol. 152: 'Cujus (Dantis) exstat poema præclarum, neque, si literis Latinis constraret, ullâ ex parte poetis superioribus (the ancients) postponendum.' According to Boccaccio, *Vita di Dante*, p. 74, 'Many wise men' even then discussed the question why Dante had not written in Latin.

506. His work *De vulgari cloquio* was for long almost unknown, and, valuable as it is to us, could never have exercised the influence of the *Divina Commedia*.

507. To know how far this fanaticism went, we have only to refer to Lil. Greg. Gyraldus, *De poetis nostri temporis, passim*.

508. There were regular stylistic exercises, as in the *Orationes* of the elder Beroaldus, where there are two tales of Boccaccio, and even a 'Canzone' of Petrarch translated into Latin.

509. Comp. Petrarch's letters from the earth to illustrious shades below. *Opera*, p. 704 sqq. See also p. 372 in the work *De rep. optimè administranda*: 'Sic esse doleo, sed sic est.'

510. A barlesque picture of the fanatical purism prevalent in Rome is given by Jovian. Pontanus in his *Antonius*.

511. *Hadriani (Cornetani) Card. S. Chrysogoni de sermone latino liber*, especially the introduction. He finds in Cicero and his contemporaries Latinity in its absolute form (*an sich*).

512. Paul. Jov. *Elogia doct. vir.* p. 187 sqq., speaking of Bapt. Pins.

513. Paul. Jov. *Elogia*, on Naugerius. Their ideal, he says, was: 'Aliquid in stylo proprium, quod peculiarem ex certâ notâ mentis effigiem referret, ex naturæ genio effinxisse.' Politian, when in a hurry, objected to write his letters in Latin. Comp. Raph. Volat. *Comment. urban.* l. xxi.

514. Paul. Jov. *Dialogus de viris litteris illustribus*, in Tiraboschi, ed. Venez. 1766, tom. vii. parte iv. It is well known that Giovio was long anxious to undertake the great work which Vasari accomplished. In the dialogue mentioned above it is foreseen and deplored that Latin would soon altogether lose its supremacy.

515. In the 'Breve' of 1517 to Franc. de' Rossi, composed by Sadoletto, in Roscoe, *Leone X*, ed. Bossi, vi. p. 172.
516. Gasp. Veronens. *Vita Pauli II*, in Murat. iii. ii. col. 1031. The plays of Seneca and Latin translations of Greek dramas were also performed.
517. At Ferrara, Plautus was played chiefly in the Italian adaptations of Collenuccio, the younger Guarino, and others, and principally for the sake of the plots. Isabella Gonzaga took the liberty of finding him dull. On Pomp. Lactus, see *Sabellici Opera*. Epist. l. xi. fol. 56 sqq.
518. For what follows see *Deliciae poetarum Itolorum*; Paul. Jov. *Elogia*; Lil. Greg. Gyraldus, *De poetis nostri temporis*; and the Appendices to Roscoe, *Leone X*, ed. Bossi.
519. Filippo Villani, *Vite*, ed. Galetti, p. 16.
520. Franc. Alcardi *Oratio in laudem Franc. Sfortiae*, in Murat. xxv. col. 384. In comparing Scipio with Caesar, Guarino and Cyriacus Anconitanus held the latter, Poggio (*Opera*, esp. fol. 125, 134 sqq.) the former, to be the greater. For Scipio and Hannibal in the miniatures of Attavante, see Vasari, iv. 41, *Vita di Fiesole*. The names of both used for Piccinino and Sforza. See p. 54.
521. The brilliant exceptions, where rural life is treated realistically, will also be mentioned below.
522. Printed in Mai, *Spicilegium Romanum*, vol. viii. pp. 488—504; about 500 hexameter verses. Pierio Valeriano followed out the myth in his poetry. See his *Carpio*, in the *Deliciae poetarum Itolorum*. The frescoes of Brusasorci in the Pal. Murari at Verona represent the subject of the *Sarca*.
523. *De sacris diebus*.
524. E.g. in his eighth eclogue.
525. Roscoe, *Leone X*, ed. Bossi, viii. 184. A poem in a similar style, xii. 130. The poem of Angilbert on the Court of Charlemagne curiously reminds us of the Renaissance. Comp. Pertz. *Monum.* ii.
526. Strozzi, *Poetae*, p. 31 sqq. 'Caesaris Borgiae ducis epicedium.'
527. 'Pontificem addiderat, flammis lustralibus omnis
Corporis ablutum labes, Dis Juppiter ipsis,' etc.
528. This was Ercole II of Ferrara, b. April 4, 1508, probably either shortly before or shortly after the composition of this poem. 'Nascere, magne puer, matri expectate patrique,' is said near the end.
529. Comp. the collections of the *Scriptores* by Schardius, Freber, &c.
530. Uzzano, see *Archiv.* iv. i. 296. Machiavelli, *i Decennali*. The life of Savonarola, under the title *Cedrus Libani*, by Fra Benedetto. *Assedio di Piombino*. Murat. xxv. We may quote as a parallel the *Teuerdank* and other northern works in rhyme.
531. In this case of the introduction to Lucretius, and of Horace, *Od.* iv. l.
532. The invocation of a patron saint is an essentially pagan undertaking, as has been noticed at p. 32, on a more serious occasion.
533. Si satis ventos tolerasse et imbres
Ac minas fatorum hominumque fraudes,
Da Pater tecto salientem avito
Cernere fumum!
534. *Andr. Xaugerii, Orationes duae carminaque aliquot*, Venet. 1530, 4^o. The few 'Carmina' are to be found partly or wholly in the *Deliciae*.
535. To form a notion of what Leo X, could swallow, see the prayer of Guido Postumo Silvestri to Christ, the Virgin, and all the Saints, that they would long spare this 'numen' to earth, since heaven had enough of such already. Printed in Roscoe, *Leone X*, ed. Bossi, v. 337.
536. Boccaccio, *Vita di Dante*, p. 36.
537. Sannazaro ridicules a man who importuned him with such forgeries: 'Sint vetera haec aliis, mi nova semper erunt.' (*Ad Rufum, Opera*, 1535, fol. 41 a.)
538. *Lettere de' principi*. i. 88. 98.

539. Malipiero, *Ann. Veneti, Arch. Stor.* vii. i. p. 508. At the end we read, in reference to the bull as the arms of the Borgia:

'Merge, Tyber, vitulos animosas ultor in undas;
Bos cadat inferno victima magna Jovi!'

540. On the whole affair, see Roscoe, *Leone X*, ed. Bossi, vii. 211, viii. 214 sqq. The printed collection, now rare, of these *Coryciana* of the year 1524 contains only the Latin poems; Vasari saw another book in the possession of the Augustinians in which were sonnets. So contagious was the habit of affixing poems, that the group had to be protected by a railing, and even hidden altogether. The change of Goritz into 'Corycius senex' is suggested by Virgil, *Georg.* iv. 127. For the miserable end of the man at the sack of Rome, see Pierio Valeriano, *De infelic. literat.*

541. The work appeared first in the *Coryciana*, with introductions by Silvanus and Corycius himself; also reprinted in the Appendices to Roscoe, *Leone X*, ed. Bossi, and in the *Dcliciae*. Comp. Paul. Jov. *Elogia*, speaking of Arsillus. Further, for the great number of the epigrammatists, see Lil. Greg. Gyraldus, l. c. One of the most biting pens was Marcantonio Casanova. Among the less known, Jo. Thomas Musconius (see *Dcliciae*) deserves mention.

542. Marin Sanudo, in the *Uite de' duchi di Venezia*, Murat. xxii. quotes them regularly.

543. Scardeonius, *De urb. Patav. antiq.* (*Graev. Thes.* vi. iii. col. 270), names as the inventor a certain Odaxius of Padua, living about the middle of the fifteenth century. Mixed verses of Latin and the language of the country are found much earlier in many parts of Europe.

544. It must not be forgotten that they were very soon printed with both the old Scholia and modern commentaries.

545. Ariosto, *Satira*, vii. Date 1531.

546. Of such children we meet with several, yet I cannot give an instance in which they were demonstrably so treated. The youthful prodigy Giulio Campagnola was not one of those who were forced with an ambitious object. Comp. Scardeonius, *De urb. Patav. antiq.* in *Graev. Thes.* vi. 3, col. 276. For the similar case of Cecchino Bracci, d. 1544 in his fifteenth year, comp. Trucchi, *Poesie Ital. inedite*, iii. p. 229. The father of Cardano tried 'memoriam artificialem instillare,' and taught him, when still a child, the astrology of the Arabs. See Cardanus, *De propria vita* cap. 34.

547. Words used by Filippo Villani, *Uite*, p. 5, in this connection.

548. Bapt. Mantuan. *De calamitatibus temporum*, l. i.

549. Lil. Greg. Gyraldus, *Progymnasma adversus literas et literatos*.

550. Lil. Greg. Gyraldus, *Hercules*. The dedication is a striking evidence of the first threatening movements of the Inquisition.

551. *De infelicitate literatorum*.

552. Comp. Dante, *Inferno*. xiii. 58 sqq.

553. Cæli Calcagnini, *Opera*, ed. Basil, 1544, p. 101, in the Seventh Book of the Epistles. No. 27, letter to Jacob Ziegler. Comp. Pierio Val. *De inf. lit.* ed. Mencken, p. 369 sqq.

554. *M. Ant. Sabellici Opera*, Epist. l. xi. fol. 56. See, too, the biography in the *Elogia* of Paolo Giovio, p. 76 sqq.

555. Jac. Volaterran. *Diar. Rom.* in Muratori. xxiii. col. 161, 171, 185. *Anecdota literaria*. ii. pp. 168 sqq.

556. Paul. Jov. *De Romanis piscibus*, cap. 17 and 34.

557. Sadoleti, Epist. 106, of the year 1529.

558. Anton. Galatei, Epist. 10 and 12, in Mai, *Spicileg. Rom.* vol. viii.

559. This was the case even before the middle of the century. Comp. Lil. Greg. Gyraldus, *De poetis nostri temp.* ii.

560. Luigi Bossi, *Uita di Cristoforo Colombo*, in which there is a sketch of earlier Italian journeys and discoveries, p. 91 sqq.

561. See on this subject a treatise by Pertz. An inadequate account is to be found in Æneas Sylvius, *Europæ status sub Friderico II Imp.* cap. 44 (in Freher's *Scriptores*, ed. 1624, vol. ii. p. 87).

562. *Pii II, Comment.* l. i. p. 14. That he did not always observe correctly, and sometimes filled up the picture from his fancy, is clearly shown, e.g., by his description of Basle. Yet his merit on the whole is nevertheless great.

563. In the sixteenth century, Italy continued to be the home of geographical literature, at a time when the discoverers themselves belonged almost exclusively to the countries on the shores of the Atlantic. Native geography produced in the middle of the century the great and remarkable work of Leandro Alberti, *Descrizione di tutta l'Italia*.

564. Libri, *Histoire des Sciences Mathématiques en Italie*. 4 vols. Paris, 1838.

565. To pronounce a conclusive judgement on this point, the growth of the habit of collecting observations, in other than the mathematical sciences, would need to be illustrated in detail. But this lies outside the limits of our task.

566. Libri, op. cit. ii. p. 174 sqq.

567. Scardeonius, *De urb. Patav. antiq.* in *Graevii Thesaur. ant. Ital.* tom. vi. pars iii. col. 227.

568. See the exaggerated complaints of Libri, op. cit. ii. p. 258 sqq. Regrettable as it may be that a people so highly gifted did not devote more of its strength to the natural sciences, we nevertheless believe that it pursued, and in part attained, still more important ends.

569. *Alexandri Braccii descriptio horti Laurentii Med.*, printed as Appendix No. 58 to Roscoe's *Life of Lorenzo*. Also to be found in the Appendices to Fabroni's *Laurentius*.

570. *Mondanarii Uilla*, printed in the *Poemata aliquot insignia illustr. poetar. recent.*

571. On the zoological garden at Palermo under Henry VI. see Otto de S. Blasio ad a. 1194.

572. As such he was called, whether painted or carved in stone, 'Marzocco.' At Pisa eagles were kept. See the commentators on Dante, *Inf.* xxxiii. 22.

573. See the extract from Ægid. Viterb. in Papencordt, *Gesch. der Stadt Rom im Mittelalter*, p. 367, note, with an incident of the year 1328. Combats of wild animals among themselves and with dogs served to amuse the people on great occasions. At the reception of Pius II and of Galeazzo Maria Sforza at Florence, in 1459, in an enclosed space on the Piazza della Signoria, bulls, horses, boars, dogs, lions, and a giraffe were turned out together, but the lions lay down and refused to attack the other animals. Comp. *Ricordi di Firenze, Rer. Ital. script. ex Florent. eodd.* tom. ii. col. 741. A different account in *Vita Pii II*, Murat. iii. ii. col. 976. A second giraffe was presented to Lorenzo the Magnificent by the Mameluke Sultan Kaytbey. Comp. Paul. Jov. *Vita Leonis X.* l. i. In Lorenzo's menagerie one magnificent lion was especially famous, and his destruction by the other lions was reckoned a presage of the death of his owner.

574. Gio. Villani, x. 185, xi. 66. Matteo Villani, iii. 90, v. 68. It was a bad omen if the lions fought, and worse still if they killed one another. Comp. Varchi, *Stor. florent.* iii. p. 143.

575. *Cron. di Perugia, Arch. Stor.* xvi. ii. p. 77, year 1497. A pair of lions once escaped from Perugia; *ibid.* xvi. i. p. 382, year 1434.

576. Gaye, *Carteggio*, i. p. 422, year 1291. The Visconti used trained leopards for hunting hares, which were started by little dogs. See von Kobel, *Wildanger*, p. 247. where later instances of hunting with leopards are mentioned.

577. *Strozzii poetæ*, p. 146: *De leone Borsii Ducis*. Comp. p. 188, and, for the hunting-park, p. 193.

578. *Cron. di Perugia*, l. c. xvi. ii. p. 199. Something of the same kind is to be found in Petrarch, *De remed. utriusque fortunæ*, but less clearly expressed.

579. Jovian. Pontan. *De magnificentia*. In the zoological garden of the Cardinal of Aquileia, at Albano, there were, in 1463, peacocks and Indian fowls and Syrian goats with long ears. *Pii II, Comment.* l. xi. p. 562 sqq.

580. *Decembrio*, ap. Muratori, xx. col. 1012.

581. The details, which are most amusing, in Paul. Jov. *Elogia*, on Tristanus Acunius. On the porcupines and ostriches in the Pal. Strozzi, see Rabelais, *Pantagruel*, iv. chap. 11.

582. Comp. Paul. Jov. *Elogia*, p. 234. speaking of Francesco Gonzaga. For the luxury at Milan in this respect, see Bandello, Parte II, Nov. 3 and 8. In the narrative poems we also sometimes hear the opinion of a judge of horses. Comp. Pulci, *Morgante*, xv. 105 sqq.

583. Paul. Jov. *Elogia*, speaking of Hipp. Mediecs.

584. At this point a few notices on slavery in Italy at the time of the Renaissance will not be out of place. A short, but important, passage in Jovian. Pontan. *De obedientia*. l. iii. cap. i.: 'An homo, cum liber natura sit, domino parere debeat?' In North Italy there were no slaves. Elsewhere, even Christians, as well as Circassians and Bulgarians, were bought from the Turks, and made to serve till they had earned their ransom. The negroes, on the contrary, remained slaves; but it was not permitted, at least in the kingdom of Naples, to emasculate them. The word 'moro' signifies any dark-skinned man; the negro was called 'moro nero.' — Fabroni, *Cosmos*, Adn. 110: Document on the sale of a female Circassian slave (1427); Adn. 141: List of the female slaves of Cosimo. — Nantiporto, Murat. iii. ii. col. 1106. Innocent VIII received 100 Moors as a present from Ferdinand the Catholic, and gave them to cardinals and other great men (1488). — Massuccio, *Novelle*, 14: sale of slaves; do. 24 and 25, negro slaves who also (for the benefit of their owner?) work as 'facchini,' and gain the love of the women; do. 48, Moors from Tunis caught by Catalans and sold at Pisa. — Gaye, *Carteggio*, i. 360: manumission and reward of a negro slave in a Florentine will (1490). — Paul. Jov. *Elogia*, sub Franc. Sfortia; Porzio, *Congiura*, iii. 194; and Comines, *Charles VIII*, chap. 18: negroes as gaolers and executioners of the House of Aragon in Naples. — Paul. Jov. *Elogia*, sub Galeatio: negroes as followers of the prince on his excursions. — Æneæ Sylvii, *Opera*, p. 456: a negro slave as a musician. — Paul. Jov. *De piscibus*, cap. 3: a (free?) negro as diver and swimming-master at Genoa. — Alex. Benedictus, *De Carolo VIII*, in Eccard, *Scriptores*, ii. col. 1608: a negro (Æthiops) as superior officer at Venice, according to which we are justified in thinking of Othello as a negro. — Bandello, Parte III. Nov. 21: when a slave at Genoa deserved punishment he was sold away to Iviza, one of the Balearic isles, to carry salt.

585. It is hardly necessary to refer the reader to the famous chapters on this subject in Humboldt's *Kosmos*.

586. See on this subject the observations of Wilhelm Grimm, quoted by Humboldt in the work referred to.

587. Carmina Burana, p. 162, *De Phyllide et Flora*, str. 66.

588. It would be hard to say what else he had to do at the top of the Bismantova in the province of Reggio, *Purgat*. iv. 26. The precision with which he brings before us all the parts of his supernatural world shows a remarkable sense of form and space.

589. Besides the description of Baiæ in the *Fiammetta*, of the grove in the *Ameto*, etc., a passage in the *De genealogia deorum*, xiv. 11, is of importance, where he enumerates a number of rural beauties—trees, meadows, brooks, flocks and herds, cottages, etc.—and adds that these things 'animum mulcent'; their effect is 'mentem in se colligere.'

590. Libri, *Hist. des Sciences Mathématiques*, ii. p. 249.

591. Although he is fond of referring to them: e.g. *De vita solitaria*, esp. p. 241, where he quotes the description of a vine-arbour from St. Augustine.

592. *Epist. famil.* vii. 4, p. 675. 'Interea utinam scire posses, quanta cum voluptate solivagus ac liber, inter montes et nemora, inter fontes et flumina, inter libros et maximorum hominum ingenia respiro, quamque me in ea, quae ante sunt, cum Apostolo extendens et praeterita oblivisci nitor et praesentia non videre.' Comp. vi. 3, p. 665.

593. 'Jacuit sine carmine sacro.' Comp. *Itinerar. Syriacum*, *Opp.* p. 558.

594. He distinguishes in the *Itinerar. Syr.* p. 557, on the Riviera di Levante: 'colles asperitate gratissima et mira fertilitate conspicuos.' On the port of Gaeta, see his *De remediis utriusque fortunae*, i. 54.

595. *Letter to Posterity*: 'Subito loco specie percussus.'

596. *Epist. fam.* ed. Fracassetti, i. 193 sqq.

597. *Il Dittamondo*, iii. cap. 9.

598. *Dittamondo*, iii. cap. 21, iv. cap. 4. Papencordt, *Gesch. der Stadt Rom*, says that the Emperor Charles IV had a strong taste for beautiful scenery, and quotes on this point Pelzel, *Carl IV*, p. 456. (The two other passages, which he quotes, do not say the same.) It is possible that the Emperor took this fancy from intercourse with the humanists.

599. We may also compare Platina, *Vitae Pontiff.* p. 310: 'Homo fuit (Pius II) verus, integer,

apertus; nil habuit ficti, nil simulati'—an enemy of hypocrisy and superstition, courageous and consistent.

600. The most important passages are the following. *Pii II. P. M. Commentarii*, l. iv. p. 183, spring in his native country; l. v. p. 251, summer residence at Tivoli; l. vi. p. 306, the meal at the spring of Vicovaro; l. viii. p. 378, the neighbourhood of Viterbo; p. 387, the mountain monastery of St. Martin; p. 388, the Lake of Bolsena; l. ix. p. 396, a splendid description of Monte Amiata; l. x. p. 483, the situation of Monte Oliveto; p. 497, the view from Todi; l. xi. p. 554, Ostia and Porto; p. 562, description of the Alban Hills; l. xii. p. 609, Frascati and Grottaferrata.

601. So we must suppose it to have been written, not Sicily.

602. He calls himself, with an allusion to his name: 'Silvarum amator et varia videndi cupidus.'

603. On Leon Battista Alberti's feeling for landscapes see above, pp. 74—75.

604. A most elaborate picture of this kind in Ariosto; his sixth canto is all foreground.

605. Agnolo Pandolfini (*Trattato del gov. della famiglia*, p. 90), another contemporary of Æneas Sylvius, takes pleasure in the country 'of wooded hills, delightful plains and murmuring streams,' but perhaps his name conceals that of the great Alberti, whose attitude to landscape was, it should be noted, quite different.

606. He deals differently with his architectural framework, and in this modern decorative art can learn something from him even now.

607. *Lettere Pittoriche*, iii. 36, to Titian, May, 1544.

608. *Strozzi Poetæ*, in the *Erotica*, l. vi. fol. 182 sqq.

609. These striking expressions are taken from the seventh volume of Michelet's *Histoire de France* (Intro.).

610. Tomm. Gar, *Relaz. della Corte di Roma*, i. pp. 278 and 279. In the Rel. of Soriano, year 1533.

611. Prato, *Arch. Stor.* iii. p. 295 sqq. The word 'saturnico' means 'unhappy' as well as 'bringing misfortune.' For the influence of the planets on human character in general, see Corn. Agrippa, *De occulta philosophia*. c. 52.

612. See Trucchi, *Poesie Italiane inedite*, i. p. 165 sqq.

613. Blank verse became at a later time the usual form for dramatic compositions. Trissino, in the dedication of his *Sofonisba* to Leo X, expressed the hope that the Pope would recognize this style for what it was—as better, nobler, and *less easy* than it looked. Roscoe, *Leone X*, ed. Bossi, viii. 174.

614. Comp. e.g. the striking forms adopted by Dante, *Uita Nuova*, ed. Witte, p. 13 sqq., 16 sqq.

615. Trucchi, *op. cit.* i. 181 sqq.

616. These were the 'Canzoni' and Sonnets which every blacksmith and donkey-driver sang and parodied—which made Dante not a little angry. (Comp. Franco Sacchetti, Nov. 114, 115.) So quickly did these poems find their way among the people.

617. *Uita Nuova*, ed. Witte, pp. 81, 82 sqq. 'Deh peregrini,' *ibid.* 116.

618. For Dante's psychology, the beginning of *Purg.* iv. is one of the most important passages. See also the parts of the *Convito* bearing on the subject.

619. The portraits of the school of Van Eyck would prove the contrary for the North. They remained for a long period far in advance of all descriptions in words.

620. Printed in the sixteenth volume of his *Opere Volgari*.

621. In the song of the shepherd Teogape, after the feast of Venus. *Opp.* ed. Montier, vol. xv. 2. p. 67 sqq.

622. The famous Leonardo Aretino, the leader of the humanists at the beginning of the fifteenth century, admits, 'Che gli antichi Greci d'umanità e di gentilezza di cuore abbino avanzato di gran lunga i nostri Italiani'; but he says it at the beginning of a novel which contains the sentimental story of the invalid Prince Antiochus and his stepmother Stratonice—a document of an ambiguous and half-Asiatic character (printed as an Appendix to the *Cento Novelle Antiche*).

623. No doubt the court and prince received flattery enough from their occasional poets and dramatists.
624. Paul. Jovius, *Dialog. de viris lit. illustr.*, in Tiraboschi, tom. vii. iv. Lil. Greg. Gyraldus, *De poetis nostri temp.*
625. Isabella Gonzaga to her husband, Feb. 3, 1502, *Arch. Stor.* Append. ii. p. 306 sqq. In the French *Mystères* the actors themselves first marched before the audience in procession, which was called the 'montre.'
626. *Diario Ferrarese*, in Murat. xxiv. col. 404. Other passages referring to the stage in that city, cols. 278, 279, 282 to 285, 361, 380, 381, 393, 397.
627. *Strozzi Poeta*, fol. 232, in the fourth book of the *Æolosticha* of Tito Strozzi.
628. Franc. Sansovino, *Venezia*, fol. 169. 'Parenti' is probably a mistake for 'pareti' but in many respects the meaning is not quite clear.
629. This must be the meaning of Sansovino, *Venezia*, fol. 168, when he complains that the 'recitanti' ruined the comedies 'con invenzioni o personaggi troppo ridicoli.'
630. Sansovino, l. c.
631. Scardeonius, *De urb. Patav. antiq.*, in Graevius, *Thes.* vi. iii. col. 288 sqq. An important passage for the literature of the dialects generally.
632. That the latter existed as early as the fifteenth century may be inferred from the *Diario Ferrarese*, Feb. 2nd, 1501: 'Il duca Hercole fece una festa di Menechino secondo il suo uso.' Murat. xxiv. col. 393. There cannot be a confusion with the Menæchmi of Plautus, which is correctly written, l. c. col. 278.
633. Pulci mischievously invents a solemn old-world legend for his story of the giant Margutte (*Morgante*, canto xix. str. 153 sqq.). The critical introduction of Limerno Pitocco is still droller (*Orlandino*, cap. i. str. 12—22).
634. The *Morgante* was written in 1460 and the following years, and first printed at Venice in 1481. For the tournaments, see Part v.
635. The *Orlando innamorato* was first printed in 1496.
636. Vasari, viii. 71, in the Commentary to the *Vita di Raffaello*.
637. First edition, 1516.
638. The speeches inserted are themselves narratives.
639. As was the case with Pulci, *Morgante*, canto xix. str. 20 sqq.
640. The *Orlandino*, first edition, 1526.
641. Radevicus, *De gestis Friderici imp.*, especially ii. 76. The admirable *Vita Henrici IV* contains very little personal description.
642. How early Philostratus was used in the same way, I am unable to say.
643. Here we refer the reader to the biography of L. B. Alberti, from which extracts are given above (p. 75), and to the numerous Florentine biographies in Muratori, in the *Archivio Storico*, and elsewhere.
644. *De viris illustribus*, in the publications of the *Stuttgarter liter. Vereins.*
645. His *Diarium Romanum* from 1472 to 1484, in Murat. xxiii. 81—202.
646. *Petri Candidi Decembrii Vita Philippi Mariae Vicecomitis*, in Murat. xx. Comp. above, p. 21.
647. On Comines, see above, Note 187.
648. Written in his old age, about 1576. On Cardano as an investigator and discoverer, see Libri, *Hist. des Sciences Mathém.* iii. p. 167 sqq.
649. E.g. the execution of his eldest son, who had taken vengeance for his wife's infidelity by poisoning her (cap. 27, 50).
650. *Discorsi della Vita Sobria*, consisting of the 'trattato,' of a 'compendio,' of an 'esortazione,' and of a 'lettera' to Daniel Barbaro. The book has been often reprinted.
651. Was this the villa of Codevico mentioned above, p. 166?
652. In some cases very early; in the Lombard cities as early as the twelfth century. Comp. Landulfus senior, *Ricobaldus*, and (in Murat. x.) the remarkable anonymous work, *De laudibus Papiæ*, of the fourteenth century. Also (in Murat. i.) *Liber de Situ urbis Mediol.*

653. On Paris, which was a much more important place to the mediæval Italian than to his successor a hundred years later, see *Dittamondo*, iv. cap. 18.

654. Savonarola, in Murat. xxiv. col. 1186. On Venice, see above, p. 35 sqq.

655. The character of the restless and energetic Bergamasque, full of curiosity and suspicion, is charmingly described in Bandello, parte i. nov. 34.

656. E.g. Varchi, in the ninth book of the *Storie Fiorentine* (vol. iii. p. 56 sqq.).

657. Vasari, xii. p. 158. *U. di Michelangelo*. at the beginning. At other times mother nature is praised loudly enough, as in the sonnet of Alfonso de' Pazzi to the non-Tuscan Annibale Caro (in Trucchi, l. c. iii. p. 187):

'Misero il Varchi! e più infelici noi,
Se a vostri virtùdi accidentali
Aggiunto fosse 'l natural, ch' è in noi!'

658. *Forcianæ Quaestiones*, Naples, 1536. This little work was made use of by Ranke, *Päpste*, i. 385, and passes as being from the hand of Ortensio Landi.

659. *Descrizione di tutta l'Italia*.

660. *Commentario delle più notabili et mostruose cose d'Italia etc.* Venice 1569 (probably compiled before 1547).

661. Satirical lists of cities are frequently met with later, e.g. *Macaronicide*, *Phantas*. ii.

662. On Filippo Villani, see p. 172.

663. *Parnasso teatrale*, Lipsia, 1829. Introd. p. vii.

664. The reading is here evidently corrupt.

665. 'Due occhi ladri nel loro movimento.' The whole work is rich in such descriptions.

666. The charming book of songs by Giusto dei Conti, *La bella Mano* (best ed. Florence, 1715), does not tell us as many details of this famous hand of his beloved as Boccaccio in a dozen passages of the *Ameto* of the hands of his nymphs.

667. 'Della bellezza delle donne,' in the first vol. of the *Opere di Firenzuola*, Milano, 1802. For his view of bodily beauty as a sign of beauty of soul, comp. vol. ii. pp. 48 to 52, in the 'ragionamenti' prefixed to his novels. Among the many who maintain this doctrine, partly in the style of the ancients, we may quote one, Castiglione, *Il Cortigiano*, l. iv. fol. 176.

668. This was a universal opinion, not only the professional opinion of painters.

669. This may be an opportunity for a word on the eyes of Lucrezia Borgia, taken from the distichs of a Ferrarese court-poet, Ercole Strozzi (*Strozzi Poetae*, pp. 85—88). The power of her glance is described in a manner only explicable in an artistic age, and which would not now be permitted. Sometimes it turns the beholder to fire, sometimes to stone. He who looks long at the sun, becomes blind; he who beheld Medusa, became a stone; but he who looks at the countenance of Lucrezia:

'Fit primo intuitu cæcus et inde lapis.'

Even the marble Cupid sleeping in her halls is said to have been petrified by her gaze:

'Lumine Borgiadi saxificatur Amor.'

Critics may dispute, if they please, whether the so-called Eros of Praxiteles or that of Michelangelo is meant, since she was the possessor of both.

And the same glance appeared to another poet, Marcello Filosseno, only mild and lofty, 'mansueto e altero' (Roscoe, *Leone X.* ed. Bossi, vii. p. 306).

Comparisons with ideal figures of antiquity occur (pp. 17, 95). Of a boy ten years old we read in the *Orlandino* (ii. str. 47), 'ed ha capo romano.'

670. Referring to the fact that the appearance of the temples can be altogether changed by the arrangement of the hair, Firenzuola makes a comical attack on the overcrowding of the hair with flowers, which causes the head to 'look like a pot of pinks or a quarter of goat on the spit.' He is, as a rule, thoroughly at home in caricature.

671. For the ideal of the 'Minnesänger,' see Falke, *Die deutsche Trachten- und Modenwelt*, i. pp. 85 sqq.

672. On the accuracy of his sense of form, see p. 148 and Note 566.

673. *Inferno*, xxi. 7; *Purgat.* xiii. 61.

674. We must not take it too seriously, if we read (in Platina, *Vitae Pontiff.* p. 310) that he kept at his court a sort of buffoon, the Florentine Greco, 'hominem certe cujusvis mores, naturam, linguam cum maximo omnium qui audiebant risu facile experimentem.'

675. *Pii II, Comment.* viii. p. 391.

676. This so-called 'Caccia' is printed in the Commentary to Castiglione's *Eclogue*.

677. See the *Serventese* of Giannozzo of Florence, in Trucchi, *Poesie italiane inedite*, ii. p. 99. The words are many of them quite unintelligible, borrowed really or apparently from the languages of the foreign mercenaries. Machiavelli's description of Florence during the plague of 1527 belongs, to a certain extent, to this class of works. It is a series of living, speaking pictures of a frightful calamity.

678. According to Boccaccio (*Vita di Dante*, p. 77), Dante was the author of two eclogues, probably written in Latin.

679. Boccaccio gives in his *Ameto* a kind of mythical Decameron, and sometimes fails ludicrously to keep up the character. One of his nymphs is a good Catholic, and prelates shoot glances of unholy love at her in Rome. Another marries. In the *Ninfaie fiessolano* the nymph Mensola, who finds herself pregnant, takes counsel of an 'old and wise nymph.'

680. 'Nullum est hominum genus aptius urbi,' says Battista Mantovano (*Ecl.* viii.) of the inhabitants of the Monte Baldo and the Val Sassina, who could turn their hands to anything. Some country populations, as is well known, have even now privileges with regard to certain occupations in the great cities.

681. Perhaps one of the strongest passages, *Orlandino*, cap. v. str. 54—58.

682. In Lombardy, at the beginning of the sixteenth century, the nobles did not shrink from dancing, wrestling, leaping, and racing with the peasants. *Il Cortigiano*, l. ii. fol. 54. A. Pandolfini (L. B. Alberti) in the *Trattato del Governo della Famiglia*, p. 86, is an instance of a landowner who consoles himself for the greed and fraud of his peasant tenantry with the reflection that he is thereby taught to bear and deal with his fellow-creatures.

683. Jovian. Pontan. *De fortitudine*, lib. ii.

684. The famous peasant-woman of the Valtellina—Bona Lombarda, wife of the Condottiere Pietro Brunoro—is known to us from Jacobus Bergomensis and from Porcellius, in Murat. xxv. col. 43.

685. On the condition of the Italian peasantry in general, and especially of the details of that condition in several provinces, we are unable to particularize more fully. The proportions between freehold and leasehold property, and the burdens laid on each in comparison with those borne at the present time, must be gathered from special works which we have not had the opportunity of consulting. In stormy times the country people were apt to have appalling relapses into savagery (*Arch. Stor.* xvi. i. pp. 451 sqq., ad. a. 1440; Corio, fol. 259; *Annales Foroliv.* in Murat. xxii. col. 227), though nothing in the shape of a general peasants' war occurred. The rising near Piacenza in 1462 was of some importance and interest. Comp. Corio, *Storia di Milano*, fol. 409; *Annales Placent.* in Murat. xx. col. 907; Sismondi, x. p. 138.

686. *Poesie di Lorenzo Magnifico*, i. p. 37 sqq. The remarkable poems belonging to the period of the German 'Minnesänger,' which bear the name of Neithard von Reuenthal, only depict peasant life in so far as the knight chooses to mix with it for his amusement.

687. *Poesie di Lor. Magn.* ii. 149.

688. In the *Deliciae poetar. ital.*, and in the works of Politian. The didactic poems of Rucellai and Alamanni contain something of the same kind.

689. *Poesie di Lor. Magnifico*, ii. 75.

690. The imitation of different dialects and of the manners of different districts springs from the same tendency. Comp. p. 81.

691. *Jo. Pici oratio de hominis dignitate*, in his *Opera*; also printed separately.

692. An allusion to the fall of Lucifer and his followers.

693. The habit among the Piedmontese nobility of living in their castles in the country struck the other Italians as exceptional. Banello, parte ii. nov. 12.

694. This was the case long before printing. A large number of manuscripts, and among

them the best, belonged to Florentine artisans. If it had not been for Savonarola's great bonfire, many more of them would be left.

695. Dante, *De monarchia*, l. ii. cap. 3.

696. *Paradiso*, xvi. at the beginning.

697. Dante, *Convito*, nearly the whole *Trattato*, iv., and elsewhere.

698. *Poggii Opera. Dial. de nobilitate*.

699. This contempt of noble birth is common among the humanists. See the severe passages in *Æn. Sylvius, Opera*, pp. 84 (*Hist. bohém.* cap. 2) and 640. (*Stories of Lucretia and Euryalus.*)

700. This is the case in the capital itself. See Bandello, parte ii. nov. 7; *Joviani Pontani Antonius*, where the decline of energy in the nobility is dated from the coming of the Aragonese dynasty.

701. Throughout Italy it was universal that the owner of large landed property stood on an equality with the nobles.

702. For an estimate of the nobility in North Italy, Bandello, with his repeated rebukes of *mésalliances*, is of importance (parte i. nov. 4, 26; parte iii. nov. 60, parte iv. nov. 8). For a Milanese noble to be a merchant was exceptional. Parte iii. nov. 37. For the participation of the Lombard nobles in the amusements of the peasants, see above, Note 682.

703. The severe judgement of Machiavelli, *Discorsi*, i. 55, refers only to those of the nobility who still retained feudal rights, and who were thoroughly idle and politically mischievous. Agrippa of Nettesheim, who owes his most remarkable ideas chiefly to his life in Italy, has a chapter on the nobility and princes (*De Incert. et Vanit. Scient.* cap. 80), the bitterness of which exceeds anything to be met with elsewhere, and is due to the social ferment then prevailing in the North.

704. Massuccio, nov. 19.

705. Jacopo Pitti to Cosimo I, *Archiv Stor.* iv. ii. p. 99. In North Italy the Spanish rule brought about the same results. Bandello, parte ii. nov. 40, dates from this period.

706. When, in the fifteenth century, Vespasiano Fiorentino (pp. 518, 632) implies that the rich should not try to increase their inherited fortune, but should spend their whole annual income, this can only, in the mouth of a Florentine, refer to the great land-owners.

707. Franco Sacchetti, nov. 153. Comp. nov. 82 and 150.

708. 'Che la cavalleria è morta.'

709. Poggius, *De Nobilitate*, fol. 27.

710. Vasari, iii. 49, and note, *Vita di Dello*.

711. Petrarch, *Epist. Senil.* xi. 13, to Ugo of Este. Another passage in the *Epist. Famil.* lib. v. ep. 6, Dec. 1st, 1343, describes the disgust he felt at seeing a knight fall at a tournament in Naples.

712. Nov. 64. With reference to this practice, it is said expressly in the *Orlandino* (ii. str. 7), of a tournament under Charlemagne: 'Here they were no cooks and scullions, but kings, dukes, and marquises, who fought.'

713. This is one of the oldest parodies of the tournament. Sixty years passed before Jacques Cœur, the burgher-minister of finance under Charles VII, gave a tournament of donkeys in the courtyard of his palace at Bourges (about 1450). The most brilliant of all these parodies—the second canto of the *Orlandino* just quoted—was not published till 1526.

714. Comp. the poetry, already mentioned, of Politian and Luca Pulci (p. 182). Further, Paul. Jov., *Vita Leonis X.* l. i.; Machiavelli, *Storie Fiorent.*, l. vii.; Paul. Jov. *Elog.*, speaking of Pietro de' Medici, who neglected his public duties for these amusements, and of Franc. Borbonius, who lost his life in them; Vasari, ix. 219, *Vita di Granacci*. In the *Morgante* of Pulci, written under the eyes of Lorenzo, the knights are comical in their language and actions, but their blows are sturdy and scientific. Boiardo, too, writes for those who understand the tournament and the art of war. Comp. p. 168. The tournaments at Ferrara in 1464 are mentioned in the *Diario Ferrar.* in Murat. xxiv. col. 208; at Venice, see Sansovino, *Venezia*, fol. 153 sqq.; at Bologna in 1470 and after. see Bursellis, *Annal. Bonon.* Muratori xxiii. col. 898, 903, 906, 908, 909, where it is curious to note the odd mixture of sentimentalism attaching to the celebration of Roman triumphs. Frederick of Urbino (p. 26 sqq.) lost his right eye at a tournament 'ab

ictu lanceae.' On the tournament as held at that time in northern countries, see Olivier de la Marche, *Mémoires, passim*, and especially cap. 8, 9, 14, 16, 18, 19, 21, &c.

715. Bald. Castiglione, *Il Cortigiano*, l. i. fol. 18.

716. Paul. Jovii, *Elogia*, sub tit. Petrus Gravina, Alex. Achillinus, Balth. Castellio, &c. pp. 138 sqq. 112 sqq. 143 sqq.

717. Casa, *Il Galateo*, p. 78.

718. See on this point the Venetian books of fashions, and Sansovino, *Venezia*, fol. 150 sqq. The bridal dress at the betrothal—white, with the hair falling freely on the shoulders—is that of Titian's Flora.

719. Jovian. Pontan. *De Príncipe*: 'Utinam autem non eo impudentiae perventum esset, ut inter mercatorem et patricium nullum sit in vestitu ceteroque ornatu discrimen. Sed haec tanta licentia reprehendi potest, coerceri non potest, quanquam mutari vestes sic quotidie videamus, ut quas quarto ante mense in deliciis habebamus, nunc repudiemus et tanquam veteramenta abjiciamus. Quodque tolerari vix potest, nullum fere vestimenti genus probatur, quod e Galliis non fuerit adductum, in quibus levia pleraque in pretio sunt, tametsi nostri persaepe homines modum illis et quasi formulam quandam praescribant.'

720. See e.g. the *Diario Ferrarese*, in Murat. xxiv. col. 297, 320, 376, sqq., in which the last German fashions are spoken of.

721. Comp. the passages on the same subject in Falke, *Die deutsche Trachten- und Modenwelt*, Leipzig, 1558.

722. On the Florentine women, see the chief references in Giov. Villani, x. 10 and 150 (Regulations as to dress and their repeal); Matteo Villani, i. 4 (Extravagant living in consequence of the plague). In the celebrated edict on fashions of the year 1330, embroidered figures only were allowed on the dresses of women, to the exclusion of those which were painted (dipinto). What was the nature of these decorations appears doubtful.

723. Those of real hair were called 'capelli morti.' For an instance of false teeth made of ivory, and worn, though only for the sake of clear articulation, by an Italian prelate, see Anshelm, *Berner Chronik*, iv. p. 30 (1508).

724. Infessura, in Eccard, *Scriptores*, ii. col. 1874; Allegretto, in Murat. xxiii. col. 823. For the writers on Savonarola, see below.

725. Sansovino, *Venezia*, fol. 152: 'Capelli biondissimi per forza di sole.'

726. As was the case in Germany too. *Poesie satiriche*, p. 119. From the satire of Bern. Giambullari, 'Per prendere moglie' (pp. 107—126), we can form a conception of the chemistry of the toilette, which was founded largely on superstition and magic.

727. The poets spared no pains to show the ugliness, danger, and absurdity of these practices. Comp. Ariosto, *Sat.* iii. 202 sqq.; Aretino, *Il Marescalco*, atto ii. scena 5; and several passages in the *Ragionamenti*; Giambullari, l. c. Phil. Beroald. sen. *Carmina*.

728. Cennino Cennini, *Trattato della Pittura*, gives in cap. 161 a recipe for painting the face, evidently for the purpose of mysteries or masquerades, since, in cap. 162, he solemnly warns his readers against the general use of cosmetics and the like.

729. Comp. *La Nencia di Barberino*, str. 20 and 40. The lover promises to bring his beloved cosmetics from the town (see on this poem of Lorenzo de' Medici, above, p. 184).

730. Agnolo Pandolfini, *Trattato del Governo della Famiglia*, p. 118.

731. Tristan. Caracciolo, in Murat. xxii. col. 87. Bandello, parte ii. nov. 47.

732. Cap. i. to Cosimo: 'Quei cento scudi nuovi e profumati che l'altro di mi mandaste a donare.' Some objects which date from that period have not yet lost their odour.

733. Vespasiano Fiorent. p. 453, in the life of Donato Acciaiuoli, and p. 625, in the life of Niccoli.

734. Giraldi, *Hecatommithi*. Introduz. nov. 6.

735. Paul. Jov. *Elogia*, p. 289.

736. Aeneas Sylvius (*Vitae Papparum*, ap. Murat. iii. ii. col. 880) says, in speaking of Baccano: 'Pauca sunt mapalia, eaque hospitia faciunt Theutonici; hoc hominum genus totam fere Italiam hospitalem facit; ubi non repereris hos, neque diversorium quaeras.'

737. Franco Sacchetti, Nov. 21. Padua, about the year 1450, boasted of a great inn—the 'Ox'—like a palace, containing stabling for two hundred horses. Michele Savonarola, in Mur. xxiv. col. 1175. At Florence, outside the Porta San Gallo, there was one of the largest and most splendid inns then known, but which served, it seems, only as a place of amusement for the people of the city.

738. Comp. e.g. the passages in Sebastian Brant's *Narrenschiff*, in the Colloquies of Erasmus, in the Latin poem of Grobianus, &c.

739. The diminution of the 'burla' is evident from the instances in the *Cortigiano*, l. ii. fol. 96. In Florence practical jokes kept their ground tenaciously. See, for evidence, the tales of Lasca (Ant. Franc. Grazini, b. 1503, d. 1582), which appeared at Florence in 1750.

740. For Milan, see Bandello, parte i. nov. 9. There were more than sixty carriages with four, and numberless others with two, horses, many of them carved and richly gilt and with silken tops. Comp. *ibid.* nov. 4. Ariosto, *Sat.* iii. 127.

741. Bandello, parte i. nov. 3, iii. 42, iv. 25.

742. *De Ulgari Eloquio*, ed. Corbinelli, Parisiis, 1577, According to Boccaccio, *Vita di Dante*, p. 77, it was written shortly before his death. He mentions in the *Convito* the rapid and striking changes which took place during his lifetime in the Italian language.

743. The gradual progress which this dialect made in literature and social intercourse could be tabulated without difficulty by a native scholar. It could be shown to what extent in the fourteenth and fifteenth centuries the various dialects kept their places, wholly or partly, in correspondence, in official documents, in historical works, and in literature generally. The relations between the dialects and a more or less impure Latin, which served as the official language, would also be discussed.

744. It is so felt to be by Dante, *De Ulgari Eloquio*, i. c. 17, 18

745. Tuscan, it is true, was read and written long before this in Piedmont—but very little reading and writing was done at all.

746. The place, too, of the dialect in the usage of daily life was clearly understood. Gioviano Pontano ventured especially to warn the Prince of Naples against the use of it (Jov. Pontan. *De Principe*). The last Bourbons were notoriously less scrupulous in this respect. For the way in which a Milanese Cardinal, who wished to retain his native dialect in Rome was ridiculed, see Bandello, parte ii. nov. 31.

747. Bald. Castiglione, *Il Cortigiano*, l. i. fol. 27 sqq. Throughout the dialogue we are able to gather the personal opinion of the writer.

748. There was a limit, however, to this. The satirists introduce bits of Spanish, and Folengo (under the pseudonym Limerno Pitocco, in his *Orlandino*) of French, but only by way of ridicule. It is an exceptional fact that a street in Milan, which at the time of the French (1500 to 1512. 1515 to 1522) was called Rue Belle, now bears the name Rugabella. The long Spanish rule has left almost no traces on the language, and but rarely the name of some governor in streets and public buildings. It was not till the eighteenth century that, together with French modes of thought, many French words and phrases found their way into Italian. The purism of our century is still busy in removing them.

749. Firenzuola, *Opere*, i. in the preface to the discourse on female beauty, and ii. in the *Ragionamenti* which precede the novels.

750. Bandello, parte i. *Proemio*, and nov. 1 and 2. Another Lombard, the before-mentioned Teofilo Folengo in his *Orlandino*, treats the whole matter with ridicule.

751. Such a congress appears to have been held at Bologna at the end of 1531 under the presidency of Bembo. See the letter of Claud. Tolomei, in Firenzuola, *Opere*, vol. ii. append. p. 231 sqq.

752. Luigi Cornaro complains about 1550 (at the beginning of his *Trattato della Vita Sobria*) that latterly Spanish ceremonies and compliments, Lutheranism and gluttony had been gaining ground in Italy. With moderation in respect to the entertainment offered to guests, the freedom and ease of social intercourse disappeared.

753. Vasari, xii. p. 9 and 11, *Vita di Rustici*. For the School for Scandal of needy artists,

see xi. 216 sqq. *Vita d'Aristotile*. Machiavelli's *Capitoli* for a circle of pleasure-seekers (*Opere minori*, p. 407) are a ludicrous caricature of these social statutes. The well-known description of the evening meeting of artists in Rome in Benvenuto Cellini, i. cap. 30, is incomparable.

754. Which must have been taken about 10 or 11 o'clock. See Bandello, parte ii. nov. 10.

755. Prato, *Arch. Stor.* iii. p. 309, calls the ladies 'alquante ministre di Venere.'

756. Important passages: parte i. nov. 1, 3, 21, 30, 44; ii. 10, 34, 55; iii. 17, &c.

757. Comp. *Lorenzo Magn. dei Med., Poesic.* i. 204 (the Symposium); 291 (the Hawking-Party). Roscoe, *Vita di Lorenzo*, iii. p. 140, and append. 17 to 19.

758. The title 'Simposio' is inaccurate; it should be called, 'The return from the Vintage.' Lorenzo, in a parody of Dante's Hell, gives an amusing account of his meeting in the Via Faenza all his good friends coming back from the country more or less tipsy. There is a most comical picture in the eighth chapter of Piovano Arlotto, who sets out in search of his lost thirst, armed with dry meat, a herring, a piece of cheese, a sausage, and four sardines, 'e tutte si cocevan nel sudore.'

759. On Cosimo Rucellai as centre of this circle at the beginning of the sixteenth century, see Machiavelli, *Arte della Guerra*, l. i.

760. *Il Cortigiano*, l. ii. fol. 53. See above pp. 190, 196.

761. Caelius Calcagninus (*Opere*, p. 514) describes the education of a young Italian of position about the year 1506, in the funeral speech on Antonio Costabili: first, 'artes liberales et ingenuae disciplinae; tum adolescentia in iis exercitationibus acta, quæ ad rem militarem corpus et animum praemuniunt. Nunc gymnastae (i.e. teachers of gymnastics) operam dare, luctari, excurrere, natare, equitare, venari, aucupari, ad palum et apud lanistam ictus inferre aut declinare, caesim punctimve hostem ferire, hastam vibrare, sub armis hyemen juxta et aestatem traducere, lanceis occursare, veri ac communis Martis simulacra imitari.' Cardanus (*De prop. Vita*, c. 7) names among his gymnastic exercises the springing on to a wooden horse. Comp. Rabelais, *Gargantua*, i. 23, 24, for education in general, and 35 for gymnastic art.

762. Sansovino, *Venezia*, fol. 172 sqq. They are said to have arisen through the rowing out to the Lido, where the practice with the crossbow took place. The great regatta on the feast of St. Paul was prescribed by law from 1315 onwards. In early times there was much riding in Venice, before the streets were paved and the level wooden bridges turned into arched stone ones. Petrarch (*Epist. Seniles*, iv. 4) describes a brilliant tournament held in 1364 on the square of St. Mark, and Doge Steno, about the year 1400, has as fine a stable as any prince in Italy. But riding in the neighbourhood of the square was prohibited as a rule after the year 1291. At a later time the Venetians naturally had the name of bad riders. See Ariosto, *Sat.* v. 208.

763. On Dante's position with regard to music, and on the music to Petrarch's and Boccaccio's poems, see Trucchi, *Poesie Ital. inedite*, ii. p. 139. For the theorists of the fourteenth century, Filippo Villani, *Vite*, p. 46, and Scardeonius, *De urb. Patav. antiq.* in *Graev. Thesaur.* vi. iii. col. 297. A full account of the music at the court of Frederick of Urbino, is to be found in Vespas. Fior. p. 122. For the children's chapel at the court of Hercules I, see *Diario Ferrarese*, in Murat. xxiv. col. 358. Out of Italy it was still hardly allowable for persons of consequence to be musicians; at the Flemish court of the young Charles V a serious dispute took place on the subject. See Hubert. Leod. *De Vita Frid. II. Palat.* l. iii.

A remarkable and comprehensive passage on music is to be found, where we should not expect it, in the *Macaroneide*, *Phant.* xx. It is a comic description of a quartette, from which we see that Spanish and French songs were often sung, that music already had its enemies (1520), and the orchestra of Leo X and the still earlier composer, Josquin des Prés, whose principal works are mentioned, were the chief subjects of enthusiasm in the musical world of that time. The same writer (Folengo) displays in his *Orlandino* (iii. 23 &c.), published under the name Limerno Pitocco, a musical fanaticism of a thoroughly modern sort.

764. *Leonis Vita anonyma*, in Roscoe, ed. Bossi, xii. p. 171. May he not be the violinist in the Palazzo Sciarra? A certain Giovan Maria da Corneto is praised in the *Orlandino* (Milan, 1584, iii. 27).

765. Lomazzo, *Trattato dell' Arte della Pittura*, &c. p. 347. Speaking of the lyre, he mentions

Leonardo da Vinci and Alfonso (Duke?) of Ferrara. The author includes in his work all the celebrities of the age, among them several Jews. The most complete list of the famous musicians of the sixteenth century, divided into an earlier and a later generation, is to be found in Rabelais, in the 'New Prologue' to the fourth book. A virtuoso, the blind Francesco of Florence (d. 1390), was crowned at Venice with a wreath of laurel by the King of Cyprus.

766. Sansovino, *Venezia*, fol. 138. The same people naturally collected books of music.

767. The 'Accademia de' Filarmonici at Verona is mentioned by Vasari, xi. 133, in the life of Sanmichele. Lorenzo il Magnifico was in 1480 already the centre of a School of Harmony consisting of fifteen members, among them the famous organist and organ-builder Squarcialupi. See Delécluze, *Florence et ses Vicissitudes*, vol. ii. p. 256. Lorenzo seems to have transmitted his passion for music to his son Leo X. His eldest son Pietro was also musical.

768. *Il Cortigiano*, fol. 56, comp. fol. 41.

769. 'Quattro viole da arco'—a high and, except in Italy, rare achievement for amateurs.

770. Bandello, parte i. nov. 26. The song of Antonio Bologna in the House of Ippolita Bentivoglio. Comp. iii. 26. In these delicate days, this would be called a profanation of the holiest feelings. (Comp. the last song of Britannicus, Tacit. *Annal.* xiii. 15.) Recitations accompanied by the lute or 'viola' are not easy to distinguish, in the accounts left us, from singing properly so-called.

771. Scardeonius, l. c.

772. Addressed to Annibale Maleguccio, sometimes numbered as the 5th or the 6th.

773. The share taken by women in the plastic arts was insignificant.

774. It is from this point of view that we must judge of the life of Alessandro de' Bardi in Vespasiano Fiorentino (Mai, *Spicileg. Rom.* i. p. 593 sq.). The author, by the way, is a great 'laudator temporis acti,' and it must not be forgotten that nearly a hundred years before what he calls the good old time, Boccaccio wrote the *Decameron*.

775. Ant. Galateo, *Epist.* 3, to the young Bona Sforza, the future wife of Sigismund of Poland: 'Incipe aliquid de viro sapere, quoniam ad imperandum viris nata es... Ita fac, ut sapientibus viris placeas, ut te prudentes et graves viri admirentur, et vulgi et muliercularum studia et judicia despicias,' &c. A remarkable letter in other respects also (Mai, *Spicileg. Rom.* viii. p. 532).

776. She is so called in the *Chron. Venetum*, in Muratori, xxiv. col. 128 sqq. Comp. Infessura in Eccard, *Scriptt.* ii. col. 1981, and *Arch. Stor.* append. ii. p. 250.

777. And rightly so, sometimes. How ladies should behave while such tales are telling, we learn from *Cortigiano*, l. iii. fol. 107. That the ladies who were present at his dialogues must have known how to conduct themselves in case of need, is shown by the strong passage, l. ii. fol. 100. What is said of the 'Donna di Palazzo'—the counterpart of the Cortigiano—that she should neither avoid frivolous company nor use unbecoming language, is not decisive, since she was far more the servant of the princess than the Cortigiano of the prince. See Bandello, i. nov. 44, where Bianca d'Este tells the terrible love-story of her ancestor, Niccolò of Ferrara, and Parisina.

778. How highly the travelled Italians valued the freer intercourse with girls in England and the Netherlands is shown by Bandello, ii. nov. 42, and iv. nov. 27.

779. Paul. Jov. *De Rom. Piscibus*, cap. 5; Bandello, parte iii. nov. 42. Aretino, in the *Ragionamento del Zoppino*, p. 327, says of a courtesan: 'She knows by heart all Petrarch and Boccaccio, and many beautiful verses of Virgil, Horace, Ovid, and a thousand other authors.'

780. Bandello, ii. 51, iv. 16.

781. Bandello, iv. 8.

782. For a characteristic instance of this, see Giraldi. *Hecatommithi*, vi. nov. 7.

783. Infessura, in Eccard, *Scriptores*, ii. col. 1997. The public women only, not the kept women, are meant. The number, compared with the population of Rome, is certainly enormous, perhaps owing to some clerical error.

784. *Trattato del Governo della Famiglia*. See above, Note 264. Pandolfini died in 1446, L. B. Alberti, by whom the work was really written, in 1472.

785. A thorough history of 'flogging' among the Germanic and Latin races, treated with some psychological power, would be worth volumes of dispatches and negotiations. When, and through what influence, did flogging become a daily practice in the German household? Not till after Walther sang: 'Nieman kan mit gerten Kindes zuht beherten.'

In Italy beating ceased early; a child of seven was no longer beaten. The little Roland (*Orlandino*, cap. vii. str. 42) lays down the principle:

'Sol gli asini si ponno bastonare,
Se una tal bestia fussi, patirei.'

786. Giovanni Villani, xi. 93, our principal authority for the building of villas before the middle of the fourteenth century. The villas were more beautiful than the town houses, and great exertions were made by the Florentines to have them so, 'onde erano tenuti matti.'

787. Compare pp. 163 sqq., where the magnificence of the festivals is shown to have been a hindrance to the higher development of the drama.

788. In comparison with the cities of the North.

789. The festivities which took place when Visconti was made Duke of Milan, 1395 (Corio, fol. 274), had with all their splendour, something of mediæval coarseness about them, and the dramatic element was wholly wanting. Notice, too, the relative insignificance of the processions in Pavia during the fourteenth century (*Anonymus de Laudibus Papiæ*, in Murat. xi. col. 34 sqq.).

790. Gio. Villani, viii. 70.

791. See e.g. *Infessura*, in Eccard, *Scriptt.* ii. col. 1896; Corio, fols. 417, 421.

792. The dialogue in the Mysteries was chiefly in octaves, the monologue in 'terzine.'

793. We have no need to refer to the realism of the schoolmen for proof of this.

794. E.g. when he founds pictures on metaphors. At the gate of Purgatory the central broken step signifies contrition of heart (*Purg.* ix. 97), though the slab through being broken loses its value as a step. And again (*Purg.* xviii. 94), the idle in this world have to show their penitence by running in the other, though running could be a symbol of flight.

795. *Inferno*, ix. 61; *Purgat.* viii. 19.

796. *Poesie Satiriche*, ed. Milan. p. 70 sqq. Dating from the end of the fifteenth century.

797. The latter e.g. in the *Uenatio* of the Cardinal Adriano da Corneto (Strasburg. 1512; often printed). Ascanio Sforza is there supposed to find consolation for the fall of his house in the pleasures of the chase. See above, p. 133.

798. More properly 1454. See Olivier de la Marche, *Mémoires*, chap. 29.

799. For other French festivals, see e.g. Juvénal des Ursins ad. a. 1389 (entrance of Queen Isabella); Jean de Troyes, ad. a. 1461 (entrance of Louis XI). Here, too, we meet with living statues, machines for raising bodies, and so forth; but the whole is confused and disconnected, and the allegories are mostly unintelligible.

800. A great advantage for those poets and artists who knew how to use it.

801. Comp. Bartol. Gamba, *Notizie intorno alle Opere di Feo Belcari*, Milano, 1808; and especially the introduction to the work, *Le Rappresentazioni di Feo Belcari ed altre di lui Poesie*, Firenze, 1833. As a parallel, see the introduction of the Bibliophile Jacob to his edition of Pathelin (Paris. 1859).

802. It is true that a Mystery at Siena on the subject of the Massacre of the Innocents closed with a scene in which the disconsolate mothers seized one another by the hair. Della Valle, *Lettere Sanesi*, iii. p. 53. It was one of the chief aims of Feo Belcari (d. 1484), of whom we have spoken, to free the Mysteries from these monstrosities.

803. Franco Sacchetti, nov. 72.

804. Vasari, iii. 232 sqq.: *Vita di Brunellesco*; v. 36 sqq.: *Vita del Cecca*. Comp. v. 52, *Vita di Don Bartolommeo*.

805. *Arch. Stor.* append. ii. p. 310. The Mystery of the Annunciation at Ferrara, on the occasion of the wedding of Alfonso, with fireworks and flying apparatus. For an account of the representation of Susanna, John the Baptist, and of a legend, at the house of the Cardinal Riario, see Corio, fol. 417. For the Mystery of Constantine the Great in the Papal Palace at the Carnival, 1484. see Jac. Volaterran. (Murat. xxiii. col. 194).

806. Graziani, *Cronaca di Perugia*, *Arch. Stor.* xvi. 1. p. 598. At the Crucifixion, a figure was kept ready and put in the place of the actor.
807. For this, see *Pii II, Comment.* l. viii. pp. 383, 386. The poetry of the fifteenth century sometimes shows the same coarseness. A 'canzone' of Andrea da Basso traces in detail the corruption of the corpse of a hard-hearted fair one. In a monkish drama of the twelfth century King Herod was put on the stage with the worms eating him (*Carmina Burana*, pp. 80 sqq.).
808. Allegretto, *Diarii Sansesi*, in Murat. xxiii. col. 767.
809. Matarazzo, *Arch. Stor.* xvi. ii. p. 36.
810. Extracts from the 'Vergier d'honneur,' in Roscoe, *Leone X*, ed. Bossi, i. p. 220, and iii. p. 263.
811. *Pii II, Comment.* l. viii. pp. 382 sqq. Another gorgeous celebration of the 'Corpus Domini' is mentioned by Bursellis, *Annal. Bonon.* in Murat. xxiii. col. 911, for the year 1492.
812. On such occasions we read, 'Nulla di muro si potea vedere.'
813. The same is true of many such descriptions.
814. Five kings with an armed retinue, and a savage who fought with a (tamed?) lion; the latter, perhaps, with an allusion to the name of the Pope—Sylvius.
815. Instances under Sixtus IV, Jac. Volaterr. in Murat. xxiii. col. 134, 139. At the accession of Alexander VI, there were great salvos of artillery. Fireworks, a beautiful invention due to Italy, belong, like festive decorations generally, rather to the history of art than to our present work. So, too, the brilliant illuminations we read of in connexion with many festivals, and the hunting-trophies and table-ornaments. (See p. 165.)
816. Allegretto, in Murat. xxiii. col. 772. See, besides, col. 770, for the reception of Pius II in 1459.
817. Corio, fol. 417 sqq. Infessura, in Eccard, *Scriptt.* ii. col. 1896; *Strozzi Poetae*, fol. 193 sqq.
818. Vasari, iv. p. 37, *Vita di Pontormo*, tells how a child, during such a festival at Florence in the year 1513, died from the effects of the exertion—or shall we say, of the gilding? The poor boy had to represent the 'golden age!'
819. Phil. Beroaldi, *Nuptiae Bentivolorum*.
820. M. Anton. Sabellici, *Epist.* l. iii. fol. 17.
821. Amoretti, *Memorie, &c. su Leonardo da Vinci*, pp. 38 sqq.
822. To what extent astrology influenced even the festivals of this century is shown by the introduction of the planets (not described with sufficient clearness) at the reception of the ducal brides at Ferrara. *Diario Ferrarese*, in Muratori, xxiv. col. 248, ad. a. 1473; col. 282, ad. a. 1491. So, too, at Mantua, *Arch. Stor.* append. ii. p. 233.
823. *Annal. Estens.* in Murat. xx. col. 268 sqq. The description is unclear and printed from an incorrect transcript.
824. We read that the ropes of the machine used for this purpose were made to imitate garlands.
825. Strictly the ship of Isis, which entered the water on the 5th of March, as a symbol that navigation was reopened. For analogies in the German religion, see Jac. Grimm, *Deutsche Mythologie*.
826. *Purgatorio*, xxix. 43 to the end, and xxx. at the beginning. According to v. 115, the chariot is more splendid than the triumphal chariot of Scipio, of Augustus, and even of the Sun-God.
827. Ranke, *Gesch. der Roman. und German. Völker*, p. 119.
828. Corio, fol. 401: 'dicendo tali cose essere superstitioni de' Re.' Comp. Cagnola, *Arch. Stor.* iii. p. 127.
829. See above, p. 105 sqq.; comp. Note 14. 'Triumphus Alfonsi,' as appendix to the *Dicta et Facta* of Panormita, ed. 1538, pp. 129—139, 256 sqq. A dislike to excessive display on such occasions was shown by the gallant Comneni. Comp. Cinnamus, i. 5, vi. 1.
830. The position assigned to Fortune is characteristic of the naïveté of the Renaissance. At the entrance of Massimiliano Sforza into Milan (1512), she stood as the chief figure of a

triumphal arch *above* Fama, Speranza, Audacia, and Penitenza, all represented by living persons. Comp. Prato, *Arch. Stor.* iii. p. 305.

831. The entrance of Borso of Este into Reggio, described above (p. 215), shows the impression which Alfonso's triumph had made in all Italy.

832. Prato, *Arch. Stor.* iii. 260 sqq.

833. Her three 'capitoli' in *terzines*, *Anecd. Litt.* iv. 461 sqq.

834. Old paintings of similar scenes are by no means rare, and no doubt often represent masquerades actually performed. The wealthy classes soon became accustomed to drive in chariots at every public solemnity. We read that Annibale Bentivoglio, eldest son of the ruler of Bologna, returned to the palace after presiding as umpire at the regular military exercises, 'cum triumpho more romano.' Bursellis, l. c. col. 909, ad a. 1490.

835. The remarkable funeral of Malatesta Baglione, poisoned at Bologna in 1437 (Graziani, *Arch. Stor.* xvi. i. p. 413), reminds us of the splendour of an Etruscan funeral. The knights in mourning, however, and other features of the ceremony, were in accordance with the customs of the nobility throughout Europe. See e.g. the funeral of Bertrand Duguesclin, in Juvénal des Ursins, ad a. 1389. See also Graziani, l. c. p. 360.

836. Vasari, ix. p. 218, *Vita di Granacci*.

837. Mich. Cannesius, *Vita Pauli II.*, in Murat. iii. ii. col. 118 sqq.

838. Tommasi, *Vita di Cesare Borgia*, p. 251.

839. Vasari ix. p. 34 sqq. *Vita di Pontormo*. A most important passage of its kind.

840. Vasari, viii. p. 264, *Vita di Andrea del Sarto*.

841. Allegretto, in Murat. xxiii. col. 783. It was reckoned a bad omen that one of the wheels broke.

842. *M. Anton. Sabellici Epist.* l. iii. letter to M. Anton. Barbavarus.

843. Sansovino, *Venezia*, fol. 151 sqq. The names of these corporations were: Pavoni, Accesi, Eterni, Reali, Sempiterni. The academics probably had their origin in these guilds.

844. Probably in 1495. Comp. *M. Anton. Sabellici Epist.* l. v. fol. 28.

845. Infessura, in Eccard, *Scrippta*, ii. col. 1093, 2000; Mich. Cannesius, *Vita Pauli II.*, in Murat. iii. ii. col. 1012; Platina, *Vitae Pontiff.* p. 318; Jac. Volaterran. in Murat. xiii. col. 163, 194; Paul Jov. *Elogia*, sub Juliano Casarino. Elsewhere, too, there were races for women. *Diario Ferrarese*, in Murat. xxiv. col. 384.

846. Once under Alexander VI, from October till Lent. See Tommasi, l. c. p. 322.

847. *Pii II. Comment.* l. iv. p. 211.

848. Nantiporto, in Murat. iii. ii. col. 1080. They wished to thank him for a peace which he had concluded, but found the gates of the palace closed and troops posted in all the open places.

849. 'Tutti i trionfi, carri, mascherate, o canti carnascialeschi,' Cosmopoli, 1750. Machiavelli, *Opere Minori*, p. 505; Vasari, vii. p. 115 sqq. *Vita di Piero di Cosimo*, to whom a chief part in the development of these festivities is ascribed.

850. *Discorsi*, l. i. c. 12. Also c. 55: Italy is more corrupt than all other countries; then come the French and Spaniards.

851. Paul. Jov. *Viri Illustres*: Jo. Gal. Viccomes.

852. Franc. Guicciardini, *Ricordi Politici e Civili*, n. 118 (*Opere inedite*, vol. i.).

853. His closest counterpart is Merlinus Coccaius (Teofilo Folengo), whose *Opus Macaronicorum* Rabelais certainly knew, and quotes more than once (*Pantagruel*, l. ii. ch. 1. and ch. 7, at the end). It is possible that Merlinus Coccaius may have given the impulse which resulted in *Pantagruel* and *Gargantua*.

854. *Gargantua*, l. i. cap. 57.

855. That is, well-born in the higher sense of the word, since Rabelais, son of the innkeeper of Chinon, has here no motive for assigning any special privilege to the nobility. The preaching of the Gospel, which is spoken of in the inscription at the entrance to the monastery, would fit in badly with the rest of the life of the inmates; it must be understood in a negative sense, as implying defiance of the Roman Church.

856. See extracts from his diary in Delécluze, *Florence et ses Vicissitudes*, vol. 2.

857. Infessura, ap. Eccard, *Scriptt.* ii. col. 1992. On F. C. see above, p. 58.
858. This opinion of Stendhal (*La Chartreuse de Parme*, ed. Delahays, p. 335) seems to me to rest on profound psychological observation.
859. Graziani, *Cronaca di Perugia*, for the year 1437 (*Arch. Stor.* xvi. i. p. 415).
860. Giraldi, *Hecatommithi*, i. nov. 7.
861. Infessura, in Eccard, *Scriptt.* ii. col. 1892, for the year 1464.
862. Allegretto, *Diarii Sanesi*, in Murat. xxiii. col. 837.
863. Those who leave vengeance to God are ridiculed by Pulci, *Morgante*, canto xxi. str. 83 sqq. 104 sqq.
864. Guicciardini, *Ricordi*, l. c. n. 74.
865. Thus Cardanus (*De Propria Vita*, cap. 13) describes himself as very revengeful, but also as 'verax, memor beneficiorum, amans justitiæ.'
866. It is true that when the Spanish rule was fully established the population fell off to a certain extent. Had this fact been due to the demoralization of the people, it would have appeared much earlier.
867. Giraldi, *Hecatommithi*, iii. nov. 2. In the same strain. *Cortigiano*, l. iv. fol. 180.
868. A shocking instance of vengeance taken by a brother at Perugia in the year 1455, is to be found in the chronicle of Graziani (*Arch. Stor.* xvi. p. 629). The brother forces the gallant to tear out the sister's eyes, and then beats him from the place. It is true that the family was a branch of the Oddi, and the lover only a cordwainer.
869. Bandello, parte i. nov. 9 and 26. Sometimes the wife's confessor is bribed by the husband and betrays the adultery.
870. See above, Note 770.
871. As instance, Bandello, part i. nov. 4.
872. 'Piacchia al Signore Iddio che non si ritrovi,' say the women in Giraldi (iii. nov. 10), when they are told that the deed may cost the murderer his head.
873. This is the case, for example, with Gioviano Pontano (*De Fortitudine*, l. ii.). His heroic Ascolans, who spend their last night in singing and dancing, the Abruzzian mother, who cheers up her son on his way to the gallows, &c., belong probably to brigand families, but he forgets to say so.
874. *Diarium Parmense*, in Murat. xxii. col. 330 to 349 *passim*.
875. *Diario Ferrarese*, in Murat. xxiv. col. 312. We are reminded of the gang led by a priest, which for some time before the year 1837 infested western Lombardy.
876. Massuccio, nov. 29. As a matter of course, the man has luck in his amours.
877. If he appeared as a corsair in the war between the two lines of Anjou for the possession of Naples, he may have done so as a political partisan, and this, according to the notions of the time, implied no dishonour. The Archbishop Paolo Fregoso of Genoa, in the second half of the fifteenth century probably allowed himself quite as much freedom, or more.
878. Poggio, *Facetiae*, fol. 164. Anyone familiar with Naples at the present time, may have heard things as comical, though bearing on other sides of human life.
879. *Jovian. Pontani Antonius*: 'Nec est quod Neapoli quam hominis vita minoris vendatur.' It is true he thinks it was not so under the House of Anjou, 'sicam ab iis (the Aragonese) accepimus.' The state of things about the year 1534 is described by Benvenuto Cellini, i. 70.
880. Absolute proof of this cannot be given, but few murders are recorded, and the imagination of the Florentine writers at the best period is not filled with the suspicion of them.
881. See on this point the report of Fedeli, in Alberi, *Relazioni Serie* ii. vol. i. pp. 353 sqq.
882. Infessura, in Eccard, *Scriptor.* ii. col. 1956.
883. *Chron. Venetum*, in Murat. xxiv. col. 131. In northern countries still more wonderful things were believed as to the art of poisoning in Italy. See *Juvénal des Ursins*, ad. ann. 1382 (ed. Buchon, p. 336), for the lancet of the poisoner, whom Charles of Durazzo took into his service: whoever looked at it steadily, died.
884. Petr. Crinitus, *De Honesta Disciplina*, l. xviii. cap. 9.
885. *Pii II. Comment.* l. xi. p. 562. Joh. Ant. Campanus, *Vita Pii II*, in Murat. iii. ii. col. 988.

886. Vasari, ix. 82, *Vita di Rosso*. In the case of unhappy marriages it is hard to say whether there were more real or imaginary instances of poisoning. Comp. Bandello ii. nov. 5 and 54; ii. nov. 40 is more serious. In one and the same city of Western Lombardy, the name of which is not given, lived two poisoners. A husband, wishing to convince himself of the genuineness of his wife's despair, made her drink what she believed to be poison, but which was really coloured water, whereupon they were reconciled. In the family of Cardanus alone four cases of poisoning occurred (*De Propria Vita*, cap. 30, 50).

887. For the magic arts used against Lionello of Ferrara, see *Diario Ferrarese*, in Murat. xxiv. col. 194, ad a. 1445. When the sentence was read in the public square to the author of them, a certain Benato, a man in other respects of bad character, a noise was heard in the air and the earth shook, so that many people fled away or fell to the ground; this happened because Benato 'haveva chiamato e scongiurato il diavolo.' What Guicciardini (l. i.) says of the wicked arts practised by Lodovico il Moro against his nephew Giangaleazzo, rests on his own responsibility. On magic, see below.

888. Ezzelino da Romano might be put first, were it not that he rather acted under the influence of ambitious motives and astrological delusions.

889. *Giornali Napoletani*, in Murat. xxi. col. 1092 ad a. 1425.

890. *Pii II, Comment.* l. vii. p. 338.

891. Jovian. Pontan. *De Immanitate*, cap. 17, where he relates how Malatesta got his own daughter with child—and so forth.

892. Varchi, *Storie Fiorentine*, at the end. (When the work is published without expurgations, as in the Milanese edition.)

893. On which point feeling differs according to the place and the people. The Renaissance prevailed in times and cities where the tendency was to enjoy life heartily. The general darkening of the spirits of thoughtful men did not begin to show itself till the time of the foreign supremacy in the sixteenth century.

894. What is termed the spirit of the Counter-Reformation was developed in Spain some time before the Reformation itself, chiefly through the sharp surveillance and partial reorganization of the Church under Ferdinand and Isabella. The principal authority on this subject is Gómez, *Life of Cardinal Ximénez*, in Rob. Belus, *Rer. Hispan. Scriptores*, 3 vols., 1581.

895. It is to be noticed that the novelists and satirists scarcely ever mention the bishops, although they might, under altered names, have attacked them like the rest. This is done, however, e.g. in Bandello ii. nov. 45; yet in ii. 40, he describes a virtuous bishop. Gioviano Pontano in the *Charon* introduces the ghost of a luxurious bishop with a 'duck's walk.'

896. Foscolo, *Discorso sul testo del Decamerone*, 'Ma dei preti in dignità niuno poteva far motto senza pericolo; onde ogni frate fu l'irco delle iniquità d'Israele,' &c.

897. Bandello prefaces ii. nov. i. with the statement that the vice of avarice was more discreditable to priests than to any other class of men, since they had no families to provide for. On this ground he justifies the disgraceful attack made on a parsonage by two soldiers or brigands at the orders of a young gentleman, on which occasion a sheep was stolen from the stingy and gouty old priest. A single story of this kind illustrates the ideas in which men lived and acted better than all the dissertations in the world.

898. Giov. Villani, iii. 29, says this clearly a century later.

899. *L'Ordine*. Probably the tablet with the inscription I. H. S. is meant.

900. He adds, 'and in the *seggi*,' i.e. the clubs into which the Neapolitan nobility was divided. The rivalry of the two orders is often ridiculed, e.g. Bandello, iii. nov. 14.

901. Nov. 6, ed. Settembrini, p. 83, where it is remarked that in the Index of 1564 a book is mentioned, *Matrimonio delli Preti e delle Monache*.

902. For what follows, see Jovian. Pontan. *De Sermone*, l. ii. cap. 17, and Bandello, parte i. nov. 32.

903. For which reason they could be openly denounced in the neighbourhood of the court. See Jovian. Pontan. *Antonius* and *Charon*.

904. See for one example the eighth canto of the *Macaronicide*.

905. The story in Vasari, v. p. 120, *Vita di Sandro Botticelli*, shows that the Inquisition was sometimes treated jocularly. It is true that the 'Vicario' here mentioned may have been the archbishop's deputy instead of the inquisitor's.

906. Bursellis, *Ann. Bonon.* ap. Murat. xxiii. col. 886, cf. 896.

907. See p. 179 sqq. He was abbot at Vallombrosa. The passage, of which we give a free translation, is to be found in his *Opere*, vol. ii. p. 209, in the tenth novel. See an inviting description of the comfortable life of the Carthusians in the *Commentario d'Italia*, fol. 32 sqq. mentioned at p. 178.

908. Pius II was on principle in favour of the abolition of the celibacy of the clergy. One of his favourite sentences was, 'Sacerdotibus magna ratione sublatus nuptias majori restituendas videri.' Platina, *Vitae Pontiff.* p. 311.

909. *Ricordi*, n. 28, in the *Opere inedite*, vol. i.

910. *Ricordi*, n. 1, 123, 125.

911. See the *Orlandino*, cap. vi. str. 40 sqq.; cap. vii. str. 57; cap. viii. str. 3 sqq., especially 75.

912. *Diario Ferrarese*, in Murat. xxiv. col. 362.

913. He had with him a German and a Slavonian interpreter. St. Bernard had to use the same means when he preached in the Rhineland.

914. Capistrano, for instance, contented himself with making the sign of the cross over the thousands of sick persons brought to him, and with blessing them in the name of the Trinity and of his master St. Bernardino, after which some of them not unnaturally got well. The Brescian chronicle puts it in this way, 'He worked fine miracles, yet not so many as were told of him' (Murat. xxi.).

915. So e.g. Poggio, *De Avaritia*, in the *Opera*, fol. 2. He says they had an easy matter of it, since they said the same thing in every city, and sent the people away more stupid than they came.

916. Franco Sacchetti, nov. 72. Preachers who fail are a constant subject of ridicule in all the novels.

917. Compare the well-known story in the *Decamerone*, vi. nov. 10.

918. In which case the sermons took a special colour. See Malipiero, *Ann. Venet. Archiv. Stor.* vii. i. p. 18. *Chron. Venet.* in Murat. xxiv. col. 114. *Storia Bresciana*, in Murat. xxi. col. 898.

919. *Storia Bresciana*, in Murat. xxi. col. 865 sqq.

920. Allegretto, *Diarii Sanesi*, in Murat. xxiii. col. 819 sqq.

921. Infessura (in Eccard, *Scriptores* ii. col. 1874) says: 'Canti, brevi, sorti.' The first may refer to song-books, which actually were burnt by Savonarola. But Graziani (*Cron. di Perugia, Arch. Stor.* xvi. i., p. 314) says on a similar occasion, 'brieve incanti,' when we must without doubt read 'brevi e incanti,' and perhaps the same emendation is desirable in Infessura, whose 'sorti' point to some instrument of superstition, perhaps a pack of cards for fortune-telling. Similarly after the introduction of printing, collections were made of all the attainable copies of Martial, which then were burnt. Bandello, iii. 10.

922. See his remarkable biography in Vespasiano Fiorent. p. 244 sqq., and that by Æneas Sylvius, *De Viris Illustr.* p. 24.

923. Allegretto, l. c. col. 823. A preacher excited the people against the judges (if instead of 'giudici' we are not to read 'giudei'), upon which they narrowly escaped being burnt in their houses.

924. Infessura, l. c. In the date of the witch's death there seems to be a clerical error. How the same saint caused an ill-famed wood near Arezzo to be cut down, is told in Vasari, iii. 148, *Vita di Parri Spinelli*. Often, no doubt, the penitential zeal of the hearers went no further than such outward sacrifices.

925. 'Pareva che l'aria si fendesse,' we read somewhere.

926. Jac. Volaterran. in Murat. xxiii. col. 166 sqq. It is not expressly said that he interfered with this feud, but it can hardly be doubted that he did so. Once (1445), when Jacopo della Marca had but just quitted Perugia after an extraordinary success, a frightful *vendetta* broke

out in the family of the Ranieri. *Comp. Graziani*, l. c. p. 565 sqq. We may here remark that Perugia was visited by these preachers remarkably often, *comp.* pp. 597, 626, 631, 637, 647.

927. Capistrano admitted fifty soldiers after one sermon, *Stor. Bresciana* l. c. *Comp. Graziani*, l. c. p. 565 sqq. *Æn. Sylvius (De Uiris Illustr.* p. 25), when a young man, was once so affected by a sermon of St. Bernardino as to be on the point of joining his Order.

928. That there was no want of disputes between the famous Observantine preachers and their Dominican rivals is shown by the quarrel about the blood of Christ which was said to have fallen from the cross to the earth (1462). Fra Jacopo della Marca, who would not yield to the Dominican Inquisitor, is criticized by Pius II in his detailed account (*Comment.* l. xi. p. 511), with delicate irony: 'Pauperiem pati, et famam et sitim et corporis cruciatum et mortem pro Christi nomine nonnulli possunt; jacturam nominis vel minimam ferre recusant tanquam sua deficiente fama Dei quoque gloria pereat.'

929. Their reputation oscillated even then between two extremes. They must be distinguished from the hermit-monks. The line was not always clearly drawn in this respect. The Spoletans, who travelled about working miracles, took St. Anthony and St. Paul as their patrons, the latter on account of the snakes which they carried with them. We read of the money they got from the peasantry even in the thirteenth century by a sort of clerical conjuring. Their horses were trained to kneel down at the name of St. Anthony. They pretended to collect for hospitals (*Massuccio*, nov. 18; *Bandello* iii., nov. 17). Firenzuola in his *Asino d'Oro* makes them play the part of the begging priests of Apuleius.

930. Prato, *Arch. Stor.* iii. p. 357. Burigozzo, *ibid.* p. 431 sqq.

931. Allegretto, in *Murat.* xxiii. col. 855 sqq.

932. Matteo Villani, viii. cap. 2 sqq. He first preached against tyranny in general, and then, when the ruling house of the Beccaria tried to have him murdered, he began to preach a change of government and constitution, and forced the Beccaria to fly from Pavia (1357).

933. Sometimes at critical moments the ruling house itself used the services of monks to exhort the people to loyalty. For an instance of this kind at Ferrara, see Sanudo (*Murat.* xxii. col. 1218). A preacher from Bologna reminded the people of the benefits they had received from the House of Este, and of the fate that awaited them at the hands of the victorious Venetians.

934. Prato, *Arch. Stor.* iii. p. 251. Other fanatical anti-French preachers, who appeared after the expulsion of the French, are mentioned by Burigozzo, *ibid.* pp. 443, 449, 485; ad a. 1523, 1526, 1529.

935. Jac. Pitti, *Storia Fior.* l. ii. p. 112.

936. Perrens, *Jérôme Savonarole*, two vols. Perhaps the most systematic and sober of all the many works on the subject. P. Villari, *La Storia di Girol. Savonarola* (two vols. 8vo. Firenze, Lemonnier).

937. Savonarola was perhaps the only man who could have made the subject cities free and yet kept Tuscany together. But he never seems to have thought of doing so.

938. A remarkable contrast to the Sienese who in 1483 solemnly dedicated their distracted city to the Madonna. Allegretto, in *Murat.* xxiii. col. 815.

939. He says of the 'impii astrologi': 'non è da disputar (con loro) altrimenti che col fuoco.'

940. See the passage in the fourteenth sermon on Ezekiel, in Perrens, o. c. vol. i. 30 note.

941. With the title, *De Rusticorum Religione*. See above p. 183.

942. Franco Sacchetti, nov. 109, where there is more of the same kind.

943. Bapt. Mantuan. *De Sacris Diebus*, l. ii. exclaims:—

Ista superstitio, ducens a Manibus ortum
Tartareis, sancta de religione facessat
Christigenûm! vivis epulas date, sacra sepultis.

A century earlier, when the army of John XXII entered the Marches to attack the Ghibellines, the pretext was avowedly 'eresia' and 'idolatria.' Recanati, which surrendered voluntarily, was nevertheless burnt, 'because idols had been worshipped there,' in reality, as a revenge for those whom the citizens had killed. *Giov. Villani*, ix. 139, 141. Under Pius II we read of an obstinate sun-worshipper, born at Urbino. *Æn. Sylv. Opera*, p. 289. *Hist. Rer. ubique Gestar.* c. 12. More

wonderful still was what happened in the Forum in Rome under Leo X. To stay the plague, a bull was solemnly offered up with pagan rites. Paul. Jov. *Hist.* xxi. 8.

944. See Sabellico, *De Situ Venetae Urbis*. He mentions the names of the saints, after the manner of many philologists, without the addition of 'sanctus' or 'divus,' but speaks frequently of different relics, and in the most respectful tone, and even boasts that he kissed several of them.

945. *De Laudibus Patavii*, in Murat. xxiv. col. 1149 to 1151.

946. Prato, *Arch. Stor.* iii. pp. 408 sqq. Though he is by no means a freethinker, he still protests against the causal nexus.

947. *Pii II, Comment.* l. viii. pp. 352 sqq. 'Verebatur Pontifex, ne in honore tanti apostoli diminute agere videretur,' &c.

948. Jac. Volaterran. in Murat. xxiii. col. 187. Louis was able to pay his devotion to the relic, but died after all. The Catacombs were at that time forgotten, yet even Savonarola (l. c. col. 1150) says of Rome: 'Velut ager Aeldama Sanctorum habita est.'

949. Bursellis, *Annal. Bonon.* in Murat. xxiii. col. 905. It was one of the sixteen patricians, Bartol. della Volta, d. 1485 or 1486.

950. Vasari, iii. 111 sqq. note. *Vita di Ghiberti*.

951. Matteo Villani, iii. 15 and 16.

952. We must make a further distinction between the Italian cultus of the bodies of historical saints of recent date, and the northern practice of collecting bones and relics of a sacred antiquity. Such remains were preserved in great abundance in the Lateran, which, for that reason, was of special importance for pilgrims. But on the tombs of St. Dominic and St. Anthony of Padua rested, not only the halo of sanctity, but the splendour of historical fame.

953. The remarkable judgement in his *De Sacris Diebus*, the work of his later years, refers both to sacred and profane art (l. i.). Among the Jews, he says, there was a good reason for prohibiting all graven images, else they would have relapsed into the idolatry or devil-worship of the nations around them:

Nunc autem, postquam penitus natura Satanum
Cognita, et antiqua sine majestate relicta est,
Nulla ferunt nobis statuæ discrimina, nullos
Fert pictura dolos; jam sunt innoxia signa;
Sunt modo virtutum testes monumentaque laudum
Marmora, et aeternae decora immortalia famae.

954. Battista Mantovano complains of certain 'nebulones' (*De Sacris Diebus*, l. v.) who would not believe in the genuineness of the Sacred Blood at Mantua. The same criticism which called in question the Donation of Constantine was also, though indirectly, hostile to the belief in relics.

955. Perhaps we may add Pius II, whose elegy on the Virgin is printed in the *Opera*, p. 964, and who from his youth believed himself to be under her special protection. Jac. Card. Papiens. 'De Morte Pii,' *Opp.* p. 656.

956. That is, at the time when Sixtus IV was so zealous for the Immaculate Conception. *Extravag. Commun.* l. iii. tit. xii. He founded, too, the Feast of the Presentation of the Virgin in the Temple, and the Feasts of St. Anne and St. Joseph. See Trithem. *Ann. Hirsaug.* ii. p. 518.

957. The few frigid sonnets of Vittoria on the Madonna are most instructive in this respect (n. 85 sqq. ed. P. Visconti, Rome, 1840).

958. Bapt. Mantuan. *De Sacris Diebus*, l. v., and especially the speech of the younger Pico, which was intended for the Lateran Council, in Roscoe, *Leone X*, ed. Bossi, viii. p. 115.

959. *Monach. Paduani Chron.* l. iii. at the beginning. We there read of this revival: 'Invasit primitus Perusinos, Romanos postmodum, deinde fere Italiae populos universos.'

960. G. Villani, viii. 122, xi. 23.

961. Corio, fol. 281.

962. Pilgrimages to distant places had already become very rare. Those of the princes of the House of Este to Jerusalem, Santiago and Vienne are enumerated in Murat. xxiv. col. 182, 187, 190, 279. For that of Rinaldo Albizi to the Holy Land, see Machiavelli, *Stor. Fior.* l. v. Here,

too, the desire of fame is sometimes the motive. The chronicler Giov. Cavalcanti (*Ist. Fiorentina*, ed. Polidori, ii. 478) says of Leonardo Frescobaldi, who wanted to go with a companion (about the year 1400) to the Holy Sepulchre: 'Stimarono di eternarsi nella mente degli uomini futuri.'

963. Bursellis, *Annal. Bon.* in Murat. xxiii. col. 890.

964. Allegretto, in Murat. xxiii. col. 855 sqq.

965. Burigozzo, *Arch. Stor.* iii. 486.

966. It was also called 'l'arca del testimonio,' and people told how it was 'conzado' (constructed) 'con gran misterio.'

967. *Diario Ferrarese*, in Murat. xxiv. col. 317, 322, 323, 326, 386, 401.

968. 'Per buono rispetto a lui noto e perchè sempre è buono a star bene con Iddio,' says the annalist.

969. Probably the same as the one at Perugia, mentioned on page 16.

970. He is called 'Messo dei Cancellieri del Duca.' The whole thing was evidently intended to appear the work of the court only, and not of any ecclesiastical authority.

971. See the quotation from Pico's *Discourse on the Dignity of Man*, above, pp. 184—185.

972. Not to speak of the fact that a similar tolerance or indifference was not uncommon among the Arabs themselves

973. So in the *Decamerone*. Sultans without name in Massuccio nov. 46, 48, 49.

974. *Decamerone* nov. 3. Boccaccio is the first to name the Christian religion, which the others do not.

975. In the mouth, nevertheless, of the fiend Astaroth, canto xxv. str. 231 sqq. Comp. str. 141 sqq.

976. Canto xxviii. str. 38 sqq.

977. Canto xviii. str. 112 to the end.

978. Pulci touches, though hastily, on a similar conception in his Prince Chiaristante (canto xxi. str. 101 sqq., 121 sqq., 163 sqq.), who believes nothing and causes himself and his wife to be worshipped. We are reminded of Sigismondo Malatesta (p. 116).

979. Giov. Villani, iii. 29, vi. 46. The name occurs as early as 1150 in Northern countries, but only in the conventional sense.

980. See the argument in the third book of Lucretius.

981. *Inferno*, vii. 67—96.

982. *Purgatorio*, xvi. 73. Compare the theory of the influence of the planets in the *Convito*. Even the fiend Astaroth in Pulci (*Morgante*, xxv. str. 150) attests the freedom of the human will and the justice of God.

983. Vespasiano Fiorent. pp. 26, 320, 435, 626, 651. Murat. xx. col. 532.

984. On Pomponazzo, see the special works; among others, Ritter, *Geschichte der Philosophie*, Bd. ix.

985. Paul. Jovii, *Elog. Lit.* p. 90.

986. *Codri Urcei Opera*, with his life by Bart. Bianchini; and in his philological lectures, pp. 65, 151, 278, &c.

987. 'Animum meum seu animam'—a distinction by which philology used then to perplex theology.

988. Platina, *Vitae Pontiff.* p. 311: 'Christianam fidem si miraculis non esset confirmata, honestate sua recipi debuisse.'

989. Especially when the monks improvised them in the pulpit. But the old and recognized miracles did not remain unassailed. Firenzuola (*Opere*, vol. ii. p. 208, in the tenth novel) ridicules the Franciscans of Novara, who wanted to spend money which they had embezzled, in adding a chapel to their church, 'dove fusse dipinta quella bella storia, quando S. Francesco predicava agli uccelli nel deserto; e quando ei fece la santa zuppa, e che l'agnolo Gabriello gli portò i zoccoli.'

990. Some facts about him are to be found in Bapt. Mantuan. *De Patientia*, l. iii. cap. 13.

991. Bursellis, *Ann. Bonon.* in Murat. xxiii. col. 915.

992. How far these blasphemous utterances sometimes went, has been shown by Gieseler (*Kirchengeschichte*, ii. iv. § 154, note) who quotes several striking instances.
993. Jov. Pontanus, *De Fortuna*, *Opp.* i. 792—921. *Comp. Opp.* ii. 286.
994. Æn. Sylvii, *Opera*, p. 611.
995. Poggius, *De Miseriis Humanæ Conditionis*.
996. Caracciolo, *De Varietate Fortunæ*. in Murat. xxii., one of the most valuable writings of a period rich in such works. On Fortune in public processions, see p. 217.
997. *Leonis X Vita Anonyma*, in Roscoe, ed. Bossi, xii. p. 153.
998. Bursellis, *Ann. Bonon.* in Murat. xxiii. col. 909: 'Monimentum hoc conditum a Joanne Bentivolo secundo patriæ rectore, cui virtus et fortuna cuncta quæ optari possunt affatim præstiterunt.' It is still not quite certain whether this inscription was outside, and visible to everybody, or, like another mentioned just before, hidden on one of the foundation stones. In the latter case, a fresh idea is involved. By this secret inscription, which perhaps only the chronicler knew of, Fortune is to be magically bound to the building.
999. 'Quod nimium gentilitatis amatores essemus.'
1000. While the plastic arts at all events distinguished between angels and 'putti,' and used the former for all serious purposes. In the *Annal. Estens.* Murat. xx. col. 468, the 'amorino' is naïvely called 'instar Cupidinis angelus.'
1001. Della Valle, *Lettere Sanesi*, iii. 18.
1002. Macrob. *Saturnal.* iii. 9. Doubtless the canon did not omit the gestures there prescribed.
1003. *Monachus Paduan.* l. ii. ap. Urstisius, *Scriptt.* i. pp. 598, 599, 602, 607. The last Visconti (p. 21) had also a number of these men in his service (*Comp. Decembrio*, in Murat. xx. col. 1017).
1004. E.g. Florence, where Bonatto filled the office for a long period. See too Matteo Villani, xi. 3, where the city astrologer is evidently meant.
1005. Libri, *Hist. des Sciences Mathém.* ii. 52, 193. At Bologna this professorship is said to have existed in 1125. *Comp.* the list of professors at Pavia, in Corio, fol. 290. For the professorship at the Sapienza under Leo X, see Roscoe, *Leone X*, ed. Bossi, v. p. 283.
1006. About 1260 Pope Alexander IV compelled a Cardinal (and shamefaced astrologer) Bianco to bring out a number of political prophecies. Giov. Villani, vi. 81.
1007. *De Dictis, &c. Alfonsi, Opera*, p. 493. He held it to be 'pulehrius quam utile.' Platina, *Vitæ Pontiff.* p. 310. For Sixtus IV *comp.* Jac. Volaterran. in Murat. xxiii. col. 173, 186.
1008. P. Valeriano, *De Infel. Lit.* (318—324) speaks of Fr. Friuli, who wrote on Leo's horoscope, and revealed many of the Pope's secrets.
1009. Ranke, *Päpste*, i. 247.
1010. Vespas. Fiorent. p. 660, *comp.* 341. *Ibid.* p. 121, another Pagolo is mentioned as court mathematician and astrologer of Federigo of Montefeltro. Curiously enough, he was a German.
1011. Firmicus Maternus, *Matheseos Libri* viii. at the end of the second book.
1012. In Bandello, iii. nov. 60, the astrologer of Alessandro Bentivoglio, in Milan, confesses himself a poor devil before the whole company.
1013. It was in such a moment of resolution that Lodovico il Moro had the cross with this inscription made, which is now in the Minster at Chur. Sixtus IV too once said that he would try if the proverb was true.
1014. The father of Piero Capponi, himself an astrologer, put his son into trade lest he should get the dangerous wound in the head which threatened him. *Vita di P. Capponi, Arch. Stor.* iv. ii. 15. For an instance in the life of Cardanus, see p. 175. The physician and astrologer Pierleoni of Spoleto believed that he would be drowned, avoided in consequence all watery places, and refused brilliant positions offered him at Venice and Padua. Paul. Jov. *Elog. Liter.* pp. 67 sqq.
1015. For instances in the life of Lodovico il Moro, see Senarega, in Murat. xxiv. col. 518, 524. Benedictus, in Eccard, ii. col. 1623. And yet his father, the great Francesco Sforza, had despised astrology, and his grandfather Giacomo had not at any rate followed its warnings. Corio, fol. 321. 413.
1016. Often printed, though I have never had an opportunity of consulting it. For the facts

here quoted, see *Annal. Foroliviens.* in Murat. xxii. col. 233 sqq. (comp. col. 150). Leon Battista Alberti endeavoured to give a spiritual meaning to the ceremony of laying the foundation. *Opere Volgari*, tom. iv. p. 314 (or *De Re Ædific.* l. i.).

1017. In the horoscopes of the second foundation of Florence (Giov. Villani, iii. 1, under Charlemagne) and of the first of Venice (see above, p. 35), an old tradition is perhaps mingled with the poetry of the Middle Ages.

1018. *Ann. Foroliv.* 235—238. Filippo Villani. *Uite*. Machiavelli, *Stor. Fior.* l. i. When constellations which augured victory appeared, Bonatto ascended with his book and astrolabe to the tower of San Mercuriale above the Piazza, and when the right moment came gave the signal for the great bell to be rung. Yet it was admitted that he was often wide of the mark, and foresaw neither his own death nor the fate of Montefeltro. Not far from Cesena he was killed by robbers, on his way back to Forlì from Paris and from Italian universities where he had been lecturing.

1019. Matteo Villani, xi. 3.

1020. Jovian. Pontan. *De Fortitudine*, l. i. See Note 1015 for the honourable exception made by the first Sforza.

1021. Paul. Jov. *Elog.* sub. v. Vivianus, p. 219.

1022. Who tells it us himself. Benedictus, in Eccard, ii. col. 1617.

1023. In this sense we must understand the words of Jac. Nardi, *Uita d'Ant. Giacomini*, p. 65. The same pictures were common on clothes and household utensils. At the reception of Lucrezia Borgia in Ferrara, the mule of the Duchess of Urbino wore trappings of black velvet with astrological figures in gold. *Arch. Stor. Append.* ii. p. 305.

1024. Azario, in Corio, fol. 258.

1025. Considerations of this kind probably influenced the Turkish astrologers who, after the battle of Nicopolis, advised the Sultan Bajazet I to consent to the ransom of John of Burgundy, since 'for his sake much Christian blood would be shed.' It was not difficult to foresee the further course of the French civil war. *Magn. Chron. Belgium*, p. 358. *Juvénales des Ursins*, ad a. 1396.

1026. Benedictus, in Eccard, ii. col. 1579. It was said of king Ferrante in 1493 that he would lose his throne 'sine cruore sed sola fama'—which actually happened.

1027. Bap. Mantuan. *De Patientia*, l. iii. cap. 12.

1028. Giov. Villani, x. 39, 40. Other reasons also existed, e.g. the jealousy of his colleagues. Bonatto had taught the same, and had explained the miracle of Divine Love in St. Francis as the effect of the planet Mars. Comp. Jo. Picus, *Adv. Astrol.* ii. 5.

1029. They were painted by Miretto at the beginning of the fifteenth century. Acc. to Scardeonius they were destined 'ad indicandum nascentium naturas per gradus et numeros'—a more popular way of teaching than we can now well imagine. It was astrology 'à la portée de tout le monde.'

1030. He says (*Orationes*, fol. 35, 'In Nuptias') of astrology: 'haec efficit ut homines parum a Diis distare videantur!' Another enthusiast of the same time is Jo. Garzonius, *De Dignitate Urbis Bononiae*, in Murat. xxi. col. 1163.

1031. Petrarca, *Epp. Seniles*, iii. 1 (p. 765) and elsewhere. The letter in question was written to Boccaccio, whose opinion of astrologers must have been the same.

1032. Franco Sacchetti (nov. 151) ridicules their claims to wisdom.

1033. Gio. Villani, iii. 1. x. 39.

1034. Gio. Villani, xi. 2, xii. 4.

1035. The author of the *Annales Placentini* (in Murat. xx. col. 931), the same Alberto di Ripalta mentioned in Note 490, took part in this controversy. The passage is in other respects remarkable, since it contains the popular opinion with regard to the nine known comets, their colour, origin, and significance. Comp. Gio. Villani, xi. 67.

1036. Paul. Jov. *Uita Leonis* xx. l. iii. where it appears that Leo himself was a believer at least in premonitions and the like, see above p. 268.

1037. Jo. Picus Mirand. *Adversus Astrologos*, libri xii.

1038. Acc. to Paul. Jov. *Elog. Lit.* sub tit. Jo. Picus, the result he achieved was 'ut subtilium disciplinarum professores a scribendo deterruisse videatur.'
1039. *De Rebus Coelestibus*.
1040. In Santa Maria del Popolo at Rome. The angels remind us of Dante's theory at the beginning of the *Convito*.
1041. This was the case with Antonio Galateo who, in a letter to Ferdinand the Catholic (Mai, *Spicileg. Rom.* vol. viii. p. 226, ad a. 1510), disclaims astrology with violence, and in another letter to the Count of Potenza (*ibid.* p. 539) infers from the stars that the Turks would attack Rhodes the same year.
1042. *Ricordi*, l. c. n. 57.
1043. Many instances of such superstitions in the case of the last Visconti are mentioned by Decembrio (Murat. xx. col. 1016 sqq.).
1044. Varchi, *Stor. Fior.* l. iv. (p. 174); prophecies and premonitions were then as rife in Florence as at Jerusalem during the siege. Comp. *ibid.* iii. 143, 195; iv. 43, 177.
1045. Matarazzo, *Archiv. Stor.* xvi. ii. p. 208.
1046. Prato, *Arch. Stor.* iii. 324, for the year 1514.
1047. For the Madonna dell' Arbore in the Cathedral at Milan, and what she did in 1515, see Prato, l. c. p. 327. He also records the discovery of a dead dragon as thick as a horse in the excavations for a mortuary chapel near San Nazaro. The head was taken to the Palace of the Trivulzi for whom the chapel was built.
1048. 'Et fuit mirabile quod illico pluvia cessavit.' *Diar. Parmense* in Murat. xxii. col. 280. The author shares the popular hatred of the usurers. Comp. col. 371.
1049. *Conjuratious Pactianae Commentarius*, in the appendices to Roscoe's *Lorenzo*. Politian was in general an opponent of astrology.
1050. *Poggii Facetiae*, fol. 174. Æn. Sylvius (*De Europa*, c. 53, 54, *Opera*, pp. 451, 455) mentions prodigies which may have really happened, such as combats between animals and strange appearances in the sky, and mentions them chiefly as curiosities, even when adding the results attributed to them.
1051. *Poggii Facetiae*, fol. 160. Comp. Pausanias, ix. 20.
1052. Varchi, iii. 195. Two suspected persons decided on flight in 1529, because they opened the Æneid at book iii. 44. Comp. Rabelais, *Pantagruel*, iii. 10.
1053. The imaginations of the scholars, such as the 'splendor' and the 'spiritus' of Cardanus, and the 'dæmon familiaris' of his father, may be taken for what they are worth. Comp. Cardanus, *De Propria Vita*, cap. 4, 38, 47. He was himself an opponent of magic; cap. 39. For the prodigies and ghosts he met with, see cap. 37, 41. For the terror of ghosts felt by the last Visconti, see Decembrio, in Murat. xx. col. 1016.
1054. 'Molte fiato i morti guastano le creature.' Bandello, ii. nov. 1.
1055. Bandello, iii. nov. 20. It is true that the ghost was only a lover wishing to frighten the occupier of the palace, who was also the husband of the beloved lady. The lover and his accomplices dressed themselves up as devils; one of them, who could imitate the cry of different animals, had been sent for from a distance.
1056. Graziani, *Arch. Stor.* xvi. i. p. 640, ad. a. 1467. The guardian died of fright.
1057. *Balth. Castilionii Carmina*, Prosopopeia Lud. Pici.
1058. Gio. Villani, xi. 2. He had it from the Abbot of Vallombrosa, to whom the hermit had communicated it.
1059. This was probably the case with the possessed woman, who in 1513 at Ferrara and elsewhere was consulted by distinguished Lombards as to future events. Her name was Rodogine. See Rabelais, *Pantagruel*, i. 58.
1060. Jovian. Pontan. *Antonius*.
1061. Graziani, *Arch. Stor.* xvi. i. p. 565, ad. a. 1445, speaking of a witch at Nocera, who only offered half the sum, and was accordingly burnt. The law was aimed at such persons as 'facciono le fature ovvero venefitie ovvero encantatione d'immundi spiriti a nuocere,' l. c. note 1, 2.

1062. Lib. i. ep. 46, *Opera*, p. 531 sqq. For 'umbra' p. 552 read 'Umbria,' and for 'lacum' read 'locum.'

1063. He calls him later on: 'Medicus Ducis Saxonix, homo tum dives tum potens.'

1064. In the fourteenth century there existed a kind of hell-gate near Ansedonia in Tuscany. It was a cave, with footprints of men and animals in the sand, which whenever they were effaced, reappeared the next day. Uberti, *Il Dittamondo*, l. iii. cap. 9.

1065. *Pii II, Comment.* l. i. p. 10.

1066. Benv. Cellini, l. i. cap. 65.

1067. *L'Italia Liberata da' Goti*, canto xxiv. It may be questioned whether Trissino himself believed in the possibility of his description, or whether he was not rather romancing. The same doubt is permissible in the case of his probable model, Lucan (book vi.), who represents the Thessalian witch conjuring up a corpse before Sextus Pompeius.

1068. *Septimo Decretal.* lib. v. tit. xii. It begins: 'Summis desiderantes affectibus' &c. I may here remark that a full consideration of the subject has convinced me that there are in this case no grounds for believing in a survival of pagan beliefs. To satisfy ourselves that the imagination of the mendicant friars is solely responsible for this delusion, we have only to study, in the Memoirs of Jacques du Clerc, the so-called trial of the Waldenses of Arras in the year 1459. A century's prosecutions and persecutions brought the popular imagination into such a state that witchcraft was accepted as a matter of course and reproduced itself naturally.

1069. Of Alexander VI, Leo X, Adrian VI.

1070. Proverbial as the country of witches, e.g. *Orlandino*, i. 12.

1071. E.g. Bandello, iii. nov. 29, 52, Prato, *Arch. Stor.* iii. 408. Bursellis, *Ann. Bon.* in Murat. xxiii. col. 897, mentions the condemnation of a prior in 1468, who kept a ghostly brothel: 'cives Bononienses coire faciebat cum dæmonibus in specie puellarum.' He offered sacrifices to the dæmons. See for a parallel case, Procop. *Hist. Arcana*, c. 12, where a real brothel is frequented by a dæmon, who turns the other visitors out of doors.

1072. For the loathsome apparatus of the witches' kitchens, see *Macaroneide*, Phant. xvi. xxi., where the whole procedure is described.

1073. In the *Ragionamento del Zoppino*. He is of opinion that the courtesans learn their arts from certain Jewish women, who are in possession of 'malie.'

1074. Varchi, *Stor. Fior.* ii. p. 153.

1075. Stress is laid on this reservation. Corn. Agrippa, *De Occulta Philosophia*, cap. 39.

1076. *Septimo Decretal.* l. c.

1077. *Zodiacus Vitæ*, xv. 363—539, comp. x. 393 sqq.

1078. *Ibid.* ix. 291. sqq.

1079. *Ibid.* x. 770 sqq.

1080. The mythical type of the magician among the poets of the time was Malagigi. Speaking of him, Pulci (*Morgante*, canto xxiv. 106 sqq.) gives his theoretical view of the limits of dæmonic and magic influence. It is hard to say how far he was in earnest. Comp. canto xxi.

1081. Polydorus Virgilius was an Italian by birth, but his work *De Prodigiiis* treats chiefly of superstition in England, where his life was passed. Speaking of the prescience of the dæmons, he makes a curious reference to the sack of Rome in 1527.

1082. Yet murder is hardly ever the end, and never, perhaps, the means. A monster like Gilles de Retz (about 1440) who sacrificed more than 100 children to the dæmons has scarcely a distant counterpart in Italy.

1083. See the treatise of Roth 'Ueber den Zauberer Virgilius' in Pfeiffer's *Germania*, iv., and Comparetti's *Virgil in the Middle Ages*. That Virgil began to take the place of the older Telestæ may be explained partly by the fact that the frequent visits made to his grave even in the time of the Empire struck the popular imagination.

1084. Uberti, *Dittamondo*, l. iii. cap. 4.

1085. For what follows, see Gio. Villani, i. 42, 60, ii. 1, iii. 1, v. 38, xi. 1. He himself does not believe such godless superstitions. Comp. Dante, *Inferno* xiii. 146.

1086. The local belief on the matter is given in *Annal. Foroliviens.* Murat. xxii. col. 207, 238; more fully in Fil. Villani, *Uite*, p. 43.
1087. Platina, *Uitae Pontiff.*, p. 320: 'Veteres potius hac in re quam Petrum, Anacletum, et Linum imitatus.'
1088. Which it is easy to recognize e.g. in Sugerius, *De Consecratione Ecclesiae* (Duchesne, *Scriptores*, iv. 355) and in *Chron. Petershusanum*, i. 13 and 16.
1089. Comp. the *Calandra* of Bibbiena.
1090. Bandello, iii. nov. 52.
1091. Bandello, iii. 29. The magician exacts a promise of secrecy strengthened by solemn oaths, in this case by an oath at the high altar of San Petronio at Bologna, at a time when no one else was in the church. There is a good deal of magic in the *Macaroneide*, Phant. xviii.
1092. Benv. Cellini, i. cap. 64.
1093. Vasari, viii. 143, *Uita di Andrea da Fiesole*. It was Silvio Cosini, who also 'went after magical formulæ and other follies.'
1094. Uberti, *Dittamondo*, iii. cap. 1. In the March of Ancona he visits Scariotto, the supposed birthplace of Judas, and observes: 'I must not here pass over Mount Pilatus, with its lake, where throughout the summer the guards are changed regularly. For he who understands magic comes up hither to have his books consecrated, whereupon, as the people of the place say, a great storm arises.' (The consecration of books, as has been remarked, p. 278, is a special ceremony, distinct from the rest.)
1095. *De Obsidione Tiphernatium*, 1474 (*Rer. Ital. Script. ex Florent. codicibus*, tom. ii.).
1096. This superstition, which was widely spread among the soldiery (about 1520), is ridiculed by Limerno Pitocco, in the *Orlandino*, v. 60.
1097. Paul. Jov. *Elog. Lit.* p. 106, sub voce 'Cocles.'
1098. It is the enthusiastic collector of portraits who is here speaking.
1099. From the stars, since Gauricus did not know physiognomy. For his own fate he had to refer to the prophecies of Cocle, since his father had omitted to draw his horoscope.
1100. Paul. Jov. l. c. p. 100 sqq. s. v. Tibertus.
1101. The most essential facts as to these side-branches of divination, are given by Corn. Agrippa, *De Occulta Philosophia*, cap. 57, 52.
1102. Libri, *Hist. des Sciences Mathém.* ii. 122.
1103. 'Novi nihil narro, mos est publicus' (*Remed. Utr. Fort.* p. 93), one of the lively passages of this book, written 'ab irato.'
1104. Chief passage in Trithem. *Ann. Hirsaug.* ii. 286 sqq.
1105. 'Neque enim desunt,' Paul. Jov. *Elog. Lit.* p. 150, s. v. 'Pomp. Gauricus'; comp. *ibid.* p. 130 s. v. Aurel. Augurellus; *Macaroneide*. Phant. xii.
1106. Ariosto, *Sonetto 34*: 'Non credere sopra il tetto.' The poet uses the words of an official who had decided against him in a matter of property.
1107. *Narrazione del Caso del Boscoli*, *Arch. Stor.* i. 273 sqq. The standing phrase was 'non aver fede'; comp. Vasari, vii. 122, *Uita di Piero di Cosimo*.
1108. Jovian. Pontan. *Charon, Opp.* ii. 1128—1195.
1109. *Faustini Terdocei Triumphus Stultitiae*, l. ii.
1110. E.g. Borbone Morosini about 1460; comp. Sansovino, *Venezia* l. xiii. p. 243.
1111. Vespas. Fiorent. p. 260.
1112. *Orationes Philelphi*, fol. 8.
1113. *Septimo Decretal.* lib. v. tit. iii. cap. 8.
1114. Ariosto, *Orlando*, vii. 61. Ridiculed in *Orlandino*. iv. 67. 68. Cariteo, a member of the Neapolitan Academy of Pontanus, uses the idea of the pre-existence of the soul in order to glorify the House of Aragon. Roscoe, *Leone X*, ed. Bossi, ii. 288.
1115. Orelli, ad Cic. *De Republ.* l. vi. Comp. Lucan, *Pharsalia*. ix. at the beginning.
1116. Petrarca, *Epp. Fam.* iv. 3, iv. 6.
1117. Fil. Villani, *Uite*, p. 15. This remarkable passage is as follows: 'Che agli uomini fortissimi. poichè hanno vinto le mostruose fatiche della terra, debitamente sieno date le stelle.'

1118. *Inferno*, iv. 24 sqq. Comp. *Purgatorio*, vii. 28, xxii. 100.

1119. This pagan heaven is referred to in the epitaph on the artist Niccolò dell'Arca:

'Nunc te Praxiteles, Phidias, Polycletus adorant
Miranturque tuas, o Nicolae, manus.'

In Bursellis, *Ann. Bonon.* Murat. xxiii. col. 912.

1120. In his late work *Actius*.

1121. Cardanus, *De Propria Vita*, cap. 13: 'Non pœnitere ullius rei quam voluntarie effecerim, etiam quæ male cessisset'; else I should be of all men the most miserable.

1122. *Discorsi*, ii. cap. 2.

1123. *Del Governo della Famiglia*, p. 114.

1124. Comp. the short ode of M. Antonio Flaminio in the *Coryciana* (see p. 138):

Dii quibus tam Corycius venusta
Signa, tam dives posuit sacellum,
Ulla si vestros animos piorum
Gratia tangit,
Vos jocos risusque senis faceti
Sospites servate diu; senectam
Vos date et semper viridem et Falerno
Usque madentem.
At simul longo satiatu ævo
Liquerit terras, dapibus Deorum
Lætus intersit, potiore mutans
Nectare Bacchum.

1125. Firenzuola, *Opere*, iv. p. 147 sqq.

1126. Nic. Valori, *Vita di Lorenzo*, *passim*. For the advice to his son Cardinal Giovanni, see Fabroni, *Laurentius*, adnot. 178, and the appendices to Roscoe's *Leo X*.

1127. Jo. Pici *Vita*, auct. Jo. Franc. Pico. For his 'Deprecatio ad Deum,' see *Deliciae Poetarum Italorum*.

1128. *Orazione*, Roscoe, *Leone X*, ed. Bossi viii. 120 (Magno Dio per la cui costante legge); hymn (Oda il sacro inno tutta la natura) in Fabroni, *Laur.* adnot. 9; *L'Alterazione*, in the *Poesie di Lor. Magn.* i. 265. The other poems here named are quoted in the same collection.

1129. If Pulci in his *Morgante* is anywhere in earnest with religion, he is so in canto xvi. str. 6. This deistic utterance of the fair pagan Antea is perhaps the plainest expression of the mode of thought prevalent in Lorenzo's circle, to which tone the words of the dæmon Astaroth (quoted above p. 261) form in a certain sense the complement.

FULL TITLES OF SOME OF THE MOST FREQUENTLY QUOTED WORKS

- Archivio Storico Italiano, with Appendix. Florence, Viessesux.
Muratori: *Scriptores rerum Italicarum*.
Fabroni: *Magni Cosmi Medicei Vita*.
Fabroni: *Laurentii Medicei Magnifici Vita*.
Roscoe: *Life of Lorenzo Medici*.
Poesie del magnifico Lorenzo de' Medici, London 1801.
Roscoe: *Vita e Pontificato di Leone X*, translated by Luigi Bossi, Milan 1816 seq., 12 volumes in 8°, with many additions not found in the English original.
Petrarch: Complete edition of his Latin works, Basle 1581, folio.
Poggii Opera, Strassburg edition of 1513, folio.
Philelphi *Orationes*, Venice edition of 1492, folio.
M. Anton. Sabellii Opera, Venice edition of 1502, folio.
Pii II P. M. *Commentarii*, Roman edition of 1584.
Æneæ Silvii Opera, Basle edition of 1551, folio.
Platina: *De vitis pontificum romanorum*, Coloniae Agrippinae 1626.
Anecdota literaria e mss. codd. eruta, edited by Amaduzzi and Bianconi, Rome 1773 to 1783, four volumes in 8°.
Corio: *Historia di Milano*, Venice edition of 1554.
Machiavelli: *Opere Minori*, Florence, Lemonnier, 1852.
Varchi. *Storia Fiorentina*, Milan 1803, five volumes in 8°.
Tommaso Gar: *Relazioni della corte di Roma* (third volume in the second series of the *Relazioni degli Ambasciatori Veneti*, raccolte da Eug. Alberi, Florence).
Boccaccio: *Opere Volgari*, Florence 1829 seq., presso Ign. Moutier, 17 volumes in 8°.
Filippo Villani: *Le Vite d'uomini illustri fiorentini*, Florence 1826.
Trucchi: *Poesie italiane inedite*, Prato 1846, four volumes in 8°.
Agnolo Pandolfini: *Trattato del governo della famiglia*, Turin, Pomba, 1829.
Raccolta di Poesie satiriche, Milan 1808, 1 volume.
Firenzuola: *Opere*, Milan, 1802, in 8°.
Castiglione: *Il Cortigiano*, Venice, 1549.
Vespasiano Fiorentino, besides the edition quoted in Mai, Vol. X of *Spicilegium Romanum*, a later edition by Bartoli, Florence 1859, must be mentioned.
Vasari: *Le Vite de' più eccellenti pittori, scultori e architetti*, Florence, Lemonnier, from 1846 onwards, thirteen volumes.
-

INDEX

- Academies, educational, 145
 Adrian VI, Pope, 66; satires against, 85
Africa, the, of Petrarch, 131
 Agnello of Pisa, 6
 Alberto da Sarzana, 245
 Alberti, Leon Battista, 74—75
 Albertinus, Musattus, fame of, 76
 Albornoz, 55, 283
 Alchemy, 286
 Alexander VI, Pope, 59—63; death of, 63
 Alfonso I of Ferrara, 26—28, 54
 Alfonso the Great of Naples, 19, 52, 242; enthusiasm for antiquity, 114—115
 Alighieri, Dante, see Dante
 Allegorical representations, 210—215
 Amiens, treaty of, 67
Amarosa Visione, the, of Boccaccio, 168
 Antiquity, importance of, Dante on, 104; reproduction of, 117—123
 Anti-Trinitarians, 291
 Apollo, Belvedere, discovery of the, 96
 Aquinas, St. Thomas, 3, 33
 Arabic, study of, 102
 Aragonese Dynasty, 8, 19—21
 Aretino, Pietro, 86—88; father of modern journalism, 86
 Ariosto, 72; his artistic aim in epic, 169; his picture of Roman society, 97; *Orlando Furioso*, the, of, 169—170; style, 156; satire on sorcery, 283—284
 Arlotto (jester), 82
 Army list, Venetian, 38
Asolani, the, of Bembo, 124, 232
 Assassination, paid, 235
 Assassins in Rome, 59
 Astrology, belief in, 269—273; protest against, 271—272
 Auguries, belief in, 275
 Authors, the old, 97—102
 Autobiography in Italy, 173—176
 Bacchus and Ariadne, song of, by Lorenzo de' Medici, 221
 Baglioni of Perugia, the, 15—18; and the Oddi, disputes between, 15—16.
 Bandello, as novelist, 157; on infidelity, 230—231; style of writing, 197
 Baraballo, comic procession of, 83
 Bassano, Jacopo, rustic paintings by, 184
 Belief, general disintegration of, 287—288
 Bembo, Pietro, 118; epigrams of, 137; his *Historia rerum Venetarum*, 126; letters of, 118; the *Sarca*, 132
 Benedictines, the, 242—243
 Bernabò, boar-hounds of, 7
 Bernardino da Siena, 121, 245, 246
 Bessarion, Cardinal, his collection of Greek MSS., 99
 Biblical criticism, 265
 Biography, 171—176; comparative, art of, 172
 Blondus of Forlì, historical writings of, 125
 Boar-hounds of Bernabò Visconti, 7
 Boccaccio, 79; his life of Dante, 172; master of personal description, 179; on 'tyranny', 31; representative of antiquity, 104—105; sonnets of, 162
 Boiardo, as epic poet, 168; inventiveness of, 168; style of, 157
 Borgia, Cæsar, 60—63
 Borso of Este, 28—30; created duke of Modena and Reggio, 10; welcome of, to Reggio, 215
 Boscoli, Pierpaolo, death of, 33, 287
 Botanical gardens, 150
 Brigandage, 234—235
 Burchiello as comedian, 166
 Calumny at papal court, 85
 Calvi, Fabio, of Ravenna, 143
 Cambrai, League of, 39, 49
 Can Grande della Scala, court of, 4—5
 'Canzone', the, 159
Canzone Zingaresca, of Politian, 184
 Capistrano, Giovanni, 245
 'Capitolo', the, 85
 Cardano, Girolamo, of Milan, autobiography of, 174—175
 Caricaturists, 83
Carmina Burana, the, 91
 Carnival, the, 209, 218—221
 Castiglione, 200, 232

- Catalogues of Libraries, 99
 Cathedral, Milan, founding of, 7
 Catilinarians, 56—57
 Catullus, as model, 135
 Cellini, Benvenuto, autobiography of, 174, 284
 Celso, Caterina di San, 206
 Certosa, Convent of, founding of, 66—68
 Charles V, Emperor, action of, 66—68
 Charles IV, Emperor, 9
 Charles VIII of France in Italy, 49—50; entry into Italy, 213
 Children, naming of, 126—127
 Chroniclers, Italian, 124; Florentine, condemn astrology, 272
 Church, the, dignities not bestowed according to birth, 186; corruption of, 239; held in contempt, 239—241; regeneration of, 68; secularization of, proposed by Emperor Charles V, 67; spirit of reform in, 67
 Cicero, taken as model of style, 129—130
 Ciceronianism and revival of Vitruvius, analogy between, 130
 Ciriaco of Ancona, 95
 Class distinctions ignored, 186 to 191
 Clement VII, Pope, detested, 66; flight of, 66; temperament of, 158
 Cleopatra, discovery of statue of, 96
 Clubs, political, 200
 Colonna, Giovanni, 92; Giulia Gonzaga, 199; Vittoria, 199, 204, 232
Commedia dell'Arte, the, 166
Commentaries, the, of Pius II, 174
 Composition, Latin, history of, 128—129
 Condottieri, the, despotisms founded by, 11—15
 Cornaro, Luigi, Autobiography of, 124, 175—177
 Corpse of Roman girl, discovery of, 95—96
 Corpus Christi, feast of, 213
 Corruption in papacy, 57—58
Cortigiano, the, by Castiglione, 196, 200—201, 232
 Cosmetics, use of, 57—58
 Council of Ten, the, 37—38
 Country life, descriptions of, 157; love of, 207—208
 Crime, for its own sake, 237; prevalence of, among priests, 233—234
 Criticism, Biblical, 265
 Crusades, the, 256; influence of, 146
 Culture, general Latinization of, 127—130
 Cybò, Franceschetto, 58—59; as gambler, 225—226
 Dæmons, belief in, 276—277, 281
 Dante, Alighieri, 42—43, 46, 70, 71, 73; as advocate of antiquity, 104; as satirist, 81; belief in freedom of will, 263; burial place of, 77; desire for fame, 75—76; influence of, 168; influence of nature shown in works, 153; life of, by Boccaccio, 172; on Epicureanism, 262 to 263; on the Italian language, 195; on nobility, 187; on the sonnet, 160—161; his *Vita Nuova*, 262—263
 Decadence of oratory, 123
Decades, the, by Sabellico, 126
Decameron, the, 240
De genealogia dcorum, 104 to 105
 Demeanour of individuals, 191
 Description of life in movement, 181—185
 Description of nations and cities, 177—178; of outward man, 178—181
 Difference of birth, loss of significance of, 184
Discorsi, the, of Machiavelli, 240
 Domestic comfort, 194; economy, 73, 206—208
 Dress, importance attached to, 191; regulations relating to, 192
 Eclogues of Battista Mantovano, 183
 Economy, domestic, 72, 206 to 208
 Education, equal, of sexes, 204; private, 73
 Epicureanism, 262
 Epigrams, 135, 137—138
 Epigraphs, 137—138
 Equalization of classes, 186 to 191
 Erasmus, 129
 Ercole I, Duke of Ferrara, 257 to 258
 Este, House of, government of the, 26—30; Isabella of, 24 to 25; novels relating to, 30; popular feeling towards, 28 to 30
 Eyck, Hubert van, 154—155; Jan van, 154—155
 Ezzelino da Romano, 3
 Fame, modern idea of, 75—80; thirst for, 80
 Federigo of Urbino, 25—26, 54, 115, 120
 Feltre, Vittorino da, 108—109
 Female beauty, Firenzuola on, 179—181
 Ferrante of Naples, 20—21, 240—242
 Ferrara, flourishing state of, 27; sale of public offices at, 27
 Festivals, 208—221; full development of, 209; higher phase in life of people, 208
 Fire-arms, adoption of, 53—54
 Firenzuola on female beauty, 179—181
 Flagellants, the, 256—257
 Florence, 41—48; general statistics of, 40, 43—44; home of scandal-mongers, 84; life more secure in, 235
 Florentines, the, as perfectors of festivals, 209
 Foscari, Francesco, 37

- France, changed attitude of, 50—51
- Frederick II, Emperor, 2—3, 39
- Frederick III, Emperor, 9—10
- Frederick of Urbino, see Federico
- Freedom of will, belief in, 263
- Friars, mendicant, 240—241
- Gallerana, Cecilia, 199
- Gamblers, professional, 225
- Gambling on large scale, 225
- Gaston de Foix, 158
- Genoa, 48
- Germano-Spanish army, advance of, 66
- Ghibellines and Guelphs, political sonnets of, 160
- Ghosts, 275—276
- Giangaleazzo, 7
- Girls in society, absence of, 205
- Girolamo Savonarola, see Savonarola
- Godfrey of Strassburg, 159
- Golden Spur, order of the, 30
- Gonnella (jester), 82
- Gonzaga, House of, 24—25; Francesco, 24—25; Giovanfrancesco, 108—109; Isabella, 199
- Government, divine, belief in, destroyed, 268
- 'Gran Consiglio', the, 37
- Gratitude as an Italian virtue, 228
- Greek, the study of, 101—102
- Guarino of Verona, 109
- Guelphs and Ghibellines, political sonnets of, 160
- Guicciardini, his opinion of the priesthood, 243
- Gymnastics first taught as an art, 201
- Gyraldus, historian of the humanists, 141—142
- Hair, false, 192
- Hermits, 66, 247—248
- Hierarchy, hostility to the, 239 to 240
- Hieronymus of Siena, 248
- Historia rerum Venetarum*, the, of Bembo, 126
- History, treated of in poetry, 133
- Honour, the sentiment of, 224
- Horses, breeding of, 151
- Humanism in the 14th century, 103; furtherers of, 109—117
- Humanists, fall of, in 16th century, 139—145; faults of, 140; Gyraldus, historian of, 141—142; temptations of, 140—141
- Human nature, study of intellectual side of, 158
- Husbands, rights of, 230
- Hypocrisy, freedom of Italians from, 227
- Il Galateo* of Giovanni della Casa, 193—194
- Illegitimacy, indifference to, 10—11
- Immorality, prevalent at beginning of 16th century, 223
- Immortality, decline of belief in, 286
- Individual, the, assertion of, 70—71; the, and the Italian state, 70—75; perfecting of the, 72—75
- Individuality, keen perception of Italians for, 171
- Infidelity in marriage, 228 to 229, 230—231
- Innkeepers, German, 193
- Innocent VIII, Pope, election of, 58
- Inquisitors and Science, 149; detrimental to development of drama, 164
- Instruments, musical, collections of, 202
- Intolerance, religious, 3
- Italians, cleanliness of, 193; discoverers of the Middle Ages, 146; journeys of, 146 to 148; judges as to personal beauty, 178—179; writing of, 100
- Jacopo della Marca, 245
- Jerusalem Delivered* of Tasso, delineation of character in, 170
- Jesting, a profession, 82
- Journeys of the Italians, 146 to 148
- Julius II, Pope, character of, 64; election of, 63
- Knighthood, passion for, 189
- Lætus Pomponius, life of, 144 to 145
- Language as basis of social intercourse, 194—197
- Laocoön, discovery of the, 96
- Latin composition, history of, 128—129; treatises and history in, 123—126
- Latini, Brunetto, originator of new epoch in poetry, 159
- Laurel wreath, coronation of poets with, 105—106
- Law, absence of belief in, 233
- League of Cambrai, 39, 49
- Leo X, Pope, buffoonery of, 82 to 83; influence on humanism, 113—114; love of jesters, 82; policy of, 65—66
- Leonardo da Vinci, 62, 75
- Lodovico il Moro, 23—24, 32, 36, 51
- Lorenzo the Magnificent, 50, 52, 58; as describer of country life, 183—184; parody of *Inferno* by, 83; song of Bacchus and Ariadne, 221; tact of, 199—200; theistic belief of, 292
- Lutherans, danger from the, 66
- Luther, Martin, 66
- Machiavelli, 45—48, 53; as comedian, 165; *Discorsi* of, 240; metrical history, 134; on Italian immorality, 223
- Madonna, worship of the, 255
- Magicians, 280—282; burning of, 276
- Magic, decline of, 285; official, 283—285; practice of, 236
- Malatesta, Pandolfo, 14; Roberto, 12; Sigismondo, 18 to 19, 116—117
- Man, the discovery of, 157 to 170

- Mannetti, Giannozzo, 102, 114
to 115; high character of, 110—111, eloquence of, 122
- Mantovano, Battista, eclogues of, 183, 253
- Manucci, Aldo, 101—102
- Maria, Galeazzo, of Milan, 23, 57, 122; Filippo, of Milan, 21—22
- Mariolatry, 255
- Massuccio, novels of, 240—241
- Maximilian I, commencement of new Imperial policy under, 10
- Medici, House of, charm over Florence, 111—112; passion for tournaments, 190.
- Medici, Giovanni, 65—66; Lorenzo, on nobility, 187 to 188; Lorenzo the younger, 47
- Menageries, human, 151—152
- Meneghino, the, mask of Milan, 166
- Mercenary troops, introduction of, 53
- Middle Ages, works on, by humanists, 125—126
- Milano-Venetian war, 54
- Mirandola, Pico della, 102; death of, 250; on dignity of man, 184—185; refutation of astrology, 272
- Monks, abuse of in *Decameron*, 240; as satirists, 244; scandalous lives of, 240—242; unpopularity of, 240
- Montefeltro, House of, 25; Federigo, 25—26, 54, 115, 120; Guido, in relation to astrology, 270
- Montepulciano, Fra Francesco da, 248
- Morality, 222—238
- Morgante Maggiore*, of Luigi Pulci, 168, 261
- Murder, public sympathy on side of, 233
- Music, 201—203
- Mystery plays, 209—213
- Mythological representations, 214
- Myths, new, 131—132
- Naming of children, 126—127
- Natural Science in Italy, 148 to 152
- Nature, beauty in, discovery of, 152—157
- Navagero, style of, 135
- Nencia*, the, of Lorenzo, 135
- Nipoti, the, 57—58
- Niccoli, Niccolò, 98, 110; on nobility, 187—188
- Nicholas V, Pope, faith in higher learning, 98, 113
- Novels of Bandello, 157, 230 to 231; of Massuccio, 240—241
- Oddi, the, and the Baglioni of Perugia, disputes between, 15—16
- Old writers, influence of, on Italian mind, 97
- Omens, belief in, 273—274
- On the infelicity of the Scholar*, by Pierio Valeriano, 142 to 143
- Orator, important position of the, 118—123
- Oratory, pulpit, 121
- Oriental studies, revival of, 102
- Orlando Furioso*, the, of Ariosto, 191—194
- Outward refinement of life, 191—194
- Palingenius, Marcellus, *Zodiac of Life*, 135
- Painting, rustic, of Jacopo Bassano, 184
- Pandolfini, Agnolo, 72; on home management, 207—208
- Pantomime, the, 209, 214—215
- Papacy, the, and its dangers, 55—68; corruption in, 57 to 58
- Papal court, calumny rife at, 85; state, spirit of reform in, 67; subjection of, 59
- Parody, beginnings of, 83, 134
- Peasant life, poetical treatment of, 183—184
- Perfect man of society, the, 200—201
- Personal faith, 259—260
- Petrarch, ascent of Mont Ven-
- toux by, 154; as geographer, 153; contempt of astrologers, his, 271—272; fixer of form of sonnet, 159; ideal prince of, 4—5; influence of nature on, 153—154; in Rome, 92; life of, 161—162; objection to fame, his, 76—77; on tournaments, 190; representative of antiquity, the, 104
- Petty tyrannies, 15—19
- Piacenza, devastation of, 55
- Piccinino, Giacomo, 13—14; Jacopo, 54
- Plautus, plays of, representations of, 130, 164—165
- Poems, didactic, 135
- Poetry, elegiac, 135—137; Italian, second great age of, 156—157; epic, 166—171; modern Latin, 130—139; lyric, 157; Macaronic, 138 to 139; precursor of plastic arts, the, 161
- Poggio, on knighthood, 189; on nobility, 187—188; walks through Rome, 93
- Policy, foreign, of Italian states, 48—53
- Politeness, manual of, by Giovanni della Casa, 193—194
- Politics, Florentine, 41—42
- Politian, as letter-writer, 118; *Canzone Zingaresca* of, 184
- Pope Adrian VI, satires against, 85
- Pope Alexander VI, 59—63; death of, 63
- Pope Clement VII, deliverance of, 66
- Pope Innocent VIII, election of, 58
- Pope Nicholas V, 98, 113, 115
- Pope Paul II, 57; attempts as peacemaker, 227; personal head of republic of letters, 113; priestly narrowness of, 267
- Pope Paul III, 67, 268
- Pope Pius II (*Æneas Sylvius*), 56—57; as antiquarian, 94; as descriptive writer, 182; believer in witches, 278;

- celebration of feast of Corpus Christi by, 213; contempt for astrology and magic, 268; eloquence of, 119, 122; love of nature, 155—156; views on miracles, 265
- Pope Sixtus IV, 57—58
- Porcari, Stefano, conspiracy of, 56
- Porcello, Gianantonio dei Pandoni, 54, 120
- Preachers, honour accorded to genuine, 245
- Preachers of repentance, 244 to 252; personal influence of, 239
- Printing, discovery of, reception of, 100—101
- Processions, 209, 215—220
- Prodigies, belief in, 273—274
- Public worship, neglect of, 256
- Pulci, Luigi, 168, 261
- Pulcinella, mask of Naples, 166
- Rangona, Bianca, 199
- Raphael, 16; appeal of, for restoration of ancient Rome, 96; original subject of his 'Deposition', 18
- Rationalism, 264—265
- Reformation, German, 66; effects on Papacy, 68
- Regattas, Venetian, 201
- Relics, pride taken in, 78—79
- Religion in daily life, 238 to 258; spirit of the Renaissance and, 258—267
- Religious revivals, epidemics of, 256
- Religious tolerance, 258, 260 to 261
- Renaissance, the, a new birth, 91; and the spirit of religion, 258—267
- Repentance, preachers of, 244 to 252
- Reproduction of antiquity: Latin correspondence and orations, 117—123
- Republics, the, 34—48
- Revivals, epidemic of religious, 256—257
- Riario, Girolamo, 57; Pietro, Cardinal, 57
- Rienzi, Cola di, 8, 92
- Rimini, House of, the, 18—19
- Rites, Church, sense of dependence on, 56, 244
- Roberto da Lecce, 245, 247
- Rome, assassins in, 59; city of ruins, 92—97; first topographical study of, 93; Poggio's walks through, 93
- Ruins in landscape gardening result of Christian legend, 97
- Sadoletto, Jacopo, 118
- Sarca*, the, of Pietro Bembo, 132
- Saints, reverence for relics of, 253—254; worship of, 132, 256
- Salò, Gabriele da, 266
- Sannazaro, 79, 132—133, 136 to 137
- Sanctuaries of Italy, 256
- Sansecondo, Giovan Maria, 202; Jacopo, 202
- Satires, monks as the authors of, 244
- Savonarola, Girolamo, 245, 249 to 252; belief in dæmons, 280; eloquence of, 249; funeral oration on Pico, 250; reform of Dominican monasteries due to, 249
- Scaliger, 129
- Scarampa, Camilla, 199
- Science, national sympathy with, 148—150; natural, in Italy, 148—152
- Scrittori (copyists), 99—100
- Secretaries, papal, important position of, 117—118
- Sforza, House of, 12—14; Alessandro, 15; Francesco, 13—14, 15, 22, 54; Galeazzo Maria, assassination of, 23, 32; Ippolita, 199; Jacopo, 13
- Shakespeare, William, 163
- Siena, 48
- Sigismund, Emperor, 9
- Sixtus IV, Pope, 57—58
- Society, higher forms of, 198 to 200; ideal man of, 200 to 203; in, Italian models to other countries, 201
- Socinians, 291
- Sonnet, the, 159—161
- Sonnets of Boccaccio, 162; of Dante, 160—161
- Spain, changed attitude of, 50
- Spaniards, detrimental to development of the drama, 163
- Spanish influence, jealousy under, 231
- Speeches, subject of public, 121—122
- Spur, golden, order of, 30
- Spiritual description in poetry, 158—170
- Statistics, science of, birthplace of, 39—40
- St. Peter's at Rome, reconstruction of, 64
- Stentorello, mask of Florence, 166
- Superstition, mixture of ancient and modern, 268—286
- Sylvius, Aeneas, see Pope Pius II
- Taxation, 2, 4, 7, 19, 20, 26
- Teano, Cardinal, 130
- Telesma, the, 282—283
- Telestae, the, 282
- Terence, plays of, representations of, 130
- Teseide*, of Boccaccio, the, 131
- Tiburzio, 57
- Tolerance, religious, 258, 260 to 261
- Tragedy in time of Renaissance, 163—165
- Treatise, the, 123—124
- Trionfo*, the, 209, 216—219; of Beatrice, 216
- Trionfi*, the, of Petrarch, 168
- Trovatori*, the, 159
- Trovatori della transizione*, the, 160
- Turks, conspiracies with the, 51
- Tuscan dialect basis of new national speech, 195

- Tyranny, opponents of, 30—34
 Tyrannies, petty, 15—19
- Uberti, Fazio degli, 92—93, 169
- Universities and Schools, 106 to 109
- Valeriano, Pierio, on the infelicity of the Scholar, 142 to 143
- Vatican, Library of, founding of, 98
- Udretta*, the, 226—228
- Vengeance, Italian, 226—228
- Venice, 35—41; and Florence, birthplace of statistics, 39 to 40; processions in, 41; public institutions in, 35 to 36; relation of, to literature, 40—41; stability of, cause of, 37; statistics, general, 39—40
- Villani, Giovanni, 42—43; Matteo, 9, 43
- Vinci, Leonardo da, 62, 75
- Violin, the, 202
- Visconti, the, 7, 8, 9, 12, 21; Giangaleazzo, 7; Giovanna Maria, 7—8
- Vita Nuova*, the, of Dante, 173
- Vita Sobria*, the, of Luigi Cornaro, 124
- Vitruvius, revival of, and Ciceronianism, analogy between, 130
- Venus of the Vatican, discovery of, 96
- Versi sciolti*, origin of, 159
- War as a work of art, 53—55
- Wit, analysis of, 83—84; first appearance of, in literature, 81; modern, and satire, 81 to 88
- Witch of Gaeta, the, 277
- Witchcraft, 277—280
- Witches, 277—278; burning of, 246, 277, 279
- Women, Ariosto on, 203—204; equality of, with men, 204 to 205; function of, 205; heroism of, 205; ideal for, 205; position of, 203—206
- Worship, public, neglect of, 256
- Zampante of Lucca, director of police, 29, 257—258
- Zodiac of Life*, of Marcellus Palingenius, 135

THE CIVILIZATION OF THE RENAISSANCE
IN PICTURES

SELECTED AND ARRANGED BY LUDWIG GOLDSCHIEDER

NOTE

The illustrations in this volume (consisting of 421 plates in rotogravure) may be grouped roughly as follows:

- I. Cities and Rulers of the Renaissance Period (Nos. 1—128)
 1. Rulers and Dynasties (Nos. 1—63)
 2. Condottieri, Army Commanders and Military Subjects (Nos. 64—96)
 3. The Republics of Florence and Venice (Nos. 97—128)
 - II. Emperors, Kings and Popes (Nos. 129—159)
 1. The Monarchs (Nos. 129—139)
 2. Rome (Nos. 140—148)
 3. The Popes (Nos. 149—159)
 - III. The Church (Nos. 160—182)
 1. Religion (Nos. 160—173; cf. No. 112)
 2. Superstition (Nos. 174—182)
 - IV. Science and Literature (Nos. 183—192)
 1. Research (Nos. 183—192)
 2. Men of Learning (Nos. 193 to 203)
 3. Libraries (Nos. 204—212)
 4. Poets and Literary Men (Nos. 213—255)
 5. Revival of the Antique (Nos. 256—285; cf. also Nos. 67 to 76, 85, 86 and 140—142)
 - V. Triumphal Processions, Theatre, Music, Tournaments (Nos. 273—312)
 1. Triumphal Processions (Nos. 273—288; cf. Nos. 57, 58)
 2. The Theatre (Nos. 289—300)
 3. Music (Nos. 301—308; cf. Nos. 337, 338)
 4. Tournaments (Nos. 309—312)
 - VI. Discovery of the Beauty of Nature (Nos. 313—353)
 1. Animals (Nos. 313—325)
 2. Landscape (Nos. 324—338)
 3. Women (Nos. 337—353)
 - VII. Discovery of Ugliness (Nos. 354—371)
 - VIII. Private Life (Nos. 372—389)
 1. The Care of the Body (Nos. 372—375)
 2. Marriage (Nos. 376—389)
 3. Houses (Nos. 384—389)
 - IX. Arts and Crafts (Nos. 390—406)
 - X. The Artists (Nos. 407—421)
-

As the illustrations have been arranged in the same manner as a book with continuous text, the various groups naturally overlap and permit of other divisions, while the reader passes from one section to the other without noticeable gaps. Many other groups could be formed from the material given. For example, a group entitled 'Fashions' would comprise the following illustrations: Nos. 9, 12, 14, 16, 18, 19, 21, 22, 38, 60, 64, 83, 113—119, 128, 150, 151, 159, 187, 189, 198—200, 220—223, 226, 247, 248, 260, 261, 342, 349—353, 356, 358, 379—383, 399, 401, 402 etc. We give this example merely to emphasize the fact the illustrative material is in reality more complete than a perusal of the running titles might lead the reader to suppose.

* * *

The photographs from which our illustrations were reproduced were obtained from the following sources:

- Alinari, Florence, 2, 7, 9, 10, 13, 24—26, 40, 42, 62, 64, 71, 105, 112, 117, 118, 134, 135, 150, 165, 168, 170—172, 204, 213, 227, 239, 241, 266, 317, 339, 351, 375, 383, 407, 409, 412.
- Anderson, Rome, 1, 5, 6, 27, 35—39, 43, 45, 46, 75, 84, 108, 115, 138, 139, 141, 147, 157, 160, 173, 174, 178, 190, 197, 225, 250, 255, 274, 355, 369.
- Braun, Paris, 12, 90, 92, 192, 282, 283.
- Giraudon, Paris, 284, 337.
- Hanfstaengl, Munich, 136.
- Kunsthistorisches Seminar, Marburg, 264.
- Montabone, Milan, 21, 328.
- Salviati, Venice, 68, 205.
- Weinwurm, Budapest, 114.
- Wolfsum, Vienna, 18, 240, 329, 338, 340.

For the remaining illustrations we have used official photographs published by the respective museums, new photographs taken by private individuals and others drawn from our own large stock of photographic material. We have in every case avoided the convenient, but unsatisfactory method of reproducing illustrations from copies already printed, instead of from the original photographs.

The Phaidon Press.



1. EARLY RENAISSANCE VIEW OF A CITY. (Fresco by Benedetto Bonifazi: "Translation of the Remains of Herculanus".) Perugia, Pinacoteca.



2. THE CASTLE OF FERRARA. Constructed during the 14th century.




3. THE CASTEL NUOVO AT NAPLES. Constructed during the 13th century.



4. THE SCALIGER TOMBS IN VERONA.



MONUMENT OF CANACE AND INVERONA





7. SIGISMONDO MALATESTA, Tyrant of Rimini. Detail from the fresco by Piero del' a Francesca in the Malatesta Temple; at Rimini.



8. SIGISMONDO MALATESTA. Tyrant of Rimini. By an unknown master of the 15th century. Rimini, Cathedral.




9. GIOVANNI II BENTIVOGLIO AND HIS FAMILY BEFORE THE MADONNA ENTHRONED. By Lorenzo Costa, 1488.
Bologna, San Giacomo Maggiore.



11. GIOVANNI BENTIVOGLIO, Relief by Vincenzo Vanuzzi (2).
Bologna, San Giacomo Maggiore.



10. GIOVANNI BENTIVOGLIO, Relief by Sperandio.
Paris, Louvre.



12. GIOVANNI II BENTIVOGLIO, Tyrant of Bologna, and his wife GINEVRA SFORZA. By Lorenzo Costa. Paris, Dreyfus Collection.




14. IRCOUFFE II OF ESTE (2), Duke of Ferrara. By Trijan. Madrid, Prado.



13. IRCOUFFE I OF ESTE, Duke of Ferrara. By Dosso Dossi. Modena, Galleria Estense.



15. ALFONSO I OF ESTE, Duke of Ferrara. By Titian. New York, Metropolitan Museum.



17. LUCREZIA BORGIA, daughter of Pope Alexander VI and wife of Alfonso I of Este
Detail from a fresco by Pinturicchio. Rome, Vatican.



16. LAURA DIANTI, mistress of Duke Alfonso I of Este. By Titian.
Richmond, Collection of Sir Herbert Cook, Bart.



18. ISABELLA D'ESTE GONZAGA. By Titian, 1534. Vienna, Kunsthistorisches Museum.



19. ELEONORA GONZAGA, Duchess of Urbino. By Titian, Florence, Uffizi



20. ISABELLA D'ESTE, daughter of Ercole I of Este and Eleonora of Aragon, and wife of Gianfrancesco III Gonzaga, Duke of Mantua. Drawing by Leonardo da Vinci. Paris, Louvre.





23. GALEAZZO MARIA SFORZA, Duke of Milan. By Antonio del Pollaiuolo. Florence, Uffizi.



24, 25. LODOVICO SFORZA, KNOWN AS IL MORO, Duke of Milan, and his wife, BEATRICE D'ESTE.
 Details from the Strozcesca altar-piece by Bernardino de' Conti (c. 1480), in the Brera, Milan.



26, 27. THE TOMB OF LODOVICO SFORZA AND HIS WIFE. By Andrea Solario.
 Certosa di Pavia



27. LODOVICO IL MORO, Duke of Milan. Painting by Botticelli. (Milan, Italy). (1480-1482)



28. Francesco Sforza (1401—1466).



29. Gian Galeazzo Sforza (1469—1494).



30. Bianca Maria Sforza (1472—1510).



31. Maximilian I Sforza (1459—1519).



32. Maximilian II Sforza (1493—1550).



33. Beatrice d'Este († 1499).



4. CATERINA SFORZA, daughter of Galeazzo Maria Sforza and wife of Girolamo Riario. By Botticelli (or Piero di Cosimo?). Altenburg, Museum.



EDWARDICO II GONZAGA AND HIS FAMILY. Fresco by Mantegna Mantua, Castello di Corte.



EDWARD CARDINAL FRANCESCO GONZAGA.




ST. LOUIS, THE INDIAN, MARGARET OF ANGELO, THE KING HIS SON, CARDINAL FRANCESCO
 (L. & C. M. & Co. Printers, 10, Ave. de la Corne, Mexico)



49. FRANCESCO GONZAGA. Terracotta by Gian Cristoforo Romano. Florence, Museo Bardini.



40. GIANFRANCESCO GONZAGA. Drawing by Francesco Bonsignori. Florence, Uffizi.





43. ISABELLA OF ARAGON, Queen of Naples. Drawing by Boltraffio. Milan, Ambrosiana.



44. FERDINAND I OF ARAGON, King of Naples. Terracotta bust by an unknown fifteenth-century master. Paris, Louvre.



45. THE HARBOUR OF NAPLES. By an unknown master, about 1500 (Pieter Breughel the Elder?). Naples, Museo Nazionale.



46. MAXIMILIAN SFORZA. Drawing by a pupil of Leonardo da Vinci. Milan, Ambrosiana.




47. FRANCISCO SFORZA. By Bernardino de' Conti. Rome, Vatican Gallery.



48. FEDERIGO GONZAGA. By Francesco Francia. New York, Metropolitan Museum.



49. A PRINCE OF THE HOUSE OF GONZAGA. Drawing by Francesco Bonsignori. Vienna, Albertina.



50, 51. Costanzo Sforza and his Castle. By Gianfrancesco Enzola, 1475.



52, 53. Caterina Sforza. By Niccolò Fiorentino.



54, 55. Alfonso of Aragon. By Pisanello.



56. FEDERIGO DA MONTEFELTRO, Duke of Urbino, and his wife, BATTISTA SFORZA.
By Piero della Francesca. Florence, Uffizi.



57, 58. THE NUPTIAL CARS OF THE DUCAL PAIR. Painting on the back of the above double portrait.



11. GIOTTA DA MONTELEONE - Duke of Urbino. By Giotto di Bondone. Florence, Palazzo Pitti.



60. ELISABETTA GONZAGA, wife of Guidobaldo da Montefeltro, Duke of Urbino.
By Gianfrancesco Caroto (?). Florence, Palazzo Pitti.



61. ASTORRE BAGLIONE OF PERUGIA, as a heavenly knight in Raphael's "Expulsion of Heliodorus", Rome, Vatican.



62. JUDITH AND HOLOFERNES. Bronze by Donatello. Florence, Palazzo Vecchio. Erected in 1495 in front of the Palazzo Vecchio, in commemoration of the expulsion of the Medici.



63. PROJECTS FOR AN EQUESTRIAN MONUMENT OF FRANCESCO SFORZA. Pen-drawing by Leonardo da Vinci.
Windsor Castle Library.



64. GUIDORICCIO FOGLIANI. By Simone Martini. Siena, Palazzo Pubblico.




65. EQUESTRIAN PORTRAIT OF THE CONDOTTIERE
NICCOLÒ MARUCCI DA TOLENTINO. Fresco by Andrea del
Castagno. Florence, Duomo.



66. EQUESTRIAN PORTRAIT OF THE CONDOTTIERE
GIOVANNI ACUTO (John Hawkwood).
Fresco by Paolo Uccello. Florence, Duomo.



17. EQUESTRIAN STATUE OF AN EMPEROR. Roman bronze. Naples, Museo Nazionale.



18. THE ROMAN BRONZE HORSES OVER THE PORTAL OF ST. MARK'S. Venice.



69. EQUESTRIAN STATUE OF GATTAMELATA. By Donatello. Padua.




70. EQUESTRIAN STATUE OF COLLEONI. By Verrocchio. Venice.



71. BARTOLOMMEO COLLEONI. Detail from Verrocchio's statue in Venice.



72. THE CONDOTTIERE GATTAMELATA. Head of Donatello's statue, 1447. Padua, Piazza del Santo.



74. ROBERTO MALATESTA. Marble relief. Paris, Louvre.



73. ANNIBALE BENTIVOGLIO. Marble relief. Bologna, San Giacomo Maggiore.



75. ROBERTO MALATESTA, Papal and Venetian general, son-in-law of Federico Duke of Urbino.
From a fresco by Piero di Cosimo in the Sistine Chapel, Rome.

PAVLVS VITELLI



GABRINVS FONDVLIVS




CASTRVC: CASTRACANI



BRACCIVS



76. PAOLO VITELLI, Condottiere. — 77. GABRINO FONDOLO, tyrant of the city of Cremona. — 78. CASTRUCCIO CASTRACANI, Ghibelline general. — 79. BRACCIO DA MONTONE, Condottiere. — Florence, Uffizi.



80. THE CONDOTTIERE NICCOLO DA UZZANO. Painted terracotta bust. Florence, Museo Nazionale.



84. CESARE BORGIA. Attributed to Palmezzano. Forlì, Pinacoteca.



83. CESARE BORGIA. Attributed to Giorgione. Bergamo, Accademia Carrara.




85. BATTLE BETWEEN FOOT-SOLDIERS AND CAVALRY. Ancient relief. (Detail from the sarcophagus of Alexander.) Constantinople, Museum.




86. BATTLE BETWEEN FOOT-SOLDIERS AND CAVALRY. Bronze relief by Bertoldo di Giovanni (Michelangelo's teacher). Florence, Museo Nazionale.




87. CAVALRY BATTLE. Painting by Paolo Uccello. London, National Gallery.




88, 89. SIEGE SCENES. Frescoes by Giorgio Vasari. Florence, Palazzo Vecchio.



90. WAR SCENES, WITH BOMB EXPLODING. Drawing by Leonardo da Vinci. Paris, former Armand Collection.



91. DESIGNS FOR MILITARY ENGINES. Drawing by Leonardo da Vinci. London, British Museum.




92. MOUNTING OF A GUN IN THE COURTYARD OF THE ARSENAL. Pen-drawing by Leonardo da Vinci.
Windsor Castle Library.




93. FLORENTINE HELMET, 15th century. Florence, Museo Nazionale.



94. SHIELD WITH RELIEF, FROM A SUIT OF ARMOUR. Florence, Museo Nazionale.




95. CEREMONIAL ARMOUR OF THE EMPEROR CHARLES V. By a sixteenth-century Milanese armourer. Florence, Museo Nazionale



THE GIRL IN THE COSTUME OF THE "SHEEP" SHOW



97. NAPLES, 1479, showing procession of ships at reception of Lorenzo de' Medici. Coloured drawing. Naples, Museo di San Martino.





98. FLORENCE. Woodcut by an unknown Florentine master of the 15th century.





100. LORENZO DE' MEDICI IL MAGNIFICO. Allegorical portrait by Giorgio Vasari. Florence, Uffizi.






VIEWS OF FLORENCE. Mural paintings by Stradano in the Palazzo Vecchio, Florence.


102. Bridge near Santa Trinita. — 103. Piazza del Mercato Vecchio — 104. Dedication festival in front of the Loggia de' Lanzi



THE PALAZZO PUBBLICO (TOWN HALL) IN SIENA. Photograph.




106. ST. BERNARD PREACHING BEFORE THE TOWN HALL IN SIENA. By Sano di Pietro. Siena, Duomo.




THE LION OF MINIKI WITH THE DOG. Carving above the Porta della Carta of the Ducal Palace.




108. THE MIRACLE OF THE RELIC OF THE CROSS. By Vittore Carpaccio. Venice, Accademia.




109. RECOVERY OF THE RELIC OF THE CROSS. By Gentile Bellini. Venice, Accademia.



110. THE FISHERMAN'S RING (Dignitaries assembled in the hall of the ducal palace, with the fisherman handing to the Doge the ring of St. Mark). By Paris Bordone. Venice, Accademia.



111. THE POPE BLESSING THE DOGE'S SWORD. (On the left, the Campanile, on the right, the Ducal Palace.) By Leandro Bassano. Venice, Accademia.



112. PROCESSION IN THE PIAZZA SAN MARCO, VENICE. By Gentile Bellini. Venice, Accademia.



113. CATERINA CORNARO, portrait by Paolo Veronese, Vienna, Kunsthistorisches Museum.



114. CATERINA CORNARO, By Gentile Bellini, Budapest, Museum of Fine Arts.




115. CATERINA CORNARO (with the crown) and Venetian noblemen, Detail from Gentile Bellini's "Recovery of the relic of the Cross", Venice, Accademia.



116. THE DOGE ANDREA VENDRAMIN. By Gentile Bellini. New York. Private collection.



118. THE DOGE TOMMASO MOCEINGO. Master of Gentile Bellini.



117. THE DOGE FRANCESCO FOSCARI. By Gentile Bellini.

Venice, Museo Correr.




119. THE DOGE LEONARDO LOREDANO. By Giovanni Bellini. London, National Gallery.



125. PERSIAN AMBASSADORS IN VENICE. By Paolo Veronese. Venice, Ducal Palace.



126. COLLECTION OF AMBASSADORS FROM ENGLAND. By Vittore Carpaccio. Venice, Accademia.



122. VENETIAN AMBASSADORS IN CAIRO. Manner of Gentile Bellini. Paris, Louvre.




123. ST. STEPHEN PREACHING IN JERUSALEM. By Vittore Carpaccio. Paris, Louvre.



124. ST. MARK HEALING ANANIAS IN THE MARKET-PLACE OF ALEXANDRIA.
By Cima da Conegliano. Berlin, Kaiser-Friedrich-Museum.



125. ST. MARK PREACHING IN ALEXANDRIA. By Giovanni Bellini. Milan, Brera.



127. SULTAN MURAD III. Coloured drawing by Melchior Lorich.
Prague, Lanna Collection.



126. SULTAN MOHAMMED II. Detail from a painting by Gentile Bellini.
London, National Gallery.



125 THE TURKISH PRINCE DSCEM, brother of Sultan Bayizet II and prisoner of Pope Innocent VIII and Alexander VI. Detail from a fresco by Pinturicchio, Rome, Vatican.



129. CORONATION OF THE EMPEROR. Workshop of the della Robbia. (Also ascribed to Benedetto da Maiano, supposed to be the coronation of Alfonso I of Naples.)
Florence, Museo Nazionale.



130. CORONATION OF THE EMPEROR CHARLES V. Detail from a fresco by Giorgio Vasari. Florence, Palazzo Vecchio.




141. THE MAN IN THE CAP. Painted by T. van der Weyden. (See p. 100.)



132. KING CHARLES VIII OF FRANCE. Miniature. Paris, Bibliothèque Nationale



134. KING FRANCIS I OF FRANCE. By Jean Clouet (?). Paris, Louvre



133. KING LOUIS XII OF FRANCE. Coloured drawing. Paris, Bibliothèque Nationale.




135. KING HENRY II OF FRANCE. By Jean Clouet. Florence, Uffizi.




146 KING HENRY VIII OF ENGLAND. By Hans Holbein the Younger. Wall of Castle



137. CHARLES VIII OF FRANCE ENTERING FLORENCE. By Francesco Granacci, Florence, Uffizi.



138. KING FRANCIS I, EMPEROR CHARLES V AND CARDINAL ALESSANDRO FARNESE, AFTERWARDS POPE PAUL III, ENTERING PARIS.
Fresco by Taddeo and Federico Zuccaro. Caprarola, Palazzo Farnese.




139. THE GREAT CAVALCADE. Emperor Charles V and Pope Clement VII entering Bologna. Mural painting by Brusasorci, Vicenza, Taddeo Egidio.



140. THE TOMB OF CAECILIA METELLA, on the Via Appia near Rome.



141. CASTEL SANT'ANGELO, Rome. (In the background, St. Peter's.)



142. ROME, about 1480, from the Capitol. Drawing by a pupil of Domenico Ghirlandaio (Codex Escorialensis, fol. 40).



143. RECONSTRUCTION OF ST. PETER'S, AND THE VATICAN. Anonymous Roman engraving of the sixteenth century.



144. THE CUPOLA OF THE DUOMO IN FLORENCE.
By Brunelleschi.



145. MODEL FOR THE CUPOLA OF ST. PETER'S. By Michelangelo.
Rome, Museo Petriano.



146. THE CUPOLA OF ST. PETER'S AS IT IS TO-DAY.
By Michelangelo and others.



147. ST. PETER'S BEFORE THE REBUILDING (model constructed by Prof. Marcelliani). Rome, Museo Petriano.



148. IN FRONT OF ST. PETER'S, Rome. (Fontana della Piazza di San Pietro.)



INNOCENT III BLESSING THE CRUSADERS AT THE HARBOUR OF ANCONA. Fresco by Pinturicchio. Siena, Capitular Library.



150. POPE ALEXANDER VI. Detail from a fresco by Pinturicchio. Rome, Vatican.



TEMPIA DUM M'ERAPISITIS, VICUS FORA MOENIA PONTESS
 VIRGINIAM TRIVII QUOD REPARARIS AQVAM.
 PRISCA ELICIT NAVTIS STATVS DARE COMMODA PORTVS:
 ET VATICANVM CINGERE SIXTE IVGVMS
 PLYS TAMEN VABE DEBET: NAM QVAE SQVALORE LATEBAT:
 CERNITVS INCSEBBI BIBLIOTHECA LOCO.


161. POPE SIXTUS IV AND HIS FAMILIARS, Fresco by Melozzo da Forlì. Rome, Vatican Gallery. (On the Pope's right is Cardinal Giulio della Rovere, his favorite nephew; before him, standing, Cardinal Giulio della Rovere, afterwards Pope Julius II; behind the latter, Cardinal Bartolommeo Stufi, known as a humanist under the name of Platina; the picture represents his appointment to the librarianship of the Vatican, to the left of the latter, Giovanni della Rovere, brother of Pope Julius II, Lord of Sinigaglia and brother-in-law of the Cardinal; on the extreme left is Girolamo Riario, nephew of Pope Sixtus IV and husband of Caterina Sforza.)



152. POPE SIXTUS IV. Detail from his brass tomb. By Antonio Lombardi. (1499, St. Peter's)



Fig. 1. Detail from the fresco 'Alcibiade della Roga' by Botticelli, Rome, Sistine Chapel.



154. POPE JULIUS II: Detail from a printing by Raphael, *Storero*, Alvaro Pitti.



156. POPE SIXTUS XI, with Cardinal Giulio de' Medici, afterwards Pope Clement VII, and Lodovico de' Rossi. By Raphael. Florence, Palazzo Pitti.



156. POPE LEO X. Crayon drawing by Sebastiano del Piombo (?). Chatsworth, Collection of the Duke of Devonshire.



Portrait of a man in a hooded garment, possibly a monk or a soldier, holding a small object in his hand. (Museum of Art, New York)



158. POPE PAUL III, with his grandsons, Cardinals Alessandro and Ottavio Farnese. By Titian. Naples, Museo Nazionale.



S. VALENTINO, 1570. BOCCA, VATICANO



160. ST. FRANCIS OF ASSISI. Detail from a fresco by Cimabue in the lower church of San Francesco at Assisi.



GIULIANO SAVONAROLA. In: *La barba e il cappuccio*. Firenze, Museo di San Marco.




162. SAVONAROLA as St. Peter Martyr. By Fra Bartolommeo.
Florence, Museo di San Marco.




163. THE BURNING OF SAVONAROLA. By an unknown Florentine painter, about 1500. Florence, Museo di San Marco.



14 COINS COMMEMORATING SAVONAROLA.



109. CENSUR. Fifteenth-century silversmith's work. Padua, Treasury of Sant' Antonio



166. PROCESSION IN THE INTERIOR OF A CHURCH. By Vittore Carpaccio. Venice, Accademia.




167. TRANSLATION OF A FRAGMENT OF THE TRUE CROSS. By Sebastiano. Venice, Accademia.




168. INTERIOR OF A SANCTUARY. Manner of Gentile Bellini or Giovanni Mansueti. Venice, Accademia.



169. THE DUOMO, FLORENCE




170. THE DUOMO, FERRARA.



171. THE DUOMO, PISA




172. THE DUOMO, SIENA



154 ST. BERNARD (BERNARDINO DA SIENA) PREACHING IN FRONT OF THE DUOMO IN SIENA.
By Sano di Pietro. Vienna, Albertina.



174. THE DAMNED. Detail from the fresco by Luca Signorelli in the cathedral of Orvieto.




175. IN HELL. Drawing by Federigo Zuccaro. Vienna, Albertina. (Project for a fresco in the cupola of the Duomo at Florence.)





178. ST. MARK, ST. GEORGE AND ST. NICHOLAS DESTROY THE GALLEY OF THE DEMONS. By an unknown Venetian master (previously attributed to Giorgione or Palma Vecchio). Venice, Accademia.



178a. DETAIL FROM 178



157. GRUESOME DETAILS IN PAINTING. Detail from the "Life of St. George" by Vittore Carpaccio, Venice, San Giorgio degli Schiavoni.



158. THE TEMPTATION OF ST. ANTHONY. By Perugino, Rome, Galleria Doria.



181. THE MADONNA RESCUING A CHILD FROM THE TALONS OF A DEMON. Painting by Niccolò Alunno. Rome, Galleria Colonna.




152. WITCHES. Drawing, ascribed to Botticelli. Florence, Uffizi.



183. THE ALCHEMIST'S LABORATORY. Painting by Giovanni Stradano. Florence, Palazzo Vecchio.



184, 185. ALLEGORICAL REPRESENTATION OF THE INFLUENCE OF THE STARS ON HUMAN LIFE.
By Giovanni Miretto. Padua, Palazzo della Ragione.



186. STUDY IN PROPORTION.
Drawing by Leonardo da Vinci.
Windsor Castle Library.



187. LUCA PACIOLI (Pacciolo). Painting by Jacopo de' Barbari, with self-portrait (?) on the right. Naples, Museo Nazionale.


AMERICVS VESPVCCI




1492. AMERIGO VESPUCCI. By an unknown sixteenth-century master. Florence. Uffizi.




189. CHRISTOPHER COLUMBUS. Painting by Sebastiano del Piombo. New York, Metropolitan Museum.




195. DESIGNS FOR MACHINERY. Drawing by Leonardo da Vinci. Florence, Uffizi.



191. ANATOMICAL STUDY, by Leonardo da Vinci.
Windsor Castle Library.



192. DISSECTION OF A CORPSE. Drawing by Bartolommeo Passerotti. Oxford.




193. A SCHOLAR AT WORK. Woodcut from the "Poliphilus".
(Venice, Aldus Manutius, 1499.)




194. A SCHOLAR AT WORK. Detail from a painting by Antonello da Messina. London, National Gallery.




195. BERNARDINO CORIO. Woodcut from his *Milanese Chronicle*, 1503 (detail).



196. FRANCESCO FILELFO, humanist and orator. Drawing by the monogrammist M. H. Vienna, Collection of Count Wilczek.



197. WORK-ROOM OF A SCHOLAR (St. Jerome). By Vittore Carpaccio. Venice, San Giorgio degli Schiavoni.




198. A LECTURE ON LAW. From the tomb of Lorenzo Pini. By Girolamo Cortellini. Bologna, San Pietro.




199. A HUMANISTIC LECTURE. From the tomb of Filippo Lazzari. By Antonio Rossellino. Pistoia, San Domenico.



200. A UNIVERSITY LECTURE IN THE OPEN AIR. Henricus de Allemania delivering a lecture on ethics. Painting in opaque colour on vellum by Laurentius de Voltalina, early 15th century. Berlin, Cabinet of Engravings.



201. A THEOLOGICAL LECTURE. By Domenico di Michelino. Bergamo, Accademia Carrara.




222. DISPUTATION. Drawing by Vittore Carpaccio. Florence, Uffizi.




223. A DISPUTATION (Jesus and the Scribes). By Bernardino di Mariotto. Milan, Brera.



204. THE LIBRARY OF SAN MARCO IN FLORENCE. Built by Michelozzo di Bartolommeo.



205. FACADE OF THE BIBLIOTICA MARCIANA IN VENICE.
Built by Jacopo Sansovino.



206. THE BIBLIOTICA LAURENZIANA IN FLORENCE. Built from the designs of Michelangelo.



207. Page from Girolamo da Cremona's MISSAL. Siena, Libreria Piccolomini.



208. Illuminated border of an EDITION OF LIVY. School of Mantegna, Vienna, Albertina.




209. Woodcut on frontispiece of a book PRINTED IN SALUZZO, 1507. Biblioteca Vaticana.



210. First text-page of an EDITION OF ST. JEROME, Ferrara, 1497. Berlin, Cabinet of Engravings.

cum religio tri pudio plaudento & iubilando. Quale erano le Nym-
phe A madryade, & aglire dolenti fiorile Hymenide, rivirente, saliendo
iocunde dinanti & da quali lato del fiorco Verunno lirico nella fron-
te de purpurante & melinero, cum el gremio pieno de odoriferi & spe-
ctatissimi fiori, amanti la stagione del lanoso Ariete, Sedendo ouante fo-
pra una uertissima Vexa, da quatro cornigeri Fauni tirata. Inuinculari de
strophie de nouelle sionde, Cum la sua amata & bellissima moglie Po-
mona coronata de fructi cum ornato de suo degli biodiissimi capigli, pa-
rea ello sedete, & a gli pedi de la quale una coxalia Clepsydra iacua nel
le manente una stipata copia de fiori & maturati fructi cum imixta
fogliatura. Precedete la Vexa agli trahenti Fauni propinq; due formose
Nymphe anfignane, Vna cu uno hastile I ropizzo ggrula, de Ligona. Bi
denti, larculi, & falcionetti, cu una ppendete tabella abaca cu tale titolo.



INTEGRAM CORPUS, VALITVDINEM ET
STABILITATEM, CASTASQUE MEMSAR. DELI
TIAS, ET BEATAM ANIMI SECVRITA
TEM CVLTORIB; MOFFERO. m iiii



O sacro sancta Dea figlia di Gioue
Per cui el templo di san sapre & ferrai
Lacui potente dextra sciba & muoue
Intero arbitro & di pace & di guerra:
Vergine sancta che mirabil proue
Mostri del tuo gran nome in cielo enterra:
Che ualorosi cuori a uirtu infiammi:
Soe chorriti hor Irisonia & uirtu dammi.

Oratione
di Iulio a
Pallade.

Sio uidi dentro a lietta armi chiusa
La sembianza di lei che me a me fura
Stuiddi el uolto horribil di Medusa
Far lei contro ad amor troppo esser dura:
Se poi mila mente dal tremor confusa
Sotto il uo sciermo di uero sicura:
Se amor con teo a Grande opre mi chiama
Mostrami el porto o Dea detterna phama.

Parole di
Iulio a Ve
nere.

Ei tu che dentro alla infochata nube
Dignasti tua sembianza dimostrar mi:
Ercognalro pensier dal cor mirube
Fuor che damor dal qual non posso starmi:





213. DANTE. Bronze bust by an unknown 15th-century master. Naples, Museo Nazionale.



214. ILLUSTRATION FOR DANTE. By Botticelli. Berlin, Cabinet of Engravings.



215. ILLUSTRATION FOR DANTE. By Francesco Francia. Vienna, Albertina.



216, 217. ILLUSTRATIONS FOR DANTE. By Botticelli. Rome, Vatican Collections.



CALCORVM MEGENTIMDI MOLE L'AMMOE TRIBVNAL - LV STRAVIT QV E ANIMO C'VNCTA POETA SVOM DOCTVS ADEST DANTIS SVA QV EM FLORENTIA SAD PLE
 SENSU CONSILIV AC P'ESTIT PATRE, NISI QV' TANTO MORS SALVANOCIAL POETAE QV EM VIVVA VITVS CARMEN IMAGO TACTIBVS

218. DANTE AND HIS "DIVINE COMEDY". By Michelino. Florence, Duomo.



219. THE LAST NOVEL IN BOCCACCIO'S "DECAMERON". Painting on the panel of a chest. By Pesellino Bergamo, Accademia Carrara



GIOVANNI BOCCACCIO



FRANCESCO PETRARCA

220. GIOVANNI BOCCACCIO.

Frescoes by Andrea del Castagno, Florence, Sant' Apollonia.




FRANCESCO PETRARCHA

... PETRARCH by an unknown 15th-century master. Rome, Galleria Borghese



223. CROWNING OF THE POET Aeneas Sylvius Piccolomini. From the fresco by Pinturicchio. Siena, Capitular Library



22. Head of a Prophet, said to be a portrait of POGGIO BRACCIOLINI. Upper part of a marble statue on the campanile in Florence. By Donatello and Rossi.




MARSILIO FICINO, the humanist, leader of the neoplatonic school in Florence. From a fresco by Domenico Ghirlandaio, 1471. Florence, Santa Maria Novella.



Portrait of a man in a black cap and robe, likely a philosopher or scholar. By [unclear] (London, National Gallery).



227. The humanist ANGELO POLIZIANO, with his pupil Giuliano de' Medici. From a fresco by Domenico Ghirlandajo
Florence, Santa Trinita



121. MARCO GIROLAMO VIDA, — 17th poet. — 122. ZANOBIO DI STRADA, orator and poet Laureate. — 123. GIOVANNIO PONTANO, secretary of state, Neoplatonist orator and humanist. — 124. GIOVANNI DELLA CASA, poet and secretary of state. — Florence, U.S.A.



232. PICO DELLA MIRANDOLA, humanist; together with Marsilio Ficino and Angelo Poliziano the leader of the neoplatonic Academy in Florence. Fresco by Botticelli, from the Villa Lemni in Florence, now in the Louvre, Paris.



233 The humanist PLATINA, compiler of a history of the Popes. From a fresco by Melozzo da Forlì. Rome, Vatican



234. NICCOLO MACHIAVELLI, politician, historian and writer of comedies; author of the "History of Florence".
Coloured terracotta bust by an unknown sixteenth-century master. Florence, Società Colombaria.



236. FRANCESCO GUICCIARDINI,
Florentine historian.



237. ONOFRIO PANVINIO,
theologian and student of antiquity.

Florence, Uffizi.



238. MATTEO PALMIERI, author of "Della vita civile",
sculpted by Antonio Rossellino, Florence, Museo Nazionale.



239. DONATO ACCIAIUOLI, philosopher and historian,
Florence, Borgo degli Albizi.



239. LUIGI PULCI, author of the satirical poem on chivalry, "Morgante Maggiore". From a fresco by Filippino Lippi. Florence, Santa Maria del Carmine.



1. BENEDICTO VAZ III, pintor. (1610). Biblioteca Virtual de Arte de la Universidad de Sevilla. Museo.

PAVLVS IOVIVS




241. PAOLO GIOVIO, humanist, physician to Leo X, compiler of biographies. Anonymous sixteenth-century portrait. Florence. Uffizi.



GIACOBBO SANNAZARO

Ant. Sal. Est.



PETRUS CARDINALIS BEMBUS

J. M. Cardinalis Valenti


PAVLI IOVII

EFFIGIES.



Cedite facundi, Musarum gloria, vates,
Oratorique tuo flumine constiteris,
Qui getta Heroum maiori dixerit æstu,
Non Io v i o vixit sub gemino axe prior.

T. M.



IOANNES PIERIUS VALERIANVS.
*Ipsius Doria conscribit carmina vena,
Et vates vatem corrigis eximium
Virgulum dignos scripsisti nomine libros,
Tante a Pierii nomen et omen habens*

242. JACOBO SANNAZARO, neo-Latin and Italian poet. — 243. CARDINAL PIETRO BEMBO, humanist, poet and historian. —
244. PAOLO GIOVIO, physician, bishop and historian. — 245. GIOVANNI PIERO VALERIANO (Bolzanus), humanist, papal
chamberlain under Leo X. — Sixteenth-century engravings.




46. CARDINAL PIETRO BEMBO, Secretary to Leo X, friend of Lucrezia Borgia and of Vittoria Colonna, humanist, philologist and poet, author of the dialogues on Love known as the "Asolani". Painting by Titian, Rome, Palazzo Barberini.



147. PIETRO ARETINO. Painting by Titian, 1546. Florence, Palazzo Pitti.



48. COUNT BALDASSARE CASTIGLIONE, author of the "Libro del Cortigiano", a dialogue on the qualities of the ideal courtier. Painting by Raphael. Paris, Louvre.



2. Portrait of a member of the retable, "On ne discute pas." Painted by Fra Bartolomeo, Florence, Palazzo Pitti.



250. THE POET ANDREA NAVAGERO. Left half of a double portrait by Raphael, 1516, Rome, Galleria Doria.



251. LODOVICO DOLCE, poet and writer on art
Anonymous sixteenth-century woodcut.



252. LODOVICO ARIOSTO, author of the "Orlando Furioso"
Engraving by Enea Vico.

ANDREAS NAVGERIVS
PATRICIVS VENETVS.



253. ANDREA NAVAGERO, neo-Latin poet
Anonymous sixteenth-century woodcut.

✠ ORLANDO FV ✠

RIOSO DI MESSER LVDOVICO
ARIOSTO NOBILE FERRA
RESE NVOVAMENTE DA
LVI PROPRIO COR
RETTO E D'AL
TRI CANTI
NVOVI AMPLIATO NVOVA
MENTE STAMPATO.



M D XXXIII

254. TITLE-PAGE OF ARIOSTO'S "ORLANDO FURIOSO".
Venice, 1533.



55. CARDINAL BIBBIENA (Bernardo Dovizi), partisan of the Medici, ambassador to the French court, treasurer to Clement VII and Leo X, author of the comedy "Calandina" Painting by Raphael. Madrid, Prado.



256. PARNASSUS. Mural painting by Raphael in the Vatican.



257. PARNASSUS (Triumph of Venus). By Andrea Mantegna. Paris, Louvre.



38. CUPID AND THE THREE GRACES. From the series of frescoes, "Cupid and Psyche", executed by Giovanni Francesco Penni, Giulio Romano and Giovanni da Udine, after designs by Raphael. Rome, Villa Farnesina.



259. DEATH OF PROCRIS. By Piero di Cosimo. London, National Gallery.



260. MARS AND VENUS. By Botticelli. London, National Gallery.



261. RECLINING VENUS WITH CUPIDS. By Jacopo del Sellaio (probably older than Botticelli's "Mars and Venus"). London, National Gallery.



262. THE EMPEROR CARACALLA. Antique bust, beginning of 3rd century A. D. Berlin, Altes Museum.



263. BRUTUS. By Michelangelo, about 1540. Florence, Museo Nazionale.



264. KNEELING YOUTH. About 460 B. C. East gable of the temple of Zeus, Pergamon.



265. CUPID. By Michelangelo, about 1497. London, Victoria & Albert Museum.




ALBERTO GRECO: THE STATUE,
Florence, Uffizi



FIG. 110. DYING SLAVE. BY Michelangelo,
Paris, Louvre.



268. THE ARCH OF TITUS IN ROME. Engraving by Giambattista Cavalieri after a drawing by Giovannantonio Dosio, 1569.



269. Frontispiece of the "ANTIQUARIE PROSPETTICHE ROMANE".




270. THE FORUM NERVAE WITH THE RUINS OF THE TEMPLE OF MINERVA. Engraving by Giambattista Cavalieri after a drawing by Giovannantonio Dosio, 1569.




271. ANCIENT TRIUMPHAL ARCH WITH THREE OPENINGS, IN ROME.




272. AN ANCIENT TRIUMPHAL ARCH IN A RENAISSANCE PAINTING OF A BIBLICAL SUBJECT (Botticelli's "Rebellion of Korah" in the Sistine Chapel).



273. ANCIENT TRIUMPHAL PROCESSION. Relief on the Arch of Titus, Rome.



274. TRIUMPHAL PROCESSION OF ALFONSO I OF ARAGON. By Francesco Laurana, Naples, Castel Nuovo.



275. THE TRIUMPH OF LOVE. Tempera. By the "Master of the Cassoni". Florentine work, middle of fifteenth century. London, National Gallery.




276, 277. TRIUMPHS OF CHASTITY AND OF DEATH. Tempera paintings by an unknown Siense master. Siena, Accademia.



278. THE TRIUMPH OF CHASTITY. Ascribed to Jacopo del Sellaio. Florence, Sant' Ansano.



279. THE TRIUMPH OF DEATH. Ascribed to Matteo de' Pasti. Florence, Uffizi.



280. THE TRIUMPH OF LOVE. Ivory relief of the Mantuan school, fifteenth century. Florence, Museo Nazionale.



281. THE TRIUMPH OF LOVE. Tempera painting by an unknown Siense master. Siena, Accademia.




282, 283. DETAILS FROM THE TRIUMPH OF CAESAR. Hampton Court.



284. THE TRIUMPH OF VESPASIAN AND TITUS
Drawing, Lombard school, end of fifteenth century. Paris, Louvre.




285. THE TRIUMPH OF VESPASIAN AND TITUS. By Giulio Romano. Paris, Louvre.




256—258. TRIUMPHAL PROCESSION AT THE COURT OF BORSO D'ESTE. Triumph of Minerva — of Apollo — of Venus. From the frescoes by Francesco Cossa in Palazzo Schitanoia, Ferrara.




289. PERFORMANCE IN AN AMPHITHEATRE (Rape of the Sabines. Painting by Cosimo Rosselli). Rome, Galleria Colonna.



290. PERFORMANCE IN A TENNIS-COURT (Healing of the lunatic son. Bronze relief by Donatello). Padua, Sant' Antonio.



291. DESIGN FOR STAGE ARCHITECTURE. By Luciano Laurana. Berlin, Kaiser-Friedrich-Museum.




292. A PERFORMANCE OF TERENCE IN ITALY. From an edition of Terence, Venice, 1497.


Quartus actus



293. A PERFORMANCE OF TERENCE IN GERMANY, OF THE SAME PERIOD. Coloured woodcut, 1496.



294. STAGE SETTING FOR A COMEDY. Woodcut from Sebastiano Serlio's "Dell' Architettura", Venice, 1545. (Sloping street in centre, large and small houses with porticoes on left and right, background formed by building.)



295. STAGE SETTING FOR A TRAGEDY. Woodcut from Serlio's "Architettura". (Broad sloping street, palatial dwellings and statues, deep background with triumphal arch.)




296. STAGE SETTING FOR A SATYRIC DRAMA. Woodcut from Serlio's "Architettura". (Rural scenery with trees and huts; sloping road as in preceding settings.)




297. STAGE SETTING OF THE TEATRO OLIMPICO VICENZA. Constructed by Andrea Palladio. (Symmetrical palatial building; sloping lanes — visible through five gateways — with asymmetrical houses.)



293. PERFORMANCE OF A MYSTERY PLAY. (Assumption of the Virgin, by Vittore Carpaccio.) London, National Gallery.



299. TRIPLE STAGE SETTING. (Representation of the legend of a saint, painted by Paolo Uccello.) Urbino, Ducal Palace.





321. MUSICIANS AT A WEDDING FEAST. Painted panel, fifteenth-century Florentine work. Florence. Accademia



320. CHOIRBOYS. Relief by Luca della Robbia. Florence, Duomo.



321. ANGEL MUSICIANS. Relief by Agostino di Duccio, on the facade of San Bernardino, Perugia.



322. MUSIC. In size relief on the tomb of Pope Sixtus IV, by Antonio Pollaiuolo, Rome, St. Peter's.



305. THE CONCERT, by Titian (also ascribed to Giorgione). Florence, Palazzo Pitti



306. ROUND DANCE. Manner of Bonifazio de' Pitati. Vienna, Akademie




37. THE LUTE PLAYER, By Pontormo Paris, Louvre



38. THE CONCERT, By Federico Barocci London, National Gallery



29. A TOURNAMENT IN FLORENCE, on the Piazza Santa Croce. Mural painting by Stradano, in the Palazzo Vecchio, Florence.



310, 311. SCENES FROM A TOURNAMENT IN VERONA. By Domenico Morone. London, National Gallery.



312 UMPIRES AND SPECTATORS AT A TOURNAMENT. Drawing by Jacopo Bellini. Paris, Louvre.



313. HUNTING PARTY. From the fresco of the "Triumph of Death". Pisa, Camposanto.



314. THE VISION OF ST. EUSTACE. By Pisanello. London, National Gallery.




315. STILL LIFE. Painting by Jacopo de' Barbari (Jacob Walch), 1504. Munich, Ältere Pinakothek.




316. TURKEY. Bronze. By Giovanni da Bologna.
Florence, Museo Nazionale.





317. GREYHOUND. Bronze relief by Benvenuto Cellini. Florence, Museo Nazionale.



318. INSECTS. Drawing by Leonardo da Vinci. Turin, Palazzo Reale.



319. GREYHOUND. Drawing by Pisanello. Paris, Louvre



320. STUDIES OF HORSES. By Leonardo da Vinci.
Windsor Castle Library.



321. STUDIES OF HORSES. By Giulio Romano. Vienna, Albertina.



122. COWHERD AND CATTLE. By Francesco Bizzozzi da Santa Croce. Bergamo, Accademia Carrara.



123. CATTLE-DROVERS. By Lorenzo Lotto. Jesi, B. 1795a.



324. LANDSCAPE WITH FLOCKS (Jacob and Rachel). By Palma Vecchio. Dresden, Gemäldegalerie.




325. LANDSCAPE WITH ANIMALS. By Jacopo Bassano. Rome, Palazzo Doria



326. GARDEN SCENE. Woodcut. Venice, 1499.



327. VENETIAN GARDIN. By an unknown sixteenth-century master. London, Victoria & Albert Museum.



328. VILLA AND GARDEN NEAR VENICE. Painting by Paolo Veronese. Bergamo, Accademia Carrara.



331. STUDY OF A TREE. Pen-drawing on blue paper by Leonardo da Vinci. Windsor Castle Library.




328. THE VIRGIN MARY IN THE FOREST. By Fra Filippo Lippi.
Berlin, Kaiser-Friedrich-Museum.



333. LILI IN THE FOREST. By Paolo Veronese.
Venice, Ducal Palace.



334. JOHN THE BAPTIST HAILING CHRIST AS THE REDEEMER. By Jacopo del Sellaio (?).
Berlin, Kaiser-Friedrich-Museum.



335. STORM LANDSCAPE. By Giorgione. Venice, Accademia.



336. THE FESTIVAL OF THE GODS. By Giovanni Bellini. Philadelphia, Widener Museum.



17. АИ ФРЕСКО «КОНЦЕРТ». By Giorgione. Paris, Louvre.



18. «ЛЕДА И ЛЕБЕДЬ» By Vermeer. Leina, Konsthistorisches Museum.



339. RECUMBENT VENUS. By Sandro Botticelli. Florence, Uffizi.



345. YOUNG WOMAN WITH A MIRROR. By Giovanni Bellini (1475). (Believed by Burckhardt to be a portrait of Pietro Bembo's mistress.) Vienna, Kunsthistorisches Museum.



341. PORTRAIT OF A WOMAN. By Desiderio da Settignano. Berlin, Kaiser-Friedrich-Museum.



342. FLORENTINE WOMAN, by an unknown 15th-century engraver. Berlin, Cabinet of Engravings.



343. FLORENTINE WOMAN. By Botticelli. Berlin, Kaiser-Friedrich-Museum.



344. FEMALE PORTRAIT. By Domenico Veneziano or Piero della Francesca. Berlin, Kaiser-Friedrich Museum.



345, 346. HEADS OF GIRLS. From Botticelli's "Primavera". Florence, Accademia.



347. HEAD OF GIRL. From Botticelli's "Birth of Venus". Florence, Uffizi.



348. HEAD OF GIRL. From Leonardo's "Madonna of the Rocks". Paris, Louvre.



135. FRANCESCO FERRUCCIO. By Paolo Veronese. Portrait of Francesco Ferruccio, 1630. Oil on canvas. Metropolitan Museum of Art, New York.



136. BATTISTA MORRONI. By Giovanni Battista Tiepolo. Portrait of Battista Morroni, 1760. Oil on canvas. Museum of Art, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia.



351. CHILDREN'S COSTUME DURING THE LATE RENAISSANCE OF Florence. Cupressi Palace, Private collection in Italy



124. 30 SCENES OF COURT LIFE. Above: Conversation. Frescoes in Palazzo Borromeo, Milan.





354. CARNIVAL JESTER. Detail from a representation of the months in the Grimani breviary. Miniature from the workshop of Simon Bening. Venice, Biblioteca Marciana.




356 PORTRAIT OF A JESTER. BY DOMENICO DI MICHELINO, Galleria Estense.



357 THE KING WITH HIS COURT JESTER. FROM A FRESCO BY FRANCESCO COSSA, Ferrara, Palazzo Schifanoia.




317. 318. BORSO D'ESTE'S COURT JESTERS (in c. times of astrological meaning). From the frescoes by Francesco Cova in Palazzo Schifanoia, Ferrara.



359, 360. DANCING. Frescoes in the Villa Gallina near Florence.
Attributed to Antonio Pollaiuolo, Botticelli, and others.



361. GROTESQUE ORNAMENTATION OF A CAPITAL. Pisa, Campanile.



362. GROTESQUE MASKS. Red crayon drawing attributed to Michelangelo. Lille, Galerie Wicar.



363. CARICATURE OF SAVONAROLA. Said to be by Leonardo da Vinci. Vienna, Albertina.



364. CARNIVAL. Drawing by Tiepolo. Vienna, Kunsthistorisches Gallery

Questo è Mrs. Rabblatin de Griffi Cantore di primo concerto in
 Semitono Spuso di Madonna Spilla Pomina, la quale di qua-
 rantasette anni portò il uanto in grattare i piedi a gli
 si uogli altray sofferse gran passioni amorse, morì di ...
 e fu sepolta in una gilla
 dove andò di li a fress fu
 sepolto il suo sposo.



790.



366. CARICATURES. By Leonardo da Vinci, Windsor Castle Library.



367, 368. CARICATURES. By a pupil of Leonardo da Vinci, Milan, Ambrosiana.




369. GRIMACE. Drawing by Bernardino Luini (?). London, British Museum.




379. CRIPPLES. From the fresco of the "Triumph of Death", Pisa, Compansotto.



371. TORTURE. From the fresco by Zenale and Butinone. Milan, San Pietro in Gessate.



373. MEN BATHING (The Thermæ of Pozzuoli). Fresco by Giuliano Macchietti, Florence, Palazzo Vecchio.



372. WOMEN BATHING. Detail from Francabigio's painting: "Uriah's Letter", 1523. Dresden, Gemäldegalerie.



374. THE CARE OF THE SICK. (St. Cosmas and St. Damian, predella of Fra Angelico's altar-piece in San Marco.) By Pesellino. Florence, Accademia.




375. THE CARE OF THE SICK. From the frieze of the seven works of mercy. Glazed and coloured relief in terracotta. by Giovanni della Robbia, Pistoia, Ospedale del Ceppo.



376, 377. WEDDING FESTIVITIES. Panels of a painted marriage chest, 15th century. Venice, Museo Correr.



378. WEDDING. Panel of a marriage chest. By the Master of the Cassoni. Modena, Galleria Estense.



379. THE BRIDAL PAIR AND THE WITNESSES. Detail from the preceding picture.



QVESTA · E · LENTRATA · ELVSCITA · DICHELHO · DICHELHO
 EINVGBI · CHANARLENGHO · DICABELA · PVNO · AÑO · COM
 INCIADO · ADI · PRIMO · DIGENAI · MCCCCLXXII · EFINE DO · ADI
 ULTIMO · DIDILENRE · MCCCCLXXIII · E DVGO · BVONAGIONTA · IS
 OVESTI · SONO · IPRIMI · ASIGH · ERITORE · EDIPIERO · DALIOBRAND
 VITORI · MEO · DITOTO · BAC · GESI · CERETANI · E · IACOMO · DIGALGANO
 NICOI · DIMS · BARTALOMEO · BIEHI · E · DIFRANCIESHO · DISIA
 DIMATIO · DANTONIO · DINRI · CARO · EDITOMAXO · DI
 DANGOLO · MALAVOITI · MAVRITIO · LVTI · E · DIS
 E · DISARDVINO · NOTAI · DOMENICO · DI · ANAFANO · N · TAI


380. CERTIFICATE OF THE WEDDING BETWEEN ROBERTO SANSEVERINO AND LUCREZIA MALVOLTI, 1473. Siena, City Archives.



381, 382. WEDDING OF BUCCACCIO ADIMARI AND LISA RICASOLI.
Panels of a painted marriage chest, Florence, Accademia.




383. NURSE WITH CHILD. From the frieze of the seven works of mercy.
Glazed and coloured relief in terracotta by Filippo Paladini. Pistoia, Ospedale del Ceppo.




384. VENETIAN BEDROOM (Dream of St. Ursula). By Vittore Carpaccio. Venice, Accademia.



385. VENETIAN NURSERY. By Paris Bordone. Hanover, Provinzialmuseum.



386. FLORENTINE INTERIOR, about 1495. From Ghirlandajo's fresco of the "Nativity of the Virgin".
Florence, Santa Maria Novella.




387. BEDROOM OF A PROSPEROUS HOUSE, with canopied bed and large fire-place.
Relief on the bronze door of Pisa Cathedral, "The Nativity of the Virgin", by pupils of Giovanni da Bologna, 1602




VENETIAN COURTESANS ON A BALCONY, Painting by Vittore Carpaccio
Venice, Museo Correr.




389. TWO WOMEN ON A BALCONY. Detail from the painting of "St. Sebastian" by Antonello da Messina. Dresden, Gemaldegalerie.






392. RENAISSANCE JEWELLERY From the Carrand collection. Florence, Museo Nazionale



394. DESIGN FOR A FIRE-PLACE. By Amico Aspertini, Vienna, Albertina.



393. DESIGN FOR A SALT-CELLAR. By Benvenuto Cellini, Florence, Uffizi.



BENVENUTO
FIORENTINO
E SCULTORE
nacque nel MD.




CELLINI
ORFEGE,
EGCELLENTE.
morì nel MDLXX.

*Al merito singolare del Sig^{re} Cosimo Siries
Direttore dei preziosi lavori dell'Imperial Galleria di Firenze.*

Cavato da un Quadro in Tavola appo il Sig^{ro} Gio: Fani Bartolini.

Giuseppe Locchi del

Fran^{co} Mengoni inc. 1763



396, 397. SMITH AND POTTERS. Reliefs on the Campanile of Florence Cathedral.



398. BRONZE CANDELABRA. By Riccio (?). Padua, San Giorgio.




199 PORTRAIT OF A TAILOR. By Giovanni Battista Moroni. London, National Gallery.




405. PORTRAIT OF A TAILOR. By Parmegginino. Naples, Museo Nazionale.



401. WEAVERS (The Three Fates). From the frescoes by Francesco Cossa in Palazzo Schifanoia, Ferrara.



402. LOOM (Odysseus and Penelope). Painting by Pinturicchio. London, National Gallery.



403. WOOL-WEAVING. By Mirabello Cavalori.



404. CANNON FOUNDRY. By Francesco Poppi.



405. GOLDSMITHS' WORKSHOP. By Alessandro Fieschi.

Frescoes in Palazzo Vecchio, Florence.



406. GLASS FACTORY. By Giovanni Maria Butteri.






458. LEONARDO DA VINCI. Self-portrait. Red crayon drawing. Turin, Royal Library.



412. MICHI LANGIHO. Engraving by an unknown 16th-century master. Berlin, Cabinet of Engravings.



429. ILON BATTISTA ALBERTI. Bronze medal by Pisanello or his pupil, Matteo de' Pasti. Paris, Louvre.



412. ANDREA VERROCCHIO. By Lorenzo di Credi. Florence. Uffizi.



411. LUCA SIGNORELLI. Self-portrait. Detail from a fresco in Orvieto Cathedral.



413 FILIPPO BRUNELLESCHI (Brunellesco). Relief by Buggiano on the Duomo, Florence.



414 ANDREA MANTIGNA. Bronze bust, attributed to Cavali. Mantua, Santi' Andrea



415 A. ARISTOTELE. The School of Athens. A fresco by Raphael. Rome, Vatican.



416 BRAMANTE. The Prophet Joel. Detail from a fresco by Mantegna. Rome, Sistine Chapel.



417. GIULIANO DA SANGALLO. By Piero di Cosimo.
The Hague, Museum.



418. GIOVANNI BELLINI. Self-portrait (?).
Rome, Museo Capitolino.



419. GIORGIONE. Self-portrait. Brunswick, Museum.



420. TITIAN. Self-portrait (detail). Madrid, Prado.



421 RAPHAEL, Self-portrait. 1500. v. Uffizi

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

1. *Early Renaissance view of a city*. (Fresco by Benedetto Bonfigli: 'Translation of the Remains of Herculanus'.) Perugia, Pinacoteca.
2. *The Castle of Ferrara*. Constructed during the 14th century.
3. *The Castel Nuovo at Naples*. Constructed during the 13th century.
4. *The Scaliger Tombs in Verona*.
5. *Monument of Bernabò Visconti*. Milan, Archaeological Museum.
6. *Monument of Cangrande in Verona*.
7. *Sigismondo Malatesta*, Tyrant of Rimini. Detail from the fresco by Piero della Francesca in the Malatesta Temple at Rimini.
8. *Sigismondo Malatesta*, Tyrant of Rimini. By an unknown master of the 15th century. Rimini, Cathedral.
9. *Giovanni II Bentivoglio and his family before the Madonna enthroned*. By Lorenzo Costa, 1488. Bologna, San Giacomo Maggiore.
10. *Giovanni Bentivoglio*. Relief by Sperandio. Paris, Louvre.
11. *Giovanni Bentivoglio*. Relief by Vincenzo Vanuzzi (?). Bologna, San Giacomo Maggiore.
12. *Giovanni II Bentivoglio*, Tyrant of Bologna, and his wife *Ginevra Sforza*. Paris, Dreyfus Collection.
13. *Ercole I of Este*, Duke of Ferrara. By Dosso Dossi. Modena, Galleria Estense.
14. *Ercole II of Este* (?), Duke of Ferrara. By Titian. Madrid, Prado. (So described by Carl Justi, *Jahrbuch der preussischen Kunstsammlungen*, XV. Gronau believes it to be a portrait of Federigo Gonzaga, Duke of Mantua.)
15. *Alfonso I of Este*, Duke of Ferrara. By Titian. New York, Metropolitan Museum. (The frequently reproduced version in the Palazzo Pitti in Florence is a copy by Dosso Dossi.)
16. *Laura Dianti*, mistress of Duke Alfonso I of Este. By Titian. Richmond, Collection of Sir Herbert Cook, Bart.
17. *Lucrezia Borgia*, daughter of Pope Alexander VI and wife of Alfonso I of Este. Detail from a fresco by Pinturicchio. Rome, Vatican.
18. *Isabella d'Este Gonzaga*. By Titian, 1534. Vienna, Kunsthistorisches Museum.

19. *Eleonora Gonzaga*, Duchess of Urbino. By Titian. Florence, Uffizi.
20. *Isabella d'Este*, daughter of Ercole I of Este and Eleonora of Aragon, and wife of Gianfrancesco III Gonzaga, Duke of Mantua. Drawing by Leonardo da Vinci. Paris, Louvre.
21. *Lionello d'Este*, Governor of Ferrara. By Pisanello. Bergamo, Accademia Carrara.
22. *Margherita Gonzaga* (?), wife of Lionello d'Este. Painting by Pisanello. Paris, Louvre.
23. *Galeazzo Maria Sforza*, Duke of Milan. By Antonio del Pollaiuolo. Florence, Uffizi.
- 24, 25. *Lodovico Sforza*, known as 'Il Moro', Duke of Milan, and his wife, *Beatrice d'Este*. Details from the Sforzesca altar-piece by Bernardino de' Conti, in the Brera, Milan. (The attribution to Bernardino de' Conti is Morelli's; Seidlitz ascribes the painting to Ambrogio da Preda; more recently it has been assumed to be the work of the 'Master of the Pala Sforzesca'.)
26. *Recumbent figures from the tomb of Lodovico Sforza and his wife*. By Andrea Solario. Certosa di Pavia.
27. *Lodovico il Moro*, Duke of Milan. Painting by Boltraffio. Milan, Trivulzio Collection.
- 28—33. *Members of the Sforza family*. From the mural paintings by Bernardino Luini in the Castello Sforzesco at Milan. (28. Francesco Sforza. 29. Gian Galeazzo Sforza. 30. Bianca Maria Sforza. 31. Maximilian I Sforza. 32. Maximilian Sforza. 33. Beatrice d'Este.)
34. *Caterina Sforza*, daughter of Galeazzo Maria Sforza and wife of Girolamo Riario. By Piero di Cosimo. Altenburg, Museum.
35. *Lodovico II Gonzaga and his family*. Fresco by Mantegna. Mantua, Castello di Corte.
36. *Lodovico II Gonzaga*. From Mantegna's frescoes in the Castello di Corte at Mantua.
37. *Cardinal Francesco Gonzaga*. From Mantegna's frescoes in the Castello di Corte at Mantua.
38. *Lodovico II Gonzaga, Margrave of Mantua, meeting his son, Cardinal Francesco*. Fresco by Mantegna in the Castello di Corte at Mantua.
39. *Francesco Gonzaga*. Terracotta by Gian Cristoforo Romano. Florence, Museo Bardini.
40. *Gianfrancesco Gonzaga*. Drawing by Francesco Bonsignori. Florence, Uffizi.
41. *Francesco Sforza*. Marble relief by Gian Cristoforo Romano. Florence, Museo Nazionale.
42. *Federigo da Montefeltro*. Marble relief by Gian Cristoforo Romano. Florence, Museo Nazionale.

43. *Isabella of Aragon*, Queen of Naples. Drawing by Boltraffio. Milan, Ambrosiana.
44. *Ferdinand I of Aragon*, King of Naples. Terracotta bust by an unknown fifteenth-century master. Paris, Louvre.
45. *The Harbour of Naples*. By an unknown master, about 1500. Naples, Museo Nazionale.
46. *Maximilian Sforza*. Drawing by a pupil of Leonardo da Vinci. Milan, Ambrosiana.
47. *Francesco Sforza*. By Bernardino de' Conti. Rome, Vatican Gallery.
48. *Federigo Gonzaga*. By Francesco Francia. New York, Metropolitan Museum.
49. *A Prince of the House of Gonzaga*. Drawing by Francesco Bonsignori. Vienna, Albertina.
- 50—55. *Renaissance Medals*. Florence, Museo Nazionale. (50, 51. Costanzo Sforza and his Castle. By Gianfrancesco Enzola, 1475. 52, 53. Caterina Sforza. By Niccolò Fiorentino. 54, 55. Alfonso of Aragon. By Pisanello.)
56. *Federigo da Montefeltro*, Duke of Urbino, and his wife, *Battista Sforza*. By Piero della Francesca. Florence, Uffizi.
- 57, 58. *The Nuptial Cars of the Ducal Pair*. Painting on the back of the double portrait of Federigo da Montefeltro and his wife. By Piero della Francesca. Florence, Uffizi.
59. *Guidobaldo da Montefeltro*, Duke of Urbino. By Gianfrancesco Caroto. Florence, Palazzo Pitti.
60. *Elisabetta Gonzaga*, wife of Guidobaldo da Montefeltro, Duke of Urbino. By Gianfrancesco Caroto (?). Florence, Palazzo Pitti.
61. *Astorre Baglione of Perugia*, as a heavenly knight in Raphael's 'Expulsion of Heliodorus'. Rome, Vatican.
62. *Judith and Holofernes*. Bronze by Donatello. Florence, Loggia de' Lanzi. Erected in 1495 in front of the Palazzo Vecchio, in commemoration of the expulsion of the Medici.
63. *Projects for an equestrian monument of Francesco Sforza*. Pen-drawing by Leonardo da Vinci. Windsor Castle Library.
64. *Guidoriccio Fogliani*. By Simone Martini. Siena, Palazzo Pubblico.
65. *Equestrian portrait of the Condottiere Niccolò Marucci da Tolentino*. Fresco by Andrea del Castagno. Florence, Duomo.
66. *Equestrian portrait of the Condottiere Giovanni Acuto* (John Hawkwood). Fresco by Paolo Uccello. Florence, Duomo.
67. *Equestrian statue of an Emperor*. Roman bronze. Naples, Museo Nazionale.
68. *The Roman bronze horses over the portal of St. Mark's*, Venice.
69. *Equestrian statue of Gattamelata*. By Donatello. Padua.
70. *Equestrian statue of Colleoni*. By Verrocchio. Venice.

71. *Bartolommeo Colleoni*. Detail from Verrocchio's statue in Venice.
72. *The Condottiere Gattamelata*. Head of Donatello's statue, 1447. Padua.
73. *Annibale Bentivoglio*. Marble relief. Bologna, San Giacomo Maggiore.
74. *Roberto Malatesta*. Marble relief by an unknown north-Italian master, 1484. Paris, Louvre.
75. *Roberto Malatesta*, Papal and Venetian general, son-in-law of Federigo Duke of Urbino. From a fresco by Piero di Cosimo in the Sistine Chapel, Rome.
76. *Paolo Vitelli*, Condottiere. Florence, Uffizi.
77. *Gabrino Fondolo*, tyrant of the city of Cremona. Florence, Uffizi.
78. *Castruccio Castracani*, Ghibelline general. Florence, Uffizi.
79. *Braccio da Montone*, Condottiere. Florence, Uffizi.
80. *The Condottiere Niccolò da Uzzano*. By Donatello. Painted terracotta bust. Florence, Museo Nazionale.
- 81, 82. *The Condottieri Pippo Spano and Farinata degli Uberti*. Frescoes by Andrea del Castagno. Florence, Museo di Sant' Apollonia.
83. *Cesare Borgia*. Attributed to Giorgione. Bergamo, Accademia Carrara.
84. *Cesare Borgia*. Attributed to Palmezzano. Forlì, Pinacoteca.
85. *Battle between foot-soldiers and cavalry*. (Detail from the sarcophagus of Alexander.) Constantinople, Museum.
86. *Battle between foot-soldiers and cavalry*. Bronze relief by Bertoldo di Giovanni (Michelangelo's teacher). Florence, Museo Nazionale.
87. *Cavalry battle*. Painting by Paolo Uccello. London, National Gallery.
- 88, 89. *Siege scenes*. Frescoes by Giorgio Vasari. Florence, Palazzo Vecchio.
90. *War scenes, with bomb exploding*. Drawing by Leonardo da Vinci. Paris, former Armand Collection.
91. *Designs for military engines*. Drawing by Leonardo da Vinci. London, British Museum.
92. *Mounting of a gun in the courtyard of the arsenal*. Pen-drawing by Leonardo da Vinci. Windsor Castle Library.
93. *Florentine helmet*, 15th century. Florence, Museo Nazionale.
94. *Shield*. By a sixteenth-century Florentine armourer. Florence, Museo Nazionale.
95. *Ceremonial armour of the Emperor Charles V*. By a sixteenth-century Milanese armourer. Florence, Museo Nazionale.
96. *The Knight*. By Giorgione. London, National Gallery.
97. *Naples*, 1479, showing procession of ships at the reception of Lorenzo de' Medici. Coloured drawing. Naples, Museo di San Martino.
98. *Florence*. Woodcut by an unknown Florentine master of the 15th century.
99. *The Journey of the Magi*, with representations of members of the Medici family. Fresco by Benozzo Gozzoli. Florence, Palazzo Medici-Riccardi.

100. *Lorenzo de' Medici il Magnifico*. Allegorical portrait by Giorgio Vasari. Florence, Uffizi.
101. *The north door of the Baptistery in Florence*. By Lorenzo Ghiberti. Above: 'John the Baptist preaching', by Giovanni Francesco Rusticci.
- 102—104. *Views of Florence*. Mural paintings by Stradano in the Palazzo Vecchio, Florence. (102. Bridge near Santa Trinita. 103. Piazza del Mercato Vecchio. 104. Dedication festival in front of the Loggia de' Lanzi.)
105. *The Palazzo Pubblico (Town Hall) in Siena*.
106. *St. Bernardino preaching before the Town Hall in Siena*. By Sano di Pietro. Siena, Duomo.
107. *The Lion of Venice with the Doge*. Carving above the Porta della Carta of the Ducal Palace, Venice.
108. *The Miracle of the relic of the Cross*. By Vittore Carpaccio. Venice, Accademia.
109. *Recovery of the relic of the Cross*. By Gentile Bellini. Venice, Accademia.
110. *The Fisherman's Ring*. By Paris Bordone. Venice, Accademia.
111. *The Pope blessing the Doge's sword*. By Leandro Bassano. Venice, Accademia.
112. *Procession in the Piazza San Marco, Venice*. By Gentile Bellini. Venice, Accademia.
113. *Caterina Cornaro*. Portrait by Paolo Veronese. Vienna, Kunsthistorisches Museum.
114. *Caterina Cornaro*. By Gentile Bellini. Budapest, Museum of Fine Arts.
115. *Caterina Cornaro (with the crown) and Venetian noblewomen*. Detail from Gentile Bellini's 'Recovery of the relic of the Cross'. Venice, Accademia.
116. *The Doge Andrea Vendramin*. By Gentile Bellini. New York, Private collection.
117. *The Doge Francesco Foscari*. By Gentile Bellini. Venice, Museo Correr.
118. *The Doge Tommaso Mocenigo*. Manner of Gentile Bellini. Venice, Museo Correr. (According to Bode, by Jacopo Bellini.)
119. *The Doge Leonardo Loredano*. By Giovanni Bellini. London, National Gallery.
120. *Persian Ambassadors in Venice*. By Paolo Veronese. Venice, Ducal Palace.
121. *Reception of Ambassadors from England*. By Vittore Carpaccio. Venice, Accademia.
122. *Venetian Ambassadors in Cairo*. Manner of Gentile Bellini. Paris, Louvre.
123. *St. Stephen preaching in Jerusalem*. By Vittore Carpaccio. Paris, Louvre.
124. *St. Mark healing Ananias in the market-place of Alexandria*. By Cima da Conegliano, 1499. Berlin, Kaiser Friedrich Museum.
125. *St. Mark preaching in Alexandria*. By Giovanni Bellini. Milan, Brera.
126. *Sultan Mohammed II*. Detail from a painting by Gentile Bellini. London, National Gallery.

127. *Sultan Murad III*. Coloured drawing by Melchior Lorich. Prague, Lanna Collection.
128. *The Turkish Prince Dschem*, brother of Sultan Bajazet II and prisoner of Popes Innocent VIII and Alexander VI. Detail from a fresco by Pinturicchio. Rome, Vatican.
129. *Coronation of the Emperor*. Della Robbia workshop. Florence, Museo Nazionale.
130. *Coronation of the Emperor Charles V*. Detail from a fresco by Giorgio Vasari. Florence, Palazzo Vecchio.
131. *Emperor Charles V*. Painting by Titian, 1548. Munich, Ältere Pinakothek.
132. *King Charles VIII of France*. Miniature. Paris, Bibliothèque Nationale.
133. *King Louis XII of France*. Coloured drawing. Paris, Bibliothèque Nationale.
134. *King Francis I of France*. By Jean Clouet (?). Paris, Louvre.
135. *King Henry II of France*. By Jean Clouet. Florence, Uffizi.
136. *King Henry VIII of England*. By Hans Holbein the Younger. Windsor Castle.
137. *Charles VIII of France entering Florence*. By Francesco Granacci. Florence, Uffizi.
138. *King Francis I, Emperor Charles V and Cardinal Alessandro Farnese, afterwards Pope Paul III, entering Paris (?)*. Fresco by Taddeo and Federigo Zuccaro. Caprarola, Palazzo Farnese.
139. *The Great Cavalcade*. Emperor Charles V and Pope Clement VII entering Bologna. Mural painting by Brusasorci. Verona, Palazzo Ridolfi.
140. *The tomb of Caccilia Metella*, on the Via Appia near Rome.
141. *Castel Sant' Angelo*, Rome (in the background, St. Peter's).
142. *Rome*, about 1480, from the Capitol. Drawing by a pupil of Domenico Ghirlandaio (Codex Escorialensis, fol. 40).
143. *Reconstruction of St. Peter's, and the Vatican*. Anonymous Roman engraving of the sixteenth century.
144. *The Cupola of the Duomo in Florence*. By Brunelleschi.
145. *Model for the Cupola of St. Peter's*. By Michelangelo. Rome, Museo Petriano.
146. *The Cupola of St. Peter's as it is to-day*. By Michelangelo and others.
147. *St. Peter's before the rebuilding* (Basilica di San Pietro). Model constructed by Prof. Marcelliani. Rome, Museo Petriano.
148. *In front of St. Peter's*, Rome (Fontana della Piazza di San Pietro).
149. *Pope Pius II blessing the Crusaders at the harbour of Ancona*. Fresco by Pinturicchio. Siena, Capitular Library.
150. *Pope Alexander VI*. Detail from a fresco by Pinturicchio. Rome, Vatican.
151. *Pope Sixtus IV and his Familiars*. Fresco by Melozzo da Forlì. Rome, Vatican Gallery.

152. *Pope Sixtus IV*. Detail from his brass tomb. By Antonio Pollaiuolo. Rome, St. Peter's.
153. *Pope Julius II as Cardinal* (Giulio della Rovere). Detail from a fresco by Botticelli. Rome, Sistine Chapel.
154. *Pope Julius II*. Detail from a painting by Raphael. Florence, Palazzo Pitti.
155. *Pope Leo X*, with Cardinals Giulio de' Medici, afterwards Pope Clement VII, and Lodovico de' Rossi. By Raphael. Florence, Palazzo Pitti.
156. *Pope Leo X*. Crayon drawing by Sebastiano del Piombo (?). Chatsworth, Collection of the Duke of Devonshire.
157. *Pope Clement VII as a young man*. By Sebastiano del Piombo. Naples, Museo Nazionale.
158. *Pope Paul III*, with his grandsons, Cardinals Alessandro and Ottavio Farnese. By Titian. Naples, Museo Nazionale.
159. *The Swiss Papal Guard*. Detail from a fresco by Raphael. Rome, Vatican.
160. *St. Francis of Assisi*. Detail from a fresco by Cimabue in the lower church of San Francesco at Assisi.
161. *Girolamo Savonarola*. By Fra Bartolommeo. Florence, Museo di San Marco.
162. *Savonarola as St. Peter Martyr*. By Fra Bartolommeo. Florence, Museo di San Marco.
163. *The Burning of Savonarola*. By an unknown Florentine painter, about 1500. Florence, Museo di San Marco.
164. *Coins commemorating Savonarola*. By Niccolò Spinelli.
165. *Censer*. Fifteenth-century silversmith's work. Padua, Treasury of Sant' Antonio.
166. *Procession in the interior of a church*. By Vittore Carpaccio. Venice, Accademia.
167. *Presentation of a fragment of the True Cross*. By Sebastiani. Venice, Accademia.
168. *Interior of a Sanctuary*. By Gentile Bellini. Venice, Accademia.
169. *The Duomo, Florence*.
170. *The Duomo, Ferrara*.
171. *The Duomo, Pisa*.
172. *The Duomo, Siena*.
173. *A sermon in front of a church in Siena*. By Sano di Pietro. Siena, Duomo.
174. *The Damned*. Detail from the fresco by Luca Signorelli in the cathedral of Orvieto.
175. *In Hell*. Drawing by Federigo Zuccaro. Vienna, Albertina. (Project for a fresco in the cupola of the Duomo at Florence.)
176. *Demons*. Detail from Michelangelo's fresco of 'The Last Judgement'. Rome, Sistine Chapel.
177. *Demon*. Drawing by Michelangelo. Windsor Castle Library.

178. *St. Mark, St. George and St. Nicholas destroy the galley of the demons*. By an unknown Venetian master (previously attributed to Giorgione or Palma Vecchio). Venice, Accademia.
179. Detail from the '*Life of St. George*', by Vittore Carpaccio. Venice, San Giorgio degli Schiavoni.
180. *The Temptation of St. Anthony*. By Parentino. Rome, Galleria Doria.
181. *The Madonna rescuing a child from the talons of a demon*. Painting by Niccolò Alunno. Rome, Galleria Colonna.
182. *Witches*. Drawing by Botticelli (?). Florence, Uffizi.
183. *The Alchemist's Laboratory*. Painting by Giovanni Stradano. Florence, Palazzo Vecchio.
- 184, 185. *Allegorical representation of the influence of the stars on human life*. By Giovanni Miretto. Padua, Palazzo della Ragione.
186. *Study in Proportion*. Drawing by Leonardo da Vinci. Windsor Castle Library.
187. *Luca Pacioli*. Painting by Jacopo de' Barbari, with self-portrait (?) on the right. Naples, Museo Nazionale. (Bode ascribes this painting to Donato Bramante and believes it to represent Luca Pacioli with his pupil, Duke Guidobaldo.)
188. *Amerigo Vespucci*. By an unknown sixteenth-century master. Florence, Uffizi.
189. *Christopher Columbus*. Painting by Sebastiano del Piombo. New York, Metropolitan Museum.
190. *Designs for machinery*. Drawing by Leonardo da Vinci. Florence, Uffizi.
191. *Anatomical Study*, by Leonardo da Vinci. Windsor Castle Library.
192. *Dissection of a corpse*. Drawing by Bartolommeo Passerotti. Oxford, Ashmolean Museum.
193. *A scholar at work*. Woodcut from the '*Poliphilus*'. (Venice, Aldus Manutius, 1499.)
194. *A scholar at work*. Detail from a painting by Antonello da Messina. London, National Gallery.
195. *Bernardino Corio*. Woodcut from his Milanese Chronicle, 1503 (detail).
196. *Francesco Filelfo*, humanist and orator. Drawing by the monogrammist M. H. Vienna, Collection of Count Wilczek.
197. *Work-room of a scholar* (St. Jerome). By Vittore Carpaccio. Venice, San Giorgio degli Schiavoni.
198. *A Lecture on Law*. From the tomb of Lorenzo Pini. Bologna, San Pietro. By Girolamo Coltellini.
199. *A Humanistic Lecture*. From the tomb of Filippo Lazzari. Pistoia, San Domenico. By Antonio Rossellino.
200. *A University Lecture in the open air*. Henricus de Allemania delivering a

- lecture on ethics. Painting in opaque colour on vellum by Laurentius de Voltalina, early 15th century. Berlin, Cabinet of Engravings.
201. *A Theological Lecture*. By Domenico di Michelino. Bergamo, Accademia Carrara.
202. *Disputation*. Drawing by Vittore Carpaccio. Florence, Uffizi.
203. *A Disputation* (Jesus and the Scribes). By Bernardino di Mariotto. Milan, Brera.
204. *The Library of San Marco in Florence*. Built by Michelozzo di Bartolommeo.
205. *Façade of the Biblioteca Marciana in Venice*. Built by Jacopo Sansovino.
206. *The Biblioteca Laurenziana in Florence*. Built from the designs of Michelangelo.
207. Page from Girolamo da Cremona's *Missal*. About 1467—1475. Siena, Libreria Piccolomini.
208. Illuminated Border of an *Edition of Livy*. School of Mantegna. Vienna, Albertina.
209. Woodcut on frontispiece of a *Book printed in Saluzzo, 1507*. Biblioteca Vaticana.
210. First text-page of an *Edition of St. Jerome*. Ferrara, 1497. Berlin, Cabinet of Engravings.
211. A page from the '*Hypnerotomachia Poliphili*'. Printed by Aldus Manutius, Venice, 1499.
212. A page from the illustrated Florentine edition of Angelo Poliziano's '*Tournament of Giuliano de' Medici*'.
213. *Dante*. Bronze bust by an unknown fifteenth-century Master. Naples, Museo Nazionale.
214. *Illustration for Dante*. By Botticelli. Berlin, Cabinet of Engravings.
215. *Illustration for Dante*. By Francesco Francia. Vienna, Albertina.
- 216, 217. *Illustrations for Dante*. By Botticelli. Rome, Biblioteca Vaticana.
218. *Dante and his 'Divine Comedy'*. By Michelino. Florence, Duomo.
219. *The last novel in Boccaccio's 'Decameron'*. Painting on the panel of a chest. By Pesellino. Bergamo, Accademia Carrara.
220. *Giovanni Boccaccio*. Fresco by Andrea del Castagno. Florence, Sant' Apollonia.
221. *Francesco Petrarca*. Fresco by Andrea del Castagno. Florence, Sant' Apollonia.
222. *Petrarch*. By an unknown 15th-century master. Rome, Galleria Borghese.
223. *Crowning of the poet Aeneas Sylvius Piccolomini*. From the fresco by Pinturicchio. Siena, Capitular Library.
224. Head of a Prophet, said to be a portrait of *Poggio Bracciolini*. Upper part of a marble statue on the Campanile in Florence. By Donatello and Rossi.
225. *Marsilio Ficino*, the humanist, leader of the neoplatonic school in Florence.

- From the fresco by Domenico Ghirlandaio, 1430. Florence, Santa Maria Novella.
226. *Girolamo Benevieni* (?), philosopher and poet of Florence. By Ridolfo del Ghirlandaio. London, National Gallery.
227. The humanist *Angelo Poliziano*, with his pupil Giuliano de' Medici. From a fresco by Domenico Ghirlandaio. Florence, Santa Trinita.
228. *Marco Girolamo Vida*, jurist and neo-Latin poet. Florence, Uffizi.
229. *Zanobi di Strada*, jurist and poet laureate. Florence, Uffizi.
230. *Gioviano Pontano*, secretary to the Neapolitan court and historian. Florence, Uffizi.
231. *Giovanni della Casa*, poet and secretary of state. Florence, Uffizi.
232. *Pico della Mirandola*, humanist; together with Marsilio Ficino and Angelo Poliziano the leader of the neoplatonic Academy in Florence. Fresco by Botticelli, from the Villa Lemni in Florence, now in the Louvre, Paris.
233. The humanist *Platina*, compiler of a history of the Popes. From a fresco by Melozzo da Forlì. Rome, Vatican.
234. *Niccolò Machiavelli*, politician, historian and writer of comedies; author of the 'History of Florence'. Coloured terracotta bust by an unknown sixteenth-century master. Florence, Società Colombaria.
235. *Francesco Guicciardini*, Florentine historian. Florence, Uffizi.
236. *Onofrio Panvinio*, theologian and student of antiquity. Florence, Uffizi.
237. *Matteo Palmieri*, author of 'Della vita civile'. Bust by Antonio Rossellino. Florence, Museo Nazionale.
238. *Donato Acciaiuoli*, philosopher and historian. Florence, Borgo degli Albizi.
239. *Luigi Pulci*, author of the satirical poem on chivalry, 'Morgante Maggiore'. From a fresco by Filippino Lippi. Florence, Santa Maria del Carmine.
240. *Benedetto Varchi*, poet and historian. By Titian. Vienna, Kunsthistorisches Museum.
241. *Paolo Giovio*, humanist, physician to Leo X, biographer. Anonymous sixteenth-century portrait. Florence, Uffizi.
242. *Jacopo Sannazaro*, neo-Latin and Italian poet. Sixteenth-century engraving.
243. *Cardinal Pietro Bembo*, humanist, poet and historian. Sixteenth-century engraving.
244. *Paolo Giovio*, physician, bishop and historian. Sixteenth-century engraving.
245. *Giovanni Pierio Valeriano* (Bolzanus), humanist, papal chamberlain under Leo X. Sixteenth-century engraving.
246. *Cardinal Pietro Bembo*, secretary to Leo X, friend of Lucrezia Borgia and of Vittoria Colonna, humanist, philologist and poet, author of the dialogues on Love known as the 'Asolani'. Painting by Titian. Rome, Palazzo Barberini.
247. *Pietro Aretino*. Painting by Titian, 1545. Florence, Palazzo Pitti.

248. *Count Baldassare Castiglione*, author of the 'Libro del Cortigiano', a dialogue on the qualities of the ideal courtier. Painting by Raphael. Paris, Louvre.
249. *Luigi Cornaro*, author of the treatise, 'On moderate living'. Painting by Tintoretto. Florence, Palazzo Pitti.
250. *The poet Andrea Navagero*. Left half of a double portrait by Raphael, 1516. Rome, Galleria Doria.
251. *Lodovico Dolce*, poet and writer on art. Anonymous sixteenth-century woodcut.
252. *Lodovico Ariosto*, author of the 'Orlando Furioso'. Engraving by Enea Vico.
253. *Andrea Navagero*, neo-Latin poet. Anonymous sixteenth-century woodcut.
254. *Title-page of Ariosto's 'Orlando Furioso'*. Venice, 1533.
255. *Cardinal Bibbiena* (Bernardo Dovizi), partisan of the Medici, ambassador to the French court, treasurer to Clement VII and Leo X, author of the comedy 'Calandria'. Painting by Raphael. Madrid, Prado.
256. *Parnassus*. Mural painting by Raphael in the Vatican.
257. *Parnassus* (Triumph of Venus). By Andrea Mantegna. Paris, Louvre.
258. *Cupid and the Three Graces*. From the series of frescoes, 'Cupid and Psyche', executed by Giovanni Francesco Penni, Giulio Romano and Giovanni da Udine, after designs by Raphael. Rome, Villa Farnesina.
259. *Death of Procris*. Painting on a chest by Piero di Cosimo. London, National Gallery.
260. *Mars and Venus*. Painting on a chest by Botticelli. London, National Gallery.
261. *Recumbent Venus with Cupids*. Painting on a chest by Jacopo del Sellaio (probably older than Botticelli's 'Mars and Venus'). London, National Gallery.
262. *The Emperor Caracalla*. Antique bust, beginning of third century A. D. Berlin, Altes Museum.
263. *Brutus*. By Michelangelo, about 1540. Florence, Museo Nazionale.
264. *Kneeling Youth*. About 460 B. C. East gable of the temple of Zeus, Pergamon.
265. *Cupid*. By Michelangelo, about 1497. London, Victoria & Albert Museum.
266. *Apollo*. Greek marble statue. Florence, Uffizi.
267. *The Dying Slave*. By Michelangelo. Paris, Louvre.
268. *The Arch of Titus in Rome*. Engraving by Giambattista Cavalieri, after a drawing by Giovannantonio Dosio, 1569.
269. Frontispiece of the '*Antiquarie Prospettiche Romane*'.
270. *The Forum Nervae, with the ruins of the Temple of Minerva*. Engraving by Giambattista Cavalieri after a drawing by Giovannantonio Dosio, 1569.
271. *Ancient triumphal arch with three openings, in Rome*.
272. *An ancient triumphal arch in a Renaissance painting of a Biblical subject* (Botticelli's 'Rebellion of Korah' in the Sistine Chapel).
273. *Ancient Triumphal Procession*. Relief on the Arch of Titus, Rome.

274. *Triumphal Procession of Alfonso I of Aragon*. By Francesco Laurana. Naples, Castel Nuovo.
275. *The Triumph of Love*. Tempera. By the 'Master of the Cassoni'. Florentine work, middle of fifteenth century. London, National Gallery.
- 276, 277. *The Triumphs of Chastity and Love*. Tempera paintings by an unknown Siense master. Siena, Accademia.
278. *The Triumph of Chastity*. Ascribed to Jacopo del Sellaio. Florence, Sant' Ansano.
279. *The Triumph of Death*. Ascribed to Matteo de' Pasti. Florence, Uffizi.
280. *The Triumph of Love*. Ivory relief of the Mantuan school, fifteenth century. Museo Nazionale.
281. *The Triumph of Love*. Tempera painting by an unknown Siense master. Siena, Accademia.
- 282, 283. *Details from the Triumph of Caesar*. Hampton Court.
284. *The Triumph of Vespasian and Titus*. Drawing, Lombard school, end of fifteenth century. Paris, Louvre.
285. *The Triumph of Vespasian and Titus*. By Giulio Romano. Paris, Louvre.
- 286—288. *Triumphal Procession at the court of Borso d'Este*. Triumph of Minerva—of Apollo—of Venus. From the frescoes by Francesco Cossa in the Palazzo Schifanoia, Ferrara.
289. *Performance in an Amphitheatre* (Rape of the Sabines. Painting by Cosimo Rosselli). Rome, Galleria Colonna.
290. *Performance in a tennis-court* (Healing of the lunatic son. Bronze relief by Donatello). Padua, Sant' Antonio.
291. *Design for Stage Architecture*. By Luciano Laurana. Berlin, Kaiser Friedrich Museum. (Also attributed to Piero della Francesca.)
292. *A Performance of Terence in Italy*. From an edition of Terence, Venice, 1497.
293. *A Performance of Terence in Germany, of the same period*. Coloured woodcut, 1496.
294. *Stage setting for a comedy*. Woodcut from Sebastiano Serlio's 'Dell' Architettura', Venice, 1545. (Sloping street in centre, large and small houses with porticoes on left and right, background formed by building.)
295. *Stage setting for a tragedy*. Woodcut from Serlio's 'Architettura'. (Broad sloping street, palatial dwellings and statues, deep background with triumphal arch.)
296. *Stage setting for a satyric drama*. Woodcut from Serlio's 'Architettura'. (Rural scenery with trees and huts; sloping road as in preceding settings.)
297. *Stage setting of the Teatro Olimpico, Vicenza*. Constructed by Andrea Palladio. (Symmetrical palatial building; sloping lanes — visible through five gateways — with asymmetrical houses.)

298. *Performance of a Mystery Play*. (Assumption of the Virgin, by Vittore Carpaccio.) London, National Gallery.
299. *Triple stage setting*. (Representation of the legend of a saint, painted by Paolo Uccello.) Urbino, Ducal Palace.
300. *Antique setting for a theatrical performance*. (The Death of Dido, by Liberale da Verona.) London, National Gallery.
301. *Musicians at a wedding feast*. Painted panel of a chest, fifteenth-century Florentine work. Florence, Accademia.
302. *Choirboys*. Relief by Luca della Robbia. Florence, Duomo.
303. *Angel Musicians*. Relief by Agostino di Duccio, on the façade of San Bernardino, Perugia.
304. *Music*. Bronze relief on the tomb of Pope Sixtus IV, by Antonio Pollaiuolo. Rome, St. Peter's.
305. *The Concert*. By Titian (also ascribed to Giorgione). Florence, Palazzo Pitti.
306. *Round Dance*. Manner of Bonifazio de' Pitati. Vienna, Akademie.
307. *Lute-player*. By Pontormo. Paris, Louvre.
308. *The Concert*. By Ercole de' Roberti. London, National Gallery.
309. *A Tournament in Florence*, on the Piazza Santa Croce. Mural painting by Stradano, in the Palazzo Vecchio, Florence.
- 310, 311. *Scenes from a tournament in Verona*. By Domenico Morone. London, National Gallery.
312. *Umpires and Spectators at a tournament*. Drawing by Jacopo Bellini. Paris, Louvre.
313. *Hunting Party*. From the fresco of the 'Triumph of Death'. Pisa, Camposanto.
314. *The Vision of St. Eustace*. By Pisanello. London, National Gallery.
315. *Still Life*. Painting by Jacopo de' Barbari (Jacob Walch), 1504. Munich, Ältere Pinakothek.
316. *Turkey*. Bronze. By Giovanni da Bologna. Florence, Museo Nazionale.
317. *Greyhound*. Bronze relief by Benvenuto Cellini. Florence, Museo Nazionale.
318. *Insects*. Drawing by Leonardo da Vinci. Turin, Palazzo Reale.
319. *Greyhound*. Drawing by Pisanello. Paris, Louvre.
320. *Studies of Horses*. By Leonardo da Vinci. Windsor Castle Library.
321. *Studies of Horses*. By Giulio Romano. Vienna, Albertina.
322. *Cowherd and Cattle*. By Francesco Rizzo da Santa Croce. Bergamo, Accademia Carrara.
323. *Cattle-Droivers*. By Lorenzo Lotto. Jesi, Biblioteca.
324. *Landscape with Flocks* (Jacob and Rachel). By Palma Vecchio. Dresden, Gemäldegalerie.
325. *Landscape with Animals*. By Jacopo Bassano. Rome, Palazzo Doria.
326. *Garden Scene*. Woodcut. Venice, 1499.

327. *Venetian Garden*. By an unknown sixteenth-century master. London, Victoria & Albert Museum.
328. *Villa and Garden near Venice*. Painting by Paolo Veronese. Bergamo, Accademia Carrara.
329. *View of Rome, with the Vatican and St. Peter's under reconstruction*. On the left the Belvedere garden. By Paul Juvenel. Formerly in the Kunsthistorisches Museum, Vienna.
330. *The Vatican and the Belvedere*. Engraving by Ambrogio Brambilla, 1579.
331. *Study of a Tree*. Pen-drawing on blue paper by Leonardo da Vinci. Windsor Castle Library.
332. *The Virgin Mary in the Forest*. By Fra Filippo Lippi. Berlin, Kaiser Friedrich Museum.
333. *Life in the Forest*. By Paolo Veronese. Venice, Ducal Palace.
334. *John the Baptist hailing Christ as the Redeemer*. By Jacopo del Sellaio. Berlin, Kaiser Friedrich Museum.
335. *Storm Landscape*. By Giorgione. Venice, Accademia.
336. *The Festival of the Gods*. By Giovanni Bellini. Philadelphia, Widener Museum.
337. *Alfresco Concert*. By Giorgione. Paris, Louvre.
338. *Shepherd and Nymph*. By Titian. Vienna, Kunsthistorisches Museum.
339. *Recumbent Venus*. By Titian. Florence, Uffizi.
340. *Young Woman with a mirror*. By Giovanni Bellini, 1515. (Believed by Burekhardt to be a portrait of Pietro Bembo's mistress.) Vienna, Kunsthistorisches Museum.
341. *Portrait of a Woman*. By Desiderio da Settignano. Berlin, Kaiser Friedrich Museum.
342. *Florentine Woman*, by an unknown fifteenth-century engraver. Berlin, Cabinet of Engravings.
343. *Florentine Woman*. By Botticelli. Berlin, Kaiser Friedrich Museum.
344. *Female Portrait*. By Piero della Francesca. Berlin, Kaiser Friedrich Museum.
- 345, 346. *Heads of Girls*. From Botticelli's 'Primavera'. Florence, Accademia.
347. *Head of Girl*. From Botticelli's 'Birth of Venus'. Florence, Uffizi.
348. *Head of Girl*. From Leonardo's 'Madonna of the Rocks'. Paris, Louvre.
349. *Lady with a Fan*. By Giovanni Battista Moroni (?). Oldenburg, Museum.
350. *Venetian Lady*. By Paolo Veronese. (Portrait of his wife.) New York, Metropolitan Museum.
351. *Children's Costume during the Late Renaissance*. Painting by Scipione Pulzone. Private collection in Italy.
- 352, 353. *Scenes of Court Life*. Alfresco conversations. Frescoes in Palazzo Borromeo, Milan.
354. *Carnival Jester*. Detail from a representation of the months in the Grimani

- breviary. Miniature from the workshop of Simon Bening. Venice, Biblioteca Marciana.
355. *Portrait of a Jester*. By Dosso Dossi. Modena, Galleria Estense.
356. *Borso d'Este with his Court Jester*. From a fresco by Francesco Cossa. Ferrara, Palazzo Schifanoia.
- 357, 358. *Borso d'Este's Court Jesters* (in costumes of astrological meaning). From the frescoes by Francesco Cossa in Palazzo Schifanoia, Ferrara.
- 359, 360. *Dancing*. Frescoes in the Villa Gallina near Florence. Attributed to Antonio Pollaiuolo, Botticelli and others.
361. *Grotesque ornamentation of a capital*. Pisa, Campanile.
362. *Grotesque Masks*. Red crayon drawing attributed to Michelangelo. Lille, Galerie Wicar.
363. *Caricature of Savonarola*. Said to be by Leonardo da Vinci. Vienna, Albertina.
364. *Carnival*. Drawing by Tiepolo. Vienna, Liechtenstein Gallery.
365. *Caricature*. By Annibale Carracci. Stockholm, National Museum.
366. *Caricatures*. By Leonardo da Vinci. Windsor Castle Library.
- 367, 368. *Caricatures*. By a pupil of Leonardo da Vinci. Milan, Ambrosiana.
369. *Grimace*. Drawing by Bernardino Luini (?). London, British Museum.
370. *Cripples*. From the fresco of the 'Triumph of Death'. Pisa, Camposanto.
371. *Torture*. From the fresco by Zenale and Butinone. Milan, San Pietro in Gessate.
372. *Women Bathing*. Detail from Franciabigio's painting: 'Uriah's Letter', 1523. Dresden, Gemäldegalerie.
373. *Men Bathing* (The Thermae of Pozzuoli). Fresco by Girolamo Macchietti. Florence, Palazzo Vecchio.
374. *The Care of the Sick* (St. Cosmas and St. Damian, predella of Fra Angelico's altar-piece in San Marco). By Pesellino. Florence, Accademia.
375. *The Care of the Sick*. From the frieze of the Seven Works of Mercy. Glazed and coloured relief in terracotta, by Giovanni della Robbia. Pistoia, Ospedale del Ceppo.
- 376, 377. *Wedding Festivities*. Panels of a painted marriage chest, fifteenth century. Venice, Museo Correr.
378. *Wedding*. Panel of a marriage chest. By the Master of the Cassoni. Modena, Galleria Estense.
379. *The Bridal Pair and the Witnesses*. Detail from the preceding picture.
380. *Certificate of the wedding between Roberto Sanseverino and Lucrezia Malvolti*, 1473. Siena, City Archives.
- 381, 382. *Wedding of Boccaccio Adimari and Lisa Ricasoli*. Panels of a painted marriage chest. Florence, Accademia.
383. *Nurse with Child*. From the frieze of the Seven Works of Mercy. Glazed

- and coloured relief in terracotta by Filippo Paladini. Pistoia, Ospedale del Ceppo.
384. *Venetian Bedroom* (Dream of St. Ursula). By Vittore Carpaccio. Venice, Accademia.
385. *Venetian Nursery*. By Paris Bordone. Hanover, Provinzialmuseum.
386. *Florentine Interior*, about 1490. From Ghirlandaio's fresco of the 'Nativity of the Virgin'. Florence, Santa Maria Novella.
387. *Bedroom of a prosperous house*, with canopied bed and large fire-place. Relief on the bronze door of Pisa Cathedral, 'The Nativity of the Virgin', by pupils of Giovanni da Bologna, 1602.
388. *Venetian Courtesans on a balcony*. Painting by Vittore Carpaccio. Venice, Museo Correr.
389. *Two Women on a balcony*. Detail from the painting of 'St. Sebastian', by Antonello da Messina. Dresden, Gemäldegalerie.
- 390, 391. *Florentine forks, table- and carving-knives*. From the Carrand Collection. Florence, Museo Nazionale.
392. *Renaissance Jewellery*. From the Carrand Collection. Florence, Museo Nazionale.
393. *Design for a Salt-cellar*. By Benvenuto Cellini. Florence, Uffizi.
394. *Design for a Fire-place*. By Amico Aspertini. Vienna, Albertina.
395. *Benvenuto Cellini*. Engraving by Francesco Allegrini.
- 396, 397. *Smith and Potters*. Reliefs on the Campanile of Florence Cathedral.
398. *Bronze Candelabra*. By Riccio (?). Padua, San Giorgio.
399. *Portrait of a Tailor*. By Giovanni Battista Moroni. London, National Gallery.
400. *Portrait of a Tailor*. By Parmeggianino. Naples, Museo Nazionale.
401. *Weavers* (The Three Fates). From the frescoes by Francesco Cossa in Palazzo Schifanoia, Ferrara.
402. *Loom* (Odysseus and Penelope). Painting by Pinturicchio. London, National Gallery.
403. *Wool-weaving*. By Mirabello Cavalori.
404. *Cannon Foundry*. By Francesco Poppi.
405. *Goldsmiths' Workshop*. By Alessandro Fei.
406. *Glass Factory*. By Giovanni Maria Butteri. Frescoes in Palazzo Vecchio, Florence.
407. *Artists' Banquet*. By Giovanni Manozzi da San Giovanni. Florence, Uffizi.
408. *Leonardo da Vinci*. Self-portrait. Red crayon drawing. Turin, Royal Library.
409. *Leon Battista Alberti*. Bronze medal by Antonio Pisano or his pupil, Matteo de' Pasti. Paris, Louvre.
410. *Michelangelo*. Etching by an unknown sixteenth-century master. Berlin, Cabinet of Engravings.

411. *Luca Signorelli*. Self-portrait. Detail from a fresco in Orvieto Cathedral.
 412. *Andrea Verrocchio*. By Lorenzo di Credi. Florence, Uffizi.
 413. *Filippo Brunelleschi* (Brunellesco). Relief by Buggiano on the Duomo, Florence.
 414. *Andrea Mantegna*. Bronze bust, attributed to Cavalli. Mantua, Sant' Andrea.
 415. *Fra Angelico*. Detail from a fresco by Raphael. Rome, Vatican.
 416. *Bramante* (as the Prophet Joel). Detail from a fresco by Michelangelo. Rome, Sistine Chapel.
 417. *Giuliano da Sangallo*. By Piero di Cosimo. The Hague, Museum.
 418. *Giovanni Bellini*. Self-portrait. Rome, Museo Capitolino.
 419. *Giorgione*. Self-portrait. Brunswick, Museum.
 420. *Titian*. Self-portrait (detail). Madrid, Prado.
 421. *Raphael*. Self-portrait. Florence, Uffizi.
-



INDEX OF PLACES AND OF ARTISTS



INDEX OF PLACES

- Altenburg*, Museum, 34
- Assisi*, Lower Church of San Francesco, 160
- Bergamo*, Accademia Carrara, 21, 83, 201, 219, 322, 328
- Berlin*, Altes Museum, 262
 Cabinet of Engravings, 200, 210, 214, 342, 410
 Kaiser Friedrich Museum, 124, 291, 332, 334, 341, 343, 344
- Bologna*, San Giacomo Maggiore, 9, 11, 73
 San Pietro, 198
- Brunswick*, Museum, 419
- Budapest*, Museum of Fine Arts, 114
- Caprarola*, Palazzo Farnese, 138
- Chatsworth*, Collection of the Duke of Devonshire, 156
- Constantinople*, Museum, 85
- Dresden*, Gemäldegalerie, 324, 372, 389
- Ferrara*, Castle, 2
 Duomo, 170
 Palazzo Schifanoia, 286—288, 356, 357, 358, 401
- Florence*, Accademia, 301, 345, 346, 374, 381, 382
 Baptistery, 101
 Biblioteca Laurenziana, 206
 Borgo degli Albizi, 238
- Florence*, Campanile, 224
 Duomo, 65, 66, 144, 169, 218, 302, 396, 397, 413
 Loggia de' Lanzi, 62
 Museo Bandini, 39
 Museo di Sant'Apollonia, 81, 82, 220, 221
 Museo di San Marco, 161—163
 Museo Nazionale, 42, 50—55, 80, 86, 93—95, 129, 237, 263, 280, 316, 317, 390—392
 Palazzo Medici-Riccardi, 99
 Palazzo Pitti, 59, 60, 154, 155, 247, 249, 305
 Palazzo Vecchio, 88, 89, 102—104, 130, 183, 309, 373, 403—406
 Sant'Ansano, 278
 Santa Maria del Carmine, 239
 Santa Maria Novella, 225, 386
 Santa Trinita, 227
 Società Colombaria, 234
 Uffizi, 10, 23, 40, 56—58, 76—79, 100, 135, 137, 182, 188, 190, 202, 228—231, 235, 236, 241, 266, 279, 339, 347, 393, 407, 412, 421
 Villa Gallina, 359, 360
- Forlì*, Pinacoteca, 84
- Hague, The*, Museum, 417
- Hampton Court*, 282, 283
- Hanover*, Provinzialmuseum, 385

- Jesi*, Biblioteca, 323
- Lille*, Galerie Wicar, 362
- London*, British Museum, 91, 369
National Gallery, 87, 96, 119, 126,
194, 226, 259—261, 275, 298, 300,
308, 310, 314, 399, 402
Victoria & Albert Museum, 265, 327
- Madrid*, Prado, 14, 255, 420
- Mantua*, Castello di Corte, 35—38
Sant' Andrea, 414
- Milan*, Ambrosiana, 43, 46, 367, 368
Archæological Museum, 5
Brera, 24, 25, 125, 203
Castello Sforzesco, 28—33
Palazzo Borromeo, 352, 353
San Pietro in Gessate, 371
Trivulzio Collection, 27
- Modena*, Galleria Estense, 13, 355, 378,
379
- Munich*, Ältere Pinakothek, 131, 315
- Naples*, Castel Nuovo, 3, 274
Museo di San Martino, 97
Museo Nazionale, 45, 67, 157, 158,
187, 213, 400
- New York*, Metropolitan Museum, 15,
48, 189, 350
Private Collection, 116
- Oldenburg*, Museum, 349
- Orvieto*, Cathedral, 174, 411
- Oxford*, Ashmolean Museum, 192
- Padua*, Palazzo della Ragione, 184, 185
Piazza del Santo, 69, 72
Sant' Antonio, 165, 290
San Giorgio, 398
- Paris*, Armand Collection, 90
Bibliothèque Nationale, 132, 133
Dreyfus Collection, 12
Paris, Louvre, 10, 20, 22, 44, 74, 122,
123, 134, 232, 248, 257, 267, 284,
285, 307, 312, 319, 337, 348, 409
- Pavia*, Certosa, 26
- Pergamon*, Temple of Zeus, 264
- Perugia*, Pinacoteca, 1
San Bernardino, 303
- Philadelphia*, Widener Museum, 336
- Pisa*, Campanile, 361
Camposanto, 313, 370
Duomo, 171, 387
- Pistoia*, Ospedale del Ceppo, 375, 383
San Domenico, 199
- Prague*, Lanna Collection, 127
- Richmond*, Collection of Sir Herbert
Cook, Bart., 16
- Rimini*, Cathedral, 8
Malatesta Temple, 7
- Rome*, Arch of Titus, 273
Castel Sant' Angelo, 141
Galleria Borghese, 222
Galleria Colonna, 181, 289
Galleria Doria, 180, 250
Museo Capitolino, 418
Museo Petriano, 145, 147
Palazzo Barberini, 246
Palazzo Doria, 325
St. Peter's, 146, 148, 152, 304
Sistine Chapel, 75, 153, 176, 272, 416
Triumphal Arch, 271
Vatican, 17, 47, 61, 128, 150, 151,
159, 209, 216, 217, 233, 256, 415
Via Appia, 140
Villa Farnesina, 258
- Siena*, Accademia, 276, 277, 281
Capitular Library, 149, 223
City Archives, 380
Duomo, 106, 172, 173
Libreria Piccolomini, 207
Palazzo Pubblico, 64, 105

- Stockholm*, National Museum, 365
- Turin*, Palazzo Reale, 318
Royal Library, 408
- Urbino*, Ducal Palace, 299
- Venice*, Accademia, 108—112, 115, 121,
166—168, 178, 335, 384
Biblioteca Marciana, 205, 354
Campo SS. Giovanni e Paolo, 70, 71
Ducal Palace, 107, 120, 333
Museo Correr, 117, 118, 376, 377, 388
St. Mark's, 68
San Giorgio degli Schiavoni, 179, 197
- Verona*, Palazzo Ridolfi, 139
Scaliger Tombs, 4, 6
- Vicenza*, Teatro Olimpico, 297
- Vienna*, Akademie, 306
Albertina, 49, 175, 208, 215, 321,
363, 394
Collection of Count Wilczek, 196
Kunsthistorisches Museum, 18, 113,
240, 329, 338, 340
Lichtenstein Gallery, 364
- Windsor*, Castle, 136
Library, 63, 92, 177, 186, 191, 320,
331, 366
-



INDEX OF ARTISTS

- Agostino di Duccio* (Florence, 1418 to 1481. Active in Modena, Rimini, Perugia) 303
- Allegrini, Francesco* (born 1587 in Gubbio, died 1663 in Rome. Pupil of the Cavaliere d'Arpino) 395
- Alunno, Niccolò* (Niccolò di Liberatore da Foligno. 1430—1502) 181
- Antonello da Messina* (ca. 1430—1479. Influenced by Flemish and Venetian schools) 194, 389
- Aspertini, Amico* (Bologna, 1475 to 1552. Pupil of Francesco Francia. — Painter and sculptor) 394
- Barbari, Jacopo de'* (born before 1450 in Venice, from 1500 on lived in Nürnberg, Wittenberg and Frankfurt under the name of Jakob Walch; at time of death was court painter to the Stadholder Margaret in Brussels. Influenced Dürer. — Painter, engraver, woodcarver) 187, 315
- Bartolommeo, Fra* (Bartolommeo del Fattore, also called Baccio della Porta. Florence, 1472—1517. Dominican monk, friend of Savonarola) 161, 162
- Bassano, Jacopo* (Jacopo da Ponte. Venice, 1515—1592) 325
- Bassano, Leandro* (Venice, 1551—1622. Pupil of his father Jacopo Bassano) 111
- Bastiani, Lazzaro*, see *Sebastiani*
- Bellini, Gentile* (Venice, ca. 1429 to 1507. Pupil of his father Jacopo. Influenced by his brother-in-law Mantegna) 109, 112, 114, 115, 116, 117, 118, 119, 122, 126, 168
- Bellini, Giovanni* (Venice, born after 1430, died 1516. Teacher of Palma Vecchio, Giorgione, Titian) 125, 336, 340, 418
- Bellini, Jacopo* (Venice, Verona, Ferrara, Padua, ca. 1400—1470. Father of Gentile and Giovanni Bellini, Father-in-law of Mantegna) 312
- Bening, Simon* (illuminator, of Ghent, 1483—1561) 354
- Bertoldo di Giovanni* (Florence, ca. 1420—1491. Sculptor and designer of coins. Pupil of Donatello and teacher of Michelangelo) 86
- Boltraffio, Antonio* (Milan, 1467 to 1516. Pupil of Leonardo da Vinci) 27, 43
- B(u)onfigli, Benedetto* (Perugia, ca. 1420—1496) 1
- Bonsignori, Francesco* (Verona, 1455 to 1519. From 1495 on court painter to the Gonzagas in Mantua) 40, 49
- Bordone, Paris* (Venice, pupil of Titian.

- Born Treviso 1500, died 1571. Active also in Paris and Augsburg) 110, 385
- Botticelli* (Sandro di Mariano Filipepi. Florence, born after 1444, died 1510. Influenced by Fra Filippo Lippi, Antonio Pollaiuolo and Verrocchio) 153, 182, 214, 216, 217, 232, 260, 272, 343, 345, 346, 347, 359, 360
- Boulogne, Jean*, see Giovanni (da Bologna)
- Bramante, Donato* (born ca. 1444, died 1514 in Rome. Influenced in his painting by Piero della Francesca and Mantegna. Active as architect in Rome) 188
- Brambilla, Ambrogio* (16th-century engraver in Rome) 330
- Brunelleschi, Filippo* (Brunellesco. Architect, also goldsmith and sculptor. Florence, 1377—1446) 144
- Brusatorci* (Domenico del Riccio. Verona, 1493—1567) 139
- Buggiano* (Andrea Cavalcanti. Pupil of Brunelleschi; Florence 1412—1462. Sculptor) 413
- Butteri, Giovanni Maria* (Florence, ca. 1540—1606. Collaborator of Alessandro Allori) 406
- Caroto, Gianfrancesco* (Verona, ca. 1480—1555) 59, 60
- Carpaccio, Vittore* (Scorpazza. Venice, pupil of Gentile Bellini. Born ca. 1455, died 1525) 108, 121, 123, 166, 179, 197, 202, 298, 384, 388
- Carracci, Annibale* (Bologna, 1560 to 1609. Influenced by Michelangelo, Raphael and Correggio. With Lodovico and Agostino Carracci founded the 'Accademia degli Incamminati', Academy of Progressives, in Bologna) 365
- Castagno, Andrea del* (Florence, 1423 to 1457. Influenced by Masaccio and Donatello) 65, 81, 82, 220, 221
- Cavalli* (Mantua, ca. 1500) 414
- Cavalori, Mirabello* (Mirabello da Salicorno. Florence, died 1572) 403
- Cellini, Benvenuto* (Florence, 1500 to 1572. Goldsmith and Sculptor. His autobiography was translated into German by Goethe and into English by J. A. Symonds) 317, 393
- Cima* (Giovanni Battista da Conegliano. Active chiefly in Venice. Influenced by Giovanni Bellini. Born ca. 1459, died before 1518) 124
- Cimabue* (Cenno di Pepe. Florence, ca. 1240—1303) 160
- Clouet, Jean* (Court painter to Francis I of France. Died 1540) 134, 135
- Coltellini, Girolamo* (Bologna, 16th century) 198
- Conti, Bernardino de'* (Milan. Pupil of Leonardo da Vinci. Chief activity from 1496 to 1522) 24, 25, 47
- Cosimo, Piero di* (Florence, 1462 to 1521. Pupil of Cosimo Rosselli; further development under influence of Leonardo da Vinci) 35, 75, 259, 417
- Cossa, Francesco del* (Ferrarese school. Born Ferrara ca. 1435, died Bologna 1477) 286—288, 356, 357, 358, 401
- Costa, Lorenzo* (Ferrara, Bologna, Mantua; belongs to Ferrarese school, influenced by Cosmè Tura and Ercole de' Roberti. Born Ferrara 1460, died Mantua 1535) 9, 12
- Credi, Lorenzo di* (Lorenzo di Andrea d'Origo. Florence, 1459—1537. Pupil

- of Verrocchio, influenced by his fellow-pupil Leonardo da Vinci) 412
- Cremona, Girolamo da* (15th-century illuminator from Cremona, collaborator of Liberale da Verona) 412
- Cristoforo, Gian*, see Romano
- Donatello* (Donato di Niccolò di Betto Bardi. Florence, 1386—1466. Active also in Siena, Rome and Padua) 62, 69, 72, 80, 224, 290
- Dosio, Giovanni Antonio* (Rome, sculptor, 1533—1609. Compiler of a volume on the antiquities of Rome, with 39 engravings) 268
- Dosso Dossi* (Giovanni di Niccolò Luteri. Ferrara, pupil of Lorenzo Costa, influenced by Titian, 1479—1542. Active also in Florence and Venice) 13, 355
- Enzola, Gianfrancesco* (Medallist from Parma. Active about 1456—1475, chiefly for the Sforzas in Milan) 50, 51
- Fei, Alessandro* (Alessandro del Barbieri. Florence, 1543—1592) 405
- Francia, Francesco* (Francesco Raibolini. Bologna, 1450—1517. Originally a goldsmith. Influenced by Lorenzo Costa and Raphael) 48, 215
- Franciabigio* (Francesco di Cristofano Bigi. Florence, 1482?—1525. Influenced by Piero di Cosimo and Andrea del Sarto) 372
- Ghiberti, Lorenzo di Cione* (Florence, 1381—1455. Goldsmith, worker in bronze and relief. As author of the 'Commentaries' the forerunner of modern art history) 101
- Ghirlandaio, Domenico* (Domenico di Tommaso Bigordi. Florence, 1449 to 1494. Pupil of Baldovinetti; influenced by Castagno and Verrocchio) 142, 225, 227, 386
- Ghirlandaio, Ridolfo* (Son and pupil of Domenico Ghirlandaio. Influenced by Fra Bartolommeo and Raphael. Florence, 1483—1561) 226
- Giorgione* (Giorgio Barbarelli. Venice. Born Castelfranco about 1478, died Venice 1510. Pupil of Giovanni Bellini) 83, 96, 335, 337, 419
- Giovanni da Bologna* (Jean Boulogne of Douai, 1528—1608. From 1553 on at Florence in the service of the Medici. Sculptor) 316, 387
- Girolamo da Cremona*, see Cremona
- Gozzoli, Benozzo* (Benozzo di Lese di Sandro. Florence, 1420—1498. Goldsmith, worker in bronze, and painter. Active also in Rome, Montefalco and Pisa) 99
- Granacci, Francesco* (Francesco d'Andrea. Florence, 1477—1543. Pupil of Domenico Ghirlandaio, influenced by Fra Bartolommeo and Raphael) 137
- Holbein, Hans, the Younger* (born in Augsburg about 1497, died in London 1543, painter to the English court. Active for a time in Basle and probably also in Northern Italy) 136
- Juvenel, Paul* (Born Nürnberg 1578, died Pressburg 1643) 329
- Laurana, Francesco* (From Lovrana near Zara. Pupil of Brunelleschi or of

- Agostino di Duccio. Active in Southern Italy, Rimini, Urbino, and in France. Sculptor and designer of commemorative coins) 274
- Laurana, Luciano* (Born at Lovrana near Zara, ca. 1420, relative of Francesco Laurana; died 1479 at Pesaro. Active chiefly as architect in Urbino) 291
- Leonardo da Vinci* (Florence. Born 1452 at Villa Anchiano near Vinci, died 1519 at the château of Cloux, near Amboise. Architect, sculptor, painter, engineer. Pupil of Verrocchio. Chief activity in Florence, Milan, Rome; court painter to Francis I of France) 20, 63, 90, 91, 92, 186, 190, 191, 318, 320, 331, 348, 363, 366, 408; 46, 367, 368
- Liberale da Verona* (Verona, 1445 to ca. 1528. First, illuminator in Siena, later painted frescoes and panel-pictures in Verona) 300
- Lippi, Filippino* (Florence, ca. 1457 to 1504. Pupil of his father Fra Filippo Lippi, of Fra Diamante and Botticelli) 239
- Lippi, Fra Filippo* (Filippo di Tommaso Lippi. Florence, 1406—1469. Influenced by Masaccio and Fra Angelico) 332
- Lorch, Melchior* (Lorich, 1527 to after 1590. Painter and engraver, active also in Denmark and Turkey) 127
- Lotto, Lorenzo* (Venice, ca. 1480 to 1556. Pupil of Giovanni Bellini) 323
- Luini, Bernardino* (Milan; born after 1480 at Luino, died 1532. Follower of Leonardo da Vinci) 28—33, 369
- Macchietti, Girolamo* (Florence, ca. 1541—1592) 373
- Manozzi* (Giovanni da San Giovanni. Rome and Florence, 1590—1636) 407
- Mantegna, Andrea* (Padua, 1431 to 1506. Pupil of Squarcione, influenced by Donatello and Jacopo Bellini. Active in Padua, Mantua, Verona, Florence, Rome) 35, 36, 37, 38, 208, 257, 282, 283
- Mariotto, Bernardino di* (also called di Nardo; follower of Giotto. Florence, 14th century) 203
- Martini, Simone* (Born ca. 1283 at Siena, died 1344 at Avignon. Follower of Duccio di Buoninsegna in Siena) 64
- Master of the Cassoni* (Florence, middle of 15th century) 275, 378, 379
- Master of the Pala Sforzesca* (Milan, active about 1480 to 1520. His principal work, the 1495 altar-piece—Pala Sforzesca—was ascribed by Morelli to Bernardino de' Conti) 24, 25
- Melozzo da Forlì* (Melozzo degli Ambrogi. Forlì, 1438—1494. Pupil of Piero della Francesca and of Justus of Ghent) 151, 233
- Michelangelo* (Michelangelo Buonarroti. Born 1475 at Castel Caprese, died 1564 in Rome. Pupil of Ghirlandaio and Bertoldo in Florence. Architect, sculptor, painter, poet) 145, 146, 176, 177, 206, 263, 265, 267, 362, 416
- Michelino, Domenico di* (Florence, 15th century. Pupil of Fra Angelico) 201, 218
- Michelozzo di Bartolommeo* (Florence, 1396—1472. Sculptor and architect. Follower of Brunelleschi, collaborator of Donatello) 204
- Miretto, Giovanni* (Padua, about 1420) 184, 185

- Monogrammist M. H.* (Tuscany, 15th century) 196
- Morone, Domenico* (Verona, 1442 to after 1508. Influenced by Mantegna and Gentile Bellini) 310, 311
- Moroni, Giovanni Battista* (Bergamo school, born after 1520, died 1578. Pupil of Moretto) 349, 399
- Niccolò Fiorentino.* Active ca. 1485 to 1514) 52, 53, 164
- Palladio, Andrea* (Vicenza, 1518—1580. Architect) 297
- Palma Vecchio* (Jacopo d'Antonio Negretti. Venice, 1480—1528. Pupil of Giovanni Bellini) 324
- Palmezzano, Marco di Antonio* (Born at Forlì 1456, died 1538? Influenced by Melozzo da Forlì and the Ferrarese school) 84
- Parentino, Bernardo* (Parenzano. Padua, 1437—1531) 180
- Parmegianino* (Francesco Mazzola. Parma, 1503—1540. Influenced by Correggio and Raphael) 400
- Passerotti, Bartolommeo* (Bologna, ca. 1530—1592. Pupil of Taddeo Zucaro; influenced by Michelangelo and Correggio) 192
- Pasti, Matteo de'* (Verona, died 1468. Pupil of Pisanello. Architect, sculptor, painter, associated with Leon Battista Alberti in Rimini) 279, 409
- Pesellino* (Francesco di Stefano. Florence, 1422—1457) 219, 374
- Piero della Francesca* (Piero dei Franceschi. Umbrian school. Active chiefly in Borgo San Sepolcro, Rome, Urbino. 1416?—1492) 7, 56, 57, 58, 344
- Pinturicchio, Bernardo* (Bernardino di Betto Biagio. Perugia, ca. 1455 to 1513. Worked with Perugino in Rome) 17, 128, 149, 150, 223, 402
- Piombo, Sebastiano del* (Sebastiano di Francesco Luciani. Venice, 1485 to 1547. Pupil of Giovanni Bellini, influenced by Giorgione and Michelangelo) 156, 157, 189
- Pisanello* (Antonio Pisano; by Vasari erroneously called Vittore P. Verona, 1398?—1455? Medallist and painter) 21, 22, 54, 55, 314, 319, 409
- Pitati, Bonifazio* (of Verona, 1487 to 1533. School of Palma Vecchio; active chiefly in Venice) 306
- Pollaiuolo, Antonio del* (Antonio di Jacopo Benci. Florence, 1429—1498. Goldsmith, worker in bronze, engraver, painter. Active also in Rome) 23, 152, 304, 359, 360
- Pontorno* (Jacopo Carrucci. Florence, 1494—1557. Assistant to Andrea del Sarto, collaborator of Bronzino; influenced by Dürer and Michelangelo) 307
- Poppi, Francesco da* (Francesco Morandini. Florence, 1544—1587. Pupil of Vasari) 404
- Pulzone, Scipione* (of Gaeta, ca. 1550 to ca. 1588. Neapolitan school. Chiefly portrait-painter) 351
- Raphael* (Raffaello Santi. Born 1483 in Urbino, died 1520 in Rome) 61, 154, 155, 159, 248, 250, 255, 256, 258, 415, 421
- Riccio* (Andrea Briosco. Padua, 1470 to 1532. Follower of Donatello. Celebrated for small works in bronze) 398
- Rizzo, Francesco, da Santa Croce* (Born near Bergamo, year of birth un-

- known, died 1508 in Venice. Pupil of Giovanni Bellini; influenced by Giorgione) 322
- Robbia, Giovanni della* (Florence, 1469 to 1529. Pupil of his father Andrea della Robbia. Influenced by Verrocchio and Perugino. Chief works: coloured glazed terracotta) 375, 383
- Robbia, Luca della* (Florence, 1400 to 1482. Sculptor, influenced by Ghiberti) 129, 132
- Roberti, Ercole de'* (Ferrara, 1450? to 1496. Influenced by Jacopo Bellini and Cosimo Tura) 308
- Romano, Gian Cristoforo* (Born in Rome about 1465, died 1512. Active chiefly in Pavia, Milan, Mantua, Ferrara, Rome. Medallist and sculptor. Influenced by Pisanello) 39, 41, 42
- Romano, Giulio* (Giulio di Pietro Pippi de' Gianuzzi. Rome 1492?—1546. Pupil and assistant of Raphael. Court painter in Mantua) 285, 321
- Rosselli, Cosimo* (Florence, 1439—1509. Pupil of Benozzo Gozzoli) 289
- Rossellino, Antonio* (Antonio di Matteo di Domenico Gambarelli. Florentine school. Born at Settignano 1427; died 1478. Influenced by Donatello) 199, 237
- Rusticci, Giovanni Francesco* (Florence, 1474—1554. Pupil of Verrocchio and Leonardo da Vinci) 101
- Sano di Pietro* (Siena, 1406—1481. Pupil of Sassetta) 106, 173
- Sansovino, Jacopo* (Jacopo Tatti. Florence, Rome and Venice, 1486 to 1570. Sculptor, pupil of Andrea Sansovino, influenced by Michelangelo) 205
- Sebastiani* (Lazzaro Bastiani. Venice, mentioned from 1449 on, died 1512. School of Vivarini, influenced by Gentile Bellini; teacher of Vittore Carpaccio) 167
- Sellaio, Jacopo del* (Florence, 1442 to 1493. Influenced by Botticelli and Ghirlandaio) 261, 278, 334
- Serlio, Sebastiano* (Bologna, 1475 to 1552. Architect and compiler of a volume on architecture) 294, 295, 296
- Settignano, Desiderio da* (Desiderio di Bartolommeo di Francesco, called Ferro. Florence, school of Donatello, 1428—1464. Sculptor) 341
- Signorelli, Luca* (Umbrian-Tuscan school. Pupil of Piero della Francesca. Cortona, 1441—1523. Active chiefly in Loreto, Rome, Siena and Orvieto) 174, 411
- Solario, Andrea* (Milan, ca. 1465 to after 1515. Influenced by Antonello da Messina and Leonardo da Vinci) 26
- Sperandio* (S. di Bartolommeo di Sperandio. Mantua, ca. 1425—1495. Active chiefly in Mantua, Ferrara and Bologna. Medallist and sculptor) 10
- Stradano, Giovanni* (Jan van Straet. Pupil of Vasari. 1523—1605) 102, 103, 104, 183, 309
- Tiepolo, Giovanni Battista* (Venetian school. 1696—1770. Influenced by the works of Paolo Veronese. Painter and etcher) 364
- Tintoretto* (Jacopo Robusti. Venice, 1518—1594. Pupil of Titian; influenced by Michelangelo) 249
- Titian* (Tiziano Vecellio. Venetian

- school. Born 1477? at Pieve di Cadore, died in Venice 1576. Pupil of Giovanni Bellini; influenced by Giorgione. Active also in Rome and Augsburg) 14, 15, 16, 18, 19, 131, 158, 240, 246, 247, 305, 338, 339, 420
- Uccello, Paolo* (Paolo di Dono. Florence, 1397—1475. Goldsmith, sculptor, painter) 66, 87, 299
- Vasari, Giorgio* (Florence, 1511—1574. Painter, architect, art historian. Influenced by Andrea del Sarto, Bandinelli, Salviati, Michelangelo) 88, 89, 100, 130
- Veronese* (Paolo Caliari. Venice, 1528 to 1588. Born in Verona, pupil of Antonio Badile, influenced by Bruc-satorci and Titian) 113, 120, 328, 333, 350
- Verrocchio* (Andrea di Michele de' Cioni. Florence, 1435—1488. Sculptor; at first goldsmith; painter. Active in Florence, Rome and Venice) 70, 71
- Vico, Enea* (Parma, 1523—1567. Engraver) 252
- Volturna, Laurentius de* (Illuminator, 15th century) 200
- Zuccaro, Federigo* (Federico Zuccherò. Umbrian school. Born in Sant'Angelo Vado, died 1609) 138, 175
- Zuccaro, Taddeo* (Zuccherò. Umbrian school. 1529—1566. Brother of Federigo Zuccaro. Manneristic painter, influenced by Michelangelo and Raphael. Active chiefly in Caprarola and Rome) 138



CONTENTS

Part I

THE STATE AS A WORK OF ART

| | Page |
|--|------|
| INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| Political condition of Italy in the thirteenth century | 1 |
| The Norman State under Frederick II | 2 |
| Ezzelino da Romano | 3 |
| THE TYRANNY OF THE FOURTEENTH CENTURY | 4 |
| Finance and its relation to culture | 4 |
| The ideal of the absolute ruler | 5 |
| Inward and outward dangers | 5 |
| Florentine estimate of the tyrants | 6 |
| The Visconti | 7 |
| THE TYRANNY OF THE FIFTEENTH CENTURY | 8 |
| Intervention and visits of the emperors | 9 |
| Their claims disregarded | 9 |
| Want of a fixed law of succession. Illegitimacy | 10 |
| Founding of States by Condottieri | 11 |
| Relations of Condottieri to their employers | 12 |
| The family of Sforza | 13 |
| Giacomo Piccinino | 13 |
| Later attempts of the Condottieri | 14 |
| THE PETTY TYRANNIES | 15 |
| The Baglioni of Perugia | 15 |
| Their internal dissensions and the massacre in the year 1500 | 17 |
| Their decline | 18 |
| Malatesta, Pico, and Petrucci | 18 |
| THE GREATER DYNASTIES | 19 |
| The Aragonese at Naples | 19 |
| The last Visconti at Milan | 21 |
| Francesco Sforza and his luck | 22 |
| Galeazzo Maria and Lodovico il Moro | 23 |
| The Gonzaga at Mantua | 24 |
| Federigo da Montefeltro, Duke of Urbino | 25 |
| Final brilliance of the court of Urbino | 26 |
| The Este at Ferrara | 26 |
| Sale of offices, order and building | 27 |
| Personal Virtuosity | 27 |
| Loyalty of the city | 28 |
| Zampante, the chief of police | 29 |

| | Page |
|---|------|
| Sympathy of the populace with grief of princes | 29 |
| Pomp of the court | 30 |
| The Este and patronage of art and letters | 30 |
| THE OPPONENTS OF THE TYRANNIES | 30 |
| The later Guelphs and Ghibellines | 30 |
| The conspirators | 31 |
| Murders in church | 32 |
| Influence of ancient tyrannicide | 32 |
| Catiline as an ideal | 33 |
| Florentine view of tyrannicide | 33 |
| The people and tyrannicide | 34 |
| THE REPUBLICS | 34 |
| VENICE IN THE FIFTEENTH CENTURY | 35 |
| The inhabitants | 36 |
| Dangers from the poor nobility | 36 |
| Causes of the stability of Venice | 37 |
| The Council of Ten and political trials | 37 |
| Relations with the Condottieri | 38 |
| Optimism of Venetian foreign policy | 38 |
| Venice as the home of statistics | 39 |
| Retardation of the Renaissance | 40 |
| Mediæval devotion to relics | 41 |
| FLORENCE FROM THE FOURTEENTH CENTURY | 41 |
| Objectivity of political intelligence | 42 |
| Dante as a politician | 42 |
| Florence as the home of statistics: the two Villanis | 43 |
| Higher form of statistics | 44 |
| Florentine constitutions and the historians | 45 |
| Fundamental vice of the Tuscan State | 46 |
| Political theorists | 46 |
| Machiavelli and his project of a constitution | 47 |
| Siena and Genoa | 48 |
| FOREIGN POLICY OF THE ITALIAN STATES | 48 |
| Envy felt towards Venice | 49 |
| Relations to other countries; sympathy with France | 49 |
| Plan for a balance of power | 50 |
| Foreign intervention and conquests | 50 |
| Alliances with the Turks | 51 |
| Counter-influence of Spain | 52 |
| Objective treatment of politics | 52 |
| Art of diplomacy | 52 |
| WAR AS A WORK OF ART | 53 |
| Firearms | 53 |
| Professional warriors and dilettanti | 54 |
| Horrors of war | 54 |
| THE PAPACY AND ITS DANGERS | 55 |
| Relation of the Papacy to Italy and foreign countries | 55 |
| Disturbances in Rome from the time of Nicholas V | 56 |

| | Page |
|--|------|
| Sixtus IV master of Rome | 57 |
| Plans of Cardinal Riario | 57 |
| States of the Nipoti in Romagna | 57 |
| Cardinals belonging to princely houses | 58 |
| Innocent VIII and his son | 58 |
| Alexander VI as a Spaniard | 59 |
| Relations with foreign countries, and Simony | 60 |
| Cæsar Borgia and his relations to his father | 60 |
| His final aims | 61 |
| Threat to secularize the Papal States | 61 |
| Irrational means | 62 |
| Assassinations | 62 |
| Julius II as Saviour of the Papacy | 63 |
| Election of Leo X | 65 |
| His dangerous political projects | 65 |
| Growing danger from abroad | 65 |
| Adrian VI | 66 |
| Clement VII and the sack of Rome | 66 |
| Reaction consequent on the latter | 67 |
| The Papacy of the Counter-Reformation | 68 |
| THE ITALIAN PATRIOTS | 68 |

Part II

THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE INDIVIDUAL

| | |
|--|----|
| THE ITALIAN STATE AND THE INDIVIDUAL | 70 |
| The mediæval man | 70 |
| The awakening of personality | 70 |
| The despot and his subjects | 71 |
| Individualism in the Republics | 71 |
| Exile and cosmopolitanism | 72 |
| COMPLETE DEVELOPMENT OF PERSONALITY | 72 |
| The many-sided men | 73 |
| The universal men: L. B. Alberti | 74 |
| THE MODERN IDEA OF FAME | 75 |
| Dante's feeling about fame | 75 |
| The celebrity of the Humanists: Petrarch | 76 |
| Cultus of birthplace and graves | 76 |
| Cultus of the famous men of antiquity | 77 |
| Literature of local fame: Padua | 78 |
| Literature of universal fame | 79 |
| Fame given or refused by the writers | 79 |
| Morbid passion for fame | 80 |
| MODERN WIT AND SATIRE | 81 |
| Its connection with individualism | 81 |
| Florentine wit: the novel | 81 |
| Jesters and buffoons | 82 |

| | Page |
|--|------|
| Leo X and his witticisms | 82 |
| Poetical parodies | 83 |
| Theory of wit | 83 |
| Railing and reviling | 84 |
| Adrian VI as scapegoat | 85 |
| Pietro Aretino | 86 |
| His use of publicity | 86 |
| His attitude towards princes and celebrities | 87 |
| His religion | 88 |

Part III

THE REVIVAL OF ANTIQUITY

| | |
|--|-----|
| INTRODUCTORY REMARKS | 89 |
| Widened application of the word 'Renaissance' | 89 |
| Antiquity in the Middle Ages | 90 |
| Its early resuscitation in Italy | 90 |
| Latin poetry of the twelfth century in Italy | 91 |
| The spirit of the fourteenth century | 91 |
| ROME, THE CITY OF RUINS | 92 |
| Dante, Petrarch, Uberti | 92 |
| Rome at the time of Poggio | 93 |
| Blondus, Nicholas V, and Pius II | 94 |
| Antiquity outside Rome | 94 |
| Attitude and claims of the Romans | 95 |
| Affiliation of families and cities on Rome | 95 |
| The corpse of Julia | 95 |
| Excavations and architectural plans | 96 |
| Rome under Leo X | 96 |
| Sentimental effect of ruins | 97 |
| THE OLD AUTHORS | 97 |
| Their diffusion in the fourteenth century | 97 |
| Discoveries in the fifteenth century | 98 |
| The libraries, Copyists and 'Scrittori' | 98 |
| Printing | 100 |
| Greek scholarship | 101 |
| Oriental scholarship | 102 |
| Pico's view of antiquity | 102 |
| HUMANISM IN THE FOURTEENTH CENTURY | 103 |
| Its inevitable victory | 103 |
| Sympathy of Dante, Petrarch, and Boccaccio | 104 |
| Boccaccio as precursor | 104 |
| Coronation of the poets | 105 |
| THE UNIVERSITIES AND SCHOOLS | 106 |
| Position of the Humanists at the Universities in the fifteenth century | 107 |
| Subsidiary institutions | 108 |

| | |
|--|-----|
| Free education: Vittorino da Feltre | 108 |
| Guarino in Ferrara | 109 |
| The education of princes | 109 |
| THE FURTHERERS OF HUMANISM | 109 |
| Florentine citizens: Niccoli | 110 |
| Mannetti; the earlier Medici | 110 |
| Princes; the Popes from Nicholas V onwards | 112 |
| Alfonso of Naples | 114 |
| Frederick of Urbino | 115 |
| The Houses of Sforza and Este | 116 |
| Sigismondo Malatesta | 116 |
| THE REPRODUCTION OF ANTIQUITY. EPISTOLOGRAPHY | 117 |
| The Papal Chancery | 117 |
| Appreciation of epistolary style | 118 |
| LATIN ORATIONS | 118 |
| Indifference as to the social position of orators | 118 |
| Political and diplomatic orations | 119 |
| Funeral orations | 120 |
| Academic and military speeches | 120 |
| Latin sermons | 121 |
| Revival of ancient Rhetoric | 121 |
| Form and matter of the speeches. Passion for quotation | 121 |
| Imaginary speeches | 122 |
| Decline of eloquence | 123 |
| LATIN TREATISES. HISTORY | 123 |
| Value of Latin | 123 |
| Researches on the Middle Ages: Blondus | 125 |
| Beginnings of Criticism | 126 |
| Relation to histories in Italian | 126 |
| GENERAL LATINIZATION OF CULTURE | 127 |
| Ancient names | 127 |
| Latinized social relations | 128 |
| Claims of Latin to supremacy | 128 |
| Cicero and the Ciceronians | 129 |
| Latin conversation | 130 |
| MODERN LATIN POETRY | 130 |
| Epic poems on ancient history: The 'Africa' | 131 |
| Mythic poetry | 131 |
| Christian epics: Sannazaro | 132 |
| Poetry on contemporary subjects | 133 |
| Introduction of mythology | 134 |
| Didactic poetry: Palingenius | 135 |
| Lyric poetry and its limits | 135 |
| Odes on the saints | 136 |
| Elegies and the like | 136 |
| The epigram | 137 |
| Macaronic verse | 139 |

| | Page |
|--|------|
| FALL OF THE HUMANISTS IN THE SIXTEENTH CENTURY | 139 |
| The accusations and the amount of truth they contained | 139 |
| Misery of the scholars | 141 |
| Type of the happy scholar | 143 |
| Pomponius Lætus | 144 |
| The Academies | 144 |

Part IV

THE DISCOVERY OF THE WORLD AND OF MAN

| | |
|--|-----|
| JOURNEYS OF THE ITALIANS | 146 |
| Columbus | 147 |
| Cosmographical purpose in travel | 147 |
| NATURAL SCIENCE IN ITALY | 148 |
| Empirical tendency | 148 |
| Dante and astronomy | 148 |
| Attitude of the Church | 149 |
| Influence of Humanism | 149 |
| Botany and gardens | 150 |
| Zoology and collections of foreign animals | 150 |
| The retinue of Ippolito Medici; Slaves | 151 |
| THE DISCOVERY OF NATURAL BEAUTY | 152 |
| Landscapes in the Middle Ages | 152 |
| Petrarch and his ascents of mountains | 153 |
| Uberti's 'Dittamondo' | 154 |
| The Flemish school of painting | 154 |
| Æneas Sylvius and his descriptions | 155 |
| THE DISCOVERY OF MAN | 157 |
| Popular psychological ground-work. The temperaments | 158 |
| DELINEATION OF THE SPIRIT IN POETRY | 158 |
| Value of unrhymed poetry | 159 |
| Value of the Sonnet | 159 |
| Dante and the 'Vita Nuova' | 160 |
| The 'Divine Comedy' | 161 |
| Petrarch as a painter of the soul | 161 |
| Boccaccio and the Fiammetta | 162 |
| Feeble development of tragedy | 163 |
| Scenic splendour, the enemy of the drama | 163 |
| The intermezzo and the ballet | 164 |
| Comedies and masques | 165 |
| Compensation afforded by music | 166 |
| Epic romances | 166 |
| Necessary subordination of the descriptions of character | 167 |
| Pulci and Boiardo | 168 |
| Inner law of their compositions | 168 |
| Ariosto and his style | 169 |

| | Page |
|---|------|
| Folengo and parody | 170 |
| Contrast offered by Tasso | 170 |
| BIOGRAPHY | 171 |
| Advance of Italy on the Middle Ages | 171 |
| Tuscan biographers | 172 |
| Biography in other parts of Italy | 172 |
| Autobiography; Æneas Sylvius | 173 |
| Benvenuto Cellini | 174 |
| Girolamo Cardano | 174 |
| Luigi Cornaro | 175 |
| THE DESCRIPTION OF NATIONS AND CITIES | 177 |
| The 'Dittamondo' | 177 |
| Descriptions in the sixteenth century | 178 |
| DESCRIPTION OF THE OUTWARD MAN | 178 |
| Boccaccio on Beauty | 179 |
| Ideal of Firenzuola | 179 |
| His general definitions | 180 |
| DESCRIPTIONS OF LIFE IN MOVEMENT | 181 |
| Æneas Sylvius and others | 182 |
| Conventional bucolic poetry from the time of Petrarch | 182 |
| Real position of the peasants | 183 |
| Genuine poetic treatment of country life | 183 |
| Battista Mantovano, Lorenzo il Magnifico, Pulci | 183 |
| Angelo Poliziano | 184 |
| Man, and the conception of humanity | 184 |



SOCIETY AND FESTIVALS

| | |
|--|-----|
| THE EQUALIZATION OF CLASSES | 186 |
| Contrast to the Middle Ages | 186 |
| Common life of nobles and burghers in the cities | 186 |
| Theoretical criticism of noble birth | 187 |
| The nobles in different parts of Italy | 188 |
| The nobility and culture | 188 |
| Later influence of Spain | 188 |
| Knighthood since the Middle Ages | 189 |
| The tournaments and the caricature of them | 189 |
| Noble birth as a requisite of the courtier | 190 |
| OUTWARD REFINEMENT OF LIFE | 191 |
| Costume and fashions | 191 |
| The toilette of women | 192 |
| Cleanliness | 193 |
| The 'Galateo' and good manners | 193 |
| Comfort and elegance | 194 |
| LANGUAGE AS THE BASIS OF SOCIAL INTERCOURSE | 194 |
| Development of an ideal language | 195 |

| | Page |
|--|------|
| Its wide diffusion | 196 |
| The Purists | 196 |
| Their want of success | 197 |
| Conversation | 197 |
| THE HIGHER FORMS OF SOCIETY | 198 |
| Rules and statutes | 198 |
| The novelists and their society | 198 |
| The great lady and the drawing-room | 199 |
| Florentine society | 199 |
| Lorenzo's descriptions of his own circle | 199 |
| THE PERFECT MAN OF SOCIETY | 200 |
| His love-making | 200 |
| His outward and spiritual accomplishments | 200 |
| Bodily exercises | 201 |
| Music | 201 |
| The instruments and the Virtuosi | 202 |
| Musical dilettantism in society | 202 |
| THE POSITION OF WOMEN | 203 |
| Their masculine education and poetry | 204 |
| Completion of their personality | 204 |
| The Virago | 205 |
| Women in society | 205 |
| The culture of the prostitutes | 205 |
| DOMESTIC LIFE | 206 |
| Contrast to the Middle Ages | 207 |
| Agnolo Pandolfini | 207 |
| The villa and country life | 207 |
| THE FESTIVALS | 208 |
| Their origin in the mystery and the procession | 209 |
| Advantages over foreign countries | 209 |
| Allegory in Italian art | 210 |
| Historical representatives of abstractions | 211 |
| The Mysteries | 211 |
| Corpus Christi at Viterbo | 213 |
| Secular representations | 214 |
| Pantomimes and princely receptions | 214 |
| Processions and religious Trionfi | 215 |
| Secular Trionfi | 217 |
| Regattas and processions on water | 219 |
| The Carnival at Rome and Florence | 220 |

Part VI

MORALITY AND RELIGION

| | |
|---|-------|
| MORALITY | 222 ✓ |
| Limits of criticism | 222 |
| Italian consciousness of demoralization | 223 |

| | Page |
|---|-------|
| The modern sense of honour | 224 |
| Power of the imagination | 225 |
| The passion for gambling and for vengeance | 225 |
| Breach of the marriage tie | 228 |
| Position of the married woman | 229 |
| Spiritualization of love | 232 |
| General emancipation from moral restraints | 233 ✓ |
| Brigandage | 234 |
| Paid assassination: poisoning | 235 ✓ |
| Absolute wickedness | 236 ✓ |
| Morality and individualism | 237 |
| RELIGION IN DAILY LIFE | 238 |
| Lack of a reformation | 239 |
| Relations of the Italians to the Church | 239 |
| Hatred of the hierarchy and the monks | 239 |
| The mendicant orders | 240 |
| The Dominican Inquisition | 242 |
| The higher monastic orders | 242 |
| Sense of dependence on the Church and its rites | 244 |
| The preachers of repentance | 244 |
| Girolamo Savonarola | 249 |
| Pagan elements in popular belief | 253 |
| Faith in relics | 253 |
| Mariolatry | 255 |
| Oscillations in public opinion | 256 |
| Epidemic religious revivals | 256 |
| Their regulation by the police at Ferrara | 257 |
| RELIGION AND THE SPIRIT OF THE RENAISSANCE | 258 |
| Inevitable subjectivity | 259 |
| Worldliness | 260 |
| Tolerance of Mohammedanism | 260 |
| Equivalence of all religions | 261 |
| Influence of antiquity | 261 |
| The so-called Epicureans | 262 |
| The doctrine of free will | 263 |
| The pious Humanists | 264 |
| The middle path of Humanism | 264 |
| The beginnings of religious criticism | 265 |
| Fatalism of the Humanists | 266 |
| Their pagan exterior | 267 |
| MIXTURE OF ANCIENT AND MODERN SUPERSTITION | 268 |
| Astrology | 268 |
| Its extension and influence | 268 |
| Its opponents in Italy | 271 |
| Pico's opposition and influence | 272 |
| Various superstitions | 273 |
| Superstition of the Humanists | 274 |
| Ghosts of the departed | 275 |
| Belief in dæmons | 276 |
| The Italian witch | 277 |

| | Page |
|---|------------|
| Witches' nest at Norcia | 277 |
| Influence and limits of Northern witchcraft | 279 |
| Witchcraft of the prostitutes | 279 |
| The magicians and enchanters | 280 |
| The daemons on the way to Rome | 281 |
| Special forms of magic: the Telesmata | 282 |
| Magic at the laying of foundation-stones | 283 |
| The necromancer in poetry | 283 |
| Benvenuto Cellini's tale | 284 |
| Decline of magic | 285 |
| Special branches of the superstition, Alchemy | 285 |
| GENERAL DISINTEGRATION OF BELIEF | 286 |
| Last confession of Boscoli | 287 |
| Religious disorder and general scepticism | 288 |
| Controversy as to immortality | 288 |
| The pagan heaven | 289 |
| The Homeric world to come | 290 |
| Dissolution of Christian doctrine | 290 |
| Italian Theism | 291 |
| — | |
| NOTES | 294 |
| FULL TITLES OF SOME OF THE MOST FREQUENTLY QUOTED WORKS | 346 |
| INDEX | 347 |
| — | |
| THE CIVILIZATION OF THE RENAISSANCE IN PICTURES | 353 |
| List of Illustrations | 597 |
| Index of Places | 619 |
| Index of Artists | 623 |





APR 30 1975

PLEASE DO NOT REMOVE
CARDS OR SLIPS FROM THIS POCKET

UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO LIBRARY

DG
533
B85

Burckhardt, Jacob Christoph
The civilization of the
Renaissance in Italy

